

Jiří Rachůnek

DRI-semigroups and  $MV$ -algebras

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 2, 365–372

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127423>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## DRL-SEMIGROUPS AND MV-ALGEBRAS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK, Olomouc

(Received November 23, 1995)

The notion of a *DRL*-semigroup was introduced by K.L.N. Swamy in [7] as a common generalization of Brouwerian algebras and abelian lattice ordered groups (*l*-groups). A *DRL*-semigroup is an algebra  $A = (A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$  of type  $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$  such that

- (1)  $(A, +, 0)$  is a commutative monoid,
- (2)  $(A, \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice,
- (3)  $(A, +, \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice ordered semigroup (*l*-semigroup), i.e.  $A$  satisfies the identities

$$\begin{aligned}x + (y \vee z) &= (x + y) \vee (x + z), \\x + (y \wedge z) &= (x + y) \wedge (x + z).\end{aligned}$$

(4) If “ $\leq$ ” denotes the order on  $A$  induced by the lattice  $(A, \vee, \wedge)$  then for each  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x - y$  is the smallest  $z \in A$  such that  $y + z \geq x$ .

- (5)  $A$  satisfies the identities

$$\begin{aligned}((x - y) \vee 0) + y &\leq x \vee y, \\x - x &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

By [7], Theorem 1, *DRL*-semigroups form a variety of algebras of type  $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ , because condition (4) can be equivalently replaced by the identities

- (4i)  $x + (y - x) \geq y$ ,
- (4ii)  $x - y \leq (x \vee z) - y$ ,
- (4iii)  $(x + y) - y \leq x$ .

The notion of an *MV*-algebra was introduced by C.C. Chang in [2], [3] as an algebraic counterpart of the Lukasiewicz infinite valued propositional logic.

An *MV-algebra* is an algebra  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  of type  $\langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$  satisfying the following identities. (See e.g. [4].)

$$(MV1) \quad x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z;$$

$$(MV2) \quad x \oplus y = y \oplus x;$$

$$(MV3) \quad x \oplus 0 = x;$$

$$(MV4) \quad \neg\neg x = x;$$

$$(MV5) \quad x \oplus -0 = -0;$$

$$(MV6) \quad \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x.$$

D. Gluschkof in [5] studied some connections between cyclic ordered groups and *MV-algebras*. In this paper we deal with the connections between *DRL-semigroups* and *MV-algebras*.

Let  $G = (G, +, 0, -(.), \vee, \wedge)$  be an abelian *l-group* and  $0 \leq u \in G$ . For any  $x, y \in [0, u] = \{x \in G; 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ , set  $x \oplus y = (x + y) \wedge u$  and  $\neg x = u - x$ . Then  $\Gamma(G, u) = ([0, u], \oplus, \neg, 0)$  is an *MV-algebra* and for any *MV-algebra*  $A$  there exist an abelian *l-group*  $G$  and  $0 < u \in G$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(G, u)$ . Recently, these connections were studied by J. Jakubík in [6] also for complete *MV-algebras* and complete *l-groups*.

If  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  is an *MV-algebra* and if we set  $x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y$  and  $x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ , then  $(A, \vee, \wedge, 0, -0)$  is a bounded distributive lattice. (See e.g. [4], [5].)

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G = (G, +, 0, -(.), \vee, \wedge)$  is an abelian l-group,  $0 < u \in G$ ,  $A = [0, u]$ , and if we set for any  $x, y \in A$*

$$x \oplus y = (x + y) \wedge u,$$

$$x \ominus y = ((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u,$$

*then  $(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$  is a bounded DRL-semigroup with the least element 0 and the greatest element  $u$  satisfying the properties*

$$(i) \quad \forall x \in A; u \ominus (u \ominus x) = x,$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall x, y \in A; x \oplus (y \ominus x) = y \oplus (x \ominus y),$$

*in which  $u \oplus u = u$  and  $u \ominus x = u - x$  for any  $x \in A$ .*

**Proof.** We will show that  $(A, \oplus, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$  is a *DRL-semigroup*.

a)  $\Gamma(G, u)$  is an *MV*-algebra, hence  $(A, \oplus, 0)$  is a commutative monoid. If  $x, y, z \in A$  then

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus (y \vee z) &= (x + (y \vee z)) \wedge u = ((x + y) \vee (x + z)) \wedge u \\ &= ((x + y) \wedge u) \vee ((x + z) \wedge u) = (x \oplus y) \vee (x \oplus z), \\ x \oplus (y \wedge z) &= (x + (y \wedge z)) \wedge u = (x + y) \wedge (x + z) \wedge u \\ &= ((x + y) \wedge u) \wedge ((x + z) \wedge u) = (x \oplus y) \wedge (x \oplus z), \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $(A, \oplus, \vee, \wedge)$  is an *l*-semigroup.

b) For any  $x, y \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \oplus ((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u &= (y + (((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u)) \wedge u \\ &= (y + ((x - y) \vee 0)) \wedge (y + u) \wedge u \\ &= ((y + (x - y)) \vee y) \wedge u = (x \vee y) \wedge u \\ &= x \vee y \geq x. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r \in A$ ,  $y \oplus r \geq x$ , i.e.  $(y + r) \wedge u \geq x$ . Since  $y + r \geq x$ ,  $r \geq ((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u$ . Consequently,  $x \ominus y$  is the smallest element in  $A$  satisfying  $y \oplus z \geq x$ .

c) If  $x, y \in A$  then by b)

$$((x \ominus y) \vee 0) \oplus y = (x \ominus y) \oplus y = x \vee y.$$

d) For each  $x \in A$ ,

$$x \ominus x = ((x - x) \vee 0) \wedge u = 0.$$

Hence  $(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$  is a *DRL*-semigroup and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} u \oplus u &= (u + u) \wedge u = u, \\ u \ominus x &= ((u - x) \vee 0) \wedge u = (u - x) \wedge u = u - x \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in A$ .

We will verify the validity of conditions (i) and (ii).

(i):  $u \ominus (u \ominus x) = u - (u - x) = x$ .

(ii): By b),

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus (y \ominus x) &= (x + (((y - x) \vee 0) \wedge u)) \wedge u \\ &= ((x + ((y - x) \vee 0)) \wedge (x + u)) \wedge u \\ &= ((x + (y - x)) \vee x) \wedge u = (x \vee y) \wedge u \\ &= x \vee y = y \oplus (x \ominus y). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.** Let  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  be an MV-algebra. For any  $x, y \in A$ , set

$$(1) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = y.$$

Then “ $\leq$ ” is a lattice order on  $A$  (with the lattice operations  $x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y$  and  $x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ ), for any  $r, s \in A$  there exists the least element  $r \ominus s$  with the property  $s \oplus (r \ominus s) \geq r$ , and  $(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$  is a DRI-semigroup with the smallest element  $0$  and the greatest element  $\neg 0$ .

*P r o o f.* Let  $G = (G, +, 0, \neg(\cdot), \vee, \wedge)$  be an abelian  $l$ -group,  $0 < u \in G$ , and let  $A \cong \Gamma(G, u)$ . We have to verify that the order on  $\Gamma(G, u)$  obtained by (1) is the same as that induced on  $[0, u]$  by the order of the  $l$ -group  $G$ .

Let  $x, y \in [0, u]$ . Suppose that  $x \leq y$  in  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y &= (u - (((u - x) + y) \wedge u)) \oplus y \\ &= ((x - y) \vee 0) \oplus y = 0 \oplus y = y. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = y &\implies \\ (((x - y) \vee 0) + y) \wedge u = y &\implies (x \vee y) \wedge u = y \implies \\ x \vee y = y &\implies x \leq y. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion. □

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$  be a bounded DRI-semigroup with the smallest element  $0$  and the greatest element  $1$  satisfying the conditions

- (i)  $\forall x \in A; 1 - (1 - x) = x$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall x, y \in A; x + (y - x) = y + (x - y)$ .

Set  $\neg x = 1 - x$  for any  $x \in A$ . Then  $(A, +, \neg, 0)$  is an MV-algebra.

*P r o o f.* Let us show that conditions (MV1)–(MV6) are satisfied.

(MV1)–(MV3) are contained directly in the definition of a DRI-semigroup.

(MV4): If  $x \in A$  then, by (i),  $\neg\neg x = 1 - (1 - x) = x$ .

(MV5): It is clear (by [7], Lemma 1) that  $\neg 0 = 1$  (and  $1 + 1 = 1$ ). If  $x \in A$ , then  $0 \leq x$  implies  $1 \leq x + 1$ , hence  $x + 1 = 1$ . Thus  $x + \neg 0 = \neg 0$ .

(MV6): Let  $x, y \in A$ . Then by [7], Lemma 6, and by (i) and (ii),  $\neg(\neg x + y) + y = (1 - ((1 - x) + y)) + y = ((1 - (1 - x)) - y) + y = (x - y) + y = (y - x) + x = \neg(\neg y + x) + x$ . □

Let  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  be an  $MV$ -algebra and  $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq A$ . Then  $I$  will be called an *ideal* of  $A$  if

$$(a) \forall a, b \in I; a \oplus b \in I,$$

$$(b) \forall a \in I, x \in A; \neg(\neg(a \oplus \neg x) \oplus \neg x) \in I.$$

Recall that if  $B = (B, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$  is a  $DRL$ -semigroup and  $c, d \in B$ , then by the *symmetric difference* of  $c$  and  $d$  we mean  $c * d = (c - d) \vee (d - c)$ . (Hence “ $*$ ” is a metric operation on  $A$ .) A non-void subset  $J \subseteq B$  is called an *ideal* of  $B$  if

$$(c) \forall a, b \in J; a + b \in J,$$

$$(d) \forall a \in J, x \in B; x * 0 \leq a * 0 \implies x \in J.$$

Under conditions (c) and (d), if  $x \in B$ ,  $0 \leq x$ , then  $x * 0 = x$ . Hence in any  $DRL$ -semigroup induced by an  $MV$ -algebra, condition (d) can be replaced by

$$(d') \forall a \in J, x \in B; x \leq a \implies x \in J.$$

Then it is obvious that in  $MV$ -algebras the ideals in the sense of  $MV$ -algebras and those in the sense of  $DRL$ -semigroups coincide. (Orders on  $MV$ -algebras will be always introduced by (1) from Corollary 2.)

In [8], Theorem 1.2, it is proved that the ideals and the congruences of  $DRL$ -semigroups are in a one-to-one correspondence. We will show an analogous correspondence also for the ideals and the congruences of  $MV$ -algebras.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $I$  is an ideal of an  $MV$ -algebra  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  then the relation  $\equiv_I$  on  $A$  such that*

$$\forall x, y \in A; x \equiv_I y \Leftrightarrow x * y \in I,$$

*is a congruence on the  $MV$ -algebra  $A$ .*

*P r o o f.* Suppose that  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ , where  $G$  is an abelian  $l$ -group and  $0 < u \in G$ . By [8], Theorem 1.2,  $\equiv_I$  is an equivalence such that

$$\forall x, y, u, v \in A; x \equiv_I y, u \equiv_I v \implies (x \oplus u) \equiv_I (y \oplus v).$$

Let  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \equiv_I y$ , i.e.  $x * y \in I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \neg x * \neg y &= (u - x) * (u - y) \\ &= ((u - x) \ominus (u - y)) \vee ((u - y) \ominus (u - x)) \\ &= (((u - x) - (u - y)) \vee 0) \wedge u \vee (((u - y) - (u - x)) \vee 0) \wedge u \\ &= (((y - x) \vee 0) \wedge u) \vee (((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u) \\ &= (y \ominus x) \vee (x \ominus y) = x * y \in I, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\neg x \equiv_I \neg y$ . Therefore “ $\equiv_I$ ” is a congruence on  $(A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$ . □

**Proposition 5.** *If “ $\sim$ ” is a congruence on an MV-algebra  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  then  $I_{\sim} = \{x \in A; x \sim 0\}$  is an ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The lattice operations on  $A$  are defined by

$$x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y, \quad x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y),$$

hence “ $\sim$ ” is a congruence also on the induced lattice  $(A, \vee, \wedge)$ .

If  $a, b \in I_{\sim}$ , i.e.  $a \sim 0$ ,  $b \sim 0$ , then  $(a \oplus b) \sim 0$ , and so  $a \oplus b \in I_{\sim}$ .

Let  $a \in I_{\sim}$ ,  $x \in A$  and  $x \leq a$ . Then  $x \vee a \in I_{\sim}$ , thus  $(x \vee a) \sim 0$ , hence also  $(x \wedge (x \vee a)) \sim (x \wedge 0)$ , that is  $x \sim 0$ , and therefore  $x \in I_{\sim}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *The ideals and the congruences of any MV-algebra are in a one-to-one correspondence.*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is an MV-algebra then the ideals on  $A$  coincide with the ideals of the induced DRL-semigroup. By [8], Theorem 1.2 and its proof, the ideals of any DRL-semigroup correspond one-to-one to its congruences and this correspondence is expressed by the same formulas as in Propositions 4 and 5.  $\square$

In [9], some results concerning the lattices of ideals of semiregular normal autometrized lattice ordered algebras are obtained. The DRL-semigroups are special cases of these algebras, hence the following theorem is an immediate consequence of [9], Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7.** *The ideals of any MV-algebra  $A$  form (under ordering by set inclusion) a complete algebraic Brouwerian lattice  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ .*

**Theorem 8.** *The lattice  $\mathbf{MV}$  of all varieties of MV-algebras is a complete dually algebraic dually Brouwerian lattice.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that the lattice of subvarieties of any variety of algebras  $\mathcal{M}$  is dually isomorphic to the lattice of fully characteristic congruences of the free algebra with countable rank in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and hence, by Theorem 6, the lattice  $\mathbf{MV}$  is dually isomorphic to the lattice  $\mathcal{I}_c(F)$  of fully characteristic (i.e. closed under all endomorphisms) ideals of the free MV-algebra  $F$  with a countable set of free generators. Obviously,  $\mathcal{I}_c(F)$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(F)$ , and thus it is Brouwerian. Moreover,  $\mathcal{C}_c(F)$ , the lattice of fully characteristic congruences, is algebraic, because the fully characteristic congruences corresponding to the finite sets of identities are its compact elements. (If  $p = q$  is an identity, then its corresponding congruence is the least fully characteristic congruence  $\theta$  such that  $p(u_0, u_1, \dots)\theta q(u_0, u_1, \dots)$  for free generators  $u_0, u_1, \dots$ )  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *If  $A$  is an MV-algebra and  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , then the pseudocomplement of  $I$  in  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is*

$$I^\perp = \{x \in A; \neg(\neg(a \oplus \neg x) \oplus \neg x) = 0, \text{ for each } a \in I\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $A$  is a DRL-semigroup and  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , then, by [9], Lemma 7, the pseudocomplement of  $I$  in  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is  $I^* = \{x \in A; x \wedge a = 0, \text{ for each } a \in I\}$ . This implies the assertion.  $\square$

The ideal  $I^\perp$  from Proposition 9 will be called the *polar* of  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . If  $J \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , then  $J$  is called a *polar in  $A$* , if there is some  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  such that  $J$  is its polar. Denote the set of all polars in an MV-algebra  $A$  by  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . It is obvious that if  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , then  $I \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  if and only if  $(I^\perp)^\perp = I$ . From Glivenko's theorem (see e.g. [1]) we have:

**Theorem 10.** *If  $A$  is an MV-algebra then the set of its polars  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  ordered by set inclusion is a complete Boolean algebra.*

Finally, we will show some connections between homomorphisms of MV-algebras and DRL-semigroups. (Recall that if  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian  $l$ -groups,  $0 < u \in G$  and  $\bar{f}: G \rightarrow H$  is an  $l$ -group homomorphism, then  $f$ , the restriction of  $\bar{f}$  to  $[0, u]$ , is an MV-algebra homomorphism of  $\Gamma(G, u)$  into  $\Gamma(H, \bar{f}(u))$ . See e.g. [4].)

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be abelian  $l$ -groups,  $0 < u \in G$ ,  $0 < v \in H$ , and  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ ,  $B = \Gamma(H, v)$ . Suppose that  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of MV-algebras which is a restriction of an  $l$ -group homomorphism  $\bar{f}: G \rightarrow H$ . Then  $f$  is a homomorphism of the DRL-semigroup  $(A, \oplus, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$  into the DRL-semigroup  $(B, \oplus, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$f(u) = f(-0) = \neg f(0) = v,$$

hence also  $\bar{f}(u) = v$ .

Let  $x, y \in A$ . Then

$$f(x \ominus y) = f(((x - y) \vee 0) \wedge u) = ((f(x) - f(y)) \vee 0) \wedge v = f(x) \ominus f(y).$$

$\square$

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $(A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$  and  $(B, +, 0', \vee, \wedge, -)$  be DRL-semigroups with the least elements  $0$  and  $0'$ , and the greatest elements  $1$  and  $1'$ , respectively, satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) from Theorem 3, and let  $g: A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of DRL-semigroups such that  $g(1) = 1'$ . Then  $g$  is a homomorphism of induced MV-algebras.*



Proof. If  $x \in A$ , then

$$g(\neg x) = g(1 - x) = g(1) - g(x) = 1' - g(x) = \neg g(x).$$

□

### References

- [1] *G. Birkhoff*: Lattice Theory, 3rd ed. AMS Providence, RI, 1967.
- [2] *C. C. Chang*: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1958), 467–490.
- [3] *C. C. Chang*: A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1959), 74–80.
- [4] *R. Cignoli*: Free lattice-ordered abelian groups and varieties of MV-algebras. *Proc. IX Latin Amer. Symp. Math. Logic, Part 1, Not. Log. Mat.* 38. 1993, pp. 113–118.
- [5] *D. Gluschkof*: Cyclic ordered groups and MV-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 43 (118) (1993), 249–263.
- [6] *J. Jakubík*: On complete MV-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 45 (120) (1995), 473–480.
- [7] *K. L. N. Swamy*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Ann.* 159 (1965), 105–114.
- [8] *K. L. N. Swamy*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups III. *Math. Ann.* 167 (1966), 71–74.
- [9] *K. L. N. Swamy and N. P. Rao*: Ideals in autometrized algebras. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 24 (Ser. A) (1977), 362–374.

*Author's address*: Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Sciences, Palacký University, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic.