

N.Ya. Medvedev; S. V. Morozova

On covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 4, 821–831

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127458>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON COVERS IN THE LATTICE OF REPRESENTABLE ℓ -VARIETIESN. YA. MEDVEDEV, S. V. MOROZOVA, Barnaul¹

(Received July 10, 1996)

In the work [1] the first example of representable ℓ -variety \mathcal{V} without covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 was discovered. In connection with this result the natural question on the existence of new examples of representable ℓ -varieties with this property arises.

In this paper the existence of at least five representable ℓ -varieties without covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 is shown (Theorems 1, 2, 4). Some properties of these ℓ -varieties are described (Theorems 3, 5, 6).

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, $[b, a] = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba$; $|x| = x \vee x^{-1}$. $x \ll y (x, y > e)$ denotes $x^n \leq y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $|x|^n \geq |y|$ and $|x| \leq |y|^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the elements x, y are archimedean equivalent and this fact is denoted by $x \sim_a y$.

The ℓ -variety \mathcal{R} defined by the identity

$$(1) \quad (x \wedge y^{-1}x^{-1}y) \vee e = e$$

is called the ℓ -variety of representable ℓ -groups. Any ℓ -variety \mathcal{X} in which the identity (1) is valid is called a representable ℓ -variety. Since each ℓ -group in \mathcal{R} is a subdirect product of totally ordered groups, any ℓ -variety \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ is uniquely determined by the totally ordered groups contained in \mathcal{X} (in fact, any subdirectly irreducible ℓ -group of \mathcal{R} is totally ordered). The set \mathbb{L}_0 of all representable ℓ -varieties is a complete lattice under naturally defined operations of join and meet [2].

¹ This work was done under financial support of Russian Fund of Fundamental Research (code of project 96-01-00088) and grant No. 5 of Grant Center of Novosibirsk University of RF State Committee on Higher Education.

Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \mathbb{L}_0$. \mathcal{V}_1 is said to cover \mathcal{V}_2 in the lattice \mathbb{L}_0 if $\mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2$, $\mathcal{V}_1 \neq \mathcal{V}_2$ and the inclusions $\mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2$, where $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{L}_0$, imply $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{U}$.

The basic facts on groups and ℓ -groups can be found in [2, 3] and [4, 5] respectively.

Let A_β be a subgroup of the additive group of reals, let $1 \neq \beta$ be a positive real number such that $a \in A_\beta$ implies $\beta a, \beta^{-1}a \in A_\beta$. Let B_β be an infinite cyclic subgroup of the multiplicative group of positive reals generated by the number β . Then the set $T_\beta = \{(r, a) \mid r \in B_\beta, a \in A_\beta\}$ with the operation of multiplication defined by the rule

$$(r, a)(r', a') = (rr', ra + a')$$

is a group. The group T_β is a totally ordered group under the lexicographic order: $(r, a) \geq 0$ if $r = \beta^p$ and $p > 0$ or $p = 0$ and $a \geq 0$.

Lemma 1 [1]. *Let G be a nonabelian totally ordered group with a convex archimedean normal subgroup A such that the quotient group G/A is an infinite cyclic group. Then G is isomorphic to a totally ordered group T_β for some positive real number $\beta \neq 1$ and for some subgroup A_β of the additive group of reals.*

Lemma 2 [1]. *Let $\mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} = \text{var}_\ell(T_{\beta^m})$ for $m \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \neq \mathcal{U}_\beta$.*

Corollary. $T_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_\beta \setminus \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m}$.

In the work [6] the automorphism φ of order 2 of the lattice of ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L} is defined. It is also described how to rewrite the basis of identities of any ℓ -variety \mathcal{X} to the basis of identities of the ℓ -variety $\varphi(\mathcal{X})$. More precisely, with any ℓ -group G we associate the ℓ -group G^R which is obtained from G by reversing order, and with any ℓ -variety $\varphi(\mathcal{X})$ we associate the ℓ -variety $\varphi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}^R = \{G^R \mid G \in \mathcal{X}\}$.

Proposition 1. $(T_\beta)^R \cong T_{\beta^{-1}}$.

The proof is straightforward. □

2. NEW EXAMPLES OF ℓ -VARIETIES WITHOUT COVERS

In this section new examples of representable ℓ -varieties without covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 will be constructed.

Let \mathcal{H} be the ℓ -variety defined by the identities

- (1) $(x \wedge y^{-1}x^{-1}y) \vee e = e,$
- (2) $\begin{aligned} &|([x, y]|^2 \vee (|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1})|[x, y]|^{-2}| \\ &\wedge |([x, y]|^m \wedge (|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1})|[x, y]|^{-m}| = e \\ &(m \in \mathbb{N}; m \geq 3). \end{aligned}$

Lemma 3. *Let β be a positive real number such that $0 < \beta < 1$. Then 1) $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{H}$, 2) $\mathcal{H} \not\subseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $0 < \beta < 1$. Then there are $t, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 < \beta^{-t} < m$. We claim that the identities of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} are not valid in T_β where $x = (\beta^{-t}, c)$, $y = (\beta^{-t}, 0)$, $c > 0$. Then $|[x, y]| = (1, c(\beta^{-t} - 1)) \neq e$ in view of $\beta^{-t} > 2$. Let $c(\beta^{-t} - 1) = d$. Thus, $|[x, y]|^2 = (1, 2d)$, $|x| \vee |y| = (\beta^t, -c\beta^t) \vee (\beta^t, 0) = (\beta^t, 0)$. Therefore, $(|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1} = (\beta^t, 0)(1, d)(\beta^{-t}, 0) = (1, \beta^{-t}d)$. It is clear that $(1, 2d) < (1, \beta^{-t}d) < (1, md)$. Hence, $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{H}$ for any real number β , $0 < \beta < 1$, and $\mathcal{H} \not\subseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$. □

Corollary 1. *Let β be a positive real number such that $0 < \beta < 1$. Then $\mathcal{H} \not\subseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta^m = \text{var}_\ell(T_{\beta^m})$ for any positive integer m .*

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3. □

Lemma 4. *Let β be a positive real number such that $\beta > 1$. Then $T_\beta \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in T_\beta$. Then $x = (\beta^{t_1}, c)$, $y = (\beta^{t_2}, d)$ and $[x, y] = (1, c(\beta^{t_2} - 1) + d(1 - \beta^{t_1}))$. Let $c(\beta^{t_2} - 1) + d(1 - \beta^{t_1}) = a$. Then $|[x, y]| = (1, |a|)$, $|x| \vee |y| = (\beta^t, k)$ where $t > 0$ or $t = 0$, $k \geq 0$ and $(|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1} = (\beta^t, k)(1, |a|)(\beta^{-t}, -k\beta^{-t}) = (1, |a|\beta^{-t})$.

Therefore,

$$|[x, y]|^2 \vee (|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1} = (1, 2|a|) \vee (1, |a|\beta^{-t}) = (1, 2|a|).$$

Since $\beta > 1$, it follows that the identities of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} are valid in T_β . □

Theorem 1. *The ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} has no covers in the lattice \mathbb{L}_0 .*

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that there is an ℓ -variety $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{L}_0$ which covers \mathcal{H} . Since $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is a representable ℓ -variety, there is a totally ordered group $G \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \mathcal{H}$ such that the identities of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} are not valid in it. Therefore, there are $x_0, y_0 \in G$ and a natural number $m, m \geq 3$ such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1} > |[x_0, y_0]|^2, \\ & |[x_0, y_0]|^m > (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly yields $|[x_0, y_0]| \sim_a (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}$. Thus, the jump $G_\alpha \prec \overline{G}_\alpha$ in the system of convex subgroups of G determined by the element $|[x_0, y_0]|$ is invariant under conjugation by $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}$ and $\overline{G}_\alpha/G_\alpha$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group of real numbers. By Hölder's Theorem [2, Theorem 3.2.1.] the automorphism of conjugation by $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$ is the multiplication by some positive real number β . From (3) we have $\beta < 1$.

Let $G_1 = \text{gp}(\overline{G}_\alpha, (|x_0| \vee |y_0|))$ be the subgroup of G generated by \overline{G}_α and $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$. Then $\overline{G}_\alpha \triangleleft G_1$,

$$G_1/G_\alpha \triangleleft \overline{G}_\alpha/G_\alpha,$$

where $\overline{G}_\alpha/G_\alpha$ is a normal convex archimedean subgroup. By the Homomorphism Theorem we have

$$G_1/G_\alpha/\overline{G}_\alpha/G_\alpha \cong G_1/\overline{G}_\alpha \cong \overline{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)},$$

where $\overline{|x_0| \vee |y_0|} = |x_0|\overline{G}_\alpha \vee |y_0|\overline{G}_\alpha$ and $\overline{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)}$ denotes the infinite cyclic group generated by the element $\overline{|x_0| \vee |y_0|}$. From Lemma 1 it follows that $G_1/G_\alpha \cong T_\beta$ where $0 < \beta < 1$.

Hence, the ℓ -variety $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ contains the ℓ -variety $\mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$ for some positive real number β such that $\beta < 1$.

By Lemma 2 there is an ℓ -variety \mathcal{U}_{β^m} such that $\mathcal{U}_\beta \supset \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m}$. By Lemma 3 and Corollary of Lemma 3, $\mathcal{U}_\beta \not\subseteq \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \not\subseteq \mathcal{H}$. According to Lemma 3 and Corollary of Lemma 2, we have $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{H}$, \mathcal{U}_{β^m} . Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta \vee \mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{H}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \vee \mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{H}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ covers \mathcal{H} , it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{U}_\beta \vee \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \vee \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 9.1.1 from the book [2] we have $T_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m}$ or $T_\beta \in \mathcal{H}$. These inclusions contradict Lemma 3 and Corollary 1 of Lemma 3. \square

M. Anderson, M. Darnel, T. Feil in their work [7] introduced (for some other purposes) the representable ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} which is defined by the following identical inequalities:

$$(4) \quad ([b, a] \vee e) \wedge b \ll b \vee a^{-1}ba, \quad \text{for all } e \leq b \leq a.$$

Now we will prove that the ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} has no covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 .

Our proof starts with rewriting the system of identical inequalities (4) defining the ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} in the standard form of identities

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (([|x|, |x| \vee |y|] \vee e) \wedge |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \vee (|x| \vee |y|)^{-1}|x|(|x| \vee |y|)) \\ & = (([|x|, |x| \vee |y|] \vee e) \wedge |x|)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *Let β be any positive real number such that $\beta < 1$. Then $T_\beta \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $y, x \in T_\beta$. Then $|x| \vee |y| = (\beta^{t_1}, c)$, $|x| = (\beta^{t_2}, d)$.

Case 1. Let $0 < t_2 \leq t_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [|x|, |x| \vee |y|] &= (\beta^{-t_2}, -d\beta^{-t_2})(\beta^{-t_1}, -c\beta^{-t_1})(\beta^{t_2}, d)(\beta^{t_1}, c) \\ &= (1, d(\beta^{t_1} - 1) + c(1 - \beta^{t_2})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $d(\beta^{t_1} - 1) + c(1 - \beta^{t_2}) = \bar{c}$. Then $[|x|, |x| \vee |y|] = (1, \bar{c})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & ([|x|, |x| \vee |y|] \vee e) \wedge |x| = (1, \bar{c} \vee 0), \\ & (|x| \vee |y|)^{-1}|x|(|x| \vee |y|) = (\beta^{t_2}, c(1 - \beta^{t_2}) + d\beta^{t_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $c(1 - \beta^{t_2}) + d\beta^{t_1} = \bar{d}$. Then $(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1}|x|(|x| \vee |y|) = (\beta^{t_2}, \bar{d})$ and

$$|x| \vee (|x| \vee |y|)^{-1}|x|(|x| \vee |y|) = (\beta^{t_2}, d \vee \bar{d}).$$

Thus, $(1, \bar{c} \vee 0) \leq (\beta^{t_2}, d \vee \bar{d})$.

Case 2. Let now $0 = t_2 \leq t_1$. Calculations similar to the previous ones prove this case.

Thus, $T_\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ in view of $0 < \beta < 1$. □

Lemma 6. *Let β be a positive real number such that $\beta > 1$. Then $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \not\supseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta > 1$. Then there are $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $2 < \beta^t < n$. The direct verification shows that the identities (5) are violated in T_β . In fact, let $|x| = (1, d)$, $|x| \vee |y| = (\beta^t, c)$.

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(1, d), (\beta^t, c)] = (1, d(\beta^t - 1)), \\
& (1, d(\beta^t - 1)) \vee (1, 0) = (1, d(\beta^t - 1)), \\
& (1, d(\beta^t - 1)) \wedge (1, d) = (1, d), \\
& (\beta^t, c)^{-1}(1, d)(\beta^t, c) = (1, d\beta^t), \\
& (1, d\beta^t) \vee (1, d) = (1, d\beta^t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta^t < n$, we have

$$(1, nd) \wedge (1, d\beta^t) = (1, d\beta^t), \quad (1, nd) \neq (1, d\beta^t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (((1, d), (\beta^t, c)] \vee e) \wedge (1, d))^n \wedge ((1, d) \vee (\beta^t, c)^{-1}(1, d)(\beta^t, c)) \\
& \neq (((1, d), (\beta^t, c)] \vee e) \wedge (1, d))^n
\end{aligned}$$

and $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{C}$ for any positive real number such that $\beta > 1$. □

Corollary 1. *For any positive integer $m \geq 1$ and positive real number $\beta > 1$ we have $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} = \text{var}_\ell(T_{\beta^m}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}$.*

The proof follows immediately from Lemma 6. □

Theorem 2. *The ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} has no covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 .*

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that there is an ℓ -variety $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{L}_0$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ covers \mathcal{C} . Since $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a representable ℓ -variety, there is a totally ordered group G such that $G \in \overline{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \mathcal{C}$. Thus, there are $x_0, y_0 \in G$ and a positive integer $n > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad & (((|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \vee e) \wedge |x_0|)^n \wedge (|x_0| \vee (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)) \\
& \neq (((|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \vee e) \wedge |x_0|)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$((|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \vee e) \wedge |x_0| \leq |x_0|, (|x_0| \vee (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)) \geq |x_0|,$$

we have

$$((|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \vee e) \wedge |x_0| \not\geq |x_0| \vee (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|).$$

From this we deduce that

$$((|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|) \vee e) \wedge |x_0| \sim_a (x_0 \vee (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)).$$

Case 1. $[|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] = e$. Since $e^n \leq |x_0|$, it follows that the inequality (6) is violated.

Case 2. $[|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] < e$. Then the inequality (6) is violated, too.

Case 3. $[|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] > e$. Then $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|) > |x_0|$. If $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|) \sim_a |x_0|$, then $[|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \ll (|x_0| \vee |y_0|) \vee |x_0| \sim_a |x_0|$, and the inequality (6) is violated. This implies that $|x_0| \ll (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$. If $|x_0| \ll (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$, then the inequality (6) is not valid. Hence, $|x_0| \sim_a (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$. Consequently, the jump $G_\alpha \prec \bar{G}_\alpha$ in the system of convex subgroups of G defined by the element $|x_0|$ is invariant under conjugation by $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$, and \bar{G}_α/G_α is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group of real numbers. By Hölder's Theorem [2, Theorem 3.2.1.] the automorphism of conjugation by $(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$ is the multiplication by some positive real number $\beta > 0$. Hence, $|\bar{x}_0| = r$ and $|\bar{x}_0|^{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)} = \beta r$. If $\beta = 1$, then $|x_0|^{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)}G_\alpha = |x_0|G_\alpha$ and $|x_0|^{-1}|x_0|^{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)}G_\alpha = G_\alpha$. Then $[|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \ll |x_0|$, $|x_0|^{(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)}$. Since $([|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \vee e) \wedge |x_0| = [|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \wedge |x_0| = [|x_0|, |x_0| \vee |y_0|] \ll |x_0| \vee (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$, the inequality (6) is violated. Thus, $\beta \neq 1$.

Now arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 1 show that $G_1/G_\alpha \cong T_\beta$. Since $|x_0| < (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|x_0|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$, we can conclude that $\beta > 1$. Hence, the ℓ -variety $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ contains the ℓ -variety $\mathcal{U}_\beta = \text{var}_\ell(T_\beta)$ for some positive real number β such that $\beta > 1$.

By Lemma 2 there exists an ℓ -variety \mathcal{U}_{β^m} such that $\mathcal{U}_\beta \supset \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m}$. By Lemma 6 and Corollary of Lemma 6 we have $\mathcal{U}_\beta \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}$. According to Lemma 6 and Corollary of Lemma 2, we have $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{U}_{β^m} . Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_\beta \vee \mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{C}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \vee \mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{C}$. Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ covers \mathcal{C} , it follows that $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{U}_\beta \vee \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m} \vee \mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 9.1.1 from the book [2] we have $T_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_{\beta^m}$ or $T_\beta \in \mathcal{C}$. These inclusions contradict Lemma 6 and Corollary 1 of Lemma 6. \square

Lemmas 3, 6 imply that $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{C}$.

Let \mathcal{V} [1] be the ℓ -variety defined by the following infinite basis of identities:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (x \wedge y^{-1}x^{-1}y) \vee e = e, \\ & |([x, y])^2 \vee y^{-1}|[x, y]|y||[x, y]|^{-2}| \wedge |([x, y])^2 \vee x^{-1}|[x, y]|x||[x, y]|^{-2}| \\ & \wedge |((|x| \vee |y|)^{-1}|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|) \wedge |[x, y]|^n)|[x, y]|^{-n}| \\ & \wedge |((|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1} \wedge |[x, y]|^m)|[x, y]|^{-m}| = e \\ & (m, n \in \mathbb{N}; n, m \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

It is known [1] or [2] (Lemma 12.5.8) that \mathcal{V} has no covers in the lattice \mathbb{L}_0 and $T_\beta \notin \mathcal{V}$ for any positive real number β , $\beta \neq 1$. Hence, $\mathcal{V} \neq \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{V} \neq \mathcal{C}$.

Let φ be the automorphism of order 2 of the lattice of ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L} which is defined in [6].

Proposition 2. $\varphi(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. In [6] the method of rewriting the basis of identities of any ℓ -variety \mathcal{X} to the basis of identities of the ℓ -variety $\varphi(\mathcal{X})$ is described. Now the direct application of this method shows that the bases of the ℓ -varieties $\varphi(\mathcal{V})$ and V are the same. \square

Now let us consider the ℓ -varieties $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\varphi(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$, it is clear that these ℓ -varieties have no covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 , and therefore, we have five possible different representable ℓ -varieties without covers in the lattice \mathbb{L}_0 .

3. PROPERTIES OF ℓ -VARIETIES \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{H} , $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$, $\varphi(\mathcal{H})$

In this section we will prove that all these ℓ -varieties $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \varphi(\mathcal{C}), \varphi(\mathcal{H})$ are distinct and we will also establish some of its properties.

Proposition 3. *Let G_1, G_2 be totally ordered groups from the ℓ -variety $\mathcal{C}(\varphi(\mathcal{C}))$. Then the lexicographic product $G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2$ is contained $\mathcal{C}(\varphi(\mathcal{C}))$.*

Proof. Let $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $b, a \in G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2$ be such that $e \leq b \leq a$. Then $b = (b_1, b_2)$, $a = (a_1, a_2)$ for some $b_1, a_1 \in G_1$ and $b_2, a_2 \in G_2$. Thus, $[b, a] = ([b_1, a_1], [b_2, a_2])$.

We claim that the following inequalities are valid in $G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2$:

$$(8) \quad (([b_1, a_1], [b_2, a_2]) \vee e) \wedge (b_1, b_2) \ll (b_1, b_2) \vee (a_1^{-1}b_1a_1, a_2^{-1}b_2a_2).$$

Let $[b_2, a_2] \neq e$, then the validity of the system of identities (8) on the elements b, a is equivalent to the validity of (6) on the elements $b_2, a_2 \in G_2$. Since $G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, it follows that the system (6) is true.

Let now $[b_2, a_2] = e$, then $b_2 = a_2^{-1}b_2a_2$.

The group $G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2$ is a totally ordered group under the lexicographic order. Therefore, if $b_2 > e$ in G_2 , then $(b_1, b_2) > (g_1, e)$ in the group $G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2$ for any element $g_1 \in G_1$. Thus

$$(([b_1, a_1], e) \vee e) \wedge (b_1, b_2) = ([b_1, a_1] \vee e, e) \wedge (b_1, b_2) = ([b_1, a_1] \vee e, e).$$

If $b_2 \neq e$, then the system of inequalities (8) has the following form:

$$(9) \quad ([b_1, a_1] \vee e, e) \ll (b_1 \vee a_1^{-1}b_1a_1, b_2).$$

The validity of (9) is evident.

If $b_2 = e$, the verification of (8) is reduced to its verification on the elements $b_1, a_1 \in G_1$. Since $G_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, it follows that the system (8) is true.

Therefore, the elements b, a satisfy the system of identities (5) of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} , and $G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Now let us assume that $G_1, G_2 \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. Then $G_1^R, G_2^R \in \varphi^2(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$, and by the previous arguments $G_1^R \overleftarrow{\times} G_2^R \in \mathcal{C}$.

Direct verification shows that $(G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2)^R = G_1^R \overleftarrow{\times} G_2^R$. From the above it follows that $(G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2)^R \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(G_1 \overleftarrow{\times} G_2) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Theorem 3. *The ℓ -variety \mathcal{V} is strictly contained in the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{V} is a representable ℓ -variety, it suffices to show that any totally ordered group of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{V} belongs to the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} .

On the contrary, assume that there exists a totally ordered group $G \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{H}$ such that the identities of the ℓ -variety \mathcal{H} are not valid in it. Therefore, there are $x_0, y_0 \in G$ and a natural number m such that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1} > |[x_0, y_0]|^2, \\ &|[x_0, y_0]|^m > (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $[x_0, y_0] \sim_a (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1, this yields that $T_\beta \in \mathcal{V}$ for some positive real number $\beta < 1$, which is impossible by Lemma 12.5.7 from the book [2].

Consequently, $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and by Lemma 4, the ℓ -variety \mathcal{V} is strictly contained in \mathcal{H} . \square

Theorem 4. *All ℓ -varieties $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \varphi(\mathcal{C}), \varphi(\mathcal{H})$ are distinct.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, $T_\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ for any positive $\beta, \beta < 1$. Then Proposition 1 implies that $(T_\beta)^R \cong T_{\beta^{-1}} \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. Similarly, by Lemma 4, $T_\beta \in \mathcal{H}$ for any positive $\beta, 1 < \beta$ and $(T_\beta)^R \cong T_{\beta^{-1}} \in \varphi(\mathcal{H})$. By Lemma 12.5.8 from the book [2] we obtain the inequalities $\mathcal{V} \neq \mathcal{C}, \varphi(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{H}, \varphi(\mathcal{H})$.

From Lemma 3 it follows that $\mathcal{H} \neq \varphi(\mathcal{H})$ and Lemmas 5 and 6 imply $\mathcal{C} \neq \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. By the same argument $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{H}) \neq \varphi(\mathcal{C})$.

So we need only to prove the remaining cases $\varphi(\mathcal{H}) \neq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H} \neq \varphi(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $T_3 \overleftarrow{\times} T_3$ be the lexicographic product of two totally ordered groups T_3 . By Proposition 3, $T_3 \overleftarrow{\times} T_3 \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. Direct verification shows that the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & (|[x, y]|^2 \vee (|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1})|[x, y]|^{-2} \\ & \wedge (|[x, y]|^5 \wedge (|x| \vee |y|)|[x, y]|(|x| \vee |y|)^{-1})|[x, y]|^{-5} = e \end{aligned}$$

is violated in $T_3 \overleftarrow{\times} T_3$ on $x = ((1, 4), (1, 0))$, $y = ((\frac{1}{3}, 4), (3, 0))$.

Thus, $T_3 \overleftarrow{\times} T_3 \in \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \neq \mathcal{H}$. Since φ is an automorphism of the lattice of ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L} , it follows that $\varphi(\mathcal{H}) \neq \mathcal{C}$. \square

It is worth pointing out that the ℓ -variety \mathcal{V} is strictly contained in the ℓ -variety \mathcal{C} . This fact is proved in [8].

Theorem 5. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C} \wedge \varphi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H} \wedge \varphi(\mathcal{H}) = \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \wedge \varphi(\mathcal{H})$.

P r o o f. We first prove that $(\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Assume, on the contrary, that there is a totally ordered group $G \in (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{H}) \setminus \mathcal{V}$. Thus, there are $x_0, y_0 \in G$ and natural numbers m, n such that

- 1) $|[x_0, y_0]|^2 < y_0^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|y_0$;
- 2) $|[x_0, y_0]|^2 < x_0^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|x_0$;
- 3) $|[x_0, y_0]|^n > (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)$;
- 4) $|[x_0, y_0]|^m > (|x_0| \vee |y_0|)|[x_0, y_0]|(|x_0| \vee |y_0|)^{-1}$.

Let $|x_0| < |y_0|$. Then 3) and 4) can be rewritten in the form

- 3.1) $|y_0|^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|y_0 < |[x_0, y_0]|^n$,
- 4.1) $|y_0||[x_0, y_0]|y_0^{-1} < |[x_0, y_0]|^m$.

Hence,

$$|[x_0, y_0]| < |y_0|^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|^m|y_0| = (|y_0|^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|y_0)^m < |[x_0, y_0]|^{mn}.$$

Therefore, the elements $|[x_0, y_0]|$ and $|y_0|^{-1}|[x_0, y_0]|y_0$ are archimedean equivalent. Consider the jump $G_\alpha \prec \overline{G}_\alpha$ in the system of convex subgroups of G defined by the element $|[x_0, y_0]|$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, it yields that $T_\beta \in (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{H})$ for some positive β , $\beta \neq 1$. This fact contradicts Lemmas 3, 6. Thus, $(\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. The converse statement is obvious.

The other equalities are proved similarly. \square

Theorem 6. *The ℓ -varieties \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{H} , $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$, $\varphi(\mathcal{H})$ have the following properties: first, they have no independent basis of identities, and second, they contain all representable covers of the abelian ℓ -variety.*

Proof. The first property follows from Proposition 12.7.1 [2]. The second follows immediately from the distributivity of the lattice of ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L} and from the non-existence of covers in the lattice of representable ℓ -varieties \mathbb{L}_0 of all these ℓ -varieties. \square

Remark. Theorem 1 was proved by the first author, Theorems 2, 3 by the second and all other results were obtained in common discussions.

References

- [1] *N. Ya. Medvedev*: On the lattice of o -approximable ℓ -varieties. Czech. Math. J. 34 (109) (1984), 6–17. (In Russian.)
- [2] *V. M. Kopytov, N. Ya. Medvedev*: The Theory of Lattice-Ordered Groups. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1994.
- [3] *V. M. Kopytov*: Lattice-Ordered Groups. Moscow, Nauka, 1984. (In Russian.)
- [4] *M. I. Kargapolov, Yu. I. Merzlyakov*: Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [5] *A. G. Kurosh*: Theory of Groups. Moscow, Nauka, 1967. (In Russian.)
- [6] *M. E. Huss, N. R. Reilly*: On reversing the order of a lattice-ordered group. J. Algebra 9 (1984), 176–191.
- [7] *M. Anderson, M. Darnel, T. Feil*: A variety of lattice-ordered groups containing all representable covers of the abelian variety. Order 7 (1991), 401–405.
- [8] *S. V. Molochko, S. V. Morozova*: On the theory of varieties of lattice-ordered groups. Sib. Math. J. 38, N 1 (1997), 151–160. (In Russian.)

Authors' address: Russia, 656099, Barnaul, ul. Dimitrova 66, Altai State University, Chair of Algebra and Logic.