

Peter J. Grabner; Oto Strauch; Robert Franz Tichy
 L^p -discrepancy and statistical independence of sequences

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 1, 97–110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127470>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

L^p -DISCREPANCY AND STATISTICAL INDEPENDENCE
OF SEQUENCES

PETER J. GRABNER, OTO STRAUCH[†] and ROBERT F. TICHY^{†‡}

Dedicated to Prof. Tibor Šalát on the occasion of his 70th birthday

(Received May 28, 1996)

Abstract. We characterize statistical independence of sequences by the L^p -discrepancy and the Wiener L^p -discrepancy. Furthermore, we find asymptotic information on the distribution of the L^2 -discrepancy of sequences.

Keywords: sequences, statistical independence, discrepancy, distribution functions

MSC 2000: Primary 11K06, 11K31

1. INTRODUCTION

Let x_n and y_n be two infinite sequences in the unit interval $[0, 1]$. The pair of sequences (x_n, y_n) is called *statistically independent* if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)g(y_n) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) \sum_{n=1}^N g(y_n) \right) = 0$$

for all continuous real functions f, g defined on $[0, 1]$, cf. [11]. In other words, the double sequence (x_n, y_n) is called statistically independent if it has statistically independent coordinate sequences x_n and y_n .

[†] This author is supported by the Austrian Science Foundation project Nr. P10223-PHY

[‡] These two authors are supported by the scientific cooperation program of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

For (x_n, y_n) and any $p > 0$ we define the L^p statistical independence discrepancy ${}_S D_N^{(p)}$, the Wiener L^p statistical independence discrepancy ${}_S W_N^{(p)}$, and the statistical independence *star* discrepancy ${}_S D_N^*$ by the following: denote

$$F_N(x, y) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{[0,x)}(x_n) \chi_{[0,y)}(y_n),$$

where $\chi_{[0,x)}(t)$ is the *characteristic function* of the interval $[0, x)$. Then

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_S D_N^{(p)} &:= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |F_N(x, y) - F_N(x, 1)F_N(1, y)|^p dx dy, \\ {}_S W_N^{(p)} &:= \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)g(y_n) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) \sum_{n=1}^N g(y_n) \right|^p df dg, \\ {}_S D_N^* &:= \sup_{x, y \in [0, 1]} |F_N(x, y) - F_N(x, 1)F_N(1, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

where df is the Wiener measure on the set \mathcal{C}_0 of all continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$. Furthermore, we write ${}_S D_N^{(p)} = {}_S D_N^{(p)}(x_n, y_n)$ and similarly for ${}_S W_N^{(p)}$ and ${}_S D_N^*$.

These definitions of discrepancy originate from the theory of uniform distribution of sequences, where the star discrepancy, the L^p -discrepancy and the Wiener discrepancy are given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D_N^*(x_n) &= \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |F_N(x) - x|, \\ D_N^{(p)} &= \int_0^1 |F_N(x) - x|^p dx, \\ W_N^{(p)} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right|^p df, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_N(x) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{[0,x)}(x_n)$. Again, a sequence x_n is called uniformly distributed, if $D_N^*(x_n)$ tends to 0 for $N \rightarrow \infty$. This is equivalent to $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^{(p)} = 0$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} W_N^{(p)} = 0$ (cf. [9]).

The following explicit formulæ for statistical independence discrepancies are known. In [5] the following formula is given:

$$(1.3) \quad {}_S D_N^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^4} \sum_{\substack{k, l = -\infty \\ k, l \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 l^2} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i(kx_n + ly_n)} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N e^{2\pi i(kx_n + ly_m)} \right|^2.$$

Furthermore, in [13] an alternative expression is presented:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad {}_S D_N^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n}^N (1 - \max(x_m, x_n))(1 - \max(y_m, y_n)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{m,n,k,l=1}^N (1 - \max(x_m, x_k))(1 - \max(y_n, y_l)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{m,k,l=1}^N (1 - \max(x_m, x_k))(1 - \max(y_m, y_l)).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the Wiener L^2 statistical independence discrepancy in [13] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad {}_S W_N^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n}^N \frac{\min(x_m, x_n)}{2} \frac{\min(y_m, y_n)}{2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{m,n,k,l=1}^N \frac{\min(x_m, x_n)}{2} \frac{\min(y_k, y_l)}{2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{m,k,l=1}^N \frac{\min(x_m, x_k)}{2} \frac{\min(y_m, y_l)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

These are extensions of classical formulæ, which can be found in [9]. The notion of Wiener discrepancy was introduced in [13].

In [5] it is proved that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^* = 0$ does not characterize the statistical independence of (x_n, y_n) . On the other hand, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^{(p)} = 0$ for $p = 2$ is a characterization and it has been conjectured that the same is true also for any $p > 0$. In Section 2 we will prove this conjecture and we will also prove the same for the Wiener discrepancy ${}_S W_N^{(p)}$. Moreover, we will see that the statistical independence is fully described by the set of distribution functions of a given sequence (x_n, y_n) .

In [13] it is proved that ${}_S W_N^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} {}_S D_N^{(2)}$, but a similar relation for ${}_S W_N^{(p)}$, $p > 0$ is not valid, which we will demonstrate in Section 4.

In Section 3 of this paper we will discuss the asymptotical distribution of L^2 -discrepancy. This continues investigations of the star discrepancy due to Kolmogorov [8]. It is now well-known that

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{N} D_N^*(x_n) < t \right) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k e^{-2k^2 t^2}.$$

We will make use of a heuristic approach to this result due to Doob [4], which has been justified by Donsker [3]. The heuristics states that the discrepancy function

$F_N(x) - x$ behaves like a trajectory of the Wiener process. Especially this behaviour holds for continuous functionals of the discrepancy function, as the supremum or the L^p -norm.

2. STATISTICAL INDEPENDENCE

As we have mentioned in the introduction, the equivalence

$$(x_n, y_n) \text{ is statistically independent} \iff \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^{(2)} = 0$$

was proved in [5]. We shall extend this characterization of statistical independence to any $p > 0$. To do this we need the following notation:

For a given infinite sequence (x_n, y_n) in $[0, 1]^2$, let $G(x_n, y_n)$ be the set of all distribution functions of (x_n, y_n) .

Here $g: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a distribution function of (x_n, y_n) if there exists an increasing sequence of indices $N_1 < N_2 < \dots$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{N_k}(x, y) = g(x, y)$ for every point $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$. Following [9, p. 54] two distribution functions g_1 and g_2 are considered to be equivalent, if $g_1(x, y) = g_2(x, y)$ a.e. on $[0, 1]^2$ or equivalently, $g_1(x, y) = g_2(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ if both g_1 and g_2 are continuous.

Theorem 1. *For any sequence (x_n, y_n) in $[0, 1]^2$ and any $p > 0$ we have*

$$(x_n, y_n) \text{ is statistically independent} \iff \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^{(p)} = 0.$$

Proof. By the well known first Helly lemma and the Lebesgue theorem of dominated convergence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |F_N(x, y) - F_N(x, 1)F_N(1, y)|^p dx dy = 0 &\iff \\ \forall (g \in G(x_n, y_n)) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |g(x, y) - g(x, 1)g(1, y)|^p dx dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is true for all $p > 0$, and for $p = 2$, the left hand side characterizes the statistical independence. Thus the proof is complete. \square

The following is an immediate consequence of the above proof:

Theorem 2. *For every $(x_n, y_n) \in [0, 1]^2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_n, y_n) \text{ is statistically independent} &\iff \\ \forall (g \in G(x_n, y_n)) g(x, y) = g(x, 1)g(1, y) \text{ a.e. on } [0, 1]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof of Theorem 1 with Remark 1 in [13] and observing that any neighbourhood in the supremum topology in \mathcal{C}_0 has a positive Wiener measure, we have a condition for statistical independence in terms of the Wiener statistical independence discrepancy.

Theorem 3. *For any $p > 0$ the sequence (x_n, y_n) is statistically independent, if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S W_N^{(p)} = 0.$$

Using Theorem 2 we can describe the case when the star discrepancy ${}_S D_N^*$ tends to 0.

Theorem 4. *If $G(x_n, y_n)$ contains only continuous distribution functions, then*

$$(x_n, y_n) \text{ is statistically independent} \iff \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^* = 0.$$

Proof. The case \Leftarrow follows immediately. The implication \Rightarrow follows from Theorem 2 and the fact that, for continuous $g \in G(x_n, y_n)$, the convergence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{N_k}(x, y) = g(x, y)$$

is uniform in $[0, 1]^2$. Hence we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_{N_k}^* = 0$ and this leads to $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} {}_S D_N^* = 0$. \square

In [14] it is shown that one can use the Wiener-Schoenberg theorem for the proof of continuity of $g \in G(x_n)$ (cf. the monograph of L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter [9, Th. 7.5, p. 55]). The same method can be used for $G(x_n, y_n)$.

3 UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

In order to describe the asymptotic distribution function of the L^2 -discrepancy, we use a theorem due to Donsker [3] and the well-known Feynman-Kac formula (cf. [7]). Donsker's theorem states that for a functional F , which is continuous in the uniform topology on the space of sample paths of the Wiener process, the following limit relation holds:

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(F \left(\sqrt{N} (F_N(x) - x) \right) \leq \alpha \right) = \mathbb{P} (F(x(\cdot)) \leq \alpha),$$

where $x(t)$ is a trajectory of the Wiener process with $x(0) = x(1) = 0$.

The Feynman-Kac formula relates the Laplace transform of the distribution function of the integral $\int_0^t V(x(\tau)) d\tau$ (V is a positive function) to the solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}\psi''(x) - V(x)\psi(x) = -\lambda\psi(x), \quad \psi \in L^2(-\infty, \infty).$$

The relation is given by the formula

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t V(x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \middle| x(t) = 0 \right) = \sqrt{2\pi t} \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \psi_n(0)^2,$$

where λ_n are the eigenvalues and ψ_n are the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions of (3.2).

In order to get information on the distribution function of L^2 -discrepancy we have to study equation (3.2) for $V(x) = x^2$. Clearly, this procedure could also be applied for $V(x) = |x|^p$ to study the distribution of L^p -discrepancy, but it is not enough known to get as precise information as in the L^2 -case. We will write

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi(T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{N} D_N^{(2)} < T \right)$$

for the limit distribution of the L^2 -discrepancy.

First, we notice that by the rescaling property of the Wiener process we have

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t x(\tau)^2 d\tau \right) \middle| x(t) = 0 \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(-t^2 \int_0^1 x(\tau)^2 d\tau \right) \middle| x(1) = 0 \right).$$

For the case studied here equation (3.2) has the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\psi''(x) - x^2\psi(x) = -\lambda\psi(x),$$

which is the differential equation for the Hermite functions (cf. [10,p. 253]). Thus we have $\lambda_n = \frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{(2n)!}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sqrt{2}}} H_n \left(\sqrt[4]{2}x \right),$$

where H_n are the Hermite polynomials as defined in [10,p. 249]. Hence we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t x(\tau)^2 d\tau \right) \middle| x(t) = 0 \right) &= \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{4n+1}{\sqrt{2}}t \right) \frac{1}{4^n} \binom{2n}{n} = \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{\sinh \sqrt{2}t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(-s \int_0^1 x(\tau)^2 d\tau \right) \middle| x(1) = 0 \right) = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2s}}{\sinh \sqrt{2s}}}$$

for the Laplace transform of the distribution function of the limit distribution of $N(D_N^{(2)})^2$. Notice that this function is holomorphic in the region $\Re s > -\frac{\pi^2}{2}$. Furthermore, it has a branch cut of the square-root type at the point $s = -\frac{\pi^2}{2}$. Thus using the Laplace inversion theorem and asymptotic techniques for the Laplace transform (cf. [2]) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi(T) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}T} + O \left(\frac{1}{T^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}T} \right).$$

We remark here that for the case of L^p -discrepancy the whole procedure also works. Again the Laplace transform of the distribution function is holomorphic in a region $\Re s > -\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, but this is a consequence of (1.6). We could not derive this analytic information from the knowledge of the asymptotics of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions (cf. [15], [12]), nor could we find the location of the singularity of the largest real part, whose type would yield asymptotic information on the limiting distribution of the L^p -discrepancy.

4. RELATION BETWEEN WIENER AND CLASSICAL L^2 DISCREPANCY

We start with the Paley-Wiener formula (cf. [1]):

$$\int_{C_0} F \left[\int_0^1 f(x) dm(x) \right] df = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} F(bu) du, \quad b^2 = \int_0^1 m^2(t) dt,$$

where $F(u)$ is a (real or complex-valued) measurable function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $e^{-u^2} F(bu)$ is of class L_1 and $m(1) = 0$. Thus, putting $F(u) = |u|^p$ and $m(x) = F_N(x) - x$, in the classical case we have

$$W_N^{(p)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2} \right) \left(D_N^{(2)} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Assuming $m(x, y) = m_1(x)m_2(y)$ on $[0, 1]^2$ and $m_1(1) = m_2(1) = 0$, the Paley-Wiener formula can also be used for computing the two-dimensional integral

$$\int_{C_0} \int_{C_0} F \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)g(y) dm(x, y) \right] df dg.$$

For any x_1, x_2 and y_1, y_2 in $[0, 1]$, there exist $m_1(x)$ and $m_2(y)$, $m_1(1) = m_2(1) = 0$, such that $F_2(x, y) - F_2(x, 1)F_2(1, y) = m_1(x)m_2(y)$ ($x, y \in [0, 1]$). Hence

$${}_S W_2^{(p)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma^2\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left({}_S D_2^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

for every $p > 0$.

The proof of ${}_S W_N^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} {}_S D_N^{(2)}$ in [13] is also extremely simple: Using (1.3) we have

$${}_S D_N^{(2)}(x_n, y_n) = {}_S D_N^{(2)}(1 - x_n, 1 - y_n)$$

and using $1 - \max(x_m, x_n) = \min(1 - x_m, 1 - x_n)$ and (1.5) we have the result.

These results give rise to the question whether there is a relation of the type

$$(4.1) \quad {}_S W_N^{(p)} = c_p \left({}_S D_N^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

between the different notions of statistical independence discrepancy. In the following we give explicit formulae for these discrepancies which lead to the negative answer.

The Paley-Wiener formula is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left(\int_0^1 f(x) dm(x) \right)^{2k} df = \frac{(2k-1)!!}{2^k} \left(\int_0^1 dt \left(\int_0^1 \chi_{[t,1]}(x) dm(x) \right)^2 \right)^k,$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $(2k-1)!! = (2k-1)(2k-3)\dots 3 \cdot 1$ and for the exponent $2k+1$ the left hand integral is zero. (For this formula the assumption $m(1) = 0$ is superfluous.) The formal two-dimensional analogue is the relation $A = cB$, where

$$A := \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)g(y) dm(x, y) \right)^{2k} df dg,$$

$$B := \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \chi_{[t_1,1]}(x)\chi_{[t_2,1]}(y) dm(x, y) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 \right)^k,$$

and c is independent of $m(x, y)$. These integrals can be expressed as

$$A = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} f(u_1) \dots f(u_{2k}) df \right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} g(v_1) \dots g(v_{2k}) dg \right) \\ dm(u_1, v_1) \dots dm(u_{2k}, v_{2k}),$$

$$B = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 (\min(u_1, u_2) \dots \min(u_{2k-1}, u_{2k})) (\min(v_1, v_2) \dots \min(v_{2k-1}, v_{2k})) \\ dm(u_1, v_1) \dots dm(u_{2k}, v_{2k}).$$

Furthermore, by the well known formula (which can also be proved by applying the above Paley-Wiener formula)

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} f(u_1) \dots f(u_{2k}) \, df = \frac{(2k-1)!!}{2^k(2k)!} \sum_{\pi} \min(u_{\pi(1)}, u_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(u_{\pi(2k-1)}, u_{\pi(2k)}),$$

where the summation \sum_{π} ranges over all permutations π of $(1, \dots, 2k)$. For the odd case $2k+1$ the integral vanishes. Next we choose $m(x, y)$ such that $dm(a_i, b_i) = z_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2k$, and $dm(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. Here we shall view z_i as independent variables. Assuming $A = cB$ and comparing the coefficients at $z_1 \dots z_{2k}$, we have $C = c'D$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C &:= \sum_{\pi} \left(\min(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(a_{\pi(2k-1)}, a_{\pi(2k)}) \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\pi} \left(\min(b_{\pi(1)}, b_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(b_{\pi(2k-1)}, b_{\pi(2k)}) \right), \\ D &:= \sum_{\pi} \left(\min(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(a_{\pi(2k-1)}, a_{\pi(2k)}) \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\min(b_{\pi(1)}, b_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(b_{\pi(2k-1)}, b_{\pi(2k)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $a_i = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 2k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{\pi} \left(\min(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(a_{\pi(2k-1)}, a_{\pi(2k)}) \right) \right)^2 \\ &= c' \sum_{\pi} \left(\min(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}) \dots \min(a_{\pi(2k-1)}, a_{\pi(2k)}) \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, for $k > 1$ and general a_i .

The proof of impossibility of (4.1) is more difficult. First, we have mentioned that for

$$m(x, y) = F_N(x, y) - F_N(x, 1)F_N(1, y)$$

we have $A = {}_S W_N^{(2k)}$ and $B = ({}_S D_N^{(2)})^k$. Moreover, $dm(x, y) \neq 0$ only for $x = x_m$ and $y = y_n$, where $1 \leq m, n \leq N$. Precisely, assuming that x_1, \dots, x_N and y_1, \dots, y_N are one-to-one we have

$$dm(x_m, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N^2} & \text{if } m = n, \\ -\frac{1}{N^2} & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

For brevity, we shall use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m} &:= (m_1, \dots, m_{2k}), \\
\pi(\mathbf{m}) &:= (m_{\pi(1)}, \dots, m_{\pi(2k)}), \\
\mathbf{x}_m &:= (x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_{2k}}), \\
\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{N} &\iff 1 \leq m_1 \leq N \wedge \dots \wedge 1 \leq m_{2k} \leq N, \\
l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) &:= \#\{1 \leq i \leq 2k; m_i = n_i\}, \\
\mu(\mathbf{x}_m) &:= \prod_{i=1}^k \min(x_{m_{2i-1}}, x_{m_{2i}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Computing the integrals A and B for such $m(x, y)$ we can find

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_S W_N^{(2k)} &= \frac{1}{N^{4k}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{2k} k!} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{N}}} \mu(\mathbf{x}_m) \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \times \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\pi_1, \pi_2} (N-1)^{l(\pi_1(\mathbf{m}), \pi_2(\mathbf{n}))} \cdot (-1)^{2k-l(\pi_1(\mathbf{m}), \pi_2(\mathbf{n}))}, \\
\left({}_S D_N^{(2)} \right)^k &= \frac{1}{N^{4k}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{N}}} \mu(\mathbf{x}_m) \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \times \\
&\quad \times (N-1)^{l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \cdot (-1)^{2k-l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can regard x_1, \dots, x_N and y_1, \dots, y_N as independent variables. Then we see that ${}_S W_N^{(2k)}$ and $\left({}_S D_N^{(2)} \right)^k$ are homogeneous polynomials of the degree k in x_1, \dots, x_N and y_1, \dots, y_N , respectively.

In the following denote

$$x_a = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} x_i, \quad x_b = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N, i \neq a} x_i, \quad y_c = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} y_i, \quad y_d = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N, i \neq c} y_i,$$

and let $a \neq c$ and $b = d$. Next we shall find coefficients of $x_a^{k-1} x_b y_c^{k-1} y_d$ in ${}_S W_N^{(2k)}$ and $\left({}_S D_N^{(2)} \right)^k$, respectively.

First, $\mu(\mathbf{x}_m) = x_a^{k-1} x_b$ only for

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{cases} (a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a) \text{ (type I)}, \\ (a, \dots, a, b, b, a, \dots, a) \text{ (type II)}, \end{cases}$$

where the couple (b, b) lies at the place with indices $(2i-1, 2i)$. We have $2k$ vectors of type I and $k(2k-1)$ vectors of type II. If \mathbf{m} is of type I and π ranges over all

permutations of $(1, \dots, 2k)$, then all vectors of type I occur in $\pi(\mathbf{m})$ $(2k-1)!$ times. If \mathbf{m} is of type II, then all vectors of the form

$$(a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a) \text{ (type II')}$$

occur in $\pi(\mathbf{m})$ with multiplicity $2 \cdot (2k-2)!$. For (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) of type (I,I) we have $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 1$ in $2k$ cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 0$ in $(2k)^2 - k$ cases. For (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) of type (I,II) we have $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 1$ in $2k$ cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 0$ in $2k^2 - 2k$ cases. For (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) of type (II,II) we have only $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 2$ in k cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = 0$ in $k^2 - k$ cases. Similarly, for type (I,II') we have

$$l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } 2k(2k-1) \text{ cases,} \\ 0 & \text{in } k(2k-1)(2k-2) \text{ cases,} \end{cases}$$

and for (II',II') we have

$$l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{in } k(2k-1) \text{ cases,} \\ 1 & \text{in } 2k(2k-1)(2k-2) \text{ cases,} \\ 0 & \text{in } k(2k-1)(k-1)(2k-3) \text{ cases.} \end{cases}$$

Summing up all of the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mu(\mathbf{x}_m) = x_a^{k-1} x_b \\ \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) = y_c^{k-1} y_d}} (N-1)^{l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \cdot (-1)^{2k-l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \\ & = k(N-1)^2 - 6k(N-1) + 9k^2 - 7k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{N} \\ \mu(\mathbf{x}_m) = x_a^{k-1} x_b \\ \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) = y_c^{k-1} y_d}} \sum_{\pi_1, \pi_2} (N-1)^{l(\pi_1(\mathbf{m}), \pi_2(\mathbf{n}))} \cdot (-1)^{2k-l(\pi_1(\mathbf{m}), \pi_2(\mathbf{n}))} \\ & = ((2k)!)^2 ((2k^2 - k)(N-1)^2 - (8k^3 - 4k^2 + 2k)(N-1) + (4k^4 - 4k^3 + 3k^2 - k)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to

$$sW_N^{(2k)} = c_{2k} \left(sD_N^{(2)} \right)^k.$$

5. EXAMPLES AND FURTHER RESULTS ON STATISTICAL INDEPENDENCE

Using the expressions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) we immediately have:

Theorem 5.

- (i) *The sequences (x_n, y_n) , (y_n, x_n) , $(1 - x_n, y_n)$, $(1 - x_n, 1 - y_n)$ and $(t_1 x_n, t_2 x_n)$ are simultaneously statistically independent. Here $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$, and in the case $x_n = 0$ we reduce $1 - x_n \bmod 1$.*
- (ii) *(c, y_n) is statistically independent with any $y_n, c \in [0, 1]$, where c is a constant.*

Using an example given in [5] we will generalize (ii) in the following way. Define, for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the *one-jump* distribution function $c_\alpha(x)$ as

$$c_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq x < \alpha, \\ 1, & \text{for } \alpha < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6. *Assume that the sequence x_n in $[0, 1)$ has the limit law c_α , i.e. $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x) = c_\alpha(x)$ a.e. Then for any sequence y_n in $[0, 1)$ (x_n, y_n) is statistically independent.*

Proof. For a continuous $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)g(y_n) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) \sum_{n=1}^N g(y_n) \right| \leq 2 \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |g(x)| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |f(x_n) - f(\alpha)|,$$

and for a continuous $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |f(x_n) - f(\alpha)| = \int_0^1 |f(x) - f(\alpha)| dc_\alpha(x) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 7. *For sequences x_n, y_n, x'_n and y'_n in $[0, 1)$ we assume that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (|x_n - x'_n| + |y_n - y'_n|) = 0.$$

Then the sequences (x_n, y_n) and (x'_n, y'_n) are simultaneously statistically independent.

Proof. This follows from the expression (1.5) and from the fact that

$$||x - y||u - v| - |x' - y'||u' - v'|| \leq |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |u - u'| + |v - v'|$$

for $x, y, u, v, x', y', u', v' \in [0, 1]$.

□

Motivated by Theorem 2, a trivial example of statistical independence is given by a sequence (x_n, y_n) which is uniformly distributed in the square. Another example is any sequence (x_n, y_n) which has only one-jump distribution functions. A more general example:

Let G_1 and G_2 be any nonempty closed and connected sets of one-dimensional distribution functions. Denote

$$G_1 \cdot G_2 := \{g_1(x)g_2(y); g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2\}.$$

Again $G_1 \cdot G_2$ is nonempty closed and connected and thus by R. Winkler [16] there exists a sequence (x_n, y_n) in $[0, 1]^2$ such that $G(x_n, y_n) = G_1 \cdot G_2$. By Theorem 2, this sequence is statistically independent.

Furthermore, Theorem 2 may be used for a generalization of the notion of statistical independence to the multidimensional sequence (x_n, y_n, z_n, \dots) in $[0, 1]^s$ (precisely, the statistical independence of its coordinate sequences x_n, y_n, z_n, \dots) as follows:

(x_n, y_n, z_n, \dots) is statistically independent if, for every distribution function $g \in G(x_n, y_n, z_n, \dots)$ we have

$$g(x, y, z, \dots) = g(x, 1, 1, \dots)g(1, y, 1, \dots)g(1, 1, z, \dots) \dots$$

a.e. on $[0, 1]^s$. As an example we give the following sequences described in [6]:

Let \mathbf{x}_n be defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \left((-1)^{[\log^{(j)} n]^{1/p_1}} [\log^{(j)} n]^{1/p_1}, \dots, (-1)^{[\log^{(j)} n]^{1/p_s}} [\log^{(j)} n]^{1/p_s} \right) \bmod 1,$$

where $\log^{(j)} n$ denotes the j th iterated logarithm $\log \dots \log n$, and p_1, \dots, p_s are coprime positive integers. Then, for $j > 1$, the set of all distribution functions of \mathbf{x}_n coincides (under equivalence) with the set of all one-jump distribution functions on $[0, 1]^s$, and thus the sequence \mathbf{x}_n is statistically independent.

References

- [1] Cameron, R. H., Martin, W. T.: Evaluation of various Wiener integrals by use of certain Sturm-Liouville differential equations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 73–90.
- [2] Doetsch, G.: Handbuch über die Laplace Transformation, II. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1955.
- [3] Donsker, M.D.: Justification and extension of Doob's heuristic approach to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems. Ann. Math. Stat. 23 (1952), 277–281.
- [4] Doob, J.L.: Heuristic approach to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems. Ann. Math. Stat. 20 (1949), 393–403.
- [5] Grabner, P. J., Tichy, R. F.: Remarks on statistical independence of sequences. Math. Slovaca 44 (1994), 91–94.

- [6] *Grabner, P. J., Strauch, O., Tichy, R. F.*: Maldistribution in higher dimensions. *Mathematica Pannonica* 8 (1997), 215–223.
- [7] *Kac, M.*: Probability and related topics in physical sciences. Lectures in Applied Math., Proceedings of a Summer Seminar in Boulder, Col. 1957, Interscience Publishers, London, New York, 1959.
- [8] *Kolmogoroff, A.*: Sulla determinazione empirica di una legge di distribuzione. *Giorn. Ist. Ital. Attuari* 4 (1933), 83–91.
- [9] *Kuipers, L., Niederreiter, H.*: Uniform Distribution of Sequences. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974.
- [10] *Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., Soni, R.P.*: Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
- [11] *Rauzy, G.*: Propriétés statistiques de suites arithmétiques. *Le Mathématicien*, No. 15, Collection SUP. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1976.
- [12] *Ray, D.*: On spectra of second-order differential operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1954), 299–321.
- [13] *Strauch, O.*: L^2 discrepancy. *Math. Slovaca* 44 (1994), 601–632.
- [14] *Strauch, O.*: On the set of all distribution functions of a sequence. Proceedings of the conference on analytic and elementary number theory: a satellite conference of the European Congress on Mathematics '96, Vienna, July 18–20, 1996. Dedicated to the honour of the 80th birthday of E. Hlawka (W. G. Nowak et al., eds.). Universität Wien, 1996, pp. 214–229.
- [15] *Titchmarsh, E.C.*: Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations. Oxford University Press, 1946.
- [16] *Winkler, R.*: On the distribution behaviour of sequences. *Math. Nachrichten* 186 (1997), 303–312. To appear.

Authors' addresses: P. Grabner & R. Tichy, Institut für Mathematik A, Technische Universität Graz, Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 GRAZ, Austria, e-mail: grabner@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at, tichy@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at; O. Strauch, Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, SK-814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia, e-mail: strauch@savba.sk.