

Peter Gilkey; J. H. Park

The Bochner Laplacian, Riemannian submersions, heat content asymptotics, and heat equation asymptotics

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 2, 233–240

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127483>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE BOCHNER LAPLACIAN, RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS, HEAT  
CONTENT ASYMPTOTICS, AND HEAT EQUATION ASYMPTOTICS

P. B. GILKEY, Eugene, and J. H. PARK,<sup>1</sup> Kwangju

(Received January 5, 1996)

0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  with smooth boundary  $\partial M$ . Let  $\Gamma(V)$  denote the space of smooth sections to a vector bundle  $V$  over  $M$ . We assume  $V$  is equipped with a pointwise fiber metric  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and a Riemannian connection  $\nabla: \Gamma(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes V)$ . We shall adopt the Einstein convention and sum over repeated indices. We use that connection  $\nabla$  on  $V$  and the Levi-Civita connection on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  to define the second covariant derivative  $\nabla^2 f = dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes f_{;ij}$ . Let

$$D(f) := -\text{Tr}(\nabla^2 f) = -g^{ij} f_{;ij}$$

be the Bochner or reduced Laplacian; this operator arises in many contexts.

We impose Dirichlet ( $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_D$ ) or Neumann ( $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_N$ ) boundary conditions to define a self-adjoint operator  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  of Laplace type. Let  $E(\lambda, D, \mathcal{B}) \subset \Gamma(V)$  be the eigenspaces of  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ ; there is an orthogonal direct  $\Sigma L^2(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda} E(\lambda, D, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $k(t, x_1, x_2, D, \mathcal{B})$  be the fundamental solution of the heat equation and let

$$\begin{aligned} a(D, \mathcal{B})(t) &:= \text{Tr}_{L^2}(e^{-tD_{\mathcal{B}}}) = \sum_{\lambda} e^{-t\lambda} \dim(E(\lambda, D, \mathcal{B})) \\ &= \int_M \text{Tr}_{V_x} K(t, x, x, D, \mathcal{B}) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

As  $t \downarrow 0^+$ , there is an asymptotic expansion

$$a(D, \mathcal{B})(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(D, \mathcal{B}) t^{(n-m)/2}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58G25

The asymptotics of the heat equation,  $a_n(D, \mathcal{B})$ , are locally computable. If we take the trivial connection on the trivial line bundle over  $M$ , the Bochner Laplacian is the usual scalar Laplacian  $\Delta^0 = \delta_0 d_0$ . We consider the following heat conduction problem. Suppose  $M$  has initial temperature 1 at time  $t = 0$  and suppose that the boundary is kept at temperature 0 for all  $t > 0$ . Then the temperature function is  $h(t, x) := \int_M K(t, x, y, \Delta^0, \mathcal{B}_D) dy$  and the total heat energy content is

$$\beta_M(t) := \int_{M \times M} K(t, x_1, x_2, \Delta^0, \mathcal{B}_D) dx_1 dx_2.$$

Again, as  $t \downarrow 0^+$ , there is an asymptotic expansion

$$\beta_M(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n(M) t^{n/2}.$$

The heat content asymptotics,  $\beta_n(M)$ , are locally computable.

Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Riemannian submersion with closed fibers  $F(y) := \pi^{-1}(y)$  where  $Y$  is a compact manifold with smooth boundary  $\partial Y$ . Let  $V_Y$  be a vector bundle over  $Y$  with a fiber metric and a Riemannian connection  $\nabla_Y$ . Give the pull back bundle  $V_Z := \pi^*(V_Y)$  over  $Z$  the pull back fiber metric and pull back Riemannian connection  $\nabla_Z := \pi^*\nabla_Y$ . Pull-back induces a natural map  $\pi^*: \Gamma(V_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_Z)$  such that  $\pi^*\nabla_Y = \nabla_Z\pi^*$ . Let  $D_Y$  be the Bochner Laplacian on  $Y$  and let  $D_Z$  be the Bochner Laplacian on  $Z$ . In §1, we generalize a theorem of Watson [9] and show that  $\pi^*D_Y = D_Z\pi^*$  if and only if the fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal.

If the fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal, then  $\text{vol}(F(y)) := \text{vol}(F)$  is independent of  $y$ ; see [1, 1.10]. We will show in Lemma 2.1 that if we average the heat kernel of  $D_Z$  over the fibers we recover the heat kernel of  $D_Y$ , i.e.

$$K(t, y_1, y_2, D_Y, \mathcal{B}) = \text{vol}(F)^{-2} \int_{(f_1, f_2) \in F(y_1) \times F(y_2)} K(t, f_1, f_2, D_Z, \mathcal{B}) df_1 df_2.$$

We take  $D = \Delta^0$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_D$  to show that

$$\beta_Z(t) = \text{vol}(F)\beta_Y(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n(Z) = \text{vol}(F)\beta_n(Y).$$

Principal bundles form a particularly natural family of examples. We shall assume the structure group  $G$  is compact and choose a bivariant metric on  $G$ . If we choose a  $G$  equivariant connection on  $Z$  to split  $TZ = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  into horizontal and vertical fibers, we define a  $G$  invariant metric on  $Z$  and  $\pi$  becomes a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers; see Besse [3, 9.59] for details. Let  $\pi(z_i) = y_i$ . Then

$$K(t, y_1, y_2, D_Y, \mathcal{B}) = \text{vol}(G)^{-2} \int_{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G} K(t, g_1 z_1, g_2 z_2, D_Z, \mathcal{B}) dg_1 dg_2.$$

Even in this special situation, these does not seem to be a simple relationship between  $a(D_Y, \mathcal{B})(t)$  and  $a(D_Z, \mathcal{B})(t)$ ; the curvature enters in a non-trivial fashion.

This brief note was motivated by questions which were asked by C. Gordon and it is a pleasure to acknowledge helpful discussions with her.

## 1. THE BOCHNER LAPLACIAN

We refer [6] for the proof of:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Riemannian submersion where  $Z$  and  $Y$  are closed manifolds.*

- (a) *If  $\varphi \in E(\lambda, \Delta_Y^0)$  and if  $\pi^*\varphi \in E(\mu, \Delta_Z^0)$ , then  $\mu = \lambda$ .*
- (b) *The following assertions are equivalent:*
  - (i)  $\pi^*\Delta_Y^0 = \Delta_Z^0\pi^*$ .
  - (ii) *The fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal submanifolds of  $Z$ .*

**Remark.** See [6,7] for related results about the form valued Laplacian  $\Delta^p$ ; assertion (b) was first proved by Watson [9].

In this section, we generalize Theorem 1.1 to:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Riemannian submersion where the fibers of  $\pi$  are compact and where  $Y$  is a compact manifold with smooth boundary. Impose Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions.*

- (a) *If  $\varphi \in E(\lambda, D_Y, \mathcal{B})$  and if  $\pi^*\varphi \in E(\mu, D_Z, \mathcal{B})$ , then  $\mu = \lambda$ .*
- (b) *The following assertions are equivalent:*
  - (i)  $\pi^*D_Y = D_Z\pi^*$ .
  - (ii) *The fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal submanifolds of  $Z$ .*

**Remark.** If the fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal submanifolds of  $Z$ , then  $\text{vol}(F)^{-1/2}\pi^*$  is a partial isometry;

$$(\pi^*\varphi_1, \pi^*\varphi_2)_{L^2(Z)} = \text{vol}(F)(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{L^2(Y)} \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Gamma(V_Y).$$

We decompose the tangent bundle  $TZ = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  into the vertical and horizontal distributions; let  $\varrho_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\varrho_{\mathcal{H}}$  be the corresponding projection operators. We use the metric to identify the tangent and cotangent spaces. Let indices  $\{a, b\}$  range from 1 to  $\dim(Y)$  and index local orthonormal frames  $\{F_a\}$  for  $TY$ . Let  $f_a = \pi^*F_a$  be the corresponding local orthonormal frames for the horizontal distribution  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let indices  $\{i, j\}$  range from  $\dim(Y) + 1$  to  $\dim(Z)$  and index local orthonormal frames

$\{e_i\}$  for the vertical distribution  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection. The mean curvature vector is defined by

$$\theta := \varrho_{\mathcal{H}}((\nabla z)_{e_i} e_i);$$

$\theta \equiv 0$  if and only if the fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal. Let  $\text{int}$  denote interior multiplication; if  $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma(V_Z)$ ,  $\text{int}(\theta)(\nabla_z \tilde{f}) \in \Gamma(V_Z)$ . We begin the proof of Theorem 1.2 by establishing a fundamental identity:

**Lemma 1.3.**  $D_Z \pi^* - \pi^* D_Y = \text{int } Z(\theta) \pi^* \nabla_Y$ .

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.** Since the calculations are local, we may assume the vector bundle  $V$  is trivial. Let  $\omega$  be the connection 1-form of the connection  $\nabla_Y$ . If  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_\nu) \in \Gamma(V_Y)$ , let  $F_a(\varphi) = (F_a(\varphi_1), \dots, F_a(\varphi_\nu))$ . We expand

$$\nabla_Y \varphi = F^a \otimes \varphi_{;a} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_Y^2 \varphi = F^a \otimes F^b \otimes \varphi_{;ab}$$

where  $\varphi_{;a} = F_a(\varphi) + \omega_a(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi_{;ba} = F_a(\varphi_{;b}) + \omega_a(\varphi_{;b}) + \Gamma_{acb}^Y \varphi_{;c}$ . Thus

$$D_Y \varphi = -(F_a(\varphi_{;a}) + \omega_a(\varphi_{;a}) + \Gamma_{aca}^Y \varphi_{;c}).$$

Let  $\tilde{\varphi} = \pi^* \varphi$ . Since  $\tilde{\omega} = \pi^* \omega$ , we have that  $\nabla_Z \tilde{\varphi} = \pi^*(\nabla_Y \varphi)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\varphi}_{;i} = 0$  so

$$D_Z \tilde{\varphi} = -(f_a(\tilde{\varphi}_{;a}) + \tilde{\omega}_a(\tilde{\varphi}_{;a}) + \Gamma_{aca}^Z \tilde{\varphi}_{;c}) - \Gamma_{ici}^Z \tilde{\varphi}_{;c}.$$

Since  $\Gamma_{ab}^Z c = \pi^*(\Gamma_{ab}^Y c)$ ,

$$D_Z \pi^* - \pi^* D_Y = -\Gamma_{ici}^Z \tilde{\varphi}_{;c} = \text{int}(\theta)(\nabla_Z \tilde{\varphi}) = \text{int}(\theta) \pi^*(\nabla_Y \varphi).$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that  $0 \neq \varphi \in E(\lambda, D_Y, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} \in E(\mu, D_Z, \mathcal{B})$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Then  $(\mu - \lambda)\tilde{\varphi} = \text{int}(\theta)\pi^*\nabla_Y \varphi$ . This implies that

$$(\mu - \lambda)|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 = \text{int}(\theta)\pi^*(\nabla_Y \varphi, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \text{int}(\theta)\pi^* d(\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta, \pi^* d(|\varphi|^2)).$$

We argue for a contradiction. Choose  $y \in Y$  so  $|\varphi|^2$  is maximal. If  $\tilde{y} \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ , then  $|\tilde{\varphi}|^2$  is maximal at  $\tilde{y}$ . If  $y$  is in the interior of  $Y$ , then  $|\varphi|^2$  has an interior local maximum so  $d|\varphi|^2(y) = 0$ . Consequently  $\frac{1}{2}(\theta, \pi^* d(|\varphi|^2))$  vanishes at  $\tilde{y}$  and  $(\lambda - \mu)|\tilde{\varphi}|^2(\tilde{y}) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $|\varphi|^2(y) = 0$ . This shows that the maximum value of  $|\varphi|^2$  is zero so  $\varphi \equiv 0$ . This contradiction shows that  $y$  belongs to the boundary of  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_D$ , then  $\varphi$  vanishes on the boundary so  $|\varphi|^2$  can not attain its maximum

on the boundary and this is impossible. If  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_N$ , the normal derivative of  $\varphi$  vanishes on the boundary. Since  $|\varphi|^2$  attains its maximum on the boundary, the tangential derivatives of  $|\varphi|^2$  vanish at  $y$  so again  $d(|\varphi|^2)(y) = 0$  which is impossible. This contradiction proves assertion (a).

The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.3. Conversely, suppose (i) holds. Then  $\text{int}(\theta)\pi^*(\nabla_Y\varphi)$  vanishes identically for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma(V_Y)$ . Since  $\theta$  is a horizontal differential form,  $\theta \equiv 0$  so the fibers are minimal.  $\square$

## 2. HEAT KERNEL

Let  $K(t, x_1, x_2, D, \mathcal{B})$  denote the kernel of the fundamental solution of the heat equation for a Bochner Laplacian  $D$  with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\{\lambda_\nu, \varphi_\nu\}$  is a spectral resolution of  $D$ , then

$$K(t, x_1, x_2, D, \mathcal{B}) := \sum_{\nu} e^{-t\lambda_\nu} \varphi_\nu(x_1) \otimes \varphi_\nu(x_2).$$

Suppose the fibers of  $\pi$  are minimal. We give the fibers the induced Riemannian metric to define integration over the fibers.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Riemannian submersion with minimal fibers.*

$$K(t, y_1, y_2, D_Y, \mathcal{B}) = \text{vol}(F)^{-2} \int_{(f_1, f_2) \in F(y_1) \times F(y_2)} K(t, f_1, f_2, D_Z, \mathcal{B}) \, df_1 \, df_2.$$

*Proof.* Since the fibers are minimal,  $\Delta_Z \pi^* \varphi_\nu = \lambda_\nu \pi^* \varphi_\nu$ . Thus we may take a spectral resolution for  $\Delta_Z$  of the form

$$\{\{\lambda_\nu, \pi^* \varphi_\nu\}, \{\mu_\sigma, \psi_\sigma\}\}$$

where  $\{\mu_\sigma, \psi_\sigma\}$  are spectral resolution of  $\Delta_Z$  acting on  $\pi^*(L^2(V_Y))^\perp$ ;

$$\int_Z (\psi_\sigma(z), (\pi^* \psi_\nu))(z) \, dz = 0 \quad \forall \nu.$$

Let  $\Psi_\sigma(y) := \int_{z \in F(y)} \psi_\sigma(z) \, dz = \pi^*(\chi_\sigma)$  for  $\chi_\sigma \in \Gamma(V_Y)$ . We use Fubini's theorem to express the integral over  $Z$  as an iterated integral by first integration over the fibers and then integrating over the base. Since the fibers have constant volume,

$$\text{vol}(F)(\chi_\sigma, \varphi_\nu)_{L^2(Y)} = \int_Z (\psi_\sigma(z), \varphi_\nu(\pi z)) \, dz = 0 \quad \forall \nu.$$

This shows that  $\chi_\sigma = 0$ ; the Lemma now follows.  $\square$

The following is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Riemannian submersion with minimal fibers.*

$$\beta_Z(t) = \text{vol}(F)\beta_Y(t) \text{ and } \beta_n(Z) = \text{vol}(F)\beta_n(Y).$$

**Remark.** We refer to Theorem A.2 below. In the formula for  $\beta_3$ , there is no term  $R_{abab}$ ; such a term would spoil this relationship since the fiber variable could enter. Similarly, in the formula for  $\beta_5$ , there are no terms involving  $R_{ammmb}R_{accb}$  or  $L_{aa}L_{bc}R_{bddc}$  as again such terms would spoil this formula.

The analogue of Lemma 2.2 fails for the heat asymptotics  $a(\cdot)$  since we must restrict to the diagonal; first averaging over the product of pairs of fibers and then restricting to the diagonal is not easily related to first restricting to the diagonal and then averaging over a single fiber. This is most easily illustrated with a pair of examples

**Example 2.3.** Let  $F = S^1$ ,  $Y = S^2$ , and  $Z = S^1 \times S^2$  define the trivial principal bundle

$$S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2.$$

If  $\{\lambda_\nu, \varphi_\nu\}$  is a spectral resolution of  $\Delta_Y^0$  and  $\{\mu_\sigma, \psi_\sigma\}$  is a spectral resolution of  $\Delta_F^0$ , then  $\{\lambda_\nu + \mu_\sigma, \varphi_\nu \psi_\sigma\}$  is a spectral resolution of  $\Delta_Z^0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a(\Delta_Z^0)(t) &= a(\Delta_F^0)(t) \cdot a(\Delta_Y^0)(t), \\ a_n(\Delta_Z^0) &= \sum_{p+q=n} a_p(\Delta_F^0) a_q(\Delta_Y^0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_p(\Delta_F^0) = 0$  for  $p > 0$ , we see the invariants rescale;

$$a_n(\Delta_Z^0) = a_0(\Delta_F^0) \cdot a_n(\Delta_Y^0).$$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $F = S^1$ ,  $Y = S^2$ , and  $Z = S^3$  be the Hopf fibration

$$S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2.$$

The formulas of Theorem A.1 show

$$a_n(Z) \neq a_0(F)a_n(Y);$$

the curvature of the bundle enters. We refer to [8] for an explicit calculation of  $a(S^2)(t)$  and  $a(S^3)(t)$ .

## APPENDIX A

We recall for the convenience of the reader some well known formulas concerning the heat equation and the heat content asymptotics. We impose Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let  $R$  be the Riemann curvature tensor and  $L$  the second fundamental form. Let  $\tau$  and  $\varrho$  be the scalar curvature and the Ricci tensor. We adopt the following notational conventions that differ from those established in §1. Let  $\{e_i\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  be a local orthonormal frame for the tangent bundle. Near the boundary we let  $e_m$  be the inward unit geodesic normal and let indices  $a, b, \dots$  range from 1 to  $m-1$ . See [2, 4, 5] for the proofs of the following results:

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $a_n = a_n(M, \Delta_0, \mathcal{B}_D)$ .*

- (a)  $a_0 = (4\pi)^{-m/2} \text{vol}(M)$ .
- (b)  $a_1 = -4^{-1}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{vol}(\partial M)$ .
- (c)  $a_2 = (4\pi)^{-m/2} 6^{-1} \left\{ \int_M \tau + \int_{\partial M} 2L_{aa} \right\}$
- (d)  $a_3(M, \Delta, \mathcal{B}_D) = -(384)^{-1}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} 96^{-1} \int_{\partial M} (16\tau + 8R_{amam} + 7L_{aa}L_{bb} - 10L_{ab}L_{ab})$ .
- (e)  $a_4 = (4\pi)^{-m/2} 360^{-1} \left\{ \int_M (12\tau_{;kk} + 5\tau^2 - 2\varrho^2 + 2R^2) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\partial M} (18\tau_{;m} + 20\tau L_{aa} + 4R_{amam}L_{bb} - 12R_{ambm}L_{ab} + 4R_{abcb}L_{ac} \right. \\ \left. + 24L_{aa;bb} + 40/21L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc} - 88/7L_{ab}L_{ab}L_{cc} + 320/21L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ac}) \right\}$ .
- (f) *In the special case that the boundary is totally geodesic, we have*

$$a_5 = -5760^{-1}(4\pi)^{(m-1)/2} \int_{\partial M} (48\tau_{;ii} + 20\tau^2 - 8\varrho^2 + 8R^2 - 20\varrho_{;mm}\tau \\ + 12\tau_{;mm} + 15\varrho_{mm;mm} + 16R_{ammb}\varrho_{ab} - 17\varrho_{mm}\varrho_{mm} - 10R_{ammb}R_{ammb}).$$

**Theorem A.2.**

- (0)  $\beta_0 = \text{vol}(M)$ .
- (1)  $\beta_1 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{vol}(\partial M)$ .
- (2)  $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} L_{aa}$ .
- (3)  $\beta_3 = -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\partial M} (L_{aa}L_{bb} - 2L_{ab}L_{ab} - 2\varrho_{mm})$ .
- (4)  $\beta_4 = \frac{1}{32} \int_{\partial M} (-2L_{ab}L_{ab}L_{cc} + 4L_{ab}L_{ac}L_{bc} - 2R_{ambm}L_{ab} + 2R_{abcb}L_{ac} + \tau_{;m})$ .



$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad \beta_5 = & \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\partial M} (8\varrho_{mm;mm} - 8L_{aa}\varrho_{mm;m} + 16L_{ab}R_{amm;b;m} - 4\varrho_{mm}^2 \\
& + 16R_{amm;b}R_{amm;b} - 4L_{aa}L_{bb}\varrho_{mm} - 8L_{ab}L_{ab}\varrho_{mm} + 64L_{ab}L_{ac}R_{mbcm} \\
& - 16L_{aa}L_{bc}R_{mbcm} - 8L_{ab}L_{ac}R_{bddc} - 8L_{ab}L_{cd}R_{acbd} + 4R_{abcm}R_{abcm} \\
& + 8R_{abmm}R_{accm} - 16L_{aa;b}R_{bccm} - 8L_{ab;c}L_{ab;c} + L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc}L_{dd} \\
& - 4L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cd} + 4L_{ab}L_{ab}L_{cd}L_{cd} - 24L_{aa}L_{bc}L_{cd}L_{db} + 48L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{cd}L_{da}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements.** Research of Gilkey partially supported by the NSF (USA) and MPIM (Germany). Research of Park partially supported by the BSRI-97-1425, Korean Ministry of Education and MPIM (Germany).

### References

- [1] *L. Bérard Bergery and J. P. Bourguignon*: Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 26 (1982), 181–200.
- [2] *M. Van den Berg and P. Gilkey*: Heat content asymptotics of the Dirichlet Laplacian. To appear in *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*; see also Heat content asymptotics of a Riemannian manifold with boundary *J. Funct. Anal.* 30 (1994), 48–71.
- [3] *A. Besse*: *Einstein Manifolds*. Springer Verlag (1987) ISBN 0-387-15279-2.
- [4] *T. Branson and P. Gilkey*: The asymptotics of the Laplacian on a manifold with boundary. *Comm on PDE* 15 (1990), 245–272.
- [5] *T. Branson, P. Gilkey and D. Vassilevich*: The asymptotics of the Laplacian on a manifold with boundary II. *Bollettino d’Unione Matematica* 7 II-B (1997), 39–67.
- [6] *P. Gilkey and J. H. Park*: Riemannian submersions which preserve the eigenforms of the Laplacian. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 40 (1996), 194–201.
- [7] *S. I. Goldberg and T. Ishihara*: Riemannian submersions commuting with the Laplacian. *J. Diff. Geo.* 13 (1978), 139–144.
- [8] *H. P. McKean and I. M. Singer*: Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian. *J. Diff. Geo.* 1 (1967), 43–69.
- [9] *B. Watson*: Manifold maps commuting with the Laplacian. *J. Diff. Geo.* 8 (1973), 85–94.

*Authors’ addresses:* Peter B. Gilkey, Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene Or 97403 U.S.A., e-mail: [gilkey@math.uoregon.edu](mailto:gilkey@math.uoregon.edu); Jeong Hyeong Park, Department of Mathematics, Honam University, Seo Bong Dong 59, Kwangsan Ku Kwangju 506-090 Korea.