

Ján Jakubík

Cantor-Bernstein theorem for  $MV$ -algebras

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 3, 517–526

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127507>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CANTOR-BERNSTEIN THEOREM FOR *MV*-ALGEBRAS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received April 19, 1996)

Theorems of Cantor-Bernstein type have been proved by Sikorski [9] and Tarski [11] for Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebras, and by the author [3], [5] for some classes of lattice ordered groups.

In the present paper we prove a result of Cantor-Bernstein type for a class of complete *MV*-algebras. This class is defined by means of properties of singular elements.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES; MAIN RESULT

For *MV*-algebras we apply the terminology and notation from [2] and [4]. Thus an *MV*-algebra is a system  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, *, \neg, 0, 1)$ , where  $A$  is a nonempty set,  $\oplus, *$  are binary operations,  $\neg$  is a unary operation and  $0, 1$  are nullary operations on  $A$  such that the identities (m<sub>1</sub>)-(m<sub>8</sub>) from [2] are satisfied.

If we put

$$x \vee y = (x * \neg y) \oplus y, \quad x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$$

for each  $x, y \in A$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = (A; \vee, \wedge)$  turns out to be a distributive lattice with the least element  $0$  and the greatest element  $1$ .

Let  $G$  be an abelian lattice ordered group with a strong unit  $u$ . Let  $A$  be the interval  $[0, u]$  of  $G$ . For each  $a, b \in A$  we put

$$a \oplus b = (a + b) \wedge u, \quad \neg a = u - a, \quad 1 = u, \quad a * b = \neg(\neg a \oplus \neg b).$$

Then  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, *, \neg, 0, 1)$  is an *MV*-algebra. We denote it by  $\mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$ .

For each *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists an abelian lattice ordered group  $G$  with a strong unit  $u$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$ .

(For the above results cf. [8] and [2].)

Recall that if  $G$  is a lattice ordered group and  $u$  is a positive element of  $G$  such that for each  $g \in G$  there is a positive integer  $n$  with  $g \leq nu$ , then  $u$  is called a strong unit of  $G$ .

Given an  $MV$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we always consider the partial order  $\leq$  on  $A$  which is inherited from the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . Also, without loss of generality we can suppose that an abelian lattice ordered group  $G$  with a strong unit  $u$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$  is given. In such a case, the partial order  $\leq$  on  $A$  inherited from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is the same as that inherited from  $G$ .

The  $MV$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be complete if the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is complete.

Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism of a lattice  $L_1$  into a lattice  $L_2$ . If  $\varphi(L_1)$  is a convex sublattice of  $L_2$ , then  $\varphi$  is called a convex isomorphism.

An element  $s \in A$  will be said to be singular in  $\mathcal{A}$  if, whenever  $x \in A$  and  $x \leq s$ , then  $x$  has a complement in the interval  $[0, s]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . This is equivalent with the condition that the interval  $[0, s]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is a Boolean algebra.

Consider the following condition for an  $MV$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- (\*) Each singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$  has a complement in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ .

In the present paper the following result will be proved:

(A) *Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be complete  $MV$ -algebras satisfying the condition (\*). Assume that*

- (i) *there exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_1$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_1)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_2$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ .*

*Then the  $MV$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are isomorphic.*

Next, a result of Cantor-Bernstein type from [5] concerning complete lattice ordered groups will be generalized in the present paper.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We assume that  $\mathcal{A}, G$  and  $u$  are as in the previous section, i.e.,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$ .

**2.1. Lemma.** (Cf. [6].) *The  $MV$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete if and only if  $G$  is complete.*

An element  $g$  of  $G$  with  $0 \leq g$  is said to be singular in  $G$  if, whenever  $x \in G$  such that  $0 \leq x \leq g$ , then  $x \wedge (g - x) = 0$ . (Cf. [1].) Equivalently, an element  $g \in G^+$  is singular in  $G$  if and only if the interval  $[0, g]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a Boolean algebra. (Cf. [3], 2.2.)

**2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $s$  be a singular element of  $G$ . If  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in G^+$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $s = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$ , then  $b_i \wedge b_j = 0$  whenever  $i$  and  $j$  are distinct elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

*P r o o f.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 2$  the assertion follows from the definition of singular elements of  $G$ . Suppose that  $n > 2$  and that the assertion holds for  $n - 1$ .

Since  $0 \leq b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{n-1} \leq s$ , the element  $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{n-1}$  is singular and hence  $b_i \wedge b_j = 0$  whenever  $i$  and  $j$  are distinct elements of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Next,  $s = (b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1}) + b_n$ , whence

$$(b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1}) \wedge b_n = 0$$

and this yields that  $b_i \wedge b_n = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . □

**2.3. Lemma.** *Let  $g \in G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$g$  is a singular element of  $G$ .*
- (ii)  *$g$  belongs to  $A$  and it is a singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*P r o o f.* It is obvious that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Conversely, let (i) be valid. There exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $g \leq nu$ . Hence there are elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $A$  with  $g = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ . Thus according to 2.2 the relation

$$(1) \quad g = a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n$$

is valid in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is a sublattice of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  we infer from (1) that  $g \in A$ . Then it is clear that  $g$  is a singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . □

An *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called singular if each strictly positive element of  $A$  exceeds a strictly positive singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The notion of the singular lattice ordered group is defined analogously.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of 2.3.

**2.4. Lemma.** *An *MV*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is singular if and only if the lattice ordered group  $G$  is singular.*

For  $X \subseteq G$  we put

$$X^\delta = \{g \in G: |g| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

**2.5. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a set of singular elements of  $G$ . Then the lattice ordered group  $X^{\delta\delta}$  is singular.*

The proof is simple, it will be omitted.

For direct product decompositions of  $MV$ -algebras we apply the notation as in [6].

**2.6. Lemma.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a complete  $MV$ -algebra. Then there exists a direct product decomposition  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is singular and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  has no singular element distinct from 0.*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be the set of all singular elements of  $G$ . Put  $G_1 = S^{\delta\delta}$  and  $G_2 = S^\delta$ . In view of 2.1,  $G$  is complete. Then according to the well-known Riesz Theorem we have

$$(2) \quad G = G_1 \times G_2.$$

In view of 2.5,  $G_1$  is singular. It is clear that  $G_2$  has no strictly positive singular element. The relation (2) and [4], 3.2 yield that there exists a direct product decomposition

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where  $A_1 = G_1 \cap A$  and  $A_2 = G_2 \cap A$ . If  $u_i$  ( $i = \{1, 2\}$ ) is a component of  $u$  in  $G_i$  in the direct product decomposition (2), then

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_0(G_i, u_i).$$

Hence in view of 2.4,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is singular. Next, according to 2.3,  $\mathcal{A}_2$  has no strictly positive singular element. □

**2.7. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be as in 2.6. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition (\*) if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfies this condition.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition (\*). Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be as in the proof of 2.6. Then  $u_1$  is a strong unit of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Let  $s$  be a singular element of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Hence  $s$  is a singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus  $s \leq u$ ; since  $s \in A_1$  we obtain that  $s \leq u_1$ . Next, there exists a relative complement  $s_1$  of  $s$  in the interval  $[0, u]$ . We denote by  $s_{11}$  the component of  $s_1$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Hence  $s_{11}$  is a complement of  $s$  in the interval  $[0, u_1]$ . Therefore (\*) is valid for  $\mathcal{A}_1$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfies the condition (\*). Let  $s$  be a singular element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . According to 2.3,  $s$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Hence  $s \leq u_1 \leq u$ . Next, there exists a complement  $x$  of  $s$  in the interval  $[0, u_1]$ . Put

$$y = x \vee u_2.$$

Then  $y$  is a complement of  $s$  in the interval  $[0, u]$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition (\*). □

A subset  $X$  of  $G^+$  will be called orthogonal if  $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$  whenever  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are distinct elements of  $X$ .

As above, let  $S$  be the set of all singular elements of  $G$ . By applying Axiom of Choice we conclude that there exists a maximal orthogonal subset  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $S$ .

Since  $G$  is complete, in view of 2.3 there exists  $t \in G$  such that  $t = \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i$ . Clearly  $t \in A$ .

**2.8. Lemma.** *The element  $t$  is singular in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq x \leq t$ . Hence

$$x = x \wedge t = x \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (x \wedge s_i).$$

For each  $i \in I$  there exists  $y_i \in [0, s_i]$  such that  $y_i$  is a complement of  $x \wedge s_i$  in  $[0, s_i]$ . We have  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq A$ , hence there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $y = \bigvee_{i \in I} y_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \vee y &= \bigvee_{i \in I} ((x \wedge s_i) \vee y_i) = t, \\ x \wedge y &= \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} (x \wedge s_i) \right) \wedge \left( \bigvee_{j \in I} y_j \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} ((x \wedge s_i) \wedge y_j). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $i, j \in I$  we have  $(x \wedge s_i) \wedge y_j = 0$ . Hence  $x \wedge y = 0$ . Thus  $y$  is a complement of  $x$  in  $[0, t]$ . Therefore  $t$  is a singular element of  $G$ .  $\square$

**2.9. Lemma.**  $t = \sup S$ .

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that  $t \neq \sup S$ . Hence there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s \not\leq t$ . Thus  $s > 0$ . If  $t \wedge s = 0$ , then  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  fails to be a maximal orthogonal subset of  $S$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $0 < t \wedge s < s$ . Put  $t \wedge s = x$ . There exists a complement  $y$  of  $x$  in the interval  $[0, s]$ . Clearly  $0 < y < s$ . Then  $y \in S$  and

$$x = t \wedge s = t \wedge (x \vee y) = (t \wedge x) \vee (t \wedge y) = x \vee (t \wedge y).$$

We have  $x \wedge (t \wedge y) = 0$ , thus  $x \vee (t \wedge y) = x + (t \wedge y)$ . If  $t \wedge y > 0$ , then

$$x \vee (t \wedge y) > x,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $t \wedge y = 0$  and this is impossible since  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a maximal orthogonal subset of  $S$ .  $\square$

**2.10. Lemma.** *Let  $g \in G_1$ ,  $t < g$ . Then  $t$  has no complement in the interval  $[0, g]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $t = 0$ , then according to the definition of  $G_1$  we would have  $G_1 = \{0\}$  and hence  $g = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $t > 0$ . Suppose that  $z$  is a complement of  $t$  in the interval  $[0, g]$ . Hence  $0 < z < g$  and  $z \wedge t = 0$ . Since  $G_1$  is singular, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $0 < s \leq z$ . Then  $z \wedge t \geq s$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.11. Corollary.** *Let  $(*)$  be valid. Then  $t = u_1$ .*

We conclude this section by recalling some notions and results on polars of lattices and of lattice ordered groups.

Let  $L$  be a lattice with the least element  $0$ . For  $X \subseteq L$  we put

$$X^\perp = \{y \in L: y \wedge x = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

The set  $X^\perp$  is said to be a polar of  $L$ . The system of all polars of  $L$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_1(L)$ ; this system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion. Then  $\mathcal{P}_1(L)$  turns out to be a Boolean algebra. If  $L$  and  $L_1$  are isomorphic lattices, then clearly  $\mathcal{P}_1(L)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1(L_1)$  are isomorphic.

**2.12. Lemma.** *Let  $L$  be a lattice with the least element  $0$  and let  $x \in L$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_1([0, x])$  is isomorphic to the interval  $[\{0\}, \{x\}^{\perp\perp}]$  of  $\mathcal{P}_1(L)$ .*

The proof will be omitted (it requires similar steps as in the proof of [5], 1.2).

For a subset  $X$  of a lattice ordered group  $G$  let  $X^\delta$  be as above. Put  $\mathcal{P}(G) = \{X^\delta: X \subseteq G\}$ . The system  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion is a Boolean algebra.

### 3. PROOF OF (A)

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be MV-algebras such that the assumptions of (A) are satisfied. Next, let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be the corresponding lattice ordered groups with strong units  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively.

Put  $\varphi'_1(x) = \varphi_1(x) - \varphi_1(0)$ . Hence  $\varphi'_1$  is a convex isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_1)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  such that  $\varphi'_1(0) = 0$ . Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that  $\varphi_1(0) = 0$ . Similarly, we can suppose that  $\varphi_2(0) = 0$ .

Analogously to the relation (1) and (2) of Section 2 we can write

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} G_1 &= G_{11} \times G_{12}, & G_2 &= G_{21} \times G_{22}, \\ \mathcal{A}_1 &= \mathcal{A}_{11} \times \mathcal{A}_{12}, & \mathcal{A}_2 &= \mathcal{A}_{21} \times \mathcal{A}_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

where

- (i)  $G_{11}, G_{21}, \mathcal{A}_{11}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{21}$  are singular,
- (ii)  $G_{12}, G_{22}, \mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{22}$  have no strictly positive singular element.

Next, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_0(G_{ij}, u_i(G_{ij})) \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, 2\},$$

where the meaning of the notation  $u_i(G_{ij})$  is analogous to that applied in 2.11.

We denote by  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  the set of all singular elements of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  or  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , respectively. Let  $t_i$  be the greatest element of  $S_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ); such an element does exist in view of 2.9.

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $S_i$  is a complete Boolean algebra and  $S_i \subseteq A_{i1}$ . If  $0 < x \in A_{i2}$ , then the interval  $[0, x]$  fails to be a Boolean algebra.*

*Proof.* The first assertion is a consequence of 2.8 and 2.9, the second follows from (ii) above. □

**3.2. Lemma.**  *$\varphi_1(S_1)$  is a convex subset of  $S_2$ , and  $\varphi_2(S_2)$  is a convex subset of  $S_1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 3.1 and from the fact that  $S_i$  is a convex subset of  $\mathcal{A}_{i1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). □

We shall apply the following result (cf. [10], p. 193):

**(S).** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Boolean algebras,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . If  $B$  is isomorphic to the interval  $[0, a]$  of  $A$  and  $A$  is isomorphic to the interval  $[0, b]$  of  $B$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic.*

Now, 3.2 and (S) yield

**3.3. Lemma.** *There exists an isomorphism  $\varphi_0$  of the Boolean algebra  $S_1$  onto the Boolean algebra  $S_2$ .*

**3.4. Lemma.** *Let  $0 < g_1 \in G_{11}$ . There exists a positive integer  $n$  and uniquely determined elements  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S_1$  such that*

$$g_1 = \sum is_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$t_1 = \bigvee s_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and the set  $\{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  is orthogonal.

*Proof.* In view of the results of Section 2,  $t_1$  is a singular element in  $G_{11}$  and, at the same time, it is a strong unit in  $G_{11}$ . The assertion now follows from [3], Theorem 3.2. □



An analogous result is valid for  $G_{21}$ . Since a lattice ordered group is determined up to isomorphism by its positive cone, from 3.3 and 3.4 we infer:

**3.5. Lemma.** *There exists an isomorphism  $\varphi_{01}$  of  $G_{11}$  onto  $G_{21}$  such that  $\varphi_{01}(t_1) = t_2$ .*

From the assumptions of (A) and from the conditions (i), (ii) above we obtain

**3.6. Lemma.**  *$\varphi_1(A_{12})$  is a convex sublattice of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{22})$ , and  $\varphi_2(A_{22})$  is a convex sublattice of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12})$ .*

**3.6.1. Lemma.** (i) *There exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_{10}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_{12})$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_{22})$  such that  $\varphi_{10}(x) = \varphi_1(x)$  for each  $x \in A_{12}$ .*

(ii) *There exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_{20}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_{22})$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_{12})$  such that  $\varphi_{21}(y) = \varphi_2(y)$  for each  $y \in A_{22}$ .*

*P r o o f.* This is a consequence of 3.5, 3.6 and [5]. □

**3.7. Lemma.** *The Boolean algebras  $\mathcal{P}(G_{12})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(G_{22})$  are isomorphic.*

*P r o o f.* In view of 3.6 and 2.12, the system  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12}))$  of polars of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12})$  is isomorphic to an interval of  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{22}))$  containing the least element  $\{0\}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{22}))$ . Similarly, the system  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{22}))$  is isomorphic to an interval of  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12}))$  containing the least element of  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12}))$ . Thus in view of Theorem (S) above we conclude that  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{12}))$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{22}))$  are isomorphic. From this and from [5], 1.2 and 1.3 we infer that  $\mathcal{P}(G_{12})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(G_{22})$  are isomorphic. □

**3.8. Lemma.** *Both  $G_{12}$  and  $G_{22}$  are divisible.*

*P r o o f.* This assertion follows from the condition (ii) above and from [1], Theorem 4.9, Corollary 2. □

**3.9. Lemma.** *There exists an isomorphism  $\varphi_{02}$  of  $G_{12}$  onto  $G_{22}$  such that  $\varphi_{02}(u_1(G_{12})) = u_2(G_{22})$ .*

*P r o o f.* Both  $G_{12}$  and  $G_{22}$  are complete and have strong units  $u_1(G_{12})$  and  $u_2(G_{22})$ , respectively. In view of 3.7 and 3.8 the assertion follows from [7], Chap. XIII, Section 3.2. □

**3.10. Lemma.** *There exists an isomorphism  $\varphi_{03}$  of  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$  such that  $\varphi_{03}(u_1) = u_2$ .*

*P r o o f.* This follows from (1), 3.5, 3.8 and 2.11 (according to 2.11 we have  $t_i = u_i(G_{i1})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ). □

**P r o o f** of (A). Put  $\varphi = \varphi_{03}|_{A_1}$ . Then 3.10 yields that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_2$  such that  $\varphi(u_1) = u_2$ .  $\square$

#### 4. THE CONDITION $(*_1)$

The aim of the present section is to show that by a slight modification of the method of the previous section we can generalize a result from [5] concerning complete lattice ordered groups.

Let  $G$  be a lattice ordered group with a strong unit  $u$ . Consider the following condition:

$(*_1)$  If  $s$  is a singular element of  $G$  and  $s \leq u$ , then  $s$  has a relative complement in the interval  $[0, s]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

In fact, if the  $MV$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$  is taken into account, then in view of the results of Section 2 the condition  $(*_1)$  is equivalent to  $(*)$  (recall that  $s \leq u$  is valid for each singular element of  $G$ ).

Let  $S$  be the set of all singular elements of  $G$ . Then the relation (2) from Section 2 is valid. For  $g \in G$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we denote by  $g(G_i)$  the component of  $g$  in  $G_i$ .

**4.1. Lemma.**  *$G$  satisfies the condition  $(*_1)$  if and only if  $G_1$  satisfies this condition.*

**P r o o f.** It suffices to apply analogous steps as in the proof of 2.4.  $\square$

In what follows we assume that  $G$  is complete. Let  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  be as in Section 2.

**4.2. Lemma.** *There exists  $t \in G$  such that  $t = \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i$ .*

**P r o o f.** We have already remarked above that  $s \leq u$  for each  $s \in S$ . Since  $G$  is complete, there exists  $t \in [0, u]$  such that  $t = \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Lemma.** *The element  $t$  is singular in  $G$  and  $t = \sup S$ . Moreover,  $t = u(G_1)$ .*

**P r o o f.** Cf. 2.8–2.11 (with the application of 4.1 and 4.2).  $\square$

**4.4. Theorem.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be complete lattice ordered groups with strong units  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively. Assume that both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy the condition  $(*_1)$ . Suppose that*

- (i) *there exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_1$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_1)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_2)$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_2$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_2)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_1)$ .*

Then there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$  such that  $\varphi(u_1) = u_2$ .

*Proof.* We proceed analogously as when proving (A) with the distinction that instead of applying the results from Section 2 we apply 4.2 and 4.3.

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be the set of all singular elements of  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ , respectively. According to 4.3,  $S_i$  has a largest element; it will be denoted by  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then 3.1–3.5 are valid.

Similarly as in 3.6.1 we have:

- (i) There exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_{10}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_{12})$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_{22})$  such that  $\varphi_{10}(u_1(G_{12})) = u_2(G_{22})$ .
- (ii) There exists a convex isomorphism  $\varphi_{20}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G_{22})$  into  $\mathcal{L}(G_{12})$  such that  $\varphi_{20}(u_2(G_{22})) = u_1(G_{12})$ .

Thus 3.8–3.10 are valid, completing the proof.  $\square$

If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are divisible, then  $S_1 = \{0\}$  and  $S_2 = \{0\}$ , thus they satisfy the condition  $(*_1)$ . Hence we have

**4.5. Corollary.** (Cf. [5].) *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be complete divisible lattice ordered groups with strong units  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively. Suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) from 4.4 are satisfied. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$  such that  $\varphi(u_1) = u_2$ .*

#### References

- [1] *P. Conrad, D. McAlister*: The completion of lattice ordered groups. *Journ. Austral. Math. Soc.* 9 (1969), 182–208.
- [2] *D. Gluschkof*: Cyclic ordered groups and *MV*-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 43 (1993), 249–263.
- [3] *J. Jakubík*: Cantor-Bernstein theorem for lattice ordered groups. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 22 (1972), 159–175.
- [4] *J. Jakubík*: Direct product decompositions of *MV*-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 44 (1994), 725–739.
- [5] *J. Jakubík*: On complete lattice ordered groups with strong units. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 46 (1996), 221–230.
- [6] *J. Jakubík*: On complete *MV*-algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 45 (1995), 473–480.
- [7] *L. V. Kantorovič, B. Z. Vulich, A. G. Pinsker*: *Functional Analysis in Semiordeed Spaces*. Moskva, 1950. (In Russian.)
- [8] *D. Mundici*: Interpretation of *AFC\**-algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus. *Journ. Functional. Anal.* 65 (1986), 15–63.
- [9] *R. Sikorski*: A generalization of theorem of Banach and Cantor-Bernstein. *Coll. Math.* 1 (1948), 140–144.
- [10] *R. Sikorski*: *Boolean Algebras*. Second Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
- [11] *A. Tarski*: *Cardinal Algebras*. New York, 1949.

*Author's address*: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.