

Ladislav Nebeský

An axiomatic approach to metric properties of connected graphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 1, 3–14

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127542>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

AN AXIOMATIC APPROACH TO METRIC PROPERTIES OF
CONNECTED GRAPHS

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ*, Praha

(Received April 4, 1996)

Let G be a nontrivial connected graph and let d denote its distance function. As is wellknown, d is a metric on $V(G)$. In [4], an axiomatic characterization of the set of all geodesics (i.e. shortest paths) in G was given. In [8], an axiomatic characterization of the set of all steps in G (i.e. the set of all ordered triples (u, v, x) of vertices in G with the property that $d(u, v) = 1$ and $d(v, x) = d(u, x) - 1$) was given. In the present paper, a certain connection between an axiomatic characterization of the set of all nontrivial geodesics in G and that of the set of all steps in G will be studied.

0. In this paper the letters i, j, k, m and n are reserved for denoting non-negative integers. By a graph we mean a finite undirected graph with no loop or multiple edge. In the whole paper we assume that a nontrivial connected graph G is given. Its vertex set, its edge set and its distance function will be denoted by V, E and d , respectively. Hence V is a finite set with at least two elements.

As usual, if $i \geq 0$, then V^{i+1} denotes the set of all ordered $(i+1)$ -tuples

$$(1) \quad (u_0, \dots, u_i),$$

where $u_0, \dots, u_i \in V$. Instead of (1) we will shortly write

$$(2) \quad u_0 \dots u_i.$$

If $j \geq 0$, then we denote by Σ_j the set

$$\bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} V^{i+1}.$$

* Research supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant No. 405/95/1554.

If $\alpha = v_0 \dots v_k$ and $\beta = w_0 \dots w_m$, where $k \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$ and $v_0, \dots, v_k, w_0, \dots, w_m \in V$, then we denote

$$\alpha\beta = v_0 \dots v_k w_0 \dots w_m.$$

Let $\gamma = x_0 \dots x_n$, where $n \geq 0$ and $x_0, \dots, x_n \in V$. We denote

$$\bar{\gamma} = x_n \dots x_0, \quad a(\gamma) = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad c(\gamma) = x_n.$$

If $n \geq 1$, then we denote $b(\gamma) = x_1$. Moreover, we denote

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \{*\},$$

where $\delta* = \delta = *\delta$ for every $\delta \in \Sigma_0$. Define $** = *$ and $\bar{*} = *$.

Let $u_0, \dots, u_i \in V$, where $i \geq 0$. As usual, we say that (2) is a walk in G if

$$\{u_j, u_{j+1}\} \in E \quad \text{for each } j, \quad 0 \leq j < i;$$

we say that (2) is a path in G if it is a walk in G and the vertices u_0, \dots, u_i are mutually distinct; we say that (2) is a nontrivial path in G if it is a path in G and $i \geq 1$. Let Π denote the set of all paths in G , and let Π_N denote the set of all nontrivial paths in G .

By a *geodesic* ([2]) or a *shortest path* ([1]) in G we mean such a path (2) in G that $d(u_0, u_i) = i$.

Theorem 0 ([4]). *Let $R \subseteq \Pi$. Then R is the set of all geodesics in G if and only if it fulfils the following Axioms X0, X1, X2+, X3, X4+, X5, X6 and X7:*

- X0 if $\{u, v\} \in E$, then $uv \in R$ ($\forall u, v \in V$);
- X1 if $\alpha \in R$, then $\bar{\alpha} \in R$ ($\forall \alpha \in \Sigma$);
- X2+ if $u\alpha x \in R$, then $u\alpha \in R$ ($\forall u, x \in V, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma$);
- X3 if $\alpha u \beta x \gamma$, $u\delta x \in R$, then $\alpha u \delta x \gamma \in R$ ($\forall u, x \in V, \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Sigma$);
- X4+ if $uv\alpha x$, $xy \in R$, $u\varrho y x \notin R$ for all $\varrho \in \Sigma$ and $uv\sigma y \notin R$
for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then $v\alpha xy \in R$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma$);
- X5 if $u \neq x$, then there exists $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $u\tau x \in R$ ($\forall u, x \in V$);
- X6 if $uv\alpha x \in R$, then $ux \notin R$: ($\forall u, v, x \in V, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma$);
- X7 if $uv\alpha x$, $vu\beta y$, $u\beta y x \in R$, then $v\alpha xy \in R$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$).

Note that Theorem 0 was generalized in [6] and modified in [7].

We will need two propositions.

Proposition 1. *Let $R \subseteq \Pi$ and let R fulfil Axioms X1, X2+ and X6. Then R fulfils Axiom X4+ if and only if it fulfils the following Axiom X4:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{X4} \quad & \text{if } uv\alpha x, xy \in R, u \neq y \neq v, u\varrho yx \notin R \text{ for all } \varrho \in \Sigma \text{ and } uv\sigma y \notin R \\ & \text{for all } \sigma \in \Sigma, \text{ then } v\alpha xy \in R \quad (\forall u, v, x, y \in V, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously, if R fulfils X4+, then it fulfils X4. Conversely, let R fulfil X4. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$ and an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\alpha x, xy \in R, u\varrho yx \notin R$ for all $\varrho \in \Sigma$ and $uv\sigma y \notin R$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By X1, $yx \in R$. If $u = y$, then $yv\alpha x \in R$, which contradicts X6. Hence $u \neq y$.

Suppose $y = v$. Then $uy\alpha x \in R$. Combining X2+ and X1, we get $x\bar{\alpha}y \in R$. By X6, $\alpha = *$. Hence $uyx \in R$. This means that there exists $\varrho \in \Sigma$ such that $u\varrho yx \in R$, which is a contradiction. Hence $y \neq v$. By X4, $v\alpha xy \in R$. This means that R fulfils X4+, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2. *Let $R \subseteq \Pi$ and let R fulfil Axiom X0. Then R fulfils Axiom X2+ if and only if it fulfils the following Axioms X2 and X8:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{X2} \quad & \text{if } uv\alpha x \in R, \text{ then } uv\alpha \in R \quad (\forall u, v, x \in V, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma); \\ \text{X8} \quad & u \in R \quad (\forall u \in V). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since G has no isolated vertex, the result is obvious. \square

Combining Theorem 0 with Propositions 1 and 2, we obtain the following characterization of the set of all nontrivial geodesics in G :

Theorem A. *Let $R \subseteq \Pi_N$. Then R is the set of all nontrivial geodesics in G if and only if it fulfils Axioms X0–X7.*

Consider $T \subseteq V^3$. We will say that T is *associated* with G if

$$\begin{aligned} \{u, v\} \in E \text{ if and only if } u \neq v \text{ and there exists } x \in V \text{ such that either } uvx \in T \\ \text{or } vux \in T \end{aligned}$$

for all ordered pairs $uv \in V^2$.

Following [8], by a *step* in G we mean an ordered triple $uvx \in V^3$ with the properties

$$d(u, v) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d(v, x) = d(u, x) - 1.$$

The next theorem was proved in [8]:

Theorem B. Let $T \subseteq V^3$, and let T be associated with G . Then T is the set of all steps in G if and only if it fulfils the following Axioms Y0–Y7:

- Y0 if $uvx \in T$, then $vuu \in T$ ($\forall u, v, x \in V$);
- Y1 if $uvx, vuy \in T$, then $x \neq y$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$);
- Y2 if $uvx, xyv \in T$, then $xyu \in T$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$);
- Y3 if $uvx, xyv \in T$, then $uvy \in T$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$);
- Y4 if $uvx, xyv \in T$, then either $xyu \in T$ or $yxv \in T$ or $uvy \in T$
($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$);
- Y5 if $u \neq x$, then there exists $z \in V$ such that $uzx \in T$ ($\forall u, x \in V$);
- Y6 if $uvx, uyv \in T$, then $y = v$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$);
- Y7 if $uvx, vuy, xyv \in T$, then $xyu \in T$ ($\forall u, v, x, y \in V$).

We denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all $R \subseteq \Pi_N$ such that R fulfils X0–X5. We denote by \mathcal{T} the set of all $T \subseteq V^3$ such that T is associated with G and it fulfils Y0–Y5. A one-to-one mapping Φ from \mathcal{R} onto \mathcal{T} will be found in Theorem 1. Moreover, if $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $T = \Phi(R)$, then we will prove that R fulfils X6 and X7 if and only if T fulfils Y6 and Y7 (Theorem 2).

Remark 1. The set of all geodesics in G is closely connected with the interval function of G in the sense of [3]. An axiomatic characterization of the interval function of G was given in [5].

1. In this part of the paper, some consequences of Axioms Y0–Y5 will be found. Let $T \subseteq V^3$. If $u_0, \dots, u_i, v \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, then instead of

$$u_0u_1v, \dots, u_{i-1}u_iv \in T$$

we will write

$$u_0 \dots u_iTv.$$

Consider $u, v, w, x \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$. It is obvious that

$$(3) \quad u\alpha vTx \quad \text{and} \quad v\beta wTx \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u\alpha v\beta wTx.$$

Let $i \geq 1$ and let $u_0, \dots, u_i \in V$. We will say that (2) is a process in T if

$$u_0 \dots u_iTu_i.$$

As follows from (3),

(4) if $i \geq 2$ and $u_0 u_1 \dots u_i$ is a process in T , then $u_1 \dots u_i$ is a process in T , too.

We denote by \mathcal{T}_0 the set of all $T \subseteq V^3$ such that T is associated with G .

Part of the next lemma was proved in [8].

Lemma 1. *Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y2 and Y3. Let $u_0, \dots, u_i, v, w \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, and let*

$$(5) \quad u_0 \dots u_i T v.$$

If

$$(6_0) \quad w v u_0 \in T,$$

then

$$(6_j) \quad u_{j-1} u_j w, w v u_j \in T$$

for each j , $1 \leq j \leq i$. If

$$(7_i) \quad v w u_i \in T,$$

then

$$(7_k) \quad u_{k-1} u_k w, v w u_{k-1} \in T$$

for each k , $1 \leq k \leq i$.

P r o o f. First, let $w v u_0 \in T$. We will prove that (6_{*j*}) holds for each j , $0 \leq j \leq i$. We proceed by induction on j . The case $j = 0$ is obvious. Let $j \geq 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $w v u_{j-1} \in T$. By (5), $u_{j-1} u_j v \in T$. Combining Y2 and Y3, we get (6_{*j*}).

Next, let $v w u_i \in T$. We will prove that (7_{*i-j*}) holds for each j , $0 \leq j \leq i$. The case $j = 0$ is obvious. Let $j \geq 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $v w u_{i-j+1} \in T$. By (5), $u_{i-j} u_{i-j+1} v \in T$. Combining Y2 and Y3, we get (7_{*i-j*}). Thus the lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 1. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y2 and Y3. Let $u_0, \dots, u_i, v, w \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, and let (5) hold. If

$$\text{either } wvu_0 \in T \text{ or } vwu_i \in T,$$

then $u_0 \dots u_i Tw$.

Lemma 2. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y0, Y2 and Y3. Let $u_0, \dots, u_i \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, and let $u_i \dots u_0$ be a process in T . Then $u_0 \dots u_i$ is a process in T as well.

P r o o f. Since $u_i \dots u_0$ is a process in T , we have $u_i \dots u_0 Tu_0$. We want to prove that

$$(8) \quad u_0 \dots u_i Tu_i.$$

We proceed by induction on i . If $i = 1$, then (8) immediately follows from Y0. Let $i \geq 2$. Since

$$u_{i-1} \dots u_0 Tu_0,$$

the induction hypothesis implies that

$$u_0 \dots u_{i-1} Tu_{i-1}.$$

Moreover, we have $u_i u_{i-1} u_0 \in T$. By virtue of Corollary 1,

$$u_0 \dots u_{i-1} Tu_i.$$

Since $u_i u_{i-1} u_0 \in T$, Y0 implies that $u_{i-1} u_i u_i \in T$, and thus (8) holds, which completes the proof. \square

A very special version of the next lemma was proved (in a connection with characterizing geodetic graphs) in [9].

Lemma 3. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y0, Y2, Y3 and Y4. Let $u_0, \dots, u_i, v \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, and let (5) hold. If $u_i = u_0$, then

$$(9) \quad u_0 \dots u_i Tw \text{ for each } w \in V.$$

P r o o f. Let $u_i = u_0$. Suppose, to the contrary, that (9) does not hold. Since G is connected, there exist distinct $x, y \in V$ such that $\{x, y\} \in E$,

$$(10) \quad u_0 \dots u_i Tx$$

and there exists j , $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, such that $u_j u_{j+1} y \notin T$. By virtue of (10), $u_j u_{j+1} x \in T$. Recall that $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Since $\{x, y\} \in E$, Y0 implies that $xyy \in T$. According to Y4,

$$(11) \quad \text{either } yxu_{j+1} \in T \text{ or } xyu_j \in T.$$

Put $u_{i+1} = u_{-i+1} = u_1, \dots, u_{2i-1} = u_{-1} = u_{i-1}$. Then $u_{j+i} = u_{j-i} = u_j$ and $u_{j+i+1} = u_{j-i+1} = u_{j+1}$. As follows from (10),

$$(12) \quad u_{j+1} \dots u_{j+i} u_{j+i+1} T x$$

and

$$(13) \quad u_{j-i} u_{j-i+1} \dots u_j T x.$$

Let $yxu_{j+1} \in T$. Combining (12) with Corollary 1, we get

$$u_{j+1} \dots u_{j+i} u_{j+i+1} T y$$

and therefore $u_j u_{j+1} y \in T$, which is a contradiction.

Let $yxu_{j+1} \notin T$. By (11), $xyu_j \in T$. Combining (13) with Corollary 1, we get

$$u_{j-i} u_{j-i+1} \dots u_j T y$$

and therefore $u_j u_{j+1} y \in T$, which is a contradiction. Thus the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y₀–Y₄. Let $u_0, \dots, u_i, v \in V$, where $i \geq 1$, and let (5) hold. Then (2) is a path in G .

P r o o f. First, we will prove that the vertices u_0, \dots, u_i are mutually distinct. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist g and h , $0 \leq g < h \leq i$ such that $u_g = u_h$. We have

$$u_g \dots u_h T v.$$

Since $u_g = u_h$, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$u_g \dots u_h T w$$

for every $w \in V$. Therefore $u_g u_{g+1} u_g \in T$. By Y0,

$$u_{g+1} u_g u_g \in T,$$

which contradicts Y1. We have proved that u_0, \dots, u_i are mutually distinct.

Recall that T is associated with G . Therefore, (5) implies that $u_0 \dots u_i$ is a walk in G . Since u_0, \dots, u_i are mutually distinct, (5) is a path, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y0–Y4. Then every process in T is a nontrivial path in G .

Lemma 5. Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y0–Y5. Let $u, v \in V$ and let $u \neq v$. There exists $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $u\tau v$ is a process in T .

P r o o f. Suppose, to the contrary, that the lemma does not hold. By virtue of Y5, there exists an infinite sequence

$$(u_0, u_1, \dots)$$

of vertices in G such that

$$u_0 \dots u_i T v \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since V is finite, there exist j and k such that $0 \leq j < k$ and $u_j = u_k$. We have

$$u_j \dots u_k T v,$$

which contradicts Lemma 4. Hence the lemma follows. \square

Remark 2. As we will see, the assumption that T fulfils Axiom Y4 cannot be removed from Lemma 5. Let $|V| = 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2, z\}, \\ E &= \{\{x_0, z\}, \{y_0, z\}\} \cup \{\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}, \{y_i, y_{i+1}\}; 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{x_0 z z, z x_0 x_0, y_0 z z, z y_0 y_0\} \\ &\cup \{x_j x_0 z, z x_0 x_j, y_j y_0 z, z y_0 y_j; 1 \leq j \leq 2\} \\ &\cup \{x_k x_{k+1} x_{k+1}, x_{k+1} x_k x_k, y_k y_{k+1} y_{k+1}, y_{k+1} y_k y_k; 0 \leq k \leq 2\} \\ &\cup \{x_m x_{m+1} y_n, y_m y_{m+1} x_n; 0 \leq m \leq 2, 0 \leq n \leq 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_3 = x_0$ and $y_3 = y_0$. We see that $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$, it fulfils Axioms Y0–Y3 and Y5 but does not fulfil Axiom Y4. Moreover, we see that the conclusion of Lemma 5 does not hold for T : for example, there exists no $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $x_0 \tau y_0$ is a process in T .

2. Recall that \mathcal{R} is the set of all $R \subseteq \Pi_N$ such that R fulfils Axioms X0–X5 and \mathcal{T} is the set of all $T \subseteq V^3$ such that T is associated with G and fulfils Axioms Y0–Y5.

We denote by Φ the mapping from \mathcal{R} into V^3 defined as follows:

Φ is the set of all $uvx \in V^3$ with the property that there exists $\xi \in R$ such that $a(\xi) = u$, $b(\xi) = v$ and $c(\xi) = x$

for each $R \in \mathcal{R}$.

Moreover, we denote by Ψ the mapping from \mathcal{T} into Σ_1 such that $\Psi(T)$ is the set of all processes in T for each $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

The next theorem is the main result of the present paper:

Theorem 1. Φ is a one-to-one mapping from \mathcal{R} onto \mathcal{T} and $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$.

P r o o f. (I) Consider an arbitrary $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Denote $T = \Phi(R)$. Combining the fact that $R \subseteq \Pi_N$ with X0, we see that T is associated with G . X5 implies that T fulfils Y5.

We will show that T fulfils Y0–Y4. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$. Let $uvx \in T$. Since $T = \Phi(R)$, there exists $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $u\tau x \in R$ and $b(u\tau x) = v$. Since $u\tau x \in \Pi$, we have $u \neq x$.

(Verification of Y0). If $\tau = *$, then $uv \in R$. If $\tau \neq *$, then by X2, $uv \in R$, too. By X1, $vu \in R$. Since $a(vu) = v$, $b(vu) = u = c(vu)$, we have $vuu \in T$.

(Verification of Y1). Let $vuy \in T$. We wish to show that $x \neq y$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $x = y$. Then $vue \in T$. Since $u \neq x$, there exists $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $vu\beta x \in R$. Since $u\tau x \in R$, X3 implies that $vu\tau x \in R$. Since $b(u\tau x) = v$, we see that $vu\tau x \notin \Pi_N$, which is a contradiction. Thus $x \neq y$.

(Verification of Y2 and Y3). Let $xyv \in T$. Then there exists $\pi \in \Sigma$ such that $x\pi v \in R$ and $b(x\pi v) = y$. Since $x\pi v \in \Pi_N$, $x \neq v$. Since $b(u\tau x) = v$, there exists $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\alpha x \in R$. Recall that $x\pi v \in R$. By X1, $v\pi x \in R$. According to X3, $uv\pi x \in R$.

First, let $\pi = *$. Then $y = v$ and $uyx \in R$. By X2, $uy \in R$. Since $v = y$, we have $uvy \in T$. Since $uyx \in R$, X1 implies that $xyu \in R$. Hence $xyu \in T$.

Now, let $\pi \neq *$. Then there exists $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $xy\beta v = x\pi v$. Hence $v\bar{\beta}yx = v\pi x$. Recall that $uv\pi x \in R$. We get $uv\bar{\beta}yx \in R$. By X1, $xy\beta vu \in R$ and therefore, $xyu \in T$. By X2, $uv\bar{\beta}y \in R$. Hence $uvy \in T$.

(Verification of Y4). Let $xyy \in T$. Then $xy \in R$. By X0, $yx \in R$.

First, let $\tau = *$. Then $v = x$. We see that $yxv \in T$.

Now, let $\tau \neq *$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\alpha x \in R$. By X1, $uv \in R$. If $u = y$, then $xu \in R$ and therefore, $xyu \in T$. If $y = v$, then $uy \in R$ and therefore, $uvy \in T$. Let $u \neq y \neq v$.

It there exists $\varrho \in \Sigma$ such that $u\varrho yx \in R$, then, by X1, $xy\bar{\varrho}u \in R$ and therefore, $xyu \in T$. If there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\sigma y \in R$, then $uvy \in T$. Assume that

$u\varrho yx \notin R$ for all $\varrho \in \Sigma$ and $u\sigma y \notin R$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By virtue of X4, $v\alpha xy$. According to X1, $yx\bar{\alpha}v \in R$ and therefore, $yxv \in T$.

We have proved that $T \in \mathcal{T}$. This means that Φ is a mapping into \mathcal{T} .

(II) We will prove that

$$(14) \quad \text{if } R_1 \neq R_2, \text{ then } \Phi(R_1) \neq \Phi(R_2), \text{ for any } R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist distinct $R, R' \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\Phi(R) = \Phi(R')$. Without loss of generality, let $R - R' \neq \emptyset$. Let m be the minimal $k \geq 1$ with the property that $(R - R') \cap V^{k+1} \neq \emptyset$. Combining the fact that $R \subseteq \Pi_N$ with X0, we see that $m \geq 2$. There exist $u, v, x \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that

$$uv\alpha x \in (R - R') \cap V^{m+1}.$$

Hence $v \neq x$. Since $uv\alpha x \in R$, combining X1 and X2, we get $v\alpha x \in R$. By the definition of m , $v\alpha x \in R'$. Since $uv\alpha x \in R$, $uvx \in \Phi(R)$. Hence $uvx \in \Phi(R')$. Recall we have that $v \neq x$. There exists $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\beta x \in R'$. Since $v\alpha x \in R'$, X3 implies that $uv\alpha x \in R'$, which is a contradiction. Thus (14) is proved.

(III) Consider an arbitrary $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Denote $R = \Psi(T)$. By Corollary 2, $R \subseteq \Pi_N$. Since T is associated with G , Y0 implies that R fulfils X0. By Lemma 2, R fulfils X1. Combining (4) with X1, we see that R fulfils X2. By virtue of Lemma 5, R fulfils X5. We will show that R fulfils X3 and X4.

(Verification of X3). Let $\alpha u\beta x\gamma, u\delta x \in R$, where $u, x \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Sigma$. By X2, $\alpha u\beta x \in R$. Since $\alpha u\beta x$ and $u\delta x$ are processes in T , we have

$$\alpha u\beta xTx \quad \text{and} \quad u\delta xTx.$$

If $\alpha = *$, then $\alpha u\delta x \in R$. Let $\alpha \neq *$. Then αuTx . By (3), $\alpha u\delta xTx$ and therefore, $\alpha u\delta x \in R$.

By X1, $\bar{\gamma}x\bar{\beta}u\bar{\alpha}, x\bar{\delta}u\bar{\alpha} \in R$. If $\gamma = *$, then $\bar{\gamma}x\bar{\delta}u\bar{\alpha} \in R$ and, by X1, $\alpha u\delta x\gamma \in R$. Let $\gamma \neq *$. Put $v = c(u\bar{\alpha})$. We have

$$x\bar{\delta}u\bar{\alpha}Tv \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\gamma}xTv.$$

By virtue of (3), $\bar{\gamma}x\bar{\delta}u\bar{\alpha}Tv$. Since $v = c(u\bar{\alpha})$, $\bar{\gamma}x\bar{\delta}u\bar{\alpha} \in R$. By X1, $\alpha u\delta x\gamma \in R$.

(Verification of X4). Let $u, v, x \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Assume that $uv\alpha x, xy \in R$, $u \neq y \neq v$, $u\varrho yx \notin R$ for all $\varrho \in \Sigma$ and $u\sigma y \notin R$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Then $uvx, xyy \in T$, $x \neq v$ and $uvy \notin T$. Moreover, by virtue of X1, we have $xy\bar{\varrho}u \notin R$ for all $\bar{\varrho} \in \Sigma$. Hence $xyu \notin T$. By X4, $yxv \in T$. Recall that $x \neq v$. According to Lemma 5, there exists $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $x\tau v \in R$. Since $yxv \in T$, (3) implies that $yx\tau v \in R$. By

X1, $v\bar{\tau}xy \in R$. Recall that $uv\alpha x \in R$. Combining X1 and X2, we have $v\alpha x$. Since $v\bar{\tau}xy \in R$, X3 implies that $v\alpha xy \in R$.

We have proved that $R \in \mathcal{R}$. This means that Ψ is a mapping into \mathcal{R} .

(IV) Consider an arbitrary $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$. It is clear that $\Phi(\Psi(T_0)) \subseteq T_0$. Applying Lemma 5 and (3), we easily get $T_0 \subseteq \Phi(\Psi(T_0))$. Hence $\Phi(\Psi(T)) = T$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Combining the results of (I)–(IV), we obtain the statement of the theorem. Thus the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 6. *Consider $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Assume that T fulfils Axioms Y0, Y1 and Y4. Then it fulfils Axiom Y7 if and only if it fulfils the following Axiom Y7+:*

$$\text{Y7+} \quad \text{if } uvx, vuy, xyu \in T, \text{ then } yxv \in T \quad (\forall u, v, x, y \in V).$$

Proof. Let T fulfil Y7. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$ and assume that $uvx, vuy, xyu \in T$. By virtue of Y0, $yxv \in T$. Y7 implies that $yxv \in T$. Thus T fulfils Y7+.

Conversely, let T fulfil Y7+. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$ and assume that $uvx, vuy, xyu \in T$. Since $uvx, xyu \in T$, Y4 implies that either $xyu \in T$ or $yxv \in T$ or $uvy \in T$. We will show that $xyu \in T$. Suppose that either $uvy \in T$ or $yxv \in T$. If $uvy \in T$, then, by Y1, we have $vuy \notin T$, which is a contradiction. Hence $yxv \in T$. Since $uvx, vuy \in T$, Y7+ implies that $xyu \in T$. Thus T fulfils Y7, which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Denote $T = \Phi(R)$. Then R fulfils Axioms X6 and X7 if and only if T fulfils Axioms Y6 and Y7.*

Proof. (I) Let R fulfil X6 and X7. We will prove that T fulfils Y6 and Y7. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$. Assume that $uvx \in T$. By virtue of Y0, $uvv \in T$. Since $R = \Psi(T)$, $uv \in R$.

(Verification of Y6). Let $uvy \in T$. Assume that $y \neq v$. Then there exists $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $uy\beta v \in R$. By X6, $uv \notin R$, which is a contradiction. Thus $y = v$. We see that T fulfils Y6.

(Verification of Y7). We first show that T fulfils Y7+. Assume that $vuy, xyu \in T$. By Y0, $yxv \in T$. If $v = x$, then $yxv \in T$. Suppose that $v \neq x$. There exists $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $uv\alpha x \in R$. First, let $u = y$. Then $xvu \in T$ and thus, by Y0, $uxx \in T$. By Y6, $uvx \notin T$, which is a contradiction. We have $u \neq y$. Then there exist $\beta, \gamma \in \Sigma$ such that $vu\beta y, xy\gamma u \in R$. Combining X1 and X2, we get $u\beta y, u\bar{\gamma}yx \in R$. By X3, $u\beta yx \in R$. Recall that $uv\alpha x, vu\beta y \in R$. By virtue of X7, $v\alpha xy \in R$. By X2,

$yx\bar{\alpha}v \in R$. Hence $yxv \in T$. We have shown that T fulfils Y7+. By Lemma 6, it fulfils Y7.

(II) Let T fulfil Y6 and Y7. We will prove that R fulfils X6 and X7. Consider arbitrary $u, v, x, y \in V$ and an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Assume that $uv\alpha x \in R$. Then $uvx \in T$ and $v \neq x$.

(Verification of X6). Assume that $ux \in R$. Then $uxx \in T$. Since $uvx \in T$, Y6 implies that $v = x$, which is a contradiction. Thus $ux \notin R$.

(Verification of X7). Let $vu\beta y, u\beta yx \in R$. Then $vuy \in T$. Since $uv\alpha x, u\beta yx \in R$, combining X1 and X2 we get $v\alpha x, yx \in R$. Hence $yxv \in T$.

Combining the fact that $vuy, uvx, yxx \in T$ with Y7, we get $yxv \in T$. Since $v \neq x$, there exists $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that $yx\tau v \in R$. By X1, $v\bar{\tau}xy \in R$. Since $v\alpha x \in R$, X3 implies that $v\alpha xy \in R$.

We have proved that R fulfils X6 and X7, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

By virtue of Theorem 2, Theorem B immediately follows from Theorem A. And similarly, by virtue of Theorem 2, Theorem A immediately follows from Theorem B.

Remark 3. Every step in G can be interpreted as a signpost showing a shortest path from a vertex to another vertex in G . Then every step uvx in G can be interpreted as the signpost located at u , “oriented” to v and signed by x .

References

- [1] *M. Behzad, G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak-Foster*: Graphs & Digraphs. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1979.
- [2] *F. Harary*: Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading (Mass.), 1969.
- [3] *H. M. Mulder*: The Interval Function of a Graph. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [4] *L. Nebeský*: A characterization of the set of all shortest paths in a connected graph. Math. Bohem. *119* (1994), 15–20.
- [5] *L. Nebeský*: A characterization of the interval function of a connected graph. Czechoslovak Math. J. *44 (119)* (1994), 173–178.
- [6] *L. Nebeský*: Visibilities and sets of shortest paths in a connected graph. Czechoslovak Math. J. *45 (120)* (1995), 563–570.
- [7] *L. Nebeský*: On the set of all shortest paths of a given length in a connected graph. Czechoslovak Math. J. *46 (121)* (1996), 155–160.
- [8] *L. Nebeský*: Geodesics and steps in a connected graph. Czechoslovak Math. J. *47 (122)* (1997), 149–161.
- [9] *L. Nebeský*: An algebraic characterization of geodesic graphs. Czechoslovak Math. J. *48 (123)* (1998), 701–710.

Author’s address: Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Karlovy, nám. J. Palacha 2, 116 38 Praha 1, Czech Republic.