

Gonca Güngöroglu; Abdullah Harmanci  
On some classes of modules

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 4, 839–846

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127613>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON SOME CLASSES OF MODULES

GONCA GÜNGÖROGLU and ABDULLAH HARMANCI, Ankara

(Received July 18, 1998)

*Abstract.* The aim of this paper is to investigate quasi-corational, comonoform, copolyform and  $\alpha$ -(co)atomic modules. It is proved that for an ordinal  $\alpha$  a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -atomic if and only if it is  $\alpha$ -coatomic. And it is also shown that an  $\alpha$ -atomic module  $M$  is quasi-projective if and only if  $M$  is quasi-corationally complete. Some other results are developed.

*Keywords:* quasi-corational module, copolyform module,  $\alpha$ -coatomic module

*MSC 2000:* 16D10, 16D99

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper all rings will have identities and all modules will be unital right modules. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We write  $\text{Rad}(M)$  and  $E(M)$  for the radical and injective hull of  $M$ , respectively, and  $J(R)$  for the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . We write  $N \leq M$  for  $N$  a submodule of  $M$  and  $N \ll M$  for  $N \leq M$  and  $N$  small in  $M$ , equivalently  $M = N + K$  for some  $K \leq M$  implies  $K = M$ .

Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  a proper submodule of  $M$ . We call  $M$  a quasi-corational extension of  $N$  in the case  $\text{Hom}(M, N/K) = 0$  for each submodule  $K$  of  $N$ .  $M$  is called quasi-corationally complete if for each proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and for any  $V \leq N$  with  $\text{Hom}(M, V/K) = 0$  for all  $K \leq V$ , any homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N/V$  lifts to a homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$  denote the integers and rational numbers, respectively.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a quasi-corational extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module since  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}/K) = 0$  for all  $K \leq \mathbb{Z}$ .

A module  $M$  is called coatomic whenever, provided  $\text{Rad}(M/N) = M/N$  for  $N \leq M$ , we have  $M/N = 0$  (see for example Exer.9, Page 239 in[4]). It is easy to check that  $M$  is coatomic if and only if each submodule of  $M$  is contained in a maximal

submodule. Any homomorphic image of a coatomic module is coatomic. Every ring  $R$  is a coatomic right  $R$ -module.

We say that  $M$  is comonoform (copolyform resp.) if  $M$  is a quasi-corational extension of every (small) submodule  $N$  with  $N \neq M$ . A homomorphic image of any comonoform module is comonoform, and since an inverse image of a small module need not be small, a homomorphic image of a copolyform module is not always copolyform. Every comonoform module is copolyform.

Let  $M$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since the only small submodule of  $M$  is zero, then  $M$  is copolyform but  $M$  is not comonoform since  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ .

## 2. RESULTS

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-corational extension of a submodule  $N$ . Then  $N$  is small in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $M = K + N$ . Then  $M/K \cong N/N \cap K$  and so there is a homomorphism  $f$  from  $M$  onto  $N/N \cap K$ . Since  $M$  is a quasi-corational extension of  $N$  we have  $f = 0$ . Hence  $N = N \cap K \leq K$  and  $K = M$ . Thus  $N$  is small in  $M$ . □

Let  $N \leq M$ . If for all proper submodules  $V$  of  $N$ ,  $N/V$  is not small in  $M/V$  then  $N$  is called a coclosed submodule of  $M$  [see for example [7]]. If  $M = K + N$  and  $K \cap N$  is small in  $N$  for some submodule  $K$  of  $M$  then  $N$  is called a supplement of  $K$  in  $M$ .  $M$  is called amply supplemented if for any submodules  $A, B$  of  $M$  with  $M = A + B$ ,  $A$  has a supplement in  $B$ , that is, there exists a submodule  $C$  of  $B$  such that  $M = A + C$  and  $A \cap C$  is small in  $C$ . Cf. [10] and [6] in which amply supplemented is called supplemented.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $M$  be a module. Assume  $M$  is a quasi-corational extension of some submodule  $N$ . Then  $N$  is not coclosed in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a quasi-corational extension of some submodule  $N$ . Assume  $N$  is coclosed in  $M$ . Then we can find a nonzero submodule  $K$  of  $N$  such that  $N/K + L/K = M/K$  for some  $L \leq M$  and  $L/K \neq M/K$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $M$  onto  $N/N \cap L$ . By assumption  $f = 0$ , and so  $N = N \cap L \leq L$ . Thus  $L/K = M/K$ . This is a contradiction. □

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be an amply supplemented module. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is coclosed in  $M$  if and only if  $N$  is a supplement in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $N$  is a coclosed submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M = N + M$  and  $M$  is amply supplemented,  $N$  has a supplement  $L$  in  $M$  and  $L$  has a supplement  $K$  in  $N$ .

Then it is easily checked that  $N/K$  is small in  $M/K$ . By assumption  $N/K = 0$ , and so  $N$  is a supplement of  $L$  in  $M$ . Conversely let  $U$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $M = U + N$  and  $U \cap N$  is small in  $N$ . By hypothesis  $N$  has a supplement  $T$  in  $U$  or  $M = T + N$ ,  $T \cap N$  is small in  $T$  and  $T \leq U$ . Let  $V \leq N, V \neq N$ . Then  $M \neq V + T$  and  $M = N + T + V$ . Hence  $M/V = N/V + (T + V)/V$ , and so  $N/V$  is not small in  $M/V$ . Thus  $N$  is coclosed in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $V$  a submodule in  $M$ . Assume  $V$  is a coatomic module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $V$  is coclosed in  $M$ .
- (2) For every maximal submodule  $X$  of  $V$ ,  $V/X$  is a direct summand of  $M/X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $X$  be a maximal submodule of  $V$ . By (1)  $V$  is coclosed and so  $V/X$  is not small in  $M/X$  or  $M/X = V/X + L/X$  for some  $L \not\leq V$ . Since  $V/X$  is simple we have  $(V/X) \cap (L/X) = 0$ . Hence  $V/X$  is a direct summand of  $M/X$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $X$  be a nonzero submodule of  $V$  such that  $V/X$  is small in  $M/X$ . Since  $V$  is coatomic, then  $V/X$  is coatomic and so  $V/X$  contains a maximal submodule  $Y/X$ . By (2)  $(V/Y) \oplus (L/Y) = M/Y$  for some submodule  $L$  of  $M$ . Consider the map  $f: M/X \rightarrow M/Y$  defined by  $f(m + X) = m + Y$  ( $m \in M$ ). Then  $f(V/X) = V/Y$ . Since  $V/X$  is small in  $M/V$  and any homomorphic image of a small module is small,  $V/Y$  is small in  $M/Y$ . Hence  $L/Y = M/Y$  and so  $V = Y$ . This is a contradiction since  $Y$  is a maximal submodule of  $V$ . It follows that  $V/X$  is not small for all proper submodules  $X$  of  $V$ . Hence  $V$  is coclosed.  $\square$

A module  $M$  is called hollow whenever every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  with  $N \neq M$  is small in  $M$ , that is, for any submodule  $K$  of  $M$ ,  $M = N + K$  implies  $K = M$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M$  be a comonoform module. Then  $M$  is hollow.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a submodule of a comonoform module  $M$  with  $N \neq M$ . Assume  $M = N + L$  for some submodule  $L$  of  $M$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $M$  onto  $N/N \cap L$ . By hypothesis  $f = 0$ , and so  $N/N \cap L = 0$ . Hence  $L = M$ . Thus  $M$  is hollow.  $\square$

There are submodules of comonoform modules which are not comonoform.

**Example 6.** Let  $M$  denote the Prüfer  $p$ -group  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some prime integer  $p$ . It is known that for any submodule  $N$  with  $N \neq M$ ,  $M/N \cong M$ . Let  $N$  be a submodule with  $N \neq M$  and  $L$  any submodule of  $N$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N/L)$ . Set  $K = \text{Ker}(f)$ . Assume  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $M/K$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $N/L$  which

is Noetherian. This is a contradiction since  $M \cong M/K$ . Then  $M$  is comoniform. Let  $N_t = (1/p^t + \mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}$  denote the submodule of  $M$  such that  $p^t N_t = 0$  where  $t$  is a positive integer with  $t \geq 4$ . Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $m < n < t$ . Then there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $f$  from  $N_t$  to  $N_n/N_m$  defined by  $f(a/p^t + \mathbb{Z}) = a/p^n + N_m$  where  $a/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \in N_t$ . Hence  $N_t$  is not comoniform.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $M$  be a comoniform module and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$  with  $N \neq M$ . If for any submodules  $K, L$  of  $N$  with  $K \leq L$ ,  $L/K$  is  $M$ -injective then  $N$  is comoniform.*

*Proof.* Let  $K, L$  be submodules of  $N$  such that  $K \leq L$  and  $L \neq N$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(N, L/K)$ . Since  $L/K$  is  $M$ -injective  $f$  extends to a homomorphism  $g \in \text{Hom}(M, L/K)$ . By hypothesis  $g = 0$ . Then  $N$  is comoniform.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $M$  be a hollow and copolyform module. Then  $M$  is comoniform.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a proper submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N$  is small in  $M$ , and so  $N/K$  is small in  $M/K$  for all  $K \leq N$ . Since  $M$  is copolyform we have  $\text{Hom}(M, N/K) = 0$ . Hence  $M$  is comoniform.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $M$  be a module. Then  $M$  is copolyform if for all submodules  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $\text{Im}(f)$  is coclosed in  $M/N$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, M/N)$  with  $\text{Im}(f) \neq M/N$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $M$  is not copolyform. Then there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $f$  in  $\text{Hom}(M, N/K)$  for some small submodule  $N$  in  $M$  and some submodule  $K$  of  $N$ . Then  $N/K$  and so  $\text{Im}(f) = L/K$  is small in  $M/K$  as a submodule of  $N/K$ . Let  $L_1/K$  be any submodule of  $L/K$ . Then  $L/L_1$  is small in  $M/L_1$ . Hence  $\text{Im}(f)$  is not coclosed.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $M$  be a module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is comoniform.
- (2) For any nonzero submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , every nonzero homomorphism  $f$  from  $M$  to  $M/N$  is onto.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $N$  be a nonzero submodule of  $M$  and  $f: M \rightarrow M/N$  a nonzero homomorphism. Set  $\text{Im}(f) = L/N$ . If  $L \neq M$ , then  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, L/N)$  and so  $f = 0$  by (1). Hence  $f$  must be onto.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $K$  and  $N$  be submodules of  $M$  such that  $K \leq N$ ,  $N \neq M$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N/K)$ . Then by (2) we have  $f = 0$  or  $f$  is onto. It follows that  $M$  is comoniform.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  a local module with  $\text{Rad}(M)$  a small submodule of  $M$ . Then  $M$  is not copolyform.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a local module over a commutative ring  $R$  having  $\text{Rad}(M) \neq 0$  as a small submodule. Then  $M = mR$  for some  $m \in M$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in \text{Rad}(M)$ . Define  $f: M \rightarrow \text{Rad}(M)$  by  $f(mr) = xr$  ( $r \in R$ ). It is clear that  $f$  is a nonzero homomorphism from  $M$  to  $\text{Rad}(M)$ . Since  $M$  is local and so hollow and  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is small, hence  $M$  is not copolyform.  $\square$

**Example 12.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Since the only small submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 0, then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a copolyform  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. But by Lemma 11 we have  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a homomorphic image of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is not copolyform.

It is clear that every projective module is quasi-corationally complete. We prove the converse for comonoform modules.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $M$  be a comonoform quasi-corationally complete module. Then  $M$  is a quasi-projective module and  $\text{End}(M)$  is a division ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is a comonoform quasi-corationally complete module. Let  $N$  be a proper submodule of  $M$  and  $f: M \rightarrow M/N$  a homomorphism. By hypothesis  $\text{Hom}(M, N/K) = 0$  for all  $K \leq N$ , and then  $f$  lifts to a homomorphism  $g$  from  $M$  to  $M$ . Hence  $M$  is quasi-projective. For the last part let  $0 \neq f \in \text{End}(M)$ . Since  $M$  is comonoform hence by Lemma 10  $f$  is epic. Since  $M$  is quasi-projective then we can find an  $h \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $fh = 1$ . Since  $M$  is comonoform,  $h$  is also epic, and then there exists  $g \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $gf = 1$ . Hence  $g = h$  and  $f$  has an inverse. Thus  $\text{End}(M)$  is a division ring.  $\square$

Note that there are quasi-projective modules which are not comonoform.

**Example 14.** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be distinct positive integers and let the function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow m\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $f(t) = mt + mn\mathbb{Z}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Then  $f$  is a nonzero homomorphism. Hence  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not comonoform as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a (quasi)-projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is quasi corationally complete.

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $R$  be a ring such that  $R$  is a comonoform  $R$ -module. Then  $R$  is a division ring.*

*Proof.* Since every quasi-projective module is quasi-corationally complete, Corollary follows from Lemma 13.  $\square$

**Definition 16.** Let  $P$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . If  $R/P$  is a comonoform right  $R$ -module we call  $P$  a cocritical right ideal.

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  an ideal. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P$  is a cocritical right ideal.
- (2)  $R/P$  is a division ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\bar{x}$  be a nonzero element in  $R/P$ . Then  $x \notin P$  and define  $f: R/P \rightarrow (xR + P)/P$  by  $f(\bar{r}) = xr + P$  where  $\bar{r} \in R/P$ . By (1)  $f = 0$  and then  $x \in P$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $R/P = \bar{x}(R/P)$  for  $\bar{0} \neq \bar{x} \in R/P$ . Thus  $R/P$  is a division ring.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Assume that  $R/P$  is a division ring. Let  $L/P \leq K/P \not\leq R/P$  be submodules and let  $0 \neq f \in \text{Hom}(R/P, K/L)$ . Let  $x \in K$  be such that  $f(\bar{1}) = f(1 + P) = x + L$ . Then  $x \notin L$  and  $(x + P)(y + P) = 1 + P$  for some  $y \in R$ . Hence  $xy - 1 \in P \leq L$  and  $f(\bar{1})y = f(\bar{y}) = xy + L = 1 + L \in K/L$ . Thus  $1 \in K$  and so  $K = R$ . This is a contradiction. It follows that  $\text{Hom}(R/P, K/L) = 0$  for all submodules  $K$  and  $L$  of  $R$  with  $L/P \leq K/P \not\leq R/P$  and then  $R/P$  is comonoform and  $P$  is a cocritical right ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $R$  be a ring such that each  $R$ -module has no quasi-corational extension. Then:*

- (1) Each  $R$ -module has a proper radical.
- (2) Each  $R$ -module is coatomic.

*Proof.* (1): Let  $M$  be a module and  $0 \neq m \in M$ . Let  $H$  be a maximal submodule in  $M$  with respect to  $m \notin H$ . Let  $T$  be the intersection of proper submodules of  $M$  containing  $H$  properly. Then  $m \in T$  and  $T/H$  is a simple module. By hypothesis  $M$  is not a quasi-corational extension of  $T$ . We claim  $\text{Hom}(M, T/H) \neq 0$ . Otherwise,  $\text{Hom}(M, T/H) = 0$ . Then for all submodules  $X$  of  $H$ ,  $\text{Hom}(M, T/X) = 0$ , and so  $\text{Hom}(M, H/X) = 0$ . Hence  $M$  is a quasi-corational extension of  $H$ . This contradicts the hypothesis. Let  $f$  be a nonzero element of  $\text{Hom}(M, T/H)$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ . This proves (1).

(2): Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  a submodule of  $M$ . By (1),  $M/N$  has a proper radical. Hence  $M/N$  has a maximal submodule, and so  $N$  is contained in a maximal submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a module.  $k^0(M)$  will stand for the dual Krull dimension of  $M$  as defined in (for example) [1, 5, 8].  $M$  is called  $\alpha$ -atomic for some ordinal  $\alpha$  if  $k^0(M) = \alpha$  and for any proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $k^0(N) < \alpha$ .  $M$  is a Noetherian module if and only if  $k^0(M) \leq 0$  [1]. We call  $M$   $\alpha$ -coatomic if  $M/N$  is  $\alpha$ -atomic for all proper submodules  $N$  of  $M$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . It is clear from the definitions that 0-coatomic modules and 1-coatomic modules are coatomic modules.

As an easy reference we record

**Lemma 19.** (see [1]) Let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then  $k^0(M) = \max\{k^0(N), k^0(K)\}$ .

**Lemma 20.** Let  $M$  be a module. Then for some ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -atomic if and only if  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -coatomic.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -atomic. Then  $k^0(M) = \alpha$  and  $k^0(N) < \alpha$  for all submodules  $N$  with  $N \neq M$ . Let  $N \not\leq M$ . Since  $k^0(M) = \max\{k^0(N), k^0(M/N)\}$ , then  $k^0(M/N) = \alpha$ . Let  $N \leq L \not\leq M$ . Then  $k^0(L/N) \leq k^0(L) < \alpha$ . Hence  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -coatomic. Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -coatomic. Then  $k^0(M/N) = \alpha$  and  $k^0(L/N) < \alpha$  for all  $N \leq L \not\leq M$ . For  $N = 0$ , we have  $k^0(M/N) = k^0(M) = \alpha$ , and for any  $L \not\leq M$ ,  $k^0(L/N) = k^0(L) < \alpha$ . Hence  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -atomic.  $\square$

**Theorem 21.** Let  $M$  be an  $\alpha$ -atomic module. Then  $M$  is comonoform.

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a proper submodule of  $M$  and let  $0 \neq f \in \text{Hom}(M, N/K)$  for some  $K \leq N$ . Then  $k^0(M) = \alpha$  and  $k^0(N) < \alpha$  and  $f(M) = L/K$  for some  $L \leq N$  with  $K \leq L \leq N$ . Since  $f(M) \cong M/\text{Ker}(f)$  we have by Lemma 19  $k^0(M) = \max\{k^0(f(M)), k^0(\text{Ker}(f))\} = k^0(f(M)) \leq k^0(N/K) \leq k^0(N) < \alpha$ . It is a contradiction. Hence  $f = 0$  and  $M$  is comonoform.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 13 with Theorem 21 we get

**Theorem 22.** Let  $M$  be an  $\alpha$ -atomic module. Then  $M$  is quasi-projective if and only if  $M$  is quasi-rationally complete.

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called quasi-rationally complete if for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and a submodule  $K$  of  $N$  such that  $\text{Hom}(L/K, M) = 0$  for every  $L/K \leq N/K$ , any homomorphism from  $K$  to  $M$  can be extended to a homomorphism from  $N$  to  $M$ . Every quasi-injective module is quasi-rationally complete. By modifying the proof of Lemma 1.2 in [9],  $M$  is quasi-rationally complete if and only if for any  $N \leq M$  and  $K \leq N$ ,  $\text{Hom}(N/K, E(M)) = 0$  implies that any homomorphism from  $K$  to  $M$  can be extended to a homomorphism from  $N$  to  $M$ .

**Theorem 23.** Let  $M$  be a module. Suppose that for any  $N \leq M$ ,  $\text{Hom}(N/K, M) = 0$  for all  $0 \neq K \leq N \leq M$ . Then  $M$  is quasi-injective if and only if  $M$  is quasi-rationally complete.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is a quasi-rationally complete module. Let  $N \leq M$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(N, M)$ . Assume that  $\text{Hom}(M/N, E(M)) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(M/N, M) = 0$ . Since  $M$  is quasi-rationally complete then  $f$  extends to a homomorphism from  $M$  to  $M$ . If  $\text{Hom}(M/N, E(M)) \neq 0$ , let  $h$  be a nonzero element of  $\text{Hom}(M/N, E(M))$



and set  $L = h(M/N) \cap M$ . Then  $h^{-1}(L) = K/N$  for some  $K \leq M$  and  $h$  induces an element  $t$  of  $\text{Hom}(M/N, M)$  which is zero by hypothesis. Hence  $L = 0$  and then  $h(M/N) = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\text{Hom}(M/N, E(M)) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [1] *T. Albu and P. F. Smith*: Dual relative Krull dimension of modules over commutative rings. Abelian groups. Math. Appl. (East European Ser.) 343 (1995), 1–15.
- [2] *F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller*: Rings and Categories. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [3] *R. Courter*: Finite direct sums of complete matrix rings over perfect completely primary rings. Canad. J. Math. 21 (1968), 430–446.
- [4] *F. Kasch*: Modules and Rings. Academic Press, 1982.
- [5] *D. Kirby*: Dimension and length for artinian modules. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2 41 (1990), 419–429.
- [6] *S. H. Mohammed and B. J. Muller*: Continuous and Discrete Modules. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 147, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [7] *K. Oshiro*: Semiperfect modules and quasi-semiperfect modules. Osaka J. Math. 20 (1983), 337–372.
- [8] *R. N. Roberts*: Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi-local commutative Rings. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 3 26 (1975), 269–273.
- [9] *H. H. Storrer*: On Goldman's Primary Decomposition Lectures on rings and modules. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 246, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, pp. 617–661.
- [10] *R. Wisbauer*: Foundations of Module and Ring Theory. Gordon and Breach. Reading, 1991.

*Authors' addresses:* G. Güngöroglu Hacettepe University, Faculty of Education, Part of Mathematics Education, 06532 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey; A. Harmançi Hacettepe University, Departement of Mathematics, 06532 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey.