Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

María Silvina Riveros; A. de la Torre
On the best ranges for A_p^+ and RH_r^+

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 2, 285–301

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127648`

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 51 (126) (2001), 285–301

ON THE BEST RANGES FOR A_p^+ AND RH_r^+

M. S. Riveros, Córdoba, and A. de la Torre, Málaga

(Received May 28, 1998)

Abstract. In this paper we study the relationship between one-sided reverse Hölder classes RH_r^+ and the A_p^+ classes. We find the best possible range of RH_r^+ to which an A_1^+ weight belongs, in terms of the A_1^+ constant. Conversely, we also find the best range of A_p^+ to which a RH_∞^+ weight belongs, in terms of the RH_∞^+ constant. Similar problems for A_p^+, $1 < p < \infty$ and RH_r^+, $1 < r < \infty$ are solved using factorization.

Keywords: one-sided weights, one-sided reverse Hölder, factorization

MSC 2000: 42B25

1. Introduction

It is well known that there is a relationship between the A_p classes and the so called reverse Hölder classes RH_r. C. J. Neugebauer [8] studied the following problems:

(1) For $w \in A_p$, find the precise range of $r's$ such that $w \in RH_r$, the precise range of $q < p$ for which $w \in A_q$, and the precise range of $s > 1$ such that $w^s \in A_p$.

(2) Conversely, for a fixed $w \in RH_r$, find the precise range of $p's$ such that $w \in A_p$, and the precise range of $q > r$ for which $w \in RH_q$.

For the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$M^+f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f|,$$

the A_p^+ classes were introduced by E. Sawyer [9]. He proved that M^+ is bounded in $L^p(w)$ $(p > 1)$ if, and only if, the weight satisfies A_p^+, i.e., there exists a constant C

Research supported by D.G.I.Y.T. (PB94-1496), Junta de Andalucía and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba.

such that for any three points $a < b < c$ we have

$$\int_a^b w\left(\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C(c-a)^p.$$

The smallest constant for which this is satisfied will be called the A_p^+ constant of w and will be denoted by $A_p^+(w)$. For $p = 1$ the weak type of the operator holds if, and only if, the weight w satisfies A_1^+, i.e., there exists C such that for any a and almost every $b > a$,

$$\int_a^b w \leqslant C(b-a)w(b).$$

The smallest such constant will be called the A_1^+ constant of w and will be denoted by $A_1^+(w)$. For later reference we point out that it is an easy consequence of Lebesgue's differentiation theorem that the constant in the definiton of A_1^+ is always greater than, or equal to, one.

These classes are of interest, not only because they control the boundedness of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, but they are the right classes for the weighted estimates for one-sided singular integrals [1] and they also appear in PDE [4]. In contrast to the Muckenhoupt weights, the one-sided weights are not doubling, but they possess a one-sided doubling property, namely if $w \in A_p^+$ then there exists C such that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$, $\int_a^{a+2h} w \leqslant C \int_{a+h}^{a+2h} w$. The reverse Hölder property is not satisfied by these weights, either, but nevertheless, Martín-Reyes [5] proved that there is a weak substitute of this notion, that we will denote by RH_r^+, which is good enough to prove the "$p - \varepsilon''$ property. In [7] the class A_∞^+ was introduced and it was proved that $A_\infty^+ = \bigcup\limits_{p<\infty} A_p^+ = \bigcup\limits_{1<r} RH_r^+$.

In this note we solve the problems of the Neugebauer paper in this context. In the proofs we will make essential use of the one-sided minimal operator introduced by Cruz-Uribe, Neugebauer and Olesen [3]. It is defined as $m^+f(x) = \inf\limits_{c>x} \frac{1}{c-x} \int_x^c |f|$. We will also use the fact that for any positive function g, the maximal operator $M_g f(x) = \sup\limits_{x\in I} \frac{1}{g(I)} \int_I |f| g \,\mathrm{d}x$ is of weak type one-one with respect to the measure $g \,\mathrm{d}x$. Note that for $g = 1$ we have the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, which is denoted by Mf.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we give definitions and characterizations of RH_r^+, $1 < r < \infty$. In Section 3 we prove two theorems of the best range for the extreme classes A_1^+ and RH_∞^+. In Section 4 we give a factorization theorem for weights in RH_r^+, and finally in Section 5 we extend the theorems of Section 3 to A_p^+ and RH_r^+, using the factorization proved in Section 4. We shall see that the index range depends on the factorization of the weight.

We end this introduction with some notation: for a given interval $I = (a, a+h)$ we denote by I^- the interval $(a-h, a)$, I^+ the interval $(a+h, a+2h)$, and I^{++} the interval $(a+2h, a+3h)$. For any $1 < p < \infty$, p' will be its conjugate exponent, if g is locally integrable and E is a measurable set, $g(E)$ will stand for $\int_E g$ and C will represent a constant that may change from time to time. Finally, we remark that we can change the orientation on the real line obtaining similar results for classes RH_r^-, A_p^-, $1 < r \leqslant \infty$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.

2. Definition, charaterization of RH_r^+ for $1 < r < \infty$

We start this section with the definiton of RH_r^+, $1 < r < \infty$.

Definition 2.1. A weight w satisfies the one-sided reverse Hölder RH_r^+ condition, if there exists C such that for any $a < b$,

$$\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\left(M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b)\right)^{(r-1)} \int_a^b w. \tag{2.2}$$

The smallest such constant will be called the RH_r^+ constant of w and will be denoted by $RH_r^+(w)$.

Definition 2.3. A weight satisfies the one-sided reverse Hölder RH_∞^+ condition, if there exists C such that

$$w(x) \leqslant Cm^+w(x) \tag{2.4}$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The smallest such constant will be called the RH_∞^+ constant of w and will be denoted by $RH_\infty^+(w)$. It is clear that $C \geqslant 1$.

The following lemma gives several characterizations of RH_r^+. The constants are not necessarily the same.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $a < b < c < d$, $1 < r < \infty$, and let $w \geqslant 0$ be locally integrable. Then the following staments are equivalent.*

(i) $\displaystyle\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\left(M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b)\right)^{(r-1)} \int_a^b w.$

(ii) $\displaystyle\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\right)^r$ *with $b-a = 2(c-b)$.*

(iii) $\displaystyle\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d w\right)^r$ *with $b-a = d-b = 2(d-c)$.*

(iv) $\dfrac{1}{b-a}\displaystyle\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\Big(\dfrac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\Big)^r$ *with* $b-a=c-b$.

(v) $\dfrac{1}{b-a}\displaystyle\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\Big(\dfrac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d w\Big)^r$ *with* $b-a=d-c=\gamma(d-a)$, $0<\gamma\leqslant\frac{1}{2}$.

P r o o f. To see $i) \Longrightarrow ii)$, we fix $a<b<c$, $b-a=2(c-b)$ and take any $x\in(b,c)$. Then

$$\int_a^b w^r \leqslant \int_a^x w^r \leqslant C\left(M(w\chi_{(a,x)})(x)\right)^{r-1}\int_a^x w \leqslant C\left(M(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x)\right)^{r-1}\int_a^c w.$$

Therefore $(b,c)\subset\{x\colon \left(M(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x)\right)^{r-1}\geqslant \frac{1}{C\int_a^c w}\int_a^b w^r\}$. The weak type $(1,1)$ of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator yields

$$(c-b)\Big(\int_a^b w^r\Big)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \leqslant C\Big(\int_a^c w\Big)^{\frac{r}{r-1}},$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\Big(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_a^c w\Big)^r \leqslant C\Big(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\Big)^r;$$

the last inequality follows from the fact, proved in [7], that a weight satisfying i) satisfies A_p^+ for some p and thus it satisfies the one-sided doubling condition.

We will prove now that ii) $\Longrightarrow$ i). Let us fix $a<b$ and define a sequence (x_k) as follows: $x_0=a$ and $b-x_k=2(b-x_{k+1})$. In particular, $x_{k+1}-x_k=2(x_{k+2}-x_{k+1})=(b-x_{k+1})$. Using condition ii) for the points x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b w^r &= \sum_0^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} w^r \leqslant C\sum_0^\infty (x_{k+1}-x_k)^{1-r}\Big(\int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k+2}} w\Big)^r\\ &\leqslant C\sum_0^\infty \int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k+2}} w\Big(\frac{1}{b-x_{k+1}}\int_{x_{k+1}}^b w\Big)^{r-1} \leqslant \left(M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b)\right)^{r-1} C\int_a^b w.\end{aligned}$$

To see ii) $\Longrightarrow$ iii) let $a<b<c<d$ with $b-a=d-b=2(d-c)$. Using that w satisfies the one-sided doubling condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r \leqslant C\Big(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\Big)^r &\leqslant C\Big(\frac{d-c}{c-b}\frac{1}{d-c}\int_b^d w\Big)^r\\ &\leqslant C\Big(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d w\Big)^r.\end{aligned}$$

iii) $\Longrightarrow$ iv) is immediate.

First of all we observe that iv) easily implies that the weight w satisfies the one-sided doubling condition. To see that iv) $\Longrightarrow$ v), let $0 < \gamma \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $a < b < c < d$, $b - a = d - c = \gamma(d - a)$. Then if x is the midpoint between a and d we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r \leqslant \frac{1}{2\gamma}\frac{1}{x-a}\int_a^x w^r \leqslant \frac{C}{2\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{d-x}\int_x^d w\right)^r,$$

but it follows from the one-sided doubling condition that $\int_x^d w \leqslant C_\gamma \int_c^d w$.

Suppose v) holds, let $a < b < c$, $b-a = c-b = h$ and let us define for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ $x_k = a + ksh$ and $y_k = b + ksh$ where $s = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$ and N is the first integer such that $(N+1)s > 1$. We observe that the choice of x_k, y_k has been made so that for any $0 \leqslant k \leqslant (N-1)$ we have $x_{k+1} - x_k = y_{k+1} - y_k = \gamma(y_{k+1} - x_k)$. Applying v), using that $r > 1$ and the fact that the intervals (y_k, y_{k+1}) are disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b w^r &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} w^r + \int_{b-sh}^b w^r \\
&\leqslant C(sh)^{1-r} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\int_{y_k}^{y_{k+1}} w\right)^r + C(sh)^{1-r}\left(\int_{c-sh}^c w\right)^r \\
&\leqslant C_\gamma (c-a)^{1-r}\left(\int_b^c w\right)^r.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have proved that v) $\Longrightarrow$ iv).

Finally, we will show that iv) $\Longrightarrow$ ii). Let $a < b < c$ with $b - a = 2(c - b)$. Let x be the midpoint between a, b. Using the one-sided doubling property we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w^r &= \frac{1}{b-a}\left(\int_a^x w^r + \int_x^b w^r\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x-a}\int_a^x w^r + \frac{1}{b-x}\int_x^b w^r\right) \\
&\leqslant \frac{C}{2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{b-x}\int_x^b w\right)^r + \left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\right)^r\right) \\
&\leqslant \frac{C}{2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_x^c w\right)^r + \left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\right)^r\right) \\
&\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{c-b}\int_b^c w\right)^r.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. The equivalence of i) and iv) was first proved in [3].

The following lemma tells us that in the definition of A_p^+ we can take two intervals that are not contiguous. Note that in the case of RH_r^+ we have seen this in the previous lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *A weight w belongs to A_p^+, $p > 1$ if, and only if, there exist $0 < \gamma \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and a constant C_γ such that $b-a = d-c = \gamma(d-a)$ for any $a < b < c < d$, then*

$$\int_a^b w\Big(\int_c^d w^{1-p'}\Big)^{p-1} \leqslant C_\gamma (b-a)^p. \tag{2.7}$$

P r o o f. If $w \in A_p^+$, $0 < \gamma \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $a < b < c < d$, $b-a = d-c = \gamma(d-a)$ then

$$\int_a^b w\Big(\int_c^d w^{1-p'}\Big)^{p-1} \leqslant \int_a^c w\Big(\int_c^d w^{1-p'}\Big)^{p-1} \leqslant C(d-a)^p = C_\gamma (b-a)^p.$$

To prove that (2.7) implies A_p^+ we will show that (2.7) implies that for γ and a, b, c, d as above we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w \exp\Big(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d -\log(w)\Big) \leqslant C.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b & w \exp\Big(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d -\log(w)\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b \Big[w \exp\Big(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d \log(w)^{1-p'}\Big)\Big]^{p-1} \\ &\qquad \leqslant \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w\Big(\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d w^{1-p'}\Big)^{p-1} \leqslant C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In the same way we prove that $w^{1-p'}$ satisfies

$$\exp\Big(\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b \log(w)^{p'-1}\Big)\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d w^{1-p'} \leqslant C. \tag{2.9}$$

But, according to part $j)$ of Theorem 1 in [7], (2.8) is equivalent to saying that $w \in A_\infty^+$ while (2.9) means that $w^{1-p'} \in A_\infty^-$, and according to Theorem 2 in [7] these two conditions imply $w \in A_p^+$. □

Remark 2.10. We can easily see that $w \in A_1^+$ if, and only if, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{h}\int_{a-h}^a w \leqslant Cw(a+h)$ for almost every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$.

3. The extreme cases: A_1^+ and RH_∞^+

Theorem 3.1. *Let $w \in A_1^+$ with A_1^+ constant $C > 1$. Then $w \in RH_r^+$ for any $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$, and this is the best possible range.*

P r o o f. Let us fix the interval $I = (a, b)$. We consider the truncation of w at height N defined by $w_N = \min(w, N)$, which also satisfies A_1^+ with a constant $C_N \leqslant C$. We claim that if $\lambda_I = M(w_N \chi_I)(b)$ and $E_\lambda = \{x \in I : w_N(x) > \lambda\}$ then

$$\int_{E_\lambda} w_N \leqslant C_N \lambda |E_\lambda| \quad \forall \lambda \geqslant \lambda_I. \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, if $E_\lambda = I$ we do not even need the A_1^+ condition, since

$$w_N(E_\lambda) = \int_a^b w_N \leqslant M(w_N\chi_I)(b)(b-a) = \lambda_I(b-a) \leqslant C_N \lambda |E_\lambda|.$$

If $E_\lambda \neq I$ we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and an open set O such that $E_\lambda \subset O \subset I$ and $|O| \leqslant \varepsilon + |E_\lambda|$. Let $J_k = (c, d)$, be one of the connected components of O. There are two cases:

(1) $a \leqslant c < d < b$,
(2) $a \leqslant c < d = b$.

In the first case $d \notin E_\lambda$ and then $w_N(d) \leqslant \lambda$. Now A_1^+ gives $\int_c^d w_N \leqslant C_N w_N(d)(d-c) \leqslant C_N\lambda(d-c)$. The second case is handled as the case $E_\lambda = I$, since $\int_c^b w_N \leqslant M(w_N\chi_I)(b)(b-c) \leqslant C\lambda(b-c)$. In any case $w_N(J_k) \leqslant C_N\lambda|J_k|$. Adding up we get

$$w_N(E_\lambda) \leqslant w_N(O) \leqslant C_N \lambda |O| \leqslant C_N\lambda(\varepsilon + |E_\lambda|).$$

Since ε was arbitrary we are done. Now we proceed in the standard way, i.e., we fix $s > -1$, multiply both sides of (3.2) by λ^s and integrate from λ_I to infinity to obtain,

$$\frac{1}{s+1}\int_I (w_N^{s+2} - \lambda_I^{s+1} w_N) \leqslant \frac{C_N}{s+2}\int_I w_N^{s+2}.$$

Now if $r = s + 2 < \frac{C_N}{C_N - 1}$ then $\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{C_N}{s+2} > 0$, and we get

$$\int w_N^r \leqslant C_N \lambda_I^{r-1}\int_I w_N = C_N(M(w_N\chi_I)(b))^{r-1}\int_I w_N.$$

Now $C_N \leqslant C$ implies $\frac{C_N}{C_N-1} \geqslant \frac{C}{C-1}$, and therefore if $r \leqslant \frac{C}{C-1}$ then

$$\int_a^b w_N^r \leqslant C_N(M(w_N\chi_{(a,b)})(b))^{r-1}\int_a^b w_N \leqslant C(M(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b))^{r-1}\int_a^b w$$

and the monotone convergence theorem gives $w \in RH_r^+$. To see that this is the best possible range we consider the function

$$w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{C}-1}\chi_{(0,\infty)}(x).$$

It is clear that w does not satisfy $RH^+_{\frac{C}{C-1}}$ because $w^{\frac{C}{C-1}}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for $x > 0$. To see that it satisfies A_1^+ with the constant C, we consider three cases:

(1) $a < b \leqslant 0$,
(2) $a \leqslant 0 < b$,
(3) $0 < a < b$.

In the first case there is nothing to check. In the second case $\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b w < \frac{1}{b}\int_0^b w(x) = \frac{C}{b} b^{\frac{1}{C}} = Cw(b)$. Finally, if $0 < a < b$, then $\int_a^b w = C(b^{\frac{1}{C}} - a^{\frac{1}{C}}) \leqslant C(b-a)w(b)$. $\square$

Remark. Note that if $C = 1$, then $w(x) = M^- w(x)$, and this implies that w is non-decreasing. This tells us that $w \in RH_\infty^+$.

Theorem 3.3. *If w satisfies RH_∞^+ with a constant $C > 1$, then $w \in A_p^+$ for all $p > C$, and this is the best possible range.*

Proof. A truncation argument as in Theorem 3.1 allows us to suppose that w is bounded away from zero, i.e. there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $w(x) \geqslant \beta$ for all x. Let us fix $I = (a, b)$ and consider $\lambda_I = m^+(w\frac{1}{\chi_I})(a)$. We claim that if $\lambda < \lambda_I$ and $E_\lambda = \{x \in I : w(x) < \lambda\}$, then

$$\lambda |E_\lambda| \leqslant C \int_{E_\lambda} w. \tag{3.4}$$

As before, if $E_\lambda = I$ then $\lambda|E_\lambda| = \lambda(b-a) < \lambda_I(b-a) = \int_a^b w \leqslant w(E_\lambda)$. If $E_\lambda \neq I$ then we aproximate it by an open set $O = \bigcup J_k$ where $E_\lambda \subset O \subset I$ and $w(O) < \varepsilon + w(E_\lambda)$. Let us fix $J_k = (c, d)$. There are two cases:

(1) $a < c$,
(2) $a = c$.

In the first case $c \notin E_\lambda$ and then $\lambda(d-c) \leqslant w(c)(d-c) \leqslant Cm^+w(c)(d-c) \leqslant C\int_c^d w$. In the second case $\lambda(d-c) \leqslant \lambda_I(d-a) \leqslant \int_a^d w$, and (3.4) follows. If we multiply both sides of (3.4) by λ^{-r} with $r > 2$ and integrate we have

$$\int_0^{\lambda_I} \lambda^{1-r} \int \chi_{E_\lambda}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \leqslant C \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-r} \int_{E_\lambda} w(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

For the left hand side we obtain

$$\int_\beta^{\lambda_I} \lambda^{1-r} \int \chi_{E_\lambda}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}\lambda = \frac{1}{2-r}\int_{\{x\in I:\,w(x)<\lambda_I\}} \lambda_I^{2-r} - w^{2-r}\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2-r}\int_I \lambda_I^{2-r} - w^{2-r}\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{r-2}\int_I w^{2-r} - \frac{|I|}{r-2}\lambda_I^{2-r},$$

while the right hand side is equal to $\frac{C}{r-1}\int_I w^{2-r}$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{r-2}\int_I w^{2-r} \leqslant \frac{C}{r-1}\int_I w^{2-r} + \frac{|I|}{r-2}\lambda_I^{2-r}.$$

If we choose $r>2$ such that $C(r-2)<(r-1)$, we obtain that there exists C such that

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{2-r} \leqslant C\left(m^+\left(\frac{w}{\chi_I}\right)(a)\right)^{2-r}. \tag{3.5}$$

We now claim that (3.5) implies that $w\in A_p^+$ with $p=\frac{r-1}{r-2}$. Let us fix $a<b<c$ and choose $x\in(a,b)$. If we keep in mind that $1-p'=2-r$ we may write

$$\left(\frac{1}{c-a}\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{c-x}\int_x^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C\left(m^+(\frac{w}{\chi_{(x,c)}})(x)\right)^{-1},$$

but

$$\left(m^+(\frac{w}{\chi_{(x,c)}})(x)\right)^{-1} = \left(\inf_{x<d<c}\frac{1}{d-x}\int_x^d w\right)^{-1} = \sup_{x<d<c}\frac{d-x}{\int_x^d w} = M_w\left(\frac{\chi_{(a,c)}}{w}\right)(x).$$

We have thus proved that if $\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{c-a}\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1}$ then

$$(a,b)\subset\left\{x:\,CM_w\Bigl(\frac{\chi_{(a,c)}}{w}\Bigr)(x)>\lambda\right\},$$

and the weak type of M_w with respect to the measure $w\,\mathrm{d}x$ yields $\int_a^b w \leqslant C(c-a)^p\bigl(\int_b^c w^{1-p'}\bigr)^{1-p}$ which is A_p^+. Finally, it can be checked that the function $w(x)$ which is 0 for $x<-1$, identically one for $x>0$ and $|x|^{C-1}$ between -1 and 0, satisfies RH_∞^+ with a constant C, but is not in A_C^+. □

Remark. Note that if $C=1$, then $w(x)=m^+w(x)$, and this implies that w is non-decreasing. This tells us that $w\in A_1^+$.

We have had several different characterizations of RH_r^+, one involved the maximal operator, but dealt with one interval, and the others involved two intervals but no operator. We can now prove that for RH_∞^+ the situation is the same, we can characterize RH_∞^+ using two intervals instead of the minimal operator.

Corollary 3.6. *We have $w \in RH_\infty^+$ if, and only if, there exists C such that for any interval I,*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_I w \leqslant C\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. It is immediate that (3.7) implies RH_∞^+. Assume now that $w \in RH_\infty^+$. The preceding theorem tells us that $w \in A_p$ for some p, and therefore it satisfies the one-sided doubling condition. Therefore if $I = (a, b)$ is any interval, $I^+ = (b, c)$ and $x \in I$, we have

$$w(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{c-x}\int_x^c w \leqslant \frac{C}{c-b}\int_b^c w,$$

which is (3.7). □

Remark. Note that with this definition, we have $RH_\infty^+ \subset \cap_{r>1} RH_r^+$.

4. Factorization of weights in RH_r^+, $1 < r \leqslant \infty$

The theorems on the best range for weights in A_p^+ $(p > 1)$ or in RH_r^+, $r < \infty$ will be stated in terms of factorizations of the given weight. Therefore this section will be devoted to proving a factorization of functions in RH_r^+. The bilateral case was studied in [2].

Definition 4.1. A function w is said to be essentially increasing if there exists C such that $w(x) \leqslant Cw(y)$ for any $x < y$.

Lemma 4.2. *A function belongs to $RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$ if, and only if, it is essentially increasing.*

Proof. Assume that $w \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$ and $x < y$, then $w(x) \leqslant C\frac{1}{y-x}\int_x^y w \leqslant Cw(y)$ and w is essentially increasing. Conversely, if w is essentially increasing then for any x and $h > 0$ we have $w(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{h}\int_x^{x+h} w$, hence $w \in RH_\infty^+$. On the other hand, $\frac{1}{h}\int_{x-h}^x w \leqslant Cw(x)$, so $w \in A_1^+$ □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $1 < r \leqslant \infty$ and $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.*

(1) *If u is essentially increasing and $v \in RH_r^+$ then $uv \in RH_r^+$.*
(2) *If u is essentially increasing and $v \in A_p^+$ then $uv \in A_p^+$.*

Proof. This proof follows immediately from Definition 4.1. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $1 < r \leqslant \infty$ and $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. We have $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ if, and only if, $w^r \in A_q^+$, with $q = r(p-1)+1$.*

Proof. Let $C_1 = RH_r^+(w)$ and $C_2 = A_p^+(w)$, $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ and $q = r(p-1)+1$. Also note that $1 - q' = 1 - \frac{r(p-1)+1}{r(p-1)} = \frac{1}{r(1-p)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-} w^r\Big)&\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{r(1-q')}\Big)^{q-1}\\ &\leqslant C_1\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\Big)^r\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{1-p'}\Big)^{r(p-1)}\\ &\leqslant C_1C_2^r,\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.6 we have that $w^r \in A_q^+$.

If $w^r \in A_q^+$, then by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\Big)&\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-1/(p-1)}\Big)^{p-1}\\ &\leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r\Big)^{1/r}\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-r/(q-1)}\Big)^{(q-1)/r}\\ &\leqslant C^{1/r},\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain in this way that $w \in A_p^+$. Now again by Hölder's inequality

$$1 = \frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-1/p}w^{1/p} \leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w\Big)^{1/p}\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-p'/p}\Big)^{1/p'},$$

so

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-1/(p-1)}\Big)^{1-p} \leqslant \frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w,$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r\Big)^{1/r} &\leqslant C\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-r/(q-1)}\Big)^{-(q-1)/r} = C\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w^{-1/(p-1)}\Big)^{1-p}\\ &\leqslant C\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w,\end{aligned}$$

proving that $w \in RH_r^+$. □

Factorization Theorem for weights in $RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$. *A weight w satisfies $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ with $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $1 < r \leqslant \infty$ if, and only if, there exist weights w_0 and w_1 such that $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$, $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$ and $w = w_0 w_1$.*

Observe that since $\bigcup_{p<\infty} A_p^+ = \cap_{1<r} RH_r^*$, every weight in RH_r^+ is in some A_p^+. See [7].

P r o o f. Let us first consider the cases $p = 1$ or $r = \infty$.

If $p = 1$ and $r \leqslant \infty$, we put $w_1 = 1$ and $w_0 = w$, then obviously $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ and $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$.

If $p \geqslant 1$ and $r = \infty$, we put $w_0 = 1$ and $w_1 = w$, obtaining $w_0 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$, $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$.

Conversely, given w_0 and w_1, at least one of them belongs to $RH_\infty^+ \cap A_1^+$ (because $p = 1$ or $r = \infty$), so one of them is essentially increasing, therefore $w_0 w_1 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$ (Lemma 4.3).

Let us now suppose $p > 1$ and $r < \infty$. Let $w = w_0 w_1$ with $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$, and $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$. We want to see that $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$. Note that for w_1 we have

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1^{1-p'} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-} w_1\right)^{1-p'} \leqslant C w_1(a-h)^{1-p'},$$

which implies $w_1^{1-p'} \in A_1^-$ (Remark 2.10). Let $v = w_1^{1-p'}$, then $w_1 = v^{1-p}$ with $v \in A_1^-$, so $w = w_0 w_1 = w_0 v^{1-p}$ with $w_0 \in A_1^+$ and $v \in A_1^-$ (see [7]), and this implies $w \in A_p^+$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0^r w_1^r &\leqslant (\sup_I w_1)^r C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_0\right)^r \\ &\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^{++}} w_1\right)^r \left(\inf_{I^{++}} w_0\right)^r \\ &\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} w_0 w_1\right)^r,\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.5 we have $w \in RH_r^+$. Conversely, let $w \in RH_r^+ \cap A_p^+$, then by Lemma 4.4 $w^r \in A_q^+$ with $q = r(p-1)+1$, there exists $v_0 \in A_1^+$ and $v_1 \in A_1^-$ such that $w^r = v_0 v_1^{1-q}$ (see [7]), or equivalently $w = v_0^{1/r} v_1^{(1-q)/r} = v_0^{1/r} v_1^{1-p}$. Let $w_0 = v_0^{1/r}$ and $w_1 = v_1^{1-p}$. We will see that $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$. We note,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0^r = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v_0 &\leqslant C \inf_{I^+} v_0 \\ &\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} v_0^{1/r}\right)^r = C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_0\right)^r,\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0 = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v_0^{1/r} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v_0\right)^{1/r} \leqslant C \inf_{I^+} v_0^{1/r} = C \inf_{I^+} w_0.$$

We only have to see now that $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+ \cap A_p^+$ and we are done.

First we claim

(4.5) $$\text{if } w \in A_1^- \text{ then } w^{-\gamma} \in RH_\infty^+ \text{ for all } \gamma > 0.$$

In fact, by Hölder's inequality we have $\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\right)^{-\gamma} \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{-\gamma}$ for any interval $I = (a,b)$, and as $w \in A_1^-$ we have that $Cw(x) \geqslant \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w$ for almost every $x \in I^-$, and therefore

$$w(x)^{-\gamma} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\right)^{-\gamma} \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{-\gamma} \leqslant C\frac{1}{b-x}\int_x^b w^{-\gamma}.$$

Let $w_1 = v_1^{1-p}$. As $v_1 \in A_1^-$, then $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} &= \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v_1^{1-p}\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} v_1\right)^{p-1}\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v_1^{1-p}(C\inf_I v_1)^{p-1}\\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{|I|}\int_I v_1^{1-p}v_1^{p-1} \leqslant C,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $w_1 \in A_p^+$. □

Factorization Theorem for weights in A_∞^+. *A weight w satisfies $w \in A_\infty^+$ if, and only if, there exist $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+$ and $w_0 \in A_1^+$ such that $w = w_0 w_1$.*

Proof. If $w \in A_\infty^+$ then $w \in A_q^+$ for some $1 < q < \infty$, so there exist $v_0 \in A_1^+$ and $v_1 \in A_1^-$ such that $w = v_0 v_1^{1-q}$. Let $w_0 = v_0$ and $w_1 = v_1^{1-q}$. By (4.5), $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+$. So we are done. Conversely, if $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+$, then $w_1 \in A_q^+$ for some $1 < q$, i.e., there exists C such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1\right)^{q'-1}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{1-q'} \leqslant C,$$

but then

$$(\sup_{I^-} w_1)^{q'-1}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{1-q'} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_1\right)^{q'-1}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{1-q'} \leqslant C,$$

and we get

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{1-q'} \leqslant C \inf_{I^-} w_1^{1-q'},$$

and it is easy to see that this inequality implies $w_1^{1-q'} \in A_1^-$. Then $v_1 = w_1^{1-q'} \in A_1^-$, so $w = w_0 w_1 = w_0 v_1^{1-q} \in A_q^+ \subset A_\infty^+$. $\square$

5. Classes A_p^+ and RH_r^+

In this section we will use Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 and the factorization theorems to obtain the best ranges for the classes A_p^+ and RH_r^+. As we shall see, the range of the index will depend on the factorization of the weights.

The following theorem gives us the precise range in A_p^+ for weights in RH_r^+.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $w \in RH_r^+$, $w = w_0 w_1^{\frac{1}{r}}$ with $w_0 \in RH_\infty^+$ and $w_1 \in A_1^+$. Then $w \in A_p^+$ for all $p > C$ where $C = RH_\infty^+(w_0)$, and this is the best possible range.*

Proof. Let $w_0 \in RH_\infty^+$ and $w_1 \in A_1^+$. By Theorem 3.3, $w_0 \in A_p^+$ for all $p > C$. Let $p > C$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $w_0 \in A_{p-\varepsilon}^+$, so we choose $s > 1$ satisfying $1-(p-\varepsilon)' = s(1-p')$, and by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-} w_0 w_1 \left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} (w_0 w_1)^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad\leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0\right)\left(\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-} w_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_0^{s(1-p')}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{s}}\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_1^{s'(1-p')}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{s'}} \\
&\quad\leqslant C.
\end{aligned}$$

To see that this is the best range, we consider w_0 as in Theorem 3.3 and $w_1 = 1$. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Given $w \in RH_r^+$ there exist $u \in RH_\infty^+$, and $v \in A_1^+$ such that $w = uv^{\frac{1}{r}}$. We only have to consider the factorization theorem and choose $u = w_1$ and $v = w_0^r$. We have to prove that $v \in A_1^+$. Keeping in mind that $w_0 \in RH_r^+ \cap A_1^+$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{|I^-|} v = \frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{|I^-|} w_0^r \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{|I|} w_0\right)^r \leqslant C w_0^r(x) = Cv(x)$$

for almost every $x \in I^+$, i.e., $v \in A_1^+$.

The next theorem shows us the precise range of the higher integrability of $w \in RH_r^+$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $w \in RH_r^+$, $w = uv^{1/r}$ with $u \in RH_\infty^+$ and $v \in A_1^+$. If $C = A_1^+(v)$ then $w \in RH_s^+$ for all $r \leqslant s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$. The range of s is the best possible.*

P r o o f. Let $r < s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$, let us choose $q > 1$ such that $s < \frac{Cr}{q(C-1)}$. As $1 < \frac{qs}{r} < \frac{C}{C-1}$, by Theorem 3.1 we have $v \in RH^+_{\frac{qs}{r}}$, and using Hölder's inequality we obtain that $u^s \in RH_\infty^+$ and $v \in A_1^+$ which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^s &= \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^s v^{s/r} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^{q's}\right)^{1/q'}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v^{qs/r}\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leqslant \sup_I u^s C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} v\right)^{s/r} \leqslant \frac{C}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} u^s \left(\inf_{I^{++}} v\right)^{s/r} \\ &\leqslant C \sup_{I^+} u^s \inf_{I^{++}} v^{s/r} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} u\right)^s \inf_{I^{++}} v^{s/r} \\ &\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} uv^{1/r}\right)^s = C\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} w\right)^s,\end{aligned}$$

and we get that $w \in RH_s^+$ (Lemma 2.5).

To see this is the best range possible, we choose $v \in A_1^+$ as in Theorem 3.1 and $u = 1$, then $w = v^{1/r} \in RH_s^+$ for all $r \leqslant s < \frac{Cr}{C-1}$ ($C = A_1^+(v)$). If $s = \frac{Cr}{C-1}$ and $w \in RH_s^+$ then $v \in RH^+_{\frac{C}{C-1}}$, but we have seen (Theorem 3.1) that this can not happen. □

The next theorem shows us which is the best range in RH_r^+ for a given weight in A_p^+.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $w \in A_p^+$, $w = uv^{1-p}$ with $u \in A_1^+$, $v \in A_1^-$ and $C = A_1^+(u)$, then $w \in RH_r^+$ for all $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$, this range being the best possible.*

P r o o f. By Theorem 3.1 we have $u \in RH_r^+$ for all $1 < r < \frac{C}{C-1}$ and we know that $v^{1-p} \in RH_\infty^+$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r &\leqslant \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^r \sup_I \left(v^{-r(p-1)}\right) \\ &\leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} u\right)^r \left(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} v^{1-p}\right)^r \leqslant C\big(\inf_{I^{++}} u\big)^r \left(\sup_{I^+} v^{1-p}\right)^r \\ &\leqslant C\big(\inf_{I^{++}} u\big)^r\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} v^{1-p}\right)^r \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}} w\right)^r.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we conclude $w \in RH_r^+$.

To see this is the best range we take u as in Theorem 3.1 and $v=1$. So we have $w=u\in A_p^+$ and $w\notin RH^+_{\frac{C}{C-1}}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Let $w=uv^{1-p}\in A_p^+$ with $u\in A_1^+$, $v\in A_1^-$ and $C=\max\{A_1^+(u),A_1^-(v)\}$. Then $w^\tau\in A_p^+$ for all $1\leqslant\tau<\frac{C}{C-1}$ and the range is the best possible.*

P r o o f. By Theorem 5.4 we have that $w\in RH_\tau^+$ for all $1\leqslant\tau<\frac{C}{C-1}$ and $w^{1-p'}\in RH_\tau^-$ for all $1\leqslant\tau<\frac{C}{C-1}$. Let $a<d$, let us choose b, c such that $b-a=d-c=\frac{1}{4}(d-a)$, and we also consider the point $\frac{c+b}{2}$. Then we have four intervals, namely, $I^-=(a,b)$, $I=(b,\frac{b+c}{2})$, $I^+=(\frac{b+c}{2},c)$, and $I^{++}=(c,d)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-}w^\tau\Big(\frac{1}{|I^{++}|}\int_{I^{++}}w^{\tau(1-p')}\Big)^{p-1}&\leqslant\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\Big)^\tau\Big(\frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+}w^{1-p'}\Big)^{\tau(p-1)},\\&\leqslant C^\tau,\end{aligned}$$

thus $w^\tau\in A_p^+$ (Lemma 2.6). Considering u as in Theorem 3.1, we see this is the best possible range. $\square$

Using Theorem 5.4 we will show the exact range of $q<p$ such that $w\in A_p^+$ implies $w\in A_q^+$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $w=uv^{1-p}\in A_p^+$ with $u\in A_1^+$, $v\in A_1^-$ and $C=A_1^-(v)$. Then $w\in A_q^+$ for all $1+\frac{(p-1)(C-1)}{C}<q<\infty$ and this is the best range for q.*

P r o o f. Note that $w^{1-p'}=vu^{1-p'}\in A_{p'}^-$, by Theorem 5.4 we have $w^{1-p'}\in RH_r^-$ for all $1<r<\frac{C}{C-1}$. For the classes RH_r^- and A_p^- we have from Lemma 4.4 that $w^{(1-p')r}\in A_{q'}^-$ where $q'=r(p'-1)+1=\frac{r}{p-1}+1$. But this is the same as $w^{1-q'}\in A_{q'}^-$, i.e., $w\in A_q^+$ for all $1+(p-1)\frac{C-1}{C}<q$.

To see this is the best range, let $v(x)=x^{\frac{1-C}{C}}$ if $x\leqslant 0$ and equal to 0 if $x>0$ and $u=1$ for all x. Note that $v\in A_1^-$ and $A_1^-(v)=C$. Then $w=v^{1-p}\in A_p^+$ and $w\in A_q^+$ for all $q>1+(p-1)\frac{C-1}{C}$. Observe that $w\notin A^+_{1+(p-1)\frac{C-1}{C}}$. $\square$

Finally, the last theorem gives us the best possible range for a weight in A_∞^+.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $w\in A_\infty^+$, $w=w_0w_1$, $w_0\in A_1^+$, $w_1\in RH_\infty^+$ and $C=RH_\infty^+(w_1)$. Then $w\in A_p^+$ for all $p>C$. The range of p's is the best possible.*

P r o o f. Note that $w_1 \in RH_\infty^+$ implies $w_1 \in A_p^+$ for all $p > C$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0 w_1 \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{++}}\int_{I^{++}} (w_0 w_1)^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad \leqslant \sup_I (w_1)\, \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w_0 \,\left(\sup_{I^{++}} w_0^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{++}}\int_{I^{++}} w_1^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad \leqslant C\frac{1}{|I|^{+}}\int_{I^+} w_1 \,\inf_{I^+}(w_0)\, \sup_{I^{++}}(w_0^{-1}) \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{++}}\int_{I^{++}} w_1^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad \leqslant C \,\sup_{I^{++}}(w_0^{-1})\, \frac{1}{|I^+|}\int_{I^+} w_0 \\
&\quad \leqslant C\,\frac{1}{(\inf_{I^{++}} w_0)}\, \inf_{I^{++}} w_0 \leqslant C,
\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.6 we have $w \in A_p^+$ for all $p > C$.

To see this is the best range, we consider $w(x) = 0$ if $x \leqslant -1$, $|x|^{C-1}$ if $-1 < x \leqslant 0$ and 1 if $x \geqslant 0$. □

References

[1] *H. Aimar, L. Forzani and F. J. Martín-Reyes*: On weighted inequalities for one-sided singular integrals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *125* (1997), 2057–2064.

[2] *D. Cruz-Uribe and C. J. Neugebauer*: The structure of reverse Hölder classes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *347* (1995), 2941–2960.

[3] *D. Cruz-Uribe, C. J. Neugebauer and V. Olesen*: The one-sided minimal operator and the one-sided reverse Hölder inequality. Stud. Math *116* (1995), 255–270.

[4] *P. Guan and E. Sawyer*: Regularity estimates for oblique derivative problem. Anal. of Mathematics, Second Series *157 (1)* (1993), 1–70.

[5] *F. J. Martín-Reyes*: New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *117*, 691–698.

[6] *F. J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega and A. de la Torre*: Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *319 (2)* (1990), 517–534.

[7] *F. J. Martín-Reyes, L. Pick and A. de la Torre*: A_∞^+ condition. Canad. J. Math. *45 (6)* (1993), 1231–1244.

[8] *C. J. Neugebauer*: The precise range of indices for the RH_r and A_p weight classes. Preprint.

[9] *E. Sawyer*: Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *297* (1986), 53–61.

Author's address: M. S. Riveros, FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, (5000) Córdoba, Argentina, e-mail: `sriveros@mate.uncor.edu`; A. de la Torre, Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, (29071) Málaga, Spain, e-mail: `torre@anamat.cie.uma.es`.