

Nikolai Nikolov

Behavior of invariant metrics near convexifiable boundary points

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 1, 1–7

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127776>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

BEHAVIOR OF INVARIANT METRICS
NEAR CONVEXIFIABLE BOUNDARY POINTS

NIKOLAI NIKOLOV, Sofia

(Received August 16, 1999)

Abstract. The behaviour of the Carathéodory, Kobayashi and Azukawa metrics near convex boundary points of domains in \mathbb{C}^n is studied.

Keywords: Carathéodory metric, Kobayashi metric, Azukawa metric, convexifiable point

MSC 2000: 32F45

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by $\mathcal{O}(D, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, D)$ the spaces of all holomorphic mappings from D into the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ and from Δ to D , respectively. Let $z \in D$ and $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics are defined by

$$C_D(z, X) = \sup\{|(Xf)(z)|: f \in \mathcal{O}(D, \Delta)\},$$

$$K_D(z, X) = \inf\{|r|^{-1}: \exists f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, D), f(0) = z, f'(0) = rX\}.$$

Denote by $\text{PSH}(D, \mathbb{R}^-)$ the space of all negative plurisubharmonic functions on D . The pluricomplex Green function [5] and the Azukawa metric [1] are defined by

$$g_D(z, w) = \sup\{u(w): u \in \text{PSH}(D, \mathbb{R}^-), u(\cdot) \leq \log \|\cdot - z\| + O_u(1)\},$$

$$A_D(z, X) = \limsup_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{\exp g(z, z + \lambda X)}{|\lambda|}.$$

It is clear that $C_D(z, X) \leq A_D(z, X) \leq K_D(z, X)$.

Let z_0 be a C^1 -smooth boundary point of D and X a continuous $(1, 0)$ vector field at z_0 . Denote by X_N the projection of X_{z_0} on the complex normal to ∂D at

z_0 and set $d(z) = \text{dist}(z, \partial D)$. Graham [4] showed that if D is a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} C_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|X_N\|.$$

The main purpose of this note is to extend the Graham result for a convex boundary points.

Theorem 1. *Let z_0 be a convex C^1 -smooth boundary point of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that ∂D does not contain any germ of complex line through z_0 . Then*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} A_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|X_N\|.$$

Theorem 2. *Let z_0 be a convex boundary point of a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that ∂D does not contain any segment with endpoint at z_0 . Then*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} C_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|X_N\|.$$

Remark. If the boundary of a bounded domain is real-analytic, then it does not contain any real segment.

Note that, by the Lempert theorem [7], the Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics of a convex domain coincide. This, together with the arguments given in the proof of Theorem 1, shows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} C_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|X_N\|$$

for any C^1 -smooth boundary point z_0 of such a domain.

On the other hand, the following examples show that, in general, the condition for nonexistence of nontrivial holomorphic curves in Theorem 1 is essential.

Proposition 3.

- (a) *If G is a Cartesian product of n compact plane sets, then $K_{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus G} \equiv 0$.*
- (b) *If $D = \Delta^2 \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \text{Re } z_1 \leq 0, |z_2| \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{8}\|X_N\| \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} A_D(z, X_z)d(z) \leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) \leq \frac{3}{8}\|X_N\|.$$

2. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we shall prove that

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z) d(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|X_N\|$$

for any C^1 -smooth boundary point z_0 of an arbitrary domain $D \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

It is well-known that for any point z close to z_0 there exists a point $\pi(z) \in \partial D$ such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \pi(z) = z_0$, $\|z - \pi(z)\| = d(z)$ and z belongs to the real normal to ∂D at $\pi(z)$. Moreover, we may find orthonormal transformations Ψ_z for which:

- (i) $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \Psi_z = \Psi_{z_0}$;
- (ii) the first coordinate v_1 of $\Phi_z(\cdot) = \Psi_z(\cdot - \pi(z))$ is the complex normal to the boundary of the domain $G_z = \Phi_z(D)$ at the point 0;
- (iii) the ray $\text{Re } v_1$ coincides with the interior normal to G_z at 0.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$E_\varepsilon = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{Re } v_1 + \varepsilon \|v\| < 0\}.$$

Note that there are neighbourhoods U of z_0 and V of 0 such that $E_\varepsilon \cap V \subset G_z$ for any $z \in U$. Let $V_z = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : vd(z) \in V\}$, $v(z) = \Psi_z(z)$ and $Y_z = (\Psi_z)_* X_z$. Then

$$K_D(z, X_z) \leq K_{G_z}(v(z), Y_z) \leq K_{E_\varepsilon \cap V}(v(z), Y_z) = \frac{K_{E_\varepsilon \cap V_z}(-1, Y_z)}{d(z)}.$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_{E_\varepsilon \cap V_z}(-1, Y_z) = K_{E_0}(-1, Y_{z_0}) = \frac{1}{2} \|X_N\|$$

which implies (2).

Let now z_0 be a convex boundary point of a domain D such that ∂D does not contain any nontrivial holomorphic curve through z_0 . Then there exists a bounded neighbourhood U of z_0 , for which the domain $F = D \cap U$ is convex. Using ideas from the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 4 in [2], and Lemma 2.1.1 in [3], we shall prove that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{A_D(z, X_z)}{A_F(z, X_z)} = 1$$

which completes the proof of (1). Indeed, for $z \in F$ close to z_0 denote by H_z the half-space whose boundary is the real tangent hyperplane to F at $\pi(z)$ and which

contains F . If $(X_z)_N$ is the projection of X_z on the complex normal to D at $\pi(z)$, then by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} A_D(z, X_z)d(z) &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} A_F(z, X_z)d(z) \geq \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} A_{H_z}(z, X_z)d(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{2} \|(X_z)_N\| = \frac{1}{2} \|X_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (3), note that, by Lempert's theorem [7], we have

$$g_F(z, w) = \inf \{ \ln |\alpha| : \exists f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, F), f(0) = z, f(\alpha) = w \}.$$

Since F is a bounded convex domain whose boundary does not contain any germ of complex line through z_0 , it follows that z_0 is a peak point for F [9]. Although the statement is not explicitly stated in [9], the method of the proof of Proposition 2.4 in [9] gives this result. Then normal family arguments and the maximum principle imply that [3, 8]

$$(4) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, w \in F \setminus V} g_F(z, w) = 0$$

for any neighbourhood $V \subset U$ of z_0 .

Shrinking V (if necessary), we may choose a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and another neighbourhood $W \subset V$ of z_0 such that if $\psi(w) = \varphi(w) + \log \|w - z_0\|$, $C = \sup_{D \cap \partial U} \psi$, $c = 1 + \sup_{D \cap \partial W} \psi$, then $\inf_{D \cap \partial V} \psi \geq \max\{C, c\}$. Fix $z \in H = D \cap W$ and set $u(z) = \inf_{w \in D \cap \partial W} g_F(z, w)$. It is easy to see that the function

$$v(z, w) = \begin{cases} g_F(z, w), & w \in H, \\ \max\{g_F(z, w), (c - \psi(w))u(z)\}, & w \in D \cap V \setminus W, \\ \max\{(c - \psi(w))d(z), (c - C)u(z)\}, & w \in F \setminus V, \\ (c - C)u(z), & w \in D \setminus U \end{cases}$$

is plurisubharmonic function in the second variable with logarithmic pole at z . We may assume that $\text{diam } U \leq 1$. Then $v(z, w) < cu(z)$ and hence $g_D(z, w) \geq v(z, w) - cu(z)$. It follows from (4) that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) = 0$. Now, the equality $v(z, w) = g_F(z, w)$ for $w \in H$ shows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \inf_{w \in H} (g_D(z, w) - g_F(z, w)) = 0$$

which implies (3). □

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem 1, it suffices to prove only the inequality

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} C_D(z, X_z) d(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|X_N\|.$$

Let U be a neighbourhood of z_0 , for which $G = D \cap U$ is a convex domain whose boundary does not contain any segment with endpoint at z_0 . Then we may find a number $C_1 > 0$ and neighbourhoods $W \subset V \subset \subset U$ such that $\text{dist}(G \setminus V, H_{\pi(z)}) > C_1$ for any $z \in D \cap W$, where $H_{\pi(z)}$ denotes the real tangent hyperplane to ∂D at $\pi(z)$. Let $p = \exp((\Phi_{z_0})_1)$, $f_z = ((\Phi_z)_1 + d(z))/((\Phi_z)_1 - d(z))$, and χ be a smooth cut-off function χ with $\chi \equiv 1$ on V and $\chi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus U$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $g_{z,m} = \bar{\partial}(\chi f_z p^m)$ and extend trivially $g_{z,m}$ as a smooth $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ form on \bar{D} . By [6], there exists a smooth function $h_{z,m}$ on D with $\bar{\partial}h_{z,m} = g_{z,m}$ and $\|h_{z,m}\|_{C^1(D)} \leq C_2 \|g_{z,m}\|_{C^{n+1}(D)}$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$ which depends only on D .

Using the Leibniz formula, we obtain

$$\|g_{z,m}\|_{C^{n+1}(D)} \leq 4^{n+1} \|\bar{\partial}\chi\|_{C^{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \|f_z\|_{C^{n+1}(G \setminus V)} \|p^m\|_{C^{n+1}(G \setminus V)}.$$

The Cauchy inequalities show that

$$\|f_z\|_{C^{n+1}(G \setminus V)} \leq \frac{(n+1)!}{C_1^{n+1}}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\|p^m\|_{C^{n+1}(G \setminus V)} \leq C_3 m^{n+1} \sup_{G \setminus V} |p|^m.$$

Since p is a peak function for G at z_0 , it follows from the last four inequalities that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\|h_{z,m}\|_{C^1(D)} \leq \varepsilon$ if $z \in D \cap W$.

Then $\tilde{f}_z = \chi f_z p^m - h_{z,m}$ is a holomorphic function on D and $\sup_D |\tilde{f}_z| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Using that $f_z(z) = 0$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $V \ni z$, we get

$$(1 + \varepsilon) C_D(z, X_z) \geq |X_z \tilde{f}_z| \geq \frac{|p(z)|^m \|X(z)_N\|}{2d(z)} - \varepsilon \|X_z\|.$$

Since $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} p(z) = 1$, letting $z \rightarrow z_0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we obtain (5). \square

Proof of Proposition 3. (a) For simplicity of the notations, we will consider only the case $n = 2$. The proof in the general case is analogous.

Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus G$ and $X = (X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. We may assume that $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G_1$. Let $M = \max_{t \in G_2} |t|$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $U := z_1 +$

$\varepsilon\Delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G_1$. Set $f(t) = (z_1 + trX_1, z_2 + trX_2 + t^2r^3)$ for $r > |X_1|s/(2\varepsilon)$ where $s = |X_2| + \sqrt{|X_2|^2 + 4r(|z_2| + M)}$. Then

$$|f_2(t)| \leq M \Rightarrow r|tr|^2 - |X_2| \cdot |tr| \leq |z_2| + M \Rightarrow |tr| \leq \frac{s}{2r} \Rightarrow |trX_1| < \varepsilon$$

which shows $f_1(t) \in U$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus G)$. It follows that $K_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus G} \leq 1/r$ and, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we are done.

(b) To prove that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{8}\|X_N\| \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} A_D(z, X_z)d(z),$$

let $z \in D$, $|z_2| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and

$$f(z, w) = \frac{1}{1 + |z_2|} \begin{cases} \max\{|w_2 - z_2|, (\frac{1}{4} - |z_2|)|w_1 - z_1|/(w_1 + \bar{z}_1)|\}, & |w_2| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ |w_2 - z_2|, & \frac{1}{4} < |w_2| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\log f$ is a negative plurisubharmonic function on D , with logarithmic pole at z and

$$\frac{1}{8}\|X_N\| = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \left(\operatorname{Re} z_1 \lim_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{f(z, z + \lambda X_z)}{|\lambda|} \right)$$

if $X_N \neq 0$, which implies (6).

Finally, we will prove that

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) \leq \frac{3}{8}\|X_N\|.$$

In view of Theorem 1, it suffices to consider the case when $X_N \neq 0$ and hence we may assume that $X_z = (1, X'_z)$. Let $a > 1$, $0 \leq b < 2(2a - 1)/(2a + 1)$, $z \in D$ and $x := \operatorname{Re} z_1 > 0$. We have that $1 > B := |z_2| + x|X'_z|(2/a + b)$ for $\|z\| \ll 1$. Set

$$f(t) = \left(z_1 + x \left(\frac{a+t}{a-t} - 1 + bt \right), z_2 + txX'_z \left(\frac{2}{a} + b \right) + t^2(1 - B) \right)$$

and $A = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(1 + 4B)/(1 - B)}$. We shall verify that $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, D)$. It is clear that $|f_2(t)| < 1$ for $t \in \Delta$. On the other hand, if $|z_1| \ll 1$, then

$$\frac{1 - |\operatorname{Im} z_1|}{x} \geq \frac{a+1}{a-1} + b = \sup_{t \in \Delta} \left| \frac{a+t}{a-t} + bt \right|$$

which implies $|f_1(t)| < 1$ for $t \in \Delta$. Since $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} A = \frac{1}{2}$, we may assume that $bA < (a - A)/(a + A)$. Now, the equality $\inf_{|t| \leq A} \operatorname{Re} (a+t)/(a-t) = (a - A)/(a + A)$ shows that

$$|f_2(t)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \Rightarrow |t| \leq A \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} f_1(t) > 0$$

which completes our verification that $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, D)$. Since $f'(0) = x(2/a + b)X_z$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0} K_D(z, X_z)d(z) \leq \frac{a}{2 + ab}.$$

Letting $b \rightarrow 2(2a - 1)/(2a + 1)$ and $a \rightarrow 1$, we obtain (7). \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug for their valuable remarks on the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] *K. Azukawa*: The invariant pseudo-metric related to negative plurisubharmonic functions. *Kodai Math. J.* *10* (1987), 83–92.
- [2] *D. Coman*: Boundary behavior of the pluricomplex Green function. *Ark. Mat.* *36* (1998), 341–353.
- [3] *H. Gaussier*: Tautness and complete hyperbolicity of domains in \mathbb{C}^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *127* (1999), 105–116.
- [4] *I. Graham*: Boundary behavior of the Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics on strongly pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *207* (1975), 219–240.
- [5] *M. Klimek*: Extremal plurisubharmonic function and invariant pseudodistances. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* *113* (1985), 231–240.
- [6] *J. Kohn*: Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ Re on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *181* (1973), 273–292.
- [7] *L. Lempert*: Holomorphic retracts and intrinsic metrics in convex domains. *Analysis Mathematica* *8* (1982), 257–261.
- [8] *N. Nikolov*: Localization, stability and boundary behavior of the Kobayashi metrics. Preprint ESI 790. Vienna, 1999, pp. 11.
- [9] *N. Sibony*: Une classe de domaines pseudoconvexes. *Duke Math. J.* *55* (1987), 299–319.

Author's address: Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: nik@math.bas.bg.