

Salar Y. Alsardary; Ali A. Ali

The basis number of some special non-planar graphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 2, 225–240

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127795>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE BASIS NUMBER OF SOME SPECIAL NON-PLANAR GRAPHS

SALAR Y. ALSARDARY, Philadelphia, and ALI A. ALI, Mosul

(Received January 17, 1995)

Abstract. The basis number of a graph G was defined by Schmeichel to be the least integer h such that G has an h -fold basis for its cycle space. He proved that for $m, n \geq 5$, the basis number $b(K_{m,n})$ of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is equal to 4 except for $K_{6,10}$, $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$ with $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. We determine the basis number of some particular non-planar graphs such as $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$, $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$, and r -cages for $r = 5, 6, 7, 8$, and the Robertson graph.

Keywords: graphs, basis number, cycle space, basis

MSC 2000: 05C35, 05C38

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we assume that graphs are finite, undirected, and simple. Our terminology and notation will be standard except as indicated. For undefined terms, see [3] and [4].

Let G be a graph, and let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q be an ordering of its edges. Then any subset S of $E(G)$ corresponds to a $(0, 1)$ -vector (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q) in the usual way, with $a_i = 1$ if $e_i \in S$ and $a_i = 0$ if $e_i \notin S$. These vectors form a q -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$, called the *cycle space* of G , be the subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$ generated by the vectors corresponding to the cycles in G . We shall say, however, that the cycles themselves, rather than the vectors corresponding to the cycles, generate $\mathcal{C}(G)$. It is well known that if G is a (p, q) connected graph, then the dimension of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}(G)) = \gamma(G) = q - p + k,$$

where p is the number of vertices, q is the number of edges, k is the number of connected components and $\gamma(G)$ is the cyclomatic number of G . In fact, given any spanning tree T in G , every graph $T + e$, $e \notin T$, contains exactly one cycle C_e , and the collection of cycles $\{C_e: e \notin T\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{C}(G)$, called the *fundamental basis corresponding to T* . While each edge outside of T occurs in exactly one cycle of this basis, an edge of T itself may occur in many cycles of the basis. This observation suggests the following definition.

Definition. Let h be a positive integer. A basis of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is called *h -fold* if each edge of G occurs in at most h of the cycles in the basis. The *basis number* of G (denoted by $b(G)$) is the smallest integer h such that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ has an h -fold basis, and such a basis is called the *required basis* of G and denoted by $B_r(G)$. If B is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G)$ and e is an edge of G then the *the fold of e in B* (denoted by $f_B(e)$) is defined to be the number of cycles in B containing e .

The *ring sum* of two graphs (subgraphs) G_1 and G_2 (written $G_1 \oplus G_2$) is the graph consisting of the vertex-set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and of the edges which are either in G_1 or G_2 but not in both.

The *girth* of a graph is the length of its shortest cycle. An *r -cage*, $r \geq 3$, is a cubic graph of girth r with the minimum possible number of vertices. Tutte [8] proved the existence of r -cages for $r \geq 3$, and for $r = 3, 4, \dots, 8$ there is a unique r -cage.

The *Robertson graph* is the smallest graph of girth 5 and valency 4 (i.e., each vertex is of degree 4). Robertson [6] established that, up to an isomorphism, the Robertson graph is the only smallest graph of girth 5 and valency 4.

The first important result concerning the basis number was given by MacLane [5]. He proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *A graph G is planar if and only if $b(G) \leq 2$.*

Schmeichel [7] proved that for every integer $n \geq 5$, $b(K_n) = 3$. Also he proved that for $m, n \geq 5$, the basis number $b(K_{m,n})$ of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is equal to 4 except for $K_{6,10}$, $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$, with $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. Moreover, Banks and Schmeichel [2] proved that for $n \geq 7$, $b(Q_n) = 4$, where Q_n is the n -cube.

A lower bound for the basis number of a graph is given in the following theorem which is due to Banks and Schmeichel [2].

Theorem 1.2. *For any connected graph G ,*

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \left\lfloor \frac{b(G)d(v)}{2} \right\rfloor \geq g(G) \cdot \gamma(G),$$

where $d(v)$ denotes the degree of the vertex v , $g(G)$ the girth of G , and $\gamma(G)$ the cyclomatic number of G .

Ali and Alsardary [1] investigated the relation between $b(G)$ and $b(G')$ where G' is the graph obtained from a graph G by either adding or deleting an edge in certain ways, or by contracting some edges.

In this note we first investigate the basis number of $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$, $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. We prove that $b(K_{5,n}) = b(K_{6,n}) = 3$ for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. Next, we investigate the basis number of r -cages for $r = 5, 6, 7, 8$. We prove that $b(r\text{-cage}) = 3$ for $r = 5, 6, 7$ and $b(8\text{-cage}) = 4$. Finally, we prove that the basis number of the Robertson graph is 4.

2. THE BASIS NUMBER OF $K_{5,n}$ AND $K_{6,n}$, $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$

Schmeichel [7] determined the basis number for all complete bipartite graphs except $K_{5,n}$, $K_{6,n}$, $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$, and $K_{6,10}$. We shall prove the basis number of these graphs is 3, except $K_{6,10}$, for which it seems likely that the basis number is 3.

It is clear that each $K_{m,n}$, $m, n \geq 3$, is a non-planar graph; therefore, by Theorem 1.1, we need to find a 3-fold basis for each of the complete bipartite graphs $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$, $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. For each of these graphs, we choose a set B of cycles such that $|B|$ equals the cyclomatic number of the graph and the fold of each edge in B is not more than 3. Then, we show that B is independent.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be a partition of the vertices of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ into independent sets. Then the set of the edges of $K_{m,n}$ will be

$$E(K_{m,n}) = \{[x_i, y_j] : i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Denoting $[x_i, y_j]$ by $e_{i+m(j-1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(K_{m,n}) &= \{e_{i+m(j-1)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ &= \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{mn}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, the cycles of $K_{m,n}$ will be represented by the sequences of their vertices. To obtain the vector of a cycle C in $K_{m,n}$, we find the edge representation of C using the above notation.

2.1. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,5})$.

It is clear that the number of edges of $K_{5,5}$ is 25 and the number of vertices is 10. Therefore, $\gamma(K_{5,5}) = 16$.

Schmeichel [7] proved that

$$\{x_i y_j x_{i+1} y_{j+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$$

is a 4-fold basis for $K_{m,n}$. Starting from the 4-fold basis

$$\{x_i y_j x_{i+1} y_{j+1} : i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

of $K_{5,5}$, we form the cycle matrix M whose rows are the vectors of this basis. Applying some elementary row operations on M , we obtain a cycle matrix M' in which each column contains not more than three non-zero entries. The set of the cycles whose vectors are the rows of M' is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} B(K_{5,5}) = \{ & x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2, x_1 y_1 x_2 y_3, x_1 y_3 x_2 y_4, x_1 y_4 x_2 y_5, x_2 y_4 x_3 y_5, x_3 y_1 x_4 y_2, \\ & x_4 y_1 x_5 y_2, x_4 y_4 x_5 y_5, x_1 y_1 x_3 y_2, x_1 y_4 x_5 y_5, x_2 y_3 x_3 y_5, x_3 y_2 x_4 y_4, \\ & x_3 y_3 x_4 y_5, x_4 y_1 x_5 y_3, x_4 y_3 x_5 y_5, x_1 y_2 x_2 y_1 x_3 y_3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $B(K_{5,5})$ is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,5})$, and we can check that by writing $B(K_{5,5})$ in terms of the edges, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(K_{5,5}) = \{ & e_1 e_2 e_7 e_6, e_1 e_2 e_{12} e_{11}, e_{11} e_{12} e_{17} e_{16}, e_{16} e_{17} e_{22} e_{21}, e_{17} e_{18} e_{23} e_{22}, e_3 e_4 e_9 e_8, \\ & e_4 e_5 e_{10} e_9, e_{19} e_{20} e_{25} e_{24}, e_1 e_3 e_8 e_6, e_{16} e_{20} e_{25} e_{21}, e_{12} e_{13} e_{23} e_{22}, e_8 e_9 e_{19} e_{18}, \\ & e_{13} e_{14} e_{24} e_{23}, e_4 e_5 e_{15} e_{14}, e_{14} e_{15} e_{25} e_{24}, e_6 e_7 e_2 e_3 e_{13} e_{11} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can easily check that if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i C_i = \vec{0},$$

then $a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, 16$, where C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{16} are the vectors of the cycles given in $B(K_{5,5})$. This can be done by representing the system of homogeneous equations (1) as

$$(2) \quad AB = \vec{0},$$

where A is a row matrix $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{16})$, $\vec{0}$ is a 1×25 zero matrix, and B is a 16×25 matrix which is the cycle matrix [4] of $K_{5,5}$ corresponding to the set of cycles $B(K_{5,5})$.

It is clear that $B = [b_{ij}]$, $b_{ij} = 1$ if the edge e_j is in the cycle C_i and zero otherwise.

By an algebraic method, or by computer, we show that B has rank 16 ($= \gamma$). Thus $A = \vec{0}$ is the only solution for (2). This implies that $B(K_{5,5})$ is an independent set of 16 ($= \gamma(K_{5,5})$) cycles.

Remark. This procedure is used also in Sections 2.2–2.7, 3.1–3.4 and 4, to show that $B()$ are independent sets of cycles.

2.2. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,6})$.

Schmeichel [7] proved that

$$B(K_{4,n}) = \begin{cases} x_1y_i x_2y_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ x_3y_i x_4y_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ x_1y_{2i-1} x_3y_{2i} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \\ x_2y_{2i} x_4y_{2i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \end{cases}$$

is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{4,n})$.

We want to choose a subset S of $B(K_{4,6})$ such that it is possible to find a set T of $\gamma(K_{5,6}) - |S|$ cycles each of length 4 constructed from the edges incident at x_5 together with the edges of $K_{4,6}$ which are of fold less than 3 in S , and such that the fold in $S \cup T$ of each edge of $K_{5,6}$ does not exceed 3. Then, we test the set $S \cup T$ for independence. If $S \cup T$ is independent, then it is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,6})$, otherwise we consider another S and T , and so on.

The procedure that may be followed to obtain a suitable S is: start taking $S = B(K_{4,6})$, if it does not lead to the required T , take S to be a subset obtained from $B(K_{4,6})$ by omitting one cycle, then by omitting two cycles, and so on, until a suitable S which leads to the required T is obtained.

Following this procedure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= B(K_{4,6}) - \{x_1y_2x_2y_3, x_3y_4x_4y_5, x_2y_4x_4y_5\} \\ &= \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_3x_2y_4, x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_3y_1x_4y_2, x_3y_2x_4y_3, \\ &\quad x_3y_3x_4y_4, x_3y_5x_4y_6, x_1y_1x_3y_2, x_1y_3x_3y_4, x_1y_5x_3y_6, x_2y_2x_4y_3\}, \\ T &= \{x_1y_6x_2y_1, x_3y_6x_4y_1, x_5y_1x_2y_2, x_5y_2x_1y_3, \\ &\quad x_5y_3x_2y_6, x_5y_4x_3y_5, x_5y_5x_4y_6, x_5y_1x_4y_4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we take $B(K_{5,6}) = S \cup T$.

To show that the cycles of $B(K_{5,6})$ are independent, we write them in terms of the edges,

$$\begin{aligned} B(K_{5,6}) &= \{e_1e_2e_7e_6, e_{11}e_{12}e_{17}e_{16}, e_{16}e_{17}e_{22}e_{21}, e_{21}e_{22}e_{27}e_{26}, e_3e_4e_9e_8, e_8e_9e_{14}e_{13}, \\ &\quad e_{13}e_{14}e_{19}e_{18}, e_{23}e_{24}e_{29}e_{28}, e_1e_3e_8e_6, e_{11}e_{13}e_{18}e_{16}, e_{21}e_{23}e_{28}e_{26}, \\ &\quad e_7e_9e_{14}e_{12}, e_{26}e_{27}e_2e_1, e_{28}e_{29}e_4e_3, e_5e_2e_7e_{10}, e_{10}e_6e_{11}e_{15}, \\ &\quad e_{15}e_{12}e_{27}e_{30}, e_{20}e_{18}e_{23}e_{25}, e_{25}e_{24}e_{29}e_{30}, e_5e_4e_{19}e_{20}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and prove that the vectors of these 20 cycles are linearly independent by an algebraic method. Since $\gamma(K_{5,6}) = 20$, it follows that $B(K_{5,6})$ is indeed a basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,6})$. It is a simple matter to verify that it is a 3-fold basis.

2.3. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,7})$.

To find a 3-fold basis $B(K_{5,7})$ we follow the procedure mentioned in 2.2, i.e., we find a subset S of $B(K_{4,7})$ and a set T of cycles constructed from the edges incident at vertex x_5 together with the edges of $K_{4,7}$ whose fold in S is less than 3 and such that

$$|S| + |T| = \gamma(K_{5,7}),$$

and the fold in $S \cup T$ of each edge of $K_{5,7}$ does not exceed 3.

It is found that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= B(K_{4,7}) - \{x_1y_2x_2y_3, x_3y_3x_4y_4, x_2y_4x_4y_5, x_1y_5x_3y_6\} \\ &= \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_3x_2y_4, x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_1y_6x_2y_7, x_3y_1x_4y_2, x_3y_2x_4y_3, \\ &\quad x_3y_4x_4y_5, x_3y_5x_4y_6, x_3y_6x_4y_7, x_1y_1x_3y_2, x_1y_3x_3y_4, x_2y_2x_4y_3, x_2y_6x_4y_7\}, \\ T &= \{x_1y_1x_3y_7, x_2y_1x_4y_7, x_5y_1x_2y_2, x_5y_2x_1y_3, x_5y_3x_3y_6, \\ &\quad x_5y_6x_1y_7, x_5y_7x_3y_4, x_5y_4x_2y_5, x_5y_5x_4y_1, x_5y_3x_4y_4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the cycles of $S \cup T$ in terms of their edges, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} S \cup T &= \{e_1e_2e_7e_6, e_{11}e_{12}e_{17}e_{16}, e_{16}e_{17}e_{22}e_{21}, e_{21}e_{22}e_{27}e_{26}, \\ &\quad e_{26}e_{27}e_{32}e_{31}, e_3e_4e_9e_8, e_8e_9e_{14}e_{13}, e_{18}e_{19}e_{24}e_{23}, \\ &\quad e_{23}e_{24}e_{29}e_{28}, e_{28}e_{29}e_{34}e_{33}, e_1e_3e_8e_6, e_{11}e_{13}e_{18}e_{16}, \\ &\quad e_7e_9e_{14}e_{12}, e_{27}e_{29}e_{34}e_{32}, e_1e_3e_{33}e_{31}, e_2e_4e_{34}e_{32}, \\ &\quad e_5e_2e_7e_{10}, e_{10}e_6e_{11}e_{15}, e_{15}e_{13}e_{28}e_{30}, e_{30}e_{26}e_{31}e_{35}, \\ &\quad e_{35}e_{33}e_{18}e_{20}, e_{20}e_{17}e_{22}e_{25}, e_{25}e_{24}e_4e_5, e_{15}e_{14}e_{19}e_{20}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show that the cycles of $S \cup T$ are independent. Since

$$|S \cup T| = 24 = \gamma(K_{5,7}),$$

it follows that $B(K_{5,7}) = S \cup T$ is indeed a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,7})$.

2.4. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,8})$.

To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,8})$, we choose S from the basis $B(K_{5,7})$ which was obtained in 2.3. Following the procedure given in 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= B(K_{5,7}) - \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_3y_6x_4y_7, x_1y_3x_3y_4\} \\ &= \{x_1y_3x_2y_4, x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_1y_6x_2y_7, x_3y_1x_4y_2, x_3y_2x_4y_3, x_3y_4x_4y_5, \\ &\quad x_3y_5x_4y_6, x_1y_1x_3y_2, x_2y_2x_4y_3, x_2y_6x_4y_7, x_1y_1x_3y_7, x_2y_1x_4y_7, x_5y_1x_2y_2, \\ &\quad x_5y_2x_1y_3, x_5y_3x_3y_6, x_5y_6x_1y_7, x_5y_7x_3y_4, x_5y_4x_2y_5x_5y_5x_4y_1, x_5y_3x_4y_4\}, \\ T &= \{x_1y_1x_2y_8, x_2y_2x_5y_8, x_5y_5x_1y_8, x_3y_7x_4y_8, x_4y_4x_3y_8, x_1y_3x_3y_8, x_5y_6x_4y_8\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the cycles of T are constructed from the edges incident at the vertex y_8 together with the edges of $K_{5,7}$ which are of fold less than 3 in S .

We can show that

$$\begin{aligned} B(K_{5,8}) &= S \cup T \\ &= \{e_{11}e_{12}e_{17}e_{16}, e_{16}e_{17}e_{22}e_{21}, e_{21}e_{22}e_{27}e_{26}, e_{26}e_{27}e_{32}e_{31}, \\ &\quad e_3e_4e_9e_8, e_8e_9e_{14}e_{13}, e_{18}e_{19}e_{24}e_{23}, e_{23}e_{24}e_{29}e_{28}, e_1e_3e_8e_6, \\ &\quad e_7e_9e_{14}e_{12}, e_{27}e_{29}e_{34}e_{32}, e_1e_3e_{33}e_{31}, e_2e_4e_{34}e_{32}, e_5e_2e_7e_{10}, \\ &\quad e_{10}e_6e_{11}e_{15}, e_{15}e_{13}e_{28}e_{30}, e_{30}e_{26}e_{31}e_{35}, e_{35}e_{33}e_{18}e_{20}, e_{20}e_{17}e_{22}e_{25}, \\ &\quad e_{25}e_{24}e_4e_5, e_{15}e_{14}e_{19}e_{20}, e_1e_2e_{37}e_{36}, e_7e_{10}e_{40}e_{37}, e_{25}e_{21}e_{36}e_{40}, \\ &\quad e_{33}e_{34}e_{39}e_{38}, e_{19}e_{18}e_{38}e_{39}, e_{11}e_{13}e_{38}e_{36}, e_{30}e_{29}e_{39}e_{40}\} \end{aligned}$$

is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{5,8})$ by proving that these 28 ($= \gamma(K_{5,8})$) cycles are independent.

2.5. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,6})$.

To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,6})$, we choose a suitable subset S from the basis $B(K_{5,6})$ which is obtained in 2.3. Following the procedure mentioned in 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= B(K_{5,6}) - \{x_1y_3x_2y_4, x_3y_1x_4y_2, x_1y_5x_3y_6, x_2y_2x_4y_3\} \\ &= \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_3y_2x_4y_3, x_3y_3x_4y_4, x_3y_5x_4y_6, \\ &\quad x_1y_1x_3y_2, x_1y_3x_3y_4, x_1y_6x_2y_1, x_3y_6x_4y_1, x_5y_1x_2y_2, x_5y_2x_1y_3, \\ &\quad x_5y_3x_2y_6, x_5y_4x_3y_5, x_5y_5x_4y_6, x_5y_1x_4y_4\}, \\ T &= \{x_6y_1x_5y_2, x_6y_2x_2y_4, x_6y_4x_4y_3, x_6y_3x_2y_5, x_6y_5x_5y_6, \\ &\quad x_6y_2x_4y_5, x_1y_3x_6y_6, x_2y_3x_5y_4, x_3y_1x_6y_6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the cycles of T are constructed from the edges incident at x_6 together with the edges of $K_{5,6}$ which are of fold less than 3 in S .

We can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(K_{6,6}) &= S \cup T \\
 &= \{e_1e_2e_8e_7, e_{19}e_{20}e_{26}e_{25}, e_{25}e_{26}e_{32}e_{31}, e_9e_{10}e_{16}e_{15}, e_{15}e_{16}e_{22}e_{21}, \\
 &\quad e_{27}e_{28}e_{34}e_{33}, e_1e_3e_9e_7, e_{13}e_{15}e_{21}e_{19}, e_{31}e_{32}e_2e_1, e_{33}e_{34}e_4e_3, \\
 &\quad e_5e_2e_8e_{11}, e_{11}e_7e_{13}e_{17}, e_{17}e_{14}e_{32}e_{35}, e_{23}e_{21}e_{27}e_{29}, e_{29}e_{28}e_{34}e_{35}, \\
 &\quad e_5e_4e_{22}e_{23}, e_6e_5e_{11}e_{12}, e_{12}e_8e_{20}e_{24}, e_{24}e_{22}e_{16}e_{18}, e_{18}e_{14}e_{26}e_{30}, \\
 &\quad e_{30}e_{29}e_{35}e_{36}, e_{12}e_{10}e_{28}e_{30}, e_{13}e_{18}e_{36}e_{31}, e_{14}e_{17}e_{23}e_{20}, e_3e_6e_{36}e_{33}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,6})$ by proving that these 25 ($= \gamma(K_{6,6})$) cycles are independent.

2.6. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,7})$.

As for $K_{6,6}$, we obtain $B(K_{6,7})$ by choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= B(K_{6,6}) - \{x_1y_3x_6y_6, x_2y_3x_5y_4, x_3y_1x_6y_6\} \\
 &= \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_3y_2x_4y_3, x_3y_3x_4y_4, x_3y_5x_4y_6, x_1y_1x_3y_2, \\
 &\quad x_1y_3x_3y_4, x_1y_6x_2y_1, x_3y_6x_4y_1, x_5y_1x_2y_2, x_5y_2x_1y_3, x_5y_3x_2y_6, x_5y_4x_3y_5, \\
 &\quad x_5y_5x_4y_6, x_5y_1x_4y_4, x_6y_1x_5y_2, x_6y_2x_2y_4, x_6y_4x_4y_3, x_6y_3x_2y_5, \\
 &\quad x_6y_5x_5y_6, x_6y_2x_4y_5\}, \\
 T &= \{x_1y_4x_6y_6, x_6y_1x_3y_7, x_5y_3x_1y_7, x_4y_1x_6y_7, x_3y_2x_4y_7, \\
 &\quad x_2y_3x_6y_7, x_1y_5x_3y_7, x_2y_4x_5y_7\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the cycles of T are constructed from the edges incident at y_7 together with the edges of $K_{6,6}$ whose fold in S is less than 3. Then it is shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(K_{6,7}) &= S \cup T \\
 &= \{e_1e_2e_8e_7, e_{19}e_{20}e_{26}e_{25}, e_{25}e_{26}e_{32}e_{31}, e_9e_{10}e_{16}e_{15}, e_{15}e_{16}e_{22}e_{21}, \\
 &\quad e_{27}e_{28}e_{34}e_{33}, e_1e_3e_9e_7, e_{13}e_{15}e_{21}e_{19}, e_{31}e_{32}e_2e_1, e_{33}e_{34}e_4e_3, \\
 &\quad e_5e_2e_8e_{11}, e_{11}e_7e_{13}e_{17}, e_{17}e_{14}e_{32}e_{35}, e_{23}e_{21}e_{27}e_{29}, e_{29}e_{28}e_{34}e_{35}, \\
 &\quad e_5e_4e_{22}e_{23}, e_6e_5e_{11}e_{12}, e_{12}e_8e_{20}e_{24}, e_{24}e_{22}e_{16}e_{18}, \\
 &\quad e_{18}e_{14}e_{26}e_{30}, e_{30}e_{29}e_{35}e_{36}, e_{12}e_{10}e_{28}e_{30}, e_{19}e_{24}e_{36}e_{31}, \\
 &\quad e_6e_3e_{39}e_{32}, e_{17}e_{13}e_{37}e_{41}, e_4e_6e_{42}e_{40}, e_9e_{10}e_{40}e_{39}, \\
 &\quad e_{14}e_{18}e_{42}e_{38}, e_{25}e_{27}e_{39}e_{37}, e_{20}e_{23}e_{41}e_{38}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,7})$ by proving that the 30 ($= \gamma(K_{6,7})$) cycles of $B(K_{6,7})$ are independent.

2.7. A 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,8})$.

To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,8})$, we start from $B(K_{6,7})$ and follow the procedure given in 2.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= B(K_{6,7}) - \{x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_3y_3x_4y_4, x_6y_5x_5y_6\} \\
 &= \{x_1y_4x_2y_5, x_1y_5x_2y_6, x_3y_2x_4y_3, x_3y_5x_4y_6, x_1y_1x_3y_2, \\
 &\quad x_1y_3x_3y_4, x_1y_6x_2y_1, x_3y_6x_4y_1, x_5y_1x_2y_2, x_5y_2x_1y_3, \\
 &\quad x_5y_3x_2y_6, x_5y_4x_3y_5, x_5y_5x_4y_6, x_5y_1x_4y_4, x_6y_1x_5y_2, \\
 &\quad x_6y_2x_2y_4, x_6y_4x_4y_3, x_6y_3x_2y_5, x_6y_2x_4y_5, x_1y_4x_6y_6, \\
 &\quad x_6y_1x_3y_7, x_5y_3x_1y_7, x_4y_1x_6y_7, x_3y_2x_4y_7, x_2y_3x_6y_7, \\
 &\quad x_1y_5x_3y_7, x_2y_4x_5y_7\}, \\
 T &= \{x_5y_6x_6y_8, x_5y_5x_6y_8, x_4y_4x_3y_8, x_4y_3x_3y_8, x_2y_2x_1y_8, \\
 &\quad x_2y_1x_1y_8, x_5y_7x_1y_8, x_6y_6x_3y_8\},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(K_{6,8}) &= S \cup T \\
 &= \{e_{19}e_{20}e_{26}e_{25}, e_{25}e_{26}e_{32}e_{31}, e_9e_{10}e_{16}e_{15}, \\
 &\quad e_{27}e_{28}e_{34}e_{33}, e_1e_3e_9e_7, e_{13}e_{15}e_{21}e_{19}, e_{31}e_{32}e_2e_1, \\
 &\quad e_{33}e_{34}e_4e_3, e_5e_2e_8e_{11}, e_{11}e_7e_{13}e_{17}, e_{17}e_{14}e_{32}e_{35}, \\
 &\quad e_{23}e_{21}e_{27}e_{29}, e_{29}e_{28}e_{34}e_{35}, e_5e_4e_{22}e_{23}, e_6e_5e_{11}e_{12}, \\
 &\quad e_{12}e_8e_{20}e_{24}, e_{24}e_{22}e_{16}e_{18}, e_{18}e_{14}e_{26}e_{30}, e_{12}e_{10}e_{28}e_{30}, \\
 &\quad e_{19}e_{24}e_{36}e_{31}, e_6e_3e_{39}e_{42}, e_{17}e_{13}e_{37}e_{41}, e_4e_6e_{42}e_{40}, \\
 &\quad e_9e_{10}e_{40}e_{39}, e_{14}e_{18}e_{42}e_{38}, e_{25}e_{27}e_{39}e_{37}, e_{20}e_{23}e_{41}e_{38}, \\
 &\quad e_{35}e_{36}e_{48}e_{47}, e_{29}e_{30}e_{48}e_{47}, e_{22}e_{21}e_{45}e_{46}, e_{16}e_{15}e_{45}e_{46}, \\
 &\quad e_8e_7e_{43}e_{44}, e_2e_1e_{43}e_{44}, e_{41}e_{37}e_{43}e_{47}, e_{36}e_{33}e_{45}e_{48}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the cycles of T are constructed from the edges incident at y_8 together with the edges of $K_{6,7}$ which are of fold less than 3 in S . Then it is shown that $B(K_{6,8})$ is a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(K_{6,8})$ by proving that the 35 ($= \gamma(K_{6,8})$) cycles are independent.

Now the proof of the following statement has been completed.

Theorem 2.1. *The basis number of $K_{5,n}$ and $K_{6,n}$ for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$ is 3.*

3. THE BASIS NUMBER OF SOME r -CAGES

We start this section with a simple result concerning the basis number of cubic graphs.

Let G_c be a cubic graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $m = 3n/2$ and

$$\gamma(G_c) = \frac{3n}{2} - n + 1 = \frac{n}{2} + 1.$$

From Theorem 1.2 we have

$$n \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{3b(G_c)}{2} \right\rfloor \geq g(G_c) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right),$$

where $g(G_c)$ is the girth of G_c . We consider two cases:

Case (I). If $b(G_c)$ is even, then

$$(3) \quad b(G_c) \geq \frac{1}{3}g(G_c) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right).$$

Case (II). If $b(G_c)$ is odd, then

$$(4) \quad b(G_c) \geq \frac{1}{3}g(G_c) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{3}.$$

If $b(G_c) = 2$, then from (1) we get

$$g(G_c) \leq \frac{6n}{n+2} < 6.$$

Thus we have the following statement.

Corollary 3.1. *Each cubic graph of girth more than 5 is non-planar.*

If $b(G_c) = 3$, then (2) implies

$$g(G_c) \leq \frac{8n}{n+2}.$$

Since the girth is an integer, then $g(G_c) \leq 7$ when $b(G_c) = 3$. Therefore, if $g(G_c) \geq 8$, then $b(G_c) \geq 4$.

Hence, the proof of the following theorem is completed:

Theorem 3.1. *If G_c is a cubic graph of girth not less than 8, then $b(G_c) \geq 4$.*

It is mentioned in Section 1 that, for $r = 3, 4, \dots, 8$, there is a unique r -cage. Since the 3-cage is K_4 and the 4-cage is $K_{3,3}$, we have $b(3\text{-cage}) = 2$ and $b(4\text{-cage}) = 3$.

To determine the basis number of the r -cage for $r = 5, 6, 7, 8$, we divide the remaining part of this section into four subsections.

3.1. The basis number of Petersen graph.

The 5-cage is the graph shown in Fig. 1. It is called Petersen graph, and will be denoted by G_P .




Figure 1. Petersen graph

It is clear that G_P is a non-planar graph, therefore, by Theorem 1.1, $b(G_P) \geq 3$. To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_P)$, consider the set of cycles of G_P :

$$B(G_P) = \{e_1e_6e_{15}e_{14}e_{10}, e_2e_7e_{12}e_{11}e_6, e_3e_8e_{14}e_{13}e_7, \\ e_4e_9e_{11}e_{15}e_8, e_5e_{10}e_{13}e_{12}e_9, e_1e_2e_7e_{13}e_{10}\}.$$

We can easily show that the vectors representing the cycles of $B(G_P)$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}(G_P)$. Since

$$|B(G_P)| = 6 = \gamma(G_P) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(G_P)),$$

$B(G_P)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_P)$. Moreover, one can easily check that the fold of each edge of G_P in the basis $B(G_P)$ is not more than 3. Thus $b(G_P) \leq 3$, and so the basis number of the Petersen graph is 3.

3.2. The basis number of Heawood graph.

The 6-cage is the graph shown in Fig. 2. It is called the Heawood graph, and will be denoted by G_H .

Since G_H is a cubic graph of girth 6, G_H is non-planar by Corollary 3.1 and so $b(G_H) \geq 3$.




Figure 2. Heawood graph

To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_H)$, consider the set of cycles of G_H :

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(G_H) = \{ & e_1 e_{16} e_7 e_8 e_9 e_{15}, e_3 e_{18} e_9 e_{10} e_{11} e_{17}, e_5 e_{20} e_{11} e_{12} e_{13} e_{19}, \\
 & e_7 e_{21} e_{13} e_{14} e_1 e_{16}, e_9 e_{15} e_1 e_2 e_3 e_{18}, e_{11} e_{17} e_3 e_4 e_5 e_{20}, \\
 & e_{13} e_{19} e_5 e_6 e_7 e_{21}, e_{14} e_{19} e_4 e_{18} e_8 e_{21} e_{12} e_{17} e_2 e_{16} e_6 e_{20} e_{10} e_{15} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show that the vectors representing the cycles of $B(G_H)$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}(G_H)$. Since

$$|B(G_H)| = 8 = \gamma(G_H) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(G_H)),$$

then $B(G_H)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_H)$. Moreover, one can easily check that the fold of each edge of G_H in the basis $B(G_H)$ is not more than 3. Thus $b(G_H) \leq 3$, and so the basis number of the Heawood graph is 3.

3.3. The basis number of McGee graph.

The 7-cage is the graph shown in Fig. 3. It is called the McGee graph, and will be denoted by G_M .

By Corollary 3.1, G_M is a non-planar graph, and so $b(G_M) \geq 3$.




Figure 3. McGee graph

To find a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_M)$, consider the set of cycles of G_M :

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(G_M) = \{ & e_{33}e_9e_2e_3e_4e_5e_{13}, e_{34}e_{10}e_3e_4e_5e_6e_{14}, e_{35}e_{11}e_3e_2e_1e_8e_{15}, \\
 & e_{36}e_{12}e_5e_6e_7e_8e_{16}, e_9e_1e_{16}e_{24}e_{29}e_{21}e_{33}, e_{16}e_8e_{15}e_{23}e_{26}e_{20}e_{36}, \\
 & e_{15}e_7e_{14}e_{22}e_{31}e_{19}e_{35}, e_{14}e_6e_{13}e_{21}e_{28}e_{18}e_{34}, e_9e_2e_{10}e_{18}e_{27}e_{26}e_{25}e_{17}, \\
 & e_{33}e_{17}e_{32}e_{31}e_{30}e_{29}e_{21}, e_{34}e_{18}e_{27}e_{26}e_{25}e_{32}e_{22}, e_4e_{11}e_{19}e_{31}e_{32}e_{25}e_{20}e_{12}, \\
 & e_{35}e_{19}e_{30}e_{29}e_{28}e_{27}e_{23} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can easily show that the vectors which represent the cycles of $B(G_M)$ are linearly independent in the vector space $\mathcal{C}(G_M)$. Since

$$|B(G_M)| = 13 = \gamma(G_M) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(G_M)),$$

$B(G_M)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_M)$. Moreover, one can easily check that this basis is a 3-fold basis. Thus $b(G_M) \leq 3$.

Hence the basis number of the McGee graph is 3.

3.4. The basis number of Levi graph.

The Levi graph is the 8-cage which is shown in Fig. 4. It will be denoted by G_L .

From Theorem 3.1, $b(G_L) \geq 4$.

Thus, to prove that $b(G_L) = 4$, we shall give a 4-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_L)$.




Figure 4. Levi graph

Consider the set of cycles of G_L :

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(G_L) = \{ & e_1e_{11}e_{21}e_{37}e_{36}e_{35}e_{22}e_{12}, e_2e_{12}e_{22}e_{34}e_{33}e_{32}e_{23}e_{13}, \\
 & e_3e_{13}e_{23}e_{31}e_{40}e_{39}e_{24}e_{14}, e_4e_{14}e_{24}e_{38}e_{37}e_{36}e_{25}e_{15}, \\
 & e_5e_{15}e_{25}e_{35}e_{34}e_{33}e_{26}e_{16}, e_6e_{16}e_{26}e_{32}e_{31}e_{40}e_{27}e_{17}, \\
 & e_7e_{17}e_{27}e_{39}e_{38}e_{37}e_{28}e_{18}, e_8e_{18}e_{28}e_{36}e_{35}e_{34}e_{29}e_{19}, \\
 & e_9e_{19}e_{29}e_{33}e_{32}e_{31}e_{30}e_{20}, e_{10}e_{20}e_{30}e_{40}e_{39}e_{38}e_{21}e_{11}, \\
 & e_{44}e_{16}e_6e_7e_8e_9e_{10}e_{11}, e_{45}e_{22}e_{35}e_{36}e_{28}e_{18}e_7e_{17}, \\
 & e_{41}e_{28}e_{37}e_{38}e_{24}e_{14}e_3e_{13}, e_{42}e_{29}e_{33}e_{32}e_{23}e_{13}e_3e_{14}, \\
 & e_{43}e_{15}e_5e_6e_7e_8e_9e_{20}, e_{44}e_{11}e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_{16} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using a simple algebraic method, we prove that the vectors of the cycles of $B(G_L)$ are linearly independent in the vector space $\mathcal{C}(G_L)$. Since

$$|B(G_L)| = 16 = \gamma(G_L) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(G_L)),$$

$B(G_L)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_L)$. Moreover, one can easily check that the fold of each edge of G_L in this basis $B(G_L)$ is not more than 4. Therefore, $b(G_L) \leq 4$.

Hence the basis number of the Levi graph is 4.

Now, we summarize the results of the three subsections in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *The basis number of an r -cage for $r = 4, 5, 6, 7$ is 3. The basis number of the 8-cage is 4.*

4. THE BASIS NUMBER OF ROBERTSON GRAPH

It is mentioned in Section 1 that the Robertson graph, which is shown in Fig. 5 and denoted by G_R , is the only smallest graph of girth 5 and valency 4.




Figure 5. Robertson graph

It is clear that G_R has 19 vertices and 38 edges. Thus $\gamma(G_R) = 20$.

By Theorem 1.2,

$$19 \left\lfloor \frac{b(G_R) \cdot 4}{2} \right\rfloor \geq (5)(20),$$

that is,

$$b(G_R) \geq \frac{50}{19}.$$

This means that $b(G_R) \geq 3$.

To prove that the basis number of G_R is 3, we form a 3-fold basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_R)$.

Consider the set of cycles of G_R :

$$B(G_R) = \{e_{33}e_{27}e_6e_8e_{34}, e_{34}e_{19}e_9e_{14}e_{33}, e_{20}e_{21}e_{22}e_{37}e_{36}, \\ e_{37}e_{23}e_7e_{16}e_{13}e_3, e_{37}e_{11}e_6e_{26}e_3, e_{35}e_{12}e_5e_{21}e_2, \\ e_{14}e_{10}e_{22}e_{23}e_{32}, e_{31}e_6e_{17}e_5e_{30}, e_{29}e_{18}e_8e_{17}e_{15}, \\ e_{24}e_{23}e_{11}e_{17}e_{12}, e_{20}e_{21}e_{10}e_{16}e_9, e_{26}e_{27}e_{14}e_{16}e_{13}, \\ e_{25}e_{13}e_{10}e_2e_{35}, e_{28}e_{14}e_9e_{36}e_{38}, e_{24}e_{25}e_{26}e_{31}e_{32}, \\ e_{14}e_{18}e_{19}e_{20}e_{30}, e_{29}e_{18}e_{19}e_{36}e_{38}, e_{12}e_{15}e_{28}e_{33}e_{35}, \\ e_8e_{11}e_{22}e_2e_{34}, e_1e_{29}e_{28}e_{27}e_{31}\}.$$

We can easily show that the vectors of the cycles of $B(G_R)$ are linearly independent in the vector space $\mathcal{C}(G_R)$. Since

$$|B(G_R)| = 20 = \gamma(G_R) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(G_R)),$$

$B(G_R)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G_R)$. Moreover, one can easily check that the fold of each edge of G_R in the basis $B(G_R)$ is not more than 3. Thus $b(G_R) \leq 3$. This completes the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *The basis number of the Robertson graph is 3.*

References

- [1] *A. A. Ali and S. Y. Alsardary*: On the basis number of a graph. *Dirasat (Science)* 14 (1987), 43–51.
- [2] *J. A. Banks and E. F. Schmeichel*: The basis number of the n -cube. *J. Combin Theory, Ser. B* 33 (1982), 95–100.
- [3] *J. A. Bondy and S. R. Murty*: *Graph Theory with Applications*. Amer. Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [4] *F. Harary*: *Graph Theory*. 2nd ed., Addison-Wesely, Reading, Massachusetts, 1971.
- [5] *S. MacLane*: A combinatorial condition for planar graphs. *Fund. Math.* 28 (1937), 22–32.
- [6] *N. Robertson*: The smallest graph of girth 5 and valency 4. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 30 (1981), 824–825.
- [7] *E. F. Schmeichel*: The basis number of a graph. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 30 (1981), 123–129.
- [8] *W. T. Tutte*: *Connectivity in Graphs*. Univ. Toronto press, Toronto, 1966.

Authors' addresses: S. Y. Alsardary, Department of Mathematics, Physics, & Computer Science, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104-4495, USA, e-mail: s.alsard@usip.edu; A. A. Ali, Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mosul University, Mosul, Iraq.