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GRACEFUL SIGNED GRAPHS
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Abstract. A \((p, q)\)-sigraph \(S\) is an ordered pair \((G, s)\) where \(G = (V, E)\) is a \((p, q)\)-graph and \(s\) is a function which assigns to each edge of \(G\) a positive or a negative sign. Let the sets \(E^+\) and \(E^-\) consist of \(m\) positive and \(n\) negative edges of \(G\), respectively, where \(m + n = q\). Given positive integers \(k\) and \(d\), \(S\) is said to be \((k, d)\)-graceful if the vertices of \(G\) can be labeled with distinct integers from the set \(\{0, 1, \ldots, k + (q - 1)d\}\) such that when each edge \(uv\) of \(G\) is assigned the product of its sign and the absolute difference of the integers assigned to \(u\) and \(v\) the edges in \(E^+\) and \(E^-\) are labeled \(k, k + d, k + 2d, \ldots, k + (m - 1)d\) and \(-k, -(k + d), -(k + 2d), \ldots, -(k + (n - 1)d)\), respectively.

In this paper, we report results of our preliminary investigation on the above new notion, which indeed generalises the well-known concept of \((k, d)\)-graceful graphs due to B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde.
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1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, unless mentioned otherwise, by a “graph” we shall mean a finite simple graph without loops as treated in F. Harary [15]; in particular, by a \((p, q)\)-graph we mean a graph with \(p\) vertices and \(q\) edges.

Abundant literature exists as of today concerned with the structure of graphs admitting a variety of functions assigning real numbers to their elements so that certain given conditions are satisfied; hence, a graph \(G\) together with such a function \(f\) is called a real weight network and if, in particular, \(f\) is injective then it is called a (vertex, edge or mixed) valuation of \(G\) (e.g., see A. Rosa [18], S. W. Golomb [13], G. S. Bloom [8], [9], J. C. Bermond et al [7], B. D. Acharya [1]–[3], [6], P. J. Slater [19]–[22], B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde [4], [5], T. Grace [14], G. J. Chang et al [11], I. Cahit [10], M. Maheo and H. Thuillier [17], A. Kotzig [16]; see J. A. Gallian [12] for a
The complete graph $K_n$ of order $n$ is graceful if and only if $n \leq 4$.

Theorem 2 ([13], [18]). If $G$ is an eulerian $(p, q)$-graph with $q \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$ then $G$ is not graceful.

Theorem 3 ([1]). Every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph in a graceful graph.

In the following theorems, $\lceil . \rceil$ (respectively, $\lfloor . \rfloor$) means the ceiling (floor) function which assigns to each real number $r$ the least (greatest) integer not less (greater) than $r$.

Theorem 4 ([13]). A necessary condition for a $(p, q)$-graph $G = (V, E)$ to be graceful is that it be possible to partition its vertex set $V := V(G)$ into two subsets $V_0$ and $V_e$ such that there are exactly $\lceil q/2 \rceil$ edges each of which joins a vertex of $V_0$ with one of $V_e$.

In a Group Discussion on Graph Labeling Problems held in Karnataka Regional Engineering College (KREC), Surathkal, during August 16–25, 1999, B. D. Acharya [6] raised the following problem:

Problem 1(m). Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of natural numbers, $k \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$ be arbitrarily given integers, $G = (V, E)$ be a connected $(p, q)$-graph, and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$ be any collection of its edge-disjoint $(p_i, q_i)$-subgraphs $G_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, whose union is $G$. Is it then possible to find an injective function $f: V \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k + (q - 1)d\}$ such that the band-width function $g_f: E \to \mathbb{N}$, defined by (1.1), has the property that $g_f(E(G_i)) = \{k_i, k_i + d_i, k_i + 2d_i, \ldots, k_i + (q_i - 1)d_i\}$ for some integers $k_i \geq 0$ and $d_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m$? If so, what should be the relationships amongst the parameters $p_i$, $q_i$, $k_i$, $d_i$, $k$ and $d$? If so, $G$ is said to be $(k, d)$-gracefully packable, $\mathcal{G}$ is called a $(k, d)$-graceful packing of $G$ and $f$ is called a $(k, d)$-graceful packing code for $G$. 
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In this paper, we attempt to solve Problem 1(2) using the notion of signed graphs (or, briefly, sigraphs) and taking \( k_1 = k_2 = k, \ d_1 = d_2 = d. \)
2. How to gracefully label a sigraph?

A \((p, q)\)-sigraph \(S\) is an ordered pair \((G, s)\) where \(G = (V, E)\) is a \((p, q)\)-graph and \(s\) is a function which assigns to each edge of \(G\) a positive or a negative sign. Let the sets \(E^+\) and \(E^-\) consist of \(m\) positive and \(n\) negative edges of \(G\), respectively, where \(m + n = q\). Given positive integers \(k\) and \(d\), B.D. Acharya [6] defines \(S\) to be \((k, d)\)-graceful if the vertices of \(S\) can be labeled with distinct integers from the set \(\{0, 1, \ldots, k + (q - 1)d\}\) such that when each edge \(uv\) of \(S\) is assigned the product of its sign and the absolute difference of the integers assigned to \(u\) and \(v\) the edges in \(E^+\) and \(E^-\) are labeled \(k, k + d, k + 2d, \ldots, k + (m - 1)d\) and \(-k, -(k + d), -(k + 2d), \ldots, -(k + (n - 1)d)\), respectively. In particular, a \((1, 1)\)-graceful labeling is called a sigraceful labeling and \(S\) is called graceful if it admits a sigraceful labeling.

For example, consider the sistar \(K_{1,(m,n)}\) which is defined as a sigraph on the star \(K_{1,r}, r = m + n\), having \(m\) positive edges and \(n\) negative edges. Let the central vertex of \(K_{1,r}\) be labeled \(k + (n - 1)d\) (respectively, \(k + (m - 1)d\)), the \(n(m)\) pendant vertices of the negative (respectively, positive) edges be labeled \(0, d, 2d, \ldots, (n - 1)d\) (respectively, \(0, d, 2d, \ldots, (m - 1)d\)) and the \(m(n)\) pendant vertices of the positive (respectively, negative) edges be labeled \(2k + (n - 1)d, 2k + nd, 2k + (n + 1)d, \ldots, 2k + (n + m - 2)d\) (respectively, \(2k + (m - 1)d, 2k + nd, 2k + (m + 1)d, \ldots, 2k + (m + n - 2)d\)).

Then, it may be easily seen that \(K_{1,(m,n)}\) is \((k, d)\)-gracefully numbered. Some more \((k, d)\)-gracefully labeled small sigraphs taking specific values of \(k\) and \(d\) are displayed in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image-url)
In general, we shall call a sigraph $S$ arbitrarily graceful if it is $(k, d)$-graceful for all values of $k$ and $d$. Thus, we have seen above that the star sigraph $K_{1,(m,n)}$ is arbitrarily graceful. Are there any other such sigraphs? It may be interesting to describe some specific classes of such sigraphs, since many infinite classes of graphs are known to be arbitrarily graceful (e.g., see [3], [4]). In general, we pose

**Problem 2.** Determine (arbitrarily) graceful sigraphs.

In particular, one may be interested to solve Problem 2 for specific classes of sigraphs on certain standard classes of graphs, say acyclic sigraphs. For example, are all sitrees (arbitrarily) graceful? That all trees are graceful is the well-known and long-standing Ringel-Kotzig conjecture (e.g., see [8]). We give below a necessary condition for a sigraph to admit a graceful labeling.

**Theorem 5.** Let $S = (G, s)$ be any $(p, q)$-sigraph with $G = (V, E)$ as its underlying graph and let the sets $E^+$ and $E^-$ consist of $m$ positive and $n$ negative edges of $G$, respectively, where $m + n = q$. A necessary condition for $S$ to be $(k, d)$-graceful for some positive integers $k$ and $d$, which are not simultaneously even, is that it be possible to partition $V(G) := V(S)$ into two subsets $V_o$ and $V_e$ such that the numbers $m^+(V_o, V_e)$, $m^-(V_o, V_e)$ of positive and negative edges of $S$ respectively each of which joins a vertex of $V_o$ with one of $V_e$ are given as described below:

(i) when $k$ and $d$ are both odd,

$$m^+(V_o, V_e) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (m + 1) \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad m^-(V_o, V_e) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (n + 1) \right\rfloor;$$

(ii) when $k$ is even and $d$ is odd,

$$m^+(V_o, V_e) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} m \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad m^-(V_o, V_e) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} n \right\rfloor;$$

(iii) when $k$ is odd and $d$ is even,

$$m^+(V_o, V_e) = m \quad \text{and} \quad m^-(V_o, V_e) = n.$$

**Proof.** Since $S$ is $(k, d)$-graceful there must exist a $(k, d)$-graceful numbering $f$ of $S$. Let $V_o = \{u \in V(S) : f(u) \text{ is odd}\}$ and $V_e = V(S) - V_o$. Now, the conclusions (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious to see due to the fact that in each of these cases every edge receiving an odd number in $g_f$ must join a vertex of $V_o$ with one of $V_e$ and $m^+(V_o, V_e)$ and $m^-(V_o, V_e)$ precisely count these edges since $f$ is given to be $(k, d)$-graceful.  

□
Corollary 5.1. If $S = (G, s)$ is a $(k, d)$-graceful sigraph with $k$ odd and $d$ even, then $G$ is bipartite.

Problem 3. What can we say about $(k, d)$-graceful sigraphs when both $k$ and $d$ are required to be even?

In the next section, we shall report the results of our preliminary study of sigraphs that do, or do not, admit a $(k, d)$-graceful numbering for certain given values of $k$ and $d$.

3. Some specific classes of graceful sigraphs

A finite caterpillar is a tree such that the removal of its pendant vertices (i.e., vertices of degree 1) results in a simple path. If we want to extend this definition to include infinite trees, we need to adopt the following approach: A tree $T$ is called a caterpillar if its vertex set $V(T)$ can be arranged in two columns (or rows) $L$ (for left) and $R$ (for right) on the euclidean plane such that (i) $\{L, R\}$ is a bipartition of $T$ (i.e., $L \cap R = \emptyset$ and each of $L$ and $R$ is a nonempty independent set of vertices of $T$ such that $L \cup R = V(T)$), (ii) every edge of $T$ is drawn as a “Jordan curve” (i.e., a simple curve which does not intersect itself and is topologically homeomorphic to the closed unit interval) with its vertices as the two ends of the curve, and (iii) no two of these curves (representing edges of $T$) intersect at a point other than possibly at their ends. Unless mentioned otherwise, by a tree we shall mean one which may possibly be infinite.

Let $S = (G, s)$ be any sigraph. We shall say that it is bifurcated if the positive subgraph $S^+ = (V^+, E^+(S))$ and the negative subgraph $S^- = (V^-, E^-(S))$ of $S$ are both connected subgraphs of $S$, where $V^+$ ($V^-$, respectively) denotes the subset of $V(G)$ consisting of the ends of the positive (negative) edges in $S$. Next, for any vertex $v$ of $S$, let $E^+_v$ ($E^-_v$, respectively) denote the set of positive (negative) edges of $S$ that are incident at $v$. Then, $d^+(v)$ ($d^-(v)$, respectively) denotes the cardinality of $E^+_v$ ($E^-_v$, respectively) and is called the positive (negative) degree of $v$ in $S$. Then the degree $d(v)$ of $v$ in $S$ is defined as the cardinality of $E^+_v \cup E^-_v$. If $S$ is finite, then clearly $d(v) = d^+(v) + d^-(v)$.

Theorem 6. Every finite bifurcated signed caterpillar is graceful.

Proof. Let $(T, s)$ be any finite bifurcated signed caterpillar of order $p$. Since $T$ is a bipartite graph, it has a bipartition $\{L, R\}$ and since $T$ is a (finite) caterpillar we may assume that $L$ and $R$ are the left and the right columns of vertices, respectively, in a plane vertical imbedding of $T$ described above. Now, if either $E^+(T)$ or $E^-(T)$
is empty, then $T$ may be treated as the usual graph-theoretical caterpillar which is well known to be graceful (e.g., see [18]). Hence, we shall assume that $(T, s)$ is a heterogeneous sigraph (i.e., a sigraph $S$ in which both $E^+(S)$ and $E^-(S)$ are nonempty). Then, clearly, since $T$ is basically a tree there must exist a unique vertex $u$ such that both $E^+_u$ and $E^-_u$ are nonempty as also the positive and the negative subgraphs (each of which is connected, by hypothesis) of $(T, s)$ must be positioned one above (with respect to the plane vertical embedding of the signed caterpillar) the other as seen from $u$. Without loss of generality, we consider a plane vertical imbedding of $(T, s)$ in which the negative subgraph $T^-$ appears above the positive subgraph $T^+$ at the vertex $u$. For convenience, we shall refer to such an imbedding of $(T, s)$ a negative-up plane imbedding. Hence, in such an imbedding of $(T, s)$ any vertex $v$ for which $E^+_v = \emptyset$ (called a negative vertex) appears above $u$ and any vertex $w$ for which $E^-_w = \emptyset$ (called a positive vertex) appears below $u$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u \in L$; otherwise, we may permute the columns $L$ and $R$ to interchange their labels $L'$ and $R'$. Hence, choose the lowest negative vertex adjacent to $u$, say $v_1$. Then, $v_1 \in R$ we label the negative vertices in $R$ lying above $v_1$ successively $v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_r$ as we go up, with $v_r$ as the “highest” negative vertex in $R$. Next, we choose the “highest” negative vertex adjacent to $v_r$ in $L$, if any, and label it as $u_{r+1}$. We then label all the vertices below $u_{r+1}$ in $L$ successively $u_{r+2}, u_{r+3}, \ldots, u_{r+s}$, with $u_{r+s}$ as the “lowest” vertex in $L$. Let $u_{r+m}$ be the negative vertex immediately above $u$ in $L$ so that in the above procedure $u$ is labeled as $u_{r+m+1}$. Since $E^+_u \neq \emptyset$, there must exist a “lowest” vertex in $R$, which we label as $v_{r+s+1}$. Clearly, due to the plane imbedding of $T$, it follows that $u_{r+s}$ must be adjacent to $v_{r+s+1}$. Hence, we label the other positive vertices above $v_{r+s+1}$ in $R$ successively $v_{r+s+2}, v_{r+s+3}, \ldots, v_p$ as we go up in the right column $R$. Now, let $f: V(T) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ be a function defined by saying $f(u_i) = i - 1$ and $f(v_j) = j - 1$ for each $i \in \{r+1, r+2, \ldots, r+s\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \cup \{r+s+1, r+s+2, \ldots, p\}$. It is not hard to verify that $f$ so defined is indeed a sigraceful numbering of $T$. □

Some gracefully labeled bifurcated signed caterpillars are shown in Figure 3.

In general, B. D. Acharya [6] has offered the following generalisation of the famous Ringel-Kotzig conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** All bifurcated signed trees are graceful.

Next a $(p, q)$-sigraph with $m$ positive edges and $n$ negative edges will be called a $(p, m, n)$-sigraph.

**Theorem 7.** Let $S = (G, s)$ be any $(p, m, n)$-sigraph such that $G$ is eulerian. If $S$ is graceful, then $m^2 + n^2 + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. 

---
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Fig. 3

Proof. Let $f$ be any sign-graceful numbering of $S$ and $Z$ be any eulerian circuit in $S$. Then, since the signs of the edges in $S$ are disregarded while computing $\sum_{e \in Z} g_f(e) \equiv \sum_{e_i \in Z} (a_i - a_{i+1})$, where $g_f(e_i) = |a_i - a_{i+1}|$ for each $i$, the result follows from a result in [13] (p. 26, Theorem 2), that for any integer-valued function $f$ defined on the vertex set of $G$, if each edge $uv$ of $G$ is given an edge number equal to its band-width $|f(u) - f(v)|$ then the sum of the band-widths of the edges forming any circuit of $G$ is even as also that the edge set of any eulerian graph can be decomposed into disjoint subsets each of which spans a circuit. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 7.1.** If a $(k, m, n)$ signed cycle $Z_k$, $k = m + n \geq 3$, is graceful then $m^2 + n^2 + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

**Corollary 7.2 ([13]).** If $G$ is a graceful eulerian $(p, q)$-graph then $q \equiv 0$, or $3 \pmod{4}$.

So, the signed cycles of lengths $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ are not graceful. We will now begin examining the sufficiency part of Corollary 7.1. Since this is known to be true in the case of all-positive cycles (see [18]), we shall deal only with the heterogeneous case. Towards this end, in a heterogeneously signed cycle $Z_n$, $n \geq 3$, by a **negative (positive) section** we mean a maximal subgraph consisting of only the negative (positive) edges of $Z_n$. The following result demonstrates that there are further necessary conditions for $Z_n$ to be graceful!
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Fig. 4
Theorem 8. Let $Z_n$ be a heterogeneous signed cycle of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If $Z_n$ is graceful then the number of negative sections of odd lengths is even.

Proof. Let $Z_n$ be any heterogeneous signed cycle of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, possessing a sign graceful numbering $f$ and let $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_k$ be the lengths of the negative sections, $k \geq 1$. Suppose the number of negative sections of odd lengths in $Z_n$ is odd, say $2r + 1$ for some positive integer $r$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{2r+1}$ are the odd ones. Let $l_i = 2a_i + 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r+1\}$ and $l_i = 2b_i$ for $i \in \{2r+2, 2r+3, \ldots, k\}$. Since the sum of the band-widths of the edges in a cycle is even, for some positive integer $m$ we must have

$$2m = \sum_{e \in Z_n} g_f(e) = \sum_{e \in E^- (Z_n)} g_f(e) + \sum_{e \in E^+ (Z_n)} g_f(e)$$

$$= \left( \frac{q^- + 1}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{q^+ + 1}{2} \right),$$

which implies

$$4m = q^- (q^- + 1) + q^+ (q^+ + 1).$$

Now,

$$q^- = \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} l_i + \sum_{i=2r+2}^{k} l_i = 2 \left( r + \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} a_i + \sum_{i=2r+2}^{k} b_i \right) + 1.$$  

Thus, $q^-$ is of the form

$$q^- = 2R + 1, \quad R = \left( r + \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} a_i + \sum_{i=2r+2}^{k} b_i \right).$$

Now, since $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists a positive integer $x$ such that $n = 4x$. Further, since $n$ is the number of edges in $Z_n$, we also have $4x = q^- + q^+$. Therefore, $q^+ = n - q^- = (4x - 2R - 1)$. Substituting this in (3.2), we get $4m = (2R + 1) \times (2R + 2) + (4x - 2R - 1)(4x - 2R)$ which implies

$$2m = (R + 1)(2R + 1) + (2x - R)(4x - 2R - 1).$$

If $R = 2t + 1$ for some positive integer $t$, then (3.5) gives $2m = 2(t + 1)(4t + 3) + (2x - 2t - 1)(4x - 4t - 3) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, a preposterous statement. Therefore, $R = 2t$ for some positive integer $t$. Again, substituting this in (3.5) gives $2m = (2t + 1)(4t + 1) + 2(x - t)(4x - 4t - 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, a preposterous statement again. Thus, our assumption that the number of negative sections of odd lengths in $Z_n$ is odd cannot be sustained, and hence it must be even as claimed. \(\Box\)
Sufficiency of the condition in Theorem 8 is not guaranteed in general, since it may be verified that $Z_4$ having two negative sections of length 1 each is not graceful. However, it appears that excepting this case, the sufficiency of the condition must also hold. Before embarking on examining this contention, all the structural possibilities amenable for gracefulfulness of $Z_4$ are exhaustively illustrated in Figure 4.

An exhaustive treatment of the sufficiency part of Theorem 8 for values of $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 7$, being quite involved and tedious, will be dealt with separately elsewhere.
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