

Toshiaki Adachi; Sadahiro Maeda

Characterization of totally umbilic hypersurfaces in a space form by circles

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 1, 203–207

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127970>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATION OF TOTALLY UMBILIC HYPERSURFACES
IN A SPACE FORM BY CIRCLES

TOSHIAKI ADACHI, Nagoya, and SADAHIRO MAEDA, Shimane

(Received May 15, 2002)

Abstract. In this paper we characterize totally umbilic hypersurfaces in a space form by a property of the extrinsic shape of circles on hypersurfaces. This characterization corresponds to characterizations of isoparametric hypersurfaces in a space form by properties of the extrinsic shape of geodesics due to Kimura-Maeda.

MSC 2000: 53B25, 53C40

Keywords: totally umbilic hypersurfaces, circles, space forms

1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth curve $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ on a Riemannian manifold M parameterized by its arc length s is called a *circle* if it satisfies $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = -k^2\dot{\gamma}$ with some nonnegative constant k , where $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}$ denotes the covariant differentiation along γ with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ on M . This condition is equivalent to the condition that there exist a nonnegative constant k and a field of unit vectors $Y = Y(s)$ along this curve which satisfy the following differential equations: $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = kY$ and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}Y = -k\dot{\gamma}$. We call the constant k the *curvature* of γ . As $k = \|\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}\|$, we treat geodesics as circles of null curvature. For given a point $x \in M$, an orthonormal pair of tangent vectors $u, v \in T_xM$ and a positive constant k , by the existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of ordinary differential equations we have locally a unique circle $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ with the initial condition that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\dot{\gamma}(0) = u$ and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}(0) = kv$. It is well-known

The first author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 14540075, Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture. The second author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 14540080, Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

that in Euclidean space a circle of positive curvature k is nothing but a circle of radius $1/k$ in the sense of Euclidean geometry.

We are interested in getting some properties of a submanifold by observing the extrinsic shape of circles on this submanifold. In this paper we restrict ourselves to hypersurfaces in a space form. Here, a space form $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature c is the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , the standard sphere $S^{n+1}(c)$ or the hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}(c)$ according as c is zero, positive or negative. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following:

Theorem 1. *A connected hypersurface M^n in a space form $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature c is totally umbilic in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ if and only if there exists $k > 0$ with the following property: At each point $x \in M$, there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of $T_x M$ such that for each distinct i, j the circles $\gamma_{i,j}$, $\gamma_{i,-j}$ of curvature k on M with the initial conditions that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i,j}(0) = \gamma_{i,-j}(0) = x, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{i,j}(0) = \dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}(0) = v_i, \\ \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,j}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,j}(0) = kv_j, \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}(0) = -kv_j \end{aligned}$$

are circles in the ambient space $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

The readers should compare our result with characterizations of isoparametric hypersurfaces in a space form by the extrinsic shape of geodesics (see Theorems 2 and 5 in [1]). Our study on circles on a hypersurface gives much information on the hypersurface.

2. PROOF OF OUR RESULT

The “only if” part of Theorem 1 follows from the following well-known result.

Proposition. *Let M^n be a hypersurface isometrically immersed into a space form $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M^n is totally umbilic in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.
- (2) Every geodesic on M^n is a circle in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.
- (3) Every circle on M^n is a circle in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

The “if” part of Theorem 1 follows from the following result on a hypersurface in a general Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 2. *A connected hypersurface M^n in a general Riemannian manifold \tilde{M}^{n+1} is totally umbilic in \tilde{M}^{n+1} if there exists $k > 0$ satisfying the following condition. At each point $x \in M$, there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of $T_x M$ such that for each distinct i, j the circles $\gamma_{i,j}, \gamma_{i,-j}$ of curvature k on M with the initial conditions that*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{i,j}(0) &= \gamma_{i,-j}(0) = x, & \dot{\gamma}_{i,j}(0) &= \dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}(0) = v_i, \\ \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,j}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,j}(0) &= kv_j, & \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,-j}(0) &= -kv_j\end{aligned}$$

are circles in the ambient space \tilde{M}^{n+1} .

P r o o f. We denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection of \tilde{M} . Let $\gamma_{a,b} = \gamma_{a,b}(s)$ be a circle of curvature k satisfying the hypothesis at an arbitrary point $x = \gamma_{a,b}(0)$ on the hypersurface M . By use of the formulae of Gauss and Weingarten which assure

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \langle AX, Y \rangle N \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX$$

for vector fields X, Y on M , we find by regarding $\gamma_{a,b}$ as a curve on \tilde{M} that

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad & \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} + \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle N, \\ (2) \quad & \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} = -k^2 \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} - \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \\ & \quad + \{3\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle\} N.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b}\|^2 = k^2 + \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle^2$. Since $\gamma_{a,b}$ is also a circle as a curve in \tilde{M} , we find $\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle$ is constant along this curve and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3) \quad & \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle \{ \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} - A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \} \\ & \quad + \{3\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle\} N = 0\end{aligned}$$

by comparing the equality (2) with

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} + \|\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b}\|^2 \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} = 0.$$

Taking the normal component of the equality (3) for the hypersurface we get

$$3\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle = 0.$$

Evaluating this equation at $s = 0$, we have

$$\pm 3k \langle Av_i, v_j \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{v_i} A)v_i, v_i \rangle = 0,$$

where the double sign takes plus if $(a, b) = (i, j)$ and takes minus if $(a, b) = (i, -j)$. From these equations for (i, j) and $(i, -j)$ we obtain

$$(4) \quad \langle Av_i, v_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle (\nabla_{v_i} A)v_i, v_i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for every distinct } i, j.$$

On the other hand, taking the tangential component of the equality (3), we have

$$\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle \{ \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{a,b} - A\dot{\gamma}_{a,b} \} = 0.$$

When $\langle Av_i, v_i \rangle \neq 0$, the constant $\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle$ is not 0 for every b . Therefore $A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b} = \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{i,b}$ holds for every b . Differentiating both sides of this equality along $\gamma_{i,b}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{i,b} + A\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \\ = \{ \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle + 2\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle \} \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} + \langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,b}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating this equation at $s = 0$, from the equality (4) we have

$$(\nabla_{v_i} A)v_i \pm kAv_j = \pm k\langle Av_i, v_i \rangle v_j$$

for every $j (\neq i)$, where the double signs take plus if $b = j$ and take minus if $b = -j$. Thus we obtain $(\nabla_{v_i} A)v_i = 0$ and $Av_j = \langle Av_i, v_i \rangle v_j$ for every $j (\neq i)$ in this case.

When $\langle Av_i, v_i \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle = 0$ for every b . Differentiating this equation we get

$$\langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} A)\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle + 2\langle A\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{i,b}} \dot{\gamma}_{i,b} \rangle = 0.$$

Evaluating this equation at $s = 0$, we have $\langle (\nabla_{v_i} A)v_i, v_i \rangle \pm 2k\langle Av_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for every $j (\neq i)$, where the rule of double sign is the same as above. Thus we obtain $\langle Av_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for every j . As $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of $T_x M$, we get $Av_i = 0$.

We now show that M is umbilic at x . Since we have already seen that $\langle Av_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for every distinct i, j , it is enough to verify $\langle Av_i, v_i \rangle = \langle Av_j, v_j \rangle$. When $Av_j = \langle Av_i, v_i \rangle v_j$ holds, this is trivial. When $Av_i = 0$, we have $\langle Av_j, v_j \rangle = 0$, because either $Av_j = 0$ or $Av_i = \langle Av_j, v_j \rangle v_i$ holds. Thus in this case we have $\langle Av_i, v_i \rangle = \langle Av_j, v_j \rangle = 0$. As $x \in M$ is an arbitrary point we get our conclusion. \square

3. CONCLUDING REMARK

If we substitute $k = 0$ for $k > 0$ in Theorem 1, the same statement does not hold. We call a hypersurface in a space form isoparametric if all of its principal curvatures are constant. Such hypersurfaces in a space form were characterized by Kimura and the second author in terms of the extrinsic shape of geodesics. Their results correspond to the case $k = 0$. Since they are closely related to our result we reproduce them here for the readers' convenience.

Remark ([1]).

- (1) A connected hypersurface M^n in a space form $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is isoparametric with nonzero principal curvatures if and only if at each point $x \in M$ there exists an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of $T_x M$ such that all geodesics on M through x in the direction v_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are circles of *positive* curvature in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.
- (2) A connected hypersurface M^n in a space form $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is isoparametric if and only if at each point $x \in M$ there exists an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of $T_x M$ as *principal curvature vectors* such that all geodesics on M through x in the direction v_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are circles in $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

It should be noted that the classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces in a sphere is not completed (cf. [2], [3]).

References

- [1] *M. Kimura and S. Maeda*: Geometric meaning of isoparametric hypersurfaces in a real space form. Canadian Math. Bull. 43 (2000), 74–78.
- [2] *R. Miyaoka*: The homogeneity of isoparametric hypersurfaces with six principal curvatures. Preprint Titech-Math. Vol. 80. 1998.
- [3] *S. T. Yau*: Open problems in geometry. Part I. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 54 (1993), 1–28.

Authors' address: T. Adachi, Dept. of Mathematics, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso, Nagoya, 466-8555, Japan, e-mail: adachi.toshiaki@nitech.ac.jp; S. Maeda, Dept. of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Shimane, 690-8504, Japan, e-mail: smaeda@math.shimane-u.ac.jp.