

Anthony W. Hager; Chawne M. Kimber; Warren W. McGovern
Unique a -closure for some ℓ -groups of rational valued functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 2, 409–421

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127987>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

UNIQUE a -CLOSURE FOR SOME ℓ -GROUPS
OF RATIONAL VALUED FUNCTIONS

ANTHONY W. HAGER, Middletown, CHAWNE M. KIMBER, Easton,
and WARREN W. MCGOVERN, Bowling Green

(Received July 26, 2002)

Abstract. Usually, an abelian ℓ -group, even an archimedean ℓ -group, has a relatively large infinity of distinct a -closures. Here, we find a reasonably large class with unique and perfectly describable a -closure, the class of archimedean ℓ -groups with weak unit which are “ \mathbb{Q} -convex”. (\mathbb{Q} is the group of rationals.) Any $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is \mathbb{Q} -convex and its unique a -closure is the Alexandroff algebra of functions on X defined from the clopen sets; this is sometimes $C(X)$.

Keywords: archimedean lattice-ordered group, a -closure, rational-valued functions, zero-dimensional space

MSC 2000: 06F20, 06F25, 20F60, 54C30, 54F65

INTRODUCTION

A *lattice-ordered group* (or ℓ -group for short) is a group $(G, +)$ with a partial order that is a lattice (infimum and supremum are denote by \wedge and \vee , respectively) such that the ordering is compatible with the group operation. That is, for all $g, h, k \in G$ with $g \leq h$ we have $g + k \leq h + k$. The set of positive elements of G is written as G^+ ; note that the additive identity is an element of this set.

Elements $g, h \in G^+$ are *archimedean equivalent* (or *a -equivalent*), denoted $g \sim_a h$, if there exist natural numbers n, m for which $g \leq nh$ and $h \leq mg$. If G is an ℓ -subgroup of H then H is an *a -extension of G* if every positive element of H is a -equivalent to a positive element of G . We write $G \leq_a H$ in this case. The divisible hull of an abelian ℓ -group is an a -extension, for example. If G has no proper

a -extension, then G is a -closed. By Holland's Embedding Theorem, a -closures exist (see [7]); however, a -closures are not necessarily unique (see [4]).

Throughout, we use \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} to represent the naturals, rationals and reals, respectively.

Over the past 30 years, several researchers have sought a -closures in various classes of ℓ -groups. Recently, the authors of [6] sought a -closures via valuation mappings of an ℓ -group onto a distributive lattice. Also, in [14] the authors considered a class of ℓ -groups that generalizes the class of hyperarchimedean ℓ -groups (see also [5]) and determined the a -closures of these groups. In particular, they explicitly describe the a -closures of $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the ring of continuous integer-valued functions on X . In the present article we are interested in determining a -extensions and a -closures of certain more general objects in the category, \mathbf{W} , of archimedean ℓ -groups with weak unit.

In this section we introduce standard concepts needed throughout the paper.

The ℓ -group G is *archimedean* if whenever $0 \leq g \leq nh$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $g = 0$. All archimedean ℓ -groups are necessarily abelian. This is explained in [7].

An element $u \in G^+$ is a *weak order unit* if $u \wedge g = 0$ implies $g = 0$. \mathbf{W} denotes the category whose objects are the archimedean ℓ -groups with designated weak order unit and whose morphisms are the lattice-preserving group homomorphisms that also preserve the unit. (G, u) denotes an object in \mathbf{W} .

Recall that an ℓ -subgroup $K \leq G$ is *convex* if $0 \leq g \leq k \in K$ implies that $g \in K$. Let (G, u) be a \mathbf{W} -object. By Zorn's Lemma, there exist convex ℓ -subgroups of G that are maximal with respect to not containing u . We let YG denote the set of these. In the hull-kernel topology, YG is a compact Hausdorff space. Define

$$D(YG) = \{f: YG \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}: f \text{ is continuous and } f^{-1}\mathbb{R} \subseteq YG \text{ is dense}\}.$$

Though $D(YG)$ is rarely a group under pointwise addition, it is known that G may be mapped bijectively, via an ℓ -group isomorphism, onto an ℓ -group \hat{G} of $D(YG)$, which maps u to the constant function 1 and so that the elements of \hat{G} separate the points of YG . This representation is unique: If $G \cong \tilde{G} \leq D(X)$ is an ℓ -isomorphism with X compact Hausdorff and $\tilde{u} = 1$, then there is a continuous surjection $\tau: X \rightarrow YG$ such that $\tilde{g} = \hat{g} \circ \tau$ for each $g \in G$; moreover, \tilde{G} separates the points of X if and only if τ is a homeomorphism. We identify G with its image \hat{G} . This representation is the "Yosida Embedding" (see [21] and [16]) and YG is called the *Yosida space* of G .

We now turn to topological considerations and to $C(X)$, the ℓ -group of real-valued continuous functions on the space X with the pointwise ordering. See [9] for details.

We assume that all spaces are Tychonoff, that is, completely regular and Hausdorff. βX denotes the Stone-Ćech compactification of X , and we note that the Yosida space of $C(X)$ is homeomorphic to βX . $C^*(X)$ is the ℓ -subgroup containing the bounded

elements of $C(X)$. There is a natural isomorphism between $C^*(X)$ and $C(\beta X)$, given by extension (and inversely, restriction) of functions to βX (inversely, to X). Whenever $C(X) = C^*(X)$, we call X *pseudocompact*.

Recall that a space is called *zero-dimensional* if it has a base of clopen sets and that every zero-dimensional space has a maximal zero-dimensional compactification called the *Banaschewski compactification* (see [20]) denoted by $\beta_0 X$. The space $\beta_0 X$ is homeomorphic to the Yosida spaces of $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and the map β_0 is the compact zero-dimensional reflection. When $\beta X = \beta_0 X$, the space βX is zero-dimensional and we call X *strongly zero-dimensional*.

2. UNIQUE a -CLOSURE AND CONVEX ℓ -GROUPS

Let (G, u) be in \mathbf{W} and $g \in G$. The *zeroset* of g is $Z(g) = \{p \in YG : g(p) = 0\}$ and the *cozeroset* of g is $YG \setminus Z(g)$. We use $\mathcal{Z}G$ to denote the set of all zerosets of G .

Theorem 2.1. *Let (G, u) be in \mathbf{W} . If $G \leq_a H$ then G majorizes H (that is, for every $h \in H^+$ there exists $g \in G^+$ such that $h \leq g$); u is a weak unit in H , $Y(G, u) = Y(H, u)$ and in the Yosida representation $G \leq H \leq D(Y(G, u))$ and $\mathcal{Z}H = \mathcal{Z}G$.*

Proof. Let (G, u) be in \mathbf{W} and assume that $G \leq_a H$. That G majorizes H follows directly from the definition of a -extension. If there is $h \in H^+$ such that $u \wedge h = 0$, then for any $g \in G$ such that $g \sim_a h$, we have that $u \wedge g = 0$. Hence $g = 0$ and $0 \leq h \leq mg = 0$ for some m and therefore, $h = 0$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 of [4] that $Y(G, u) = Y(H, u)$; hence, $G \leq H \leq D(Y(G, u))$ and $\mathcal{Z}H = \mathcal{Z}G$. \square

For $g \in G$, let $g^+ = g \vee 0$ and $g^- = (-g) \vee 0$. Then $g = g^+ - g^-$ and we define $|g| = g^+ + g^-$.

Definition 2.2. Let (G, u) be in \mathbf{W} .

- (a) $G^c = \{f \in D(YG) : |f| \leq g \text{ for some } g \in G\}$.
- (b) From [2]: G is *convex* if $G = G^c$.

G^c is usually not an ℓ -group, as we discuss shortly.

Corollary 2.3. *In \mathbf{W} :*

- (a) If $G \leq_a H$ then $H \subseteq G^c$.
- (b) If G is convex, then G is a -closed.
- (c) If G^c is an ℓ -group and if $G \leq_a G^c$ then G^c is the unique a -closure of G .
- (d) If H is convex and $G \leq_a H$, then H is the unique a -closure of G .

Proof. It is clear that Theorem 2.1 implies statements (a) and (b) which together imply (c). To verify (d), note that $G \leq_a H$ implies $H \subseteq G^c$ by (a). But also, $G^c \subseteq H^c = H$. Thus, $G^c = H$, and (c) applies. \square

The statement of Corollary 2.3(c) and (d) present us with the following two versions of the same questions, which the sequel examines.

Question 2.4. Let G be an archimedean ℓ -group.

1. (a) For which G is G^c an ℓ -group?
 (b) For which G is G^c an ℓ -group and $G \leq_a G^c$?
2. For convex H , what \mathbf{W} -subobjects G have $G \leq_a H$?

The following compendium from the literature illustrates what the class of convex ℓ -groups encompasses. Recall that an f -ring is a subdirect product of totally ordered rings, [3].

Theorem 2.5. For the following classes of \mathbf{W} -objects, for each n , the class (n) is contained the class $(n + 1)$.

- (1) Rings of continuous functions, $C(X)$.
- (2) Alexandroff algebras: ℓ -subalgebras of \mathbb{R}^X containing 1 that are closed under uniform convergence and inversion (see §5 below).
- (3) \mathbf{W} -objects closed under countable composition.
- (4) Archimedean f -rings with identity, that are divisible and uniformly complete.
- (5) Convex \mathbf{W} -objects.

Proof. That (1) \subseteq (2) is clear; (2) \subseteq (3) \subseteq (4) can be found in [18]; and (4) \subseteq (5) is in [17]. (One has to recognize that the representation in [17] and [18] of an f -algebra is the Yosida representation of the underlying \mathbf{W} -object). \square

As a class of study, “convex” was introduced in [2], and there shown to be monoreflective in \mathbf{W} : for each (G, u) there is a group cG such that $G \leq cG$ with cG convex such that each $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ in \mathbf{W} with H convex has a unique extension $c\varphi: cG \rightarrow H$ in \mathbf{W} . Usually, YcG is much larger than YG , but it is easy to see that if G^c is an ℓ -group then $G^c = cG$.

Remark 2.6. (a) Recall that $V \in YG$ is *real* if $G/V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}G \subseteq YG$ denotes the set of all such points. Let $G|_{\mathcal{R}G} = \{g|_{\mathcal{R}G}: g \in G\}$. In Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3(a), suppose that $\bigcap \mathcal{R}G = (0)$, so that $G|_{\mathcal{R}G} \subseteq C(\mathcal{R}G)$ is a representation of G ; then $G^c|_{\mathcal{R}G} \subseteq C(\mathcal{R}G)$ also and $G \leq_a H$ implies that $H \subseteq C(\mathcal{R}G)$. Within the category \mathbf{W} , this sharpens an observation in Example 6.2 of [4].

(b) By Theorem 2.5, $C(X)$ is convex for any X . Here’s another proof: The Yosida embedding of $C(X)$ is given by $\{\beta f \in D(\beta X): f \in C(X)\}$, therefore, $C(X)^c =$

$C(X)$. Thus, by Corollary 2.3 (b), $C(X)$ is convex. This improves Example 6.2 of [4] in which Conrad shows that $C(X)$ is a -closed.

(c) If G is hyperarchimedean, then the converse of Theorem 2.1 holds (see [13]), but the converse fails in general. Let $\alpha\mathbb{N}$ be the one-point compactification of \mathbb{N} and let $G \leq C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ be given by $g \in G$ if and only if there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that eventually $g(n) = r + s/n$. Then $\mathcal{L}G = \mathcal{L}C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$, though G is not a -extended by $C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ since $f(n) = e^{-1/n} \in C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ has no a -equivalent element in G .

3. RELATIVELY CONVEX ℓ -GROUPS

Definition 3.1. Let A be a subgroup of \mathbb{R} containing 1 and (G, u) in \mathbf{W} .

(a) For a compact Hausdorff space X , let

$$D_A(X) = \{f \in D(X) : f(p) \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow f(p) \in A\}.$$

(b) G is A -convex if for $f \in D_A(YG)$, $|f| \leq g \in G$ implies $f \in G$. When $A \neq \mathbb{R}$, we assume that YG is zero-dimensional.

(c) $W_A G = G \cap D_A(YG)$.

Note that an A -convex group is Z -convex. In fact, we are really only interested in Z - and \mathbb{Q} -convex objects.

In this section, we show that G is A -convex if and only if G^c is a convex ℓ -group for which $YG^c = YG$ is zero-dimensional and

$$W_A G = W_A G^c \leq G \leq G^c.$$

This relates the two queries in Question 2.4 and addresses Question 2.4.1 (a). We also note the rarity of $W_Z G \leq_a G$.

In the next section we show that $W_{\mathbb{Q}} G \leq_a G$ for convex groups G . Thus, \mathbb{Q} -convex is the answer to Question 2.4.

Remark 3.2. The operator W_Z is studied in [15], there denoted \mathbf{W}_s . It is a coreflection of \mathbf{W} onto the full subcategory whose objects satisfy $G = W_Z G$ (called *singular*). The situation with W_A is analogous, but we won't pursue that here. Note that Z -convexity is an extension of the *singularly convex* condition in [14].

Proposition 3.3. G^c is an ℓ -group (hence it is convex and $YG^c = YG$) if and only if $\beta g^{-1}\mathbb{R} = YG$ for each $g \in G$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Suppose that $g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ is not C^* -embedded (without loss of generality, we may take $g \in G^+$), say $f \in C^*(g^{-1}\mathbb{R})$ fails to extend over YG . Choose $m \geq |h|$ and define $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ if $x \in g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ and $f(x) = +\infty$ if $x \notin g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$. Then $|h| \leq g + m \in G$, so that $h \in G^c$. But $h - g \notin D(YG)$, so G^c is not closed under addition.

\Leftarrow : The lattice operations are inherited from $D(YG)$. Suppose that $f_i \in D(YG)$ with $|f_i| \leq g_i \in G^+$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $f_i^{-1}\mathbb{R} \supseteq g_i^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ so that

$$f_1 + f_2 \in C(g_1^{-1}\mathbb{R} \cap g_2^{-1}\mathbb{R}).$$

Since $g_1^{-1}\mathbb{R} \cap g_2^{-1}\mathbb{R} = (|g_1| + |g_2|)^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ and we assume that this set is C^* -embedded, we have the extension to $h \in D(YG)$ and $|h| \leq g_1 + g_2$ (since that holds on the dense set $g_1^{-1}\mathbb{R} \cap g_2^{-1}\mathbb{R}$). Thus $h \in G^c$ and $h = f_1 + f_2$ in G^c . \square

Proposition 3.4. Suppose that G is Z -convex.

- (a) If $g \in G^+$ and there is $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) > 0$ implies $g(x) \geq r$, then there is $f \in W_Z G$ such that $f \sim_a g$.
- (b) For all $g \in G$, there exists $f \in W_Z G$ such that $f^{-1}\mathbb{R} = g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$.
- (c) For all $g \in G$, $\beta g^{-1}\mathbb{R} = YG$.
- (d) G^c is a convex ℓ -group with $YG^c = YG$.

Proof. The definition of Z -convex includes the assumption that YG is zero-dimensional, so any $g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ is zero-dimensional and Lindelöf, thus strongly zero-dimensional. See [9] and [20].

(a) Without loss of generality, $r \geq 3$. For every $n \geq 3$, choose a clopen set U_n with $g^{-1}[n-1, n+1] \subseteq U_n \subseteq g^{-1}(n-2, n+2)$ so that

$$n-2 \leq \bigwedge_n g|_{U_n} \leq \bigvee_n g|_{U_n} \leq n+2.$$

Let $V_n = U_n \setminus \bigcup_{j < n} U_j$. Then the functions $g|_{V_n}$ retain the preceding inequalities and $g^{-1}\mathbb{R} = Z(g) \bigsqcup_n V_n$. Clearly, this set is open.

Now define $f \in D_Z(YG)$ by $f|_{V_n} = n-2$, $f|_{YG-g^{-1}\mathbb{R}} = +\infty$ and $f|_{Z(g)} = 0$. So then $f \leq g$ on $g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ and hence, $f \leq g$. Also

$$g|_{V_n} \leq n+2 = (n-2) + 4 = f|_{V_n} + 4.$$

Then $g \leq f + 4 \leq 5f$, since $1 \leq f$.

(b) Apply (a) to $|g| \vee 3$ to get f .

(c) Since $g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ is strongly zero-dimensional, it suffices to demonstrate that any $h \in C(g^{-1}\mathbb{R}, \{0, 1\})^+$ extends over YG . See [9] and [20]. By (b), we can assume that $g \in W_Z G$. Define $f \in D_Z(YG)$ by $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ if $x \in g^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ and $f(x) = +\infty$, otherwise. Then $f \leq g + 2 \in G$. Since G is Z -convex, $f \in G$. Thus, $g - f \in G$ and this is the desired extension of h .

(d) By (c) and Proposition 3.3. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let A be a proper subgroup of \mathbb{R} containing 1.*

- (a) *If H is convex with YH zero-dimensional, then $W_A H$ is A -convex and $H = (W_A H)^c$.*
- (b) *If G is A -convex, then G^c is a convex ℓ -group with YG^c zero-dimensional and $W_A(G^c) \leq G$.*

Proof. (a) If YH is zero-dimensional, then $C(YH, Z)$ separates points of YH . Since $C(YH, Z) \leq W_Z H \leq W_A H$, the group $W_Z H$ also separates points of YH and thus $YW_A H = YH$. Now suppose that H is convex and $f \in D_A(YW_A H)$, such that $|f| \leq g \in W_A H$ for some g . Then $f \in D(YH)$ and $|f| \leq g \in H$. Since H is convex, $f \in H$. Since also $f \in D_A(YH)$, we have that $f \in W_A H$ and, hence, $W_A H$ is convex.

We know that $W_A H \subseteq H$ and so $(W_A H)^c \subseteq H$ since H is convex. For the reverse, $H^+ \subseteq (W_Z H)^c$ by Proposition 3.4(a); so $H \subseteq (W_Z H)^c$ since the larger set is an ℓ -group by the above and by Proposition 3.4(d). Since we have the containment $(W_Z H)^c \subseteq (W_A H)^c$, the proof is complete.

(b) Assume that G is A -convex. Since $Z \leq A$, G is Z -convex, so Proposition 3.4(d) applies. Let $f \in W_A G^c$, that is, $f \in D_A(YG^c)$ and $|f| \leq g \in G^c$. Thus, $|f| \leq g \leq g' \in G$. Since G is A -convex, $f \in G$. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a proper subgroup of \mathbb{R} containing 1.*

- (a) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (a₁) *H is convex with YH zero-dimensional.*
 - (a₂) *$H = G^c$ for some A -convex G .*
 - (a₃) *$H = G^c$ for a unique A -convex G with $G = W_A G$, namely $G = W_A H$.*
- (b) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (b₁) *G is A -convex.*
 - (b₂) *$W_A H \leq G \leq H$ for some convex H with YH zero-dimensional; such an H is unique, namely $H = G^c$.*

Proof. (a₃) \Rightarrow (a₂) is clear and (a₂) \Rightarrow (a₁) by Theorem 3.5(b).

(a₁) ⇒ (a₃): We know that $H = (W_A H)^c$ by Theorem 3.5 (a). If also $H = G^c$ for some A -convex $G = W_A G$, then

$$W_A H = W_A(G^c) \leq G \leq W_A G \leq W_A(G^c),$$

using Theorem 3.5 (b) and the fact that $G \leq G^c$ implies that $W_A G \leq W_A G^c$.

(b₁) ⇒ (b₂): Assume that G is A -convex. By Theorem 3.5 (a), if H satisfies (b₂) then $H = G^c$ and by Theorem 3.5 (b) G^c does satisfy (b₂).

(b₂) ⇒ (b₁): Suppose that G and H satisfy (b₂). Then $Y G = Y H$ and if $f \in D_A(Y G)$ with $|f| \leq g \in G$ then $f \in W_A H$ so $f \in G$. Thus, G is A -convex. \square

Remark 3.7. (a) Proposition 3.3 is the content of Remark 2.6 (e) in [2], where no proof was given.

(b) Proposition 3.4 is related to a lemma in [2].

(c) A \mathbf{W} -object (G, u) for which every $g \in G^+$ satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.4 (a) is called *bounded away*. So we have shown that when G is Z -convex and bounded away, $W_Z G \leq_a G$. This is closely related to Corollary 4.5 of [14].

In Proposition 3.4 (a), the bounded away condition can not be dropped: Let X be a compact and zero-dimensional space, then $C(X)$ is Z -convex. However, $W_Z C(X) = C(X, Z)$ and $C(X, Z) \leq_a C(X)$ if and only if X is finite. (See [13].)

(d) In fact, for H convex, $W_Z H \leq_a H$ if and only if $Y H$ is finite (whence $H \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$): sufficiency is easy to show, so let's show necessity. If H is convex, then $H^* = C(Y H)$ and if $W_Z H \leq_a H$, then

$$C(Y H, Z) = W_Z H^* = (W_Z H)^* \leq_a H^* = C(Y H)$$

and we have the situation of the above. So $Y H$ is finite.

(e) Proposition 3.4 shows that Z -convex answers Question 2.4.1 (a), while Corollary 3.6 and Remark (d) above show that Z -convex fails to answer Question 2.4.1 (b), equivalently, the condition $W_Z H = G$ fails to answer Question 2.4.2.

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

We now replace Z by \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 4.1. *In \mathbf{W} :*

- (a) *If H is convex with YH zero-dimensional, then $W_{\mathbb{Q}}H \leq_a H$ and H is the unique a -closure of $W_{\mathbb{Q}}H$.*
- (b) *If G is \mathbb{Q} -convex, then $G \leq_a G^c$, so G^c is the unique a -closure of G .*
- (c) *If H is \mathbb{Q} -convex, then $W_{\mathbb{Q}}H \leq_a H$.*

Proof. By §3, (a) and (b) two are the same statement, so we prove (a). Statement (c) is a direct consequence of (a) and (b).

Let H be convex with YH zero-dimensional and $h \in H^+$. Choose a clopen set $U \subseteq YH$ with $h^{-1}[0, \frac{1}{2}] \subseteq U \subseteq h^{-1}[0, 1]$. Let $h_1(p) = h(p)$ if $p \in U$, $h_1(p) = 0$ otherwise and let $h_2(p) = h(p)$ if $p \notin U$ and $h_2(p) = 0$ if $p \in U$. Since U is clopen, $h_1, h_2 \in D(YH)$ and since $0 \leq h_1, h_2 \leq h$, and H is convex, $h_1, h_2 \in H$. It suffices to find $g_1, g_2 \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}H^+$ with $g_i \sim_a h_i$ when $i = 1, 2$ and then $g_1 + g_2 \sim_a h_1 + h_2 = h$.

Now $h_2(p) > 0$ implies that $h_2(p) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. So by Proposition 3.4(a), there is $g_2 \in W_ZH$ with $g_2 \sim_a h_2$.

For $i = 1$: since H is convex, $H^* = C(YH)$. We finish by using the following Lemma (with $f = h_1$). □

Lemma 4.2. *If X is compact and zero-dimensional and $f \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, then there is $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ with $g \sim_a f$.*

Proof. By induction, choose clopen sets $K_0 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ as follows: $K_0 = X$ and for each n ,

$$f^{-1}[0, 1/2^{n+1}] \subseteq K_{n+1} \subseteq K_n \cap f^{-1}[0, 1/2^n].$$

Then we see that $Z(f) = \bigcap_n K_n$,

$$1/2^{n+1} \leq f|_{K_n \setminus K_{n+1}} \leq 1/2^{n-1}$$

and $\text{coz}(f) = \bigcup_n (K_n \setminus K_{n+1})$. Define $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by $g(x) = 0$ when $x \in Z(f)$ and $g(x) = 1/2^{n+1}$ when $x \in K_n \setminus K_{n+1}$. Then $g \leq f$ and $f \leq 4g$. Thus, $g \sim_a f$. □

5. ALEXANDROFF ALGEBRAS AND $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$

Throughout, we assume that X is zero-dimensional; otherwise, $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ may be too small.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose X is zero-dimensional.*

- (a) *Each $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has an extension $\hat{g} \in D(\beta_0 X)$, and $\{\hat{g}: g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})\}$ is the Yosida representation. In particular, $YC(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \beta_0 X$.*
- (b) *$C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is \mathbb{Q} -convex and so has a unique a -closure $C(X, \mathbb{Q})^c$.*
- (c) *$W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) = W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) \leq_a C(X, \mathbb{Q})$.*
- (d) *$W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) = C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ if and only if X is pseudocompact.*

Proof. (a) Consider the commutative diagram of continuous functions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & \beta_0 X \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow \beta_0 g \\
 \mathbb{Q} & \hookrightarrow & \beta_0 \mathbb{Q} = \beta \mathbb{Q} \\
 \downarrow \text{inclusion} & & \downarrow f \\
 \mathbb{R} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}
 \end{array}$$

in which $\beta_0 g$ exists with $\beta_0 g|_X = g$, because β_0 is the reflection functor to compact zero-dimensional spaces. Since \mathbb{Q} is strongly zero-dimensional, we have that $\beta_0 \mathbb{Q} = \beta \mathbb{Q}$. Then f is the extension of the inclusion $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, and $\hat{g} = f \circ \beta_0 g \in D(\beta_0 X)$.

We have $C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \supseteq C^*(X, Z) \cong C(\beta_0 X, Z)$, and the last separates the points of $\beta_0 X$, thus so does $\{\hat{g}: g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})\}$ hence this is the Yosida representation.

(b) Let $f \in D_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_0 X)$ and $|f| \leq \hat{g}$, where $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $f|_X \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\widehat{f|_X} = f$. Thus, $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is \mathbb{Q} -convex. Then $C(X, \mathbb{Q})^c$ is the unique a -closure by Theorem 4.1.

(c) Since $C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq C(X)$ we have $W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X)$. For the reverse, let $f \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X)$. This means that $f = \beta g$ for $f|_X = g \in C(X)$ and for $p \in \beta X$, whenever $f(p) \in \mathbb{R}$ necessarily means that $f(p) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$. We have $f = \beta g = \hat{g} \circ \varphi$, where $\varphi: \beta X \rightarrow \beta_0 X$ is the canonical map. Then whenever $\hat{g}(q) \in \mathbb{R}$, we necessarily have that $\hat{g}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $q \in \beta_0 X$.

That $W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) \leq_a C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ follows from (b) and Theorem 4.1.

(d) By (c), having $W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) = C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is equivalent to having the inclusion $W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) \supseteq C(X, \mathbb{Q})$, which means that for $f \in D(\beta_0 X)$, $f(X) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ implies $f|_{f^{-1}\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose that X is pseudocompact, $f \in D(\beta_0 X)$ and $f(X) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Then $f(X)$ is a pseudocompact subset of \mathbb{Q} , hence compact, so $f^{-1}\mathbb{R} = \beta_0 X$ and $f(\beta_0 X) = f(X) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose that X is not pseudocompact. Then, since X is zero-dimensional, $X = \bigcup_n U_n$ for nonempty pairwise disjoint clopen sets U_n . Let $x_n \rightarrow r$ in \mathbb{R} with $x_n \in \mathbb{Q}$

and $r \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and define $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by $g|_{U_n} = x_n$. Then the extension $\hat{g} \in D(\beta_0 X)$ must have $\hat{g}(p) = r$ for some p therefore $g \notin W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X)$. \square

Corollary 5.2. $C(X, \mathbb{Q})^c = C(X)$ (equivalently, $C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq_a C(X)$) if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional.

We now describe $C(X, \mathbb{Q})^c$, in general.

If X is zero-dimensional, let $\text{clop}(X)$ be the Boolean algebra of clopen sets of X . Then for $U \in \text{clop}(X)$, the map $U \mapsto \text{cl}U \in \text{clop}(\beta_0 X)$ is its Stone representation.

Define $(\text{clop}(X))_{\sigma} = \{\bigcup_n U_n : U_n \in \text{clop}(X)\}$. Clearly, $(\text{clop}(X))_{\sigma} \subseteq \text{coz}(X)$, with equality if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional. In fact,

$$(\text{clop}(X))_{\sigma} = \{K \cap X : K \in \text{coz}(\beta_0 X)\}.$$

Define $A(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : f^{-1}K \in (\text{clop}(X))_{\sigma} \text{ for } K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ open}\}$. Then $A(X)$ is a \mathbf{W} -object and $A(X) \leq C(X)$ with equality if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional. See § 7 of [10] for a discussion.

Theorem 5.3.

- (a) $A(X)$ is of the type in Theorem 2.5. (2), thus is convex.
- (b) $C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq A(X)$ and for each $f \in A(X)$ there is a sequence of functions $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X .
- (c) Each $f \in A(X)$ has an extension $\hat{f} \in D(\beta_0 X)$ and $\{\hat{f} : f \in A(X)\}$ is the Yosida representation. In particular, $YA(X) = \beta_0 X$.
- (d) $W_{\mathbb{Q}}A(X) = W_{\mathbb{Q}}C(X) \leq C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq A(X)$.
- (e) $A(X) = C(X, \mathbb{Q})^c$, that is, $A(X)$ is the unique a -closure of $C(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. (a) This is easily verified, or one may see § 7 of [10].

(b) Let $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and let A be an open set in \mathbb{R} . Since \mathbb{Q} is strongly zero-dimensional, $A \cap \mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_n U_n$ for clopen sets $U_n \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, we can write $g^{-1}A = \bigcup_n g^{-1}U_n \in (\text{clop}(X))_{\sigma}$.

Let $f \in A(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let \mathcal{A} be a countable cover of \mathbb{R} by open intervals of length less than ε . So, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $f^{-1}A = \bigcup_n U(n, A)$ for clopen $U(n, A)$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{U(n, A) : A \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}, U(n, A) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a countable cover of X by clopen sets. We re-index the sets as $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n\}$ and disjointify: $V_n = U_n \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} U_i$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_n\}_n$.

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, choose $r_A \in A \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Let $g = \sum_n \{r_A \chi_{V_n} : V_n \in \mathcal{V}\}$, where χ_{V_n} is the characteristic function of V_n . Then $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $|g(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$.

(c) The extensions \hat{f} exist by Theorem 5.1 (a) and the fact that a uniform limit of extendible functions is extendible. These extensions separate the points, since the extensions \hat{g} for $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Q})$ do. The rest follows from this.

(d) This follows from Theorem 5.1 (c) and from $C(X, \mathbb{Q}) \leq A(X) \leq C(X)$.

(e) By (a), (d) and § 4. □

Remark 5.4. (a) Theorem 5.3 (a), (b), and (c) are implicit in § 7 of [11].

(b) From a more general perspective, $(\text{clop}(X))_\sigma$ is an example of what is called a *cozero field*, $A(X)$ is its associated *Alexandroff algebra*, and Theorem 2.5 (2) is a characterization of such things. One may see [10], [11], [12] and the original references therein to Hausdorff, Lebesgue and A. D. Alexandroff.

References

- [1] *M. Anderson and T. Feil*: Lattice-Ordered Groups. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [2] *E. Aron and A. Hager*: Convex vector lattices and ℓ -algebras. *Topology Appl.* 12 (1981), 1–10.
- [3] *A. Bigard, K. Keimel and S. Wolfenstein*: Groupes et anneaux reticules. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
- [4] *P. Conrad*: Archimedean extensions of lattice-ordered groups. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 30 (1966), 131–160.
- [5] *P. Conrad*: Epi-archimedean groups. *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* 24(99) (1974), 192–218.
- [6] *P. F. Conrad, M. R. Darnel and D. G. Nelson*: Valuations of lattice-ordered groups. *J. Algebra* 192 (1997), 380–411.
- [7] *M. Darnel*: Theory of Lattice-Ordered Groups. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 187. Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [8] *R. Engelking*: General Topology. Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] *L. Gillman and M. Jerison*: Rings of Continuous Functions. D. Van Nostrand Publ., 1960.
- [10] *A. Hager*: Cozero fields. *Confer. Sem. Mat. Univ. Bari.* 175 (1980), 1–23.
- [11] *A. Hager*: On inverse-closed subalgebras of $C(X)$. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 3 (1969), 233–257.
- [12] *A. Hager*: Real-valued functions on Alexandroff (zero-set) spaces. *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 16 (1975), 755–769.
- [13] *A. Hager and C. Kimber*: Some examples of hyperarchimedean lattice-ordered groups. *Fund. Math.* 182 (2004), 107–122.
- [14] *A. Hager, C. Kimber and W. McGovern*: Least integer closed groups. *Ordered Alg. Structure* (2002), 245–260.
- [15] *A. W. Hager and J. Martinez*: Singular archimedean lattice-ordered groups. *Algebra Univ.* 40 (1998), 119–147.
- [16] *A. Hager and L. Robertson*: Representing and ringifying a Riesz space. *Sympos. Math.* 21 (1977), 411–431.
- [17] *M. Henriksen and D. Johnson*: On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras. *Fund. Math.* 50 (1961), 73–94.
- [18] *M. Henriksen, J. Isbell and D. Johnson*: Residue class fields of lattice-ordered algebras. *Fund. Math.* 50 (1961), 107–117.
- [19] *C. Kimber and W. McGovern*: Bounded away lattice-ordered groups. Manuscript, 1998.

- [20] *J. R. Porter and R. G. Woods*: Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [21] *K. Yosida*: On the representation of the vector lattice. Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1942), 339-343.

Authors' addresses: Anthony W. Hager, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459-0128, USA, e-mail: ahager@wesleyan.edu; Chawne M. Kimber, Department of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton, PA 18042, USA, e-mail: kimberc@lafayette.edu; Warren Wm. McGovern, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH 43403, USA, e-mail: warrenb@bgsu.edu.