

Chun-Gil Park; Hahng-Yun Chu; Won-Gil Park; Hee-Jeong Wee
On homomorphisms between C^* -algebras and linear derivations on C^* -algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 4, 1055–1065

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128044>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN C^* -ALGEBRAS AND
 LINEAR DERIVATIONS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS

CHUN-GIL PARK, HAHNG-YUN CHU, WON-GIL PARK,
 and HEE-JEONG WEE, Daejeon

(Received April 15, 2003)

Abstract. It is shown that every almost linear Pexider mappings f, g, h from a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} are homomorphisms when $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n uy) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n uy) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ hold for all unitaries $u \in \mathcal{A}$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$, and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that every almost linear continuous Pexider mappings f, g, h from a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} of real rank zero into a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} are homomorphisms when $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n uy) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n uy) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ hold for all $u \in \{v \in \mathcal{A} : v = v^* \text{ and } v \text{ is invertible}\}$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of $*$ -homomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras, and \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivations on unital C^* -algebras.

Keywords: C^* -algebra homomorphism, C^* -algebra, real rank zero, \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivation, stability

MSC 2000: 39B52, 47B48, 46L05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X and Y be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Consider $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Rassias [8] showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq 2\theta/(2 - 2^p)\|x\|^p$ for all $x \in X$. Găvruta [2] generalized Rassias' result.

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2003-042-C00008. The second author was supported by the Brain Korea 21 Project in 2005.

Jun, Kim and Shin [4] proved the following: Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Denote by $\varphi: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function such that

$$(a) \quad \varepsilon_\varphi(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j}(\varphi(2^{j-1}x, 0) + \varphi(0, 2^{j-1}x) + \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x)) < \infty$$

for all $x \in X$. Suppose that $f, g, h: X \rightarrow Y$ are mappings satisfying

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - h(y) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - T(x) \right\| &\leq \|g(0)\| + \|h(0)\| + \varepsilon_\varphi(x), \\ \|g(x) - T(x)\| &\leq \|g(0)\| + 2\|h(0)\| + \varphi(x, 0) + \varepsilon_\varphi(x), \\ \|h(x) - T(x)\| &\leq 2\|g(0)\| + \|h(0)\| + \varphi(0, x) + \varepsilon_\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

B. E. Johnson [3, Theorem 7.2] also investigated almost algebra $*$ -homomorphisms between Banach $*$ -algebras: Suppose that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{B} are Banach $*$ -algebras which satisfy the conditions of [3, Theorem 3.1]. Then for each positive ε and K there is a positive δ such that if $T \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$ with $\|T\| < K$, $\|T^\vee\| < \delta$ and $\|T(x^*)^* - T(x)\| \leq \delta\|x\|$ ($x \in \mathcal{U}$) then there is a $*$ -homomorphism $T': \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\|T - T'\| < \varepsilon$. Here $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$ is the space of bounded linear mappings from \mathcal{U} into \mathcal{B} , and $T^\vee(x, y) = T(xy) - T(x)T(y)$ ($x, y \in \mathcal{U}$). See [3] for details.

Throughout this paper, let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and unit e , and \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of unitary elements in \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}} = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : x = x^*\}$ and $I_1(\mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}) = \{v \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}} : \|v\| = 1, v \text{ is invertible}\}$.

In this paper, we prove that every almost linear Pexider mappings $f, g, h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are homomorphisms when $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n uy) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n uy) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ hold for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that for a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} of real rank zero (see [1]), every almost linear continuous Pexider mappings $f, g, h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are homomorphisms when $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n uy) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n uy) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ hold for all $u \in I_1(\mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of $*$ -homomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras, and \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivations on unital C^* -algebras.

2. *-HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN UNITAL C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section, let $f, g, h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be mappings satisfying $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, and let $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n uy) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n uy) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, unless otherwise specified. We are going to investigate *-homomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras.

Theorem 1. *Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

- (i)
$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}y) < \infty,$$
- (ii)
$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y),$$
- (iii)
$$\|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| \leq \varphi(2^n u, 2^n u)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda| = 1\}$, all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

- (iv)
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n e)}{2^n} \text{ is invertible,}$$

then the mappings f, g, h are *-homomorphisms and $f = g = h$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. Put $\mu = 1 \in \mathbb{T}^1$ in (ii). It follows from [4, Corollary 2.5] that there exists a unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (†)
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x) \right\| &\leq \varepsilon(x), \\ \|g(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \varphi(x, 0) + \varepsilon(x), \\ \|h(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \varphi(0, x) + \varepsilon(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon_\varphi(x)$ is given by (a). The additive mapping H is given by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^n x)}{2^n}.$$

Let $\tilde{f}(x) = 2f(\frac{1}{2}x)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \tilde{f}(2^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$.

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. By the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(2^n \mu x) - \mu f(2^n x)\| &= \left\| f(2^n \mu x) - \frac{1}{2} \mu g(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2} \mu h(2^n x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mu g(2^n x) + \frac{1}{2} \mu h(2^n x) - \mu f(2^n x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x) + \frac{1}{2} |\mu| \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x) \\ &= \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $2^{-n}\|f(2^n\mu x) - \mu f(2^n x)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(1) \quad H(\mu x) = \mu H(x).$$

Now let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and M an integer greater than $2|\lambda|$. Since $|\lambda/M| < \frac{1}{2}$, there is $t \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ such that $|\lambda/M| = \cos t = \frac{1}{2}(e^{it} + e^{-it})$. Now $\lambda/M = |\lambda/M|\mu$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. And $H(x) = 2H(\frac{1}{2}x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So $H(\frac{1}{2}x) = \frac{1}{2}H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, by (1), $H(\lambda x) = H(M(\lambda/M)x) = \lambda H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So the unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

By (i) and (iii), we get $H(u^*) = H(u)^*$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Since H is \mathbb{C} -linear and each $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements (see [6, Theorem 4.1.7]), say, $x = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j u_j$ ($\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $u_j \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$), $H(x^*) = \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{\lambda_j} H(u_j)^* = H(x)^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. Since $f(2^n uy) = f(2^n u)f(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2) \quad H(uy) = H(u)f(y).$$

So

$$(3) \quad H(uy) = H(u) \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n y)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking the limit in (3) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad H(uy) = H(u)H(y).$$

Since H is \mathbb{C} -linear and each $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements, it follows from (4) that $H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

By (2) and (4), $H(e)H(y) = H(e)f(y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(2^n e)2^{-n} = H(e)$ is invertible, $H(y) = f(y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, $H(y) = g(y) = h(y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$. □

Corollary 2. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) \right\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| &\leq 2^{np+1}\theta \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If f satisfies (iv), the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ and apply Theorem 1. □

Theorem 3. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i) and (iii) such that

$$(v) \quad \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$, and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. If f satisfies (iv) and $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$.

Proof. Put $\mu = 1$ in (v). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (†). By the same reasoning as in the proof of [8, Theorem], the additive mapping H is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Put $\mu = i$ in (v). By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can obtain that $H(ix) = iH(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = s + it$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. So $H(\lambda x) = sH(x) + itH(x) = \lambda H(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence the additive mapping H is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. □

From now on, assume that \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero, where “real rank zero” means that the set of invertible self-adjoint elements is dense in the set of self-adjoint elements (see [1]). Let f, g, h be continuous and $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and let $f(2^n u y) = f(2^n u)f(y)$, $g(2^n u y) = g(2^n u)g(y)$ and $h(2^n u y) = h(2^n u)h(y)$ for all $u \in I_1(\mathcal{A}_{sa})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we are going to investigate continuous $*$ -homomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras.

Theorem 4. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii). If f satisfies (iv), then the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear involutive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the system of the inequalities (†).

Let $u \in I_1(\mathcal{A}_{sa})$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. Since $f(2^n u y) = f(2^n u)f(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(5) \quad H(uy) = H(u)f(y).$$

So

$$(6) \quad H(uy) = H(u) \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n y)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking the limit in (6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(7) \quad H(uy) = H(u)H(y).$$

Let $y \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. By (5) and (7),

$$H(e)H(y) = H(e)f(y).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(2^n e)/2^n = H(e)$ is invertible, $H(y) = f(y)$. Similarly, $H(y) = g(y) = h(y)$. So $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous. But by the assumption that \mathcal{A} has real rank zero, it is easy to show that the set of linear combinations of elements of $I_1(\mathcal{A}_{sa})$ is dense in \mathcal{A} . So for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a sequence $\{\kappa_j\}$ such that $\kappa_j \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and κ_j is a linear combination of elements of $I_1(\mathcal{A}_{sa})$. Since H is continuous, it follows from (7) and the \mathbb{C} -linearity of H that

$$(8) \quad H(xy) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} H(\kappa_j)H(y) = H(x)H(y)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$. □

Corollary 5. *Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) \right\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| \leq 2^{np+1}\theta$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $u \in I_1(\mathcal{A}_{sa})$, all $x, y \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If f satisfies (iv), the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ and apply Theorem 4. □

Theorem 6. *Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i), (iii) and (v). If f satisfies (iv), the mappings f, g, h are $*$ -homomorphisms and $f = g = h$.*

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the system of the inequalities (†).

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 4. □

3. STABILITY OF $*$ -HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN UNITAL C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section, let $f, g, h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$. We are going to show the Cauchy-Rassias stability of $*$ -homomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras.

Theorem 7. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\})^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(vi)} \quad & \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z, 2^j w) < \infty, \\ \text{(vii)} \quad & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - f(z)f(w) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w), \\ \text{(viii)} \quad & \|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| \leq \varphi(2^n u, 2^n u, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique $*$ -homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ix)} \quad & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \\ & \|g(x) - H(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0, 0, 0) + \varepsilon(x), \\ & \|h(x) - H(x)\| \leq \varphi(0, x, 0, 0) + \varepsilon(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$\text{(b)} \quad \varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon_\psi(x)$$

is given by (a) and $\psi(x, y) := \varphi(x, y, 0, 0)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Put $z = w = 0$ and $\mu = 1 \in \mathbb{T}^1$ in (vii). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear involutive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (ix). The \mathbb{C} -linear mapping H is given by

$$\text{(9)} \quad H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. Taking $x = y = 0$ in (vii), $\|2f(\frac{1}{2}zw) - f(z)f(w)\| \leq \varphi(0, 0, z, w)$. So

$$\text{(10)} \quad \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{1}{2} 2^n z \cdot 2^n w\right) - f(2^n z)f(2^n w) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n z, 2^n w).$$

By (vi), (9) and (10), $2H(\frac{1}{2}zw) = H(z)H(w)$. But since H is \mathbb{C} -linear, $H(zw) = H(z)H(w)$. Hence the \mathbb{C} -linear mapping H is a $*$ -homomorphism satisfying (ix). \square

Corollary 8. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - f(z)f(w) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p + \|w\|^p), \\ & \|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| \leq 2^{np+1}\theta \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique $*$ -homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x) \right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|g(x) - H(x)\| & \leq \frac{3-2^p}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|h(x) - H(x)\| & \leq \frac{3-2^p}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y, z, w) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p + \|w\|^p)$ and apply Theorem 7. \square

Theorem 9. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (vi) and (viii) such that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - f(z)f(w) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$, and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists a unique $*$ -homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (ix).

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (ix).

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 7. \square

4. STABILITY OF LINEAR $*$ -DERIVATIONS ON UNITAL C^* -ALGEBRAS

From now on, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. We are going to show the Cauchy-Rassias stability of linear $*$ -derivations on unital C^* -algebras.

Theorem 10. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (vi) and (viii) such that

$$(x) \quad \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - zf(w) - wf(z) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(xi) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - D(x) \right\| &\leq \varepsilon(x), \\ \|g(x) - D(x)\| &\leq \varphi(x, 0, 0, 0) + \varepsilon(x), \\ \|h(x) - D(x)\| &\leq \varphi(0, x, 0, 0) + \varepsilon(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\varepsilon(x)$ is given by (b).

Proof. Put $z = w = 0$ and $\mu = 1 \in \mathbb{T}^1$ in (x). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear involutive mapping $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying (xi). The \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$(11) \quad D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. Taking $x = y = 0$ in (x),

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{zw}{2}\right) - zf(w) - wf(z) \right\| \leq \varphi(0, 0, z, w).$$

So

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{1}{2} 2^n z \cdot 2^n w\right) - 2^n zf(2^n w) - 2^n wf(2^n z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n z, 2^n w).$$

By (x), (11) and (12), $2D(\frac{1}{2}zw) = zD(w) + wD(z)$. But since D is \mathbb{C} -linear,

$$D(zw) = zD(w) + wD(z).$$

Hence the \mathbb{C} -linear mapping D is a $*$ -derivation satisfying (xi). □

Corollary 11. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - zf(w) - wf(z) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p + \|w\|^p), \\ & \|f(2^n u^*) - f(2^n u)^*\| \leq 2^{np+1}\theta \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - D(x) \right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|g(x) - D(x)\| & \leq \frac{3-2^p}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|h(x) - D(x)\| & \leq \frac{3-2^p}{2-2^p}\theta\|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y, z, w) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p + \|w\|^p)$ and apply Theorem 10. \square

Theorem 12. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (vi) and (viii) such that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + zw}{2}\right) - \mu g(x) - \mu h(y) - zf(w) - wf(z) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$, and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear $*$ -derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying (xi).

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying (xi).

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 10. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for a number of valuable suggestions regarding a previous version of this paper.

References

- [1] *L. Brown and G. Pedersen*: C^* -algebras of real rank zero. *J. Funct. Anal.* *99* (1991), 131–149.
- [2] *P. Găvruta*: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *184* (1994), 431–436.
- [3] *B. E. Johnson*: Approximately multiplicative maps between Banach algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* *37* (1988), 294–316.
- [4] *K. Jun, B. Kim and D. Shin*: On Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexider equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *239* (1999), 20–29.
- [5] *R. V. Kadison and G. Pedersen*: Means and convex combinations of unitary operators. *Math. Scand.* *57* (1985), 249–266.
- [6] *R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose*: *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Elementary Theory.* Academic Press, New York, 1994.
- [7] *C. Park and W. Park*: On the Jensen’s equation in Banach modules. *Taiwanese J. Math.* *6* (2002), 523–531.
- [8] *Th. M. Rassias*: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *72* (1978), 297–300.

Author’s address: Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305–764, South Korea, e-mail: wgpark@math.cnu.ac.kr.