

Wei Guo Yang; Zhong Xing Ye; Wen Liu

A local convergence theorem for partial sums of stochastic adapted sequences

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 2, 525–532

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128083>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A LOCAL CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR PARTIAL SUMS OF
STOCHASTIC ADAPTED SEQUENCES

WEIGUO YANG, Zhenjiang, ZHONGXING YE, Shanghai,
and WEN LIU, Tianjin

(Received September 25, 2003)

Abstract. In this paper we establish a new local convergence theorem for partial sums of arbitrary stochastic adapted sequences. As corollaries, we generalize some recently obtained results and prove a limit theorem for the entropy density of an arbitrary information source, which is an extension of case of nonhomogeneous Markov chains.

Keywords: local convergence theorem, stochastic adapted sequence, martingale

MSC 2000: 60F15

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a stochastic adapted sequence on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, that is, $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is an increasing sequence of sub σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} , and X_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. Liu, Yan and Yang proved a limit theorem for partial sums of bounded stochastic adapted sequences (see [2]). Liu obtained a limit theorem for multivariate function sequences of discrete random variables (see [3]). The main purpose of this paper is to establish a new limit theorem for partial sums of stochastic adapted sequences. As corollaries, we generalize the above results and establish a limit theorem for the entropy density of an arbitrary information source, which extends the case of nonhomogeneous Markov chains (see [4]).

Theorem 1. *Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a stochastic adapted sequence, and let (a_n) be a sequence of non-negative r.v.'s defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. Let $\alpha > 0$, and set*

$$(1) \quad D(\alpha) = \left\{ \lim_n a_n = \infty, \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k^2 e^{\alpha|X_k|} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] < \infty \right\}.$$

Then

$$(2) \quad \lim_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \omega \in D(\alpha).$$

Proof. Define $M_0(\lambda) = 1$ and

$$(3) \quad M_n(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n X_k}}{\prod_{k=1}^n E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since

$$(4) \quad E[M_n(\lambda) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1}(\lambda) E\left[\frac{e^{\lambda X_n}}{E[e^{\lambda X_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] = M_{n-1}(\lambda) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

and $M_n(\lambda) \geq 0$, $\{M_n(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a non-negative martingale. By Doob's Martingale Convergence Theorem, $\lim_n M_n(\lambda) = M_\infty(\lambda) < \infty$ a.e. Let $A = \{\lim_n a_n = \infty\}$. We have

$$(5) \quad \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \log M_n(\lambda) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \omega \in A.$$

By (3) and (5) we have

$$(6) \quad \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \left\{ \lambda \sum_{k=1}^n X_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \log E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \right\} \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \omega \in A.$$

Letting $\lambda > 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, dividing both sides of (6) by λ , we have respectively

$$(7) \quad \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ X_k - \frac{\log E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\lambda} \right\} \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \omega \in A, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

$$(8) \quad \liminf_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ X_k - \frac{\log E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\lambda} \right\} \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \omega \in A, \quad \lambda < 0.$$

Using the inequalities $\log x \leq x - 1$ ($x > 0$), $0 \leq e^x - 1 - x \leq \frac{1}{2}x^2e^{|x|}$ and the properties of the superior and inferior limits,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n (a_n + b_n) &\leq \limsup_n a_n + \limsup_n b_n, \\ \liminf_n (a_n + b_n) &\geq \liminf_n a_n + \liminf_n b_n, \end{aligned}$$

it follows by (7) that when $0 < \lambda < \alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} \\
 & \leq \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\log E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\lambda} - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \right\} \\
 & \leq \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{E[e^{\lambda X_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - 1}{\lambda} - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \right\} \\
 & = \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{E[(e^{\lambda X_k} - 1 - \lambda X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\lambda} \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k^2 e^{\lambda |X_k|} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\
 & \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k^2 e^{\alpha |X_k|} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \text{ a.e., } \omega \in D(\alpha);
 \end{aligned}$$

and when $-\alpha < \lambda < 0$, it similarly follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & \liminf_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} \\
 & \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k^2 e^{\alpha |X_k|} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \text{ a.e., } \omega \in D(\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lambda \downarrow 0$ and $\lambda \uparrow 0$ in (9) and (10) respectively, we have

$$(11) \quad \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} \leq 0 \text{ a.e., } \omega \in D(\alpha),$$

$$(12) \quad \liminf_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} \geq 0 \text{ a.e., } \omega \in D(\alpha),$$

which implies (2). □

Corollary 1 (see [2]). *Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a bounded stochastic adapted sequence, that is, there exists $K > 0$, such that $|X_n| \leq K$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $\{a_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a sequence of non-negative r.v.'s. Put*

$$(13) \quad \Omega_0 = \left\{ \lim_n a_n = \infty, \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[|X_k| | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] < \infty \right\}.$$

Then

$$(14) \quad \lim_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{X_k - E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\} = 0 \text{ a.e., } \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

Proof. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a bounded stochastic adapted sequence. Since for $\alpha > 0$

$$E[X_k^2 e^{\alpha |X_k|} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \leq K e^{\alpha K} E[|X_k| | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}],$$

we have $\Omega_0 \subset D(\alpha)$. The corollary follows directly from Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 2. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a non-negative stochastic adapted sequence for which there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$(15) \quad E[X_n^2 e^{\alpha X_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leq K E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \text{ a.e.}$$

Set

$$(16) \quad A = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = \infty \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \infty \right\}.$$

Then $A = B$ a.e., and

$$(17) \quad \lim_n \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} = 1 \text{ a.e., } \omega \in B.$$

Remark. If $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a bounded non-negative stochastic adapted sequence, then (15) holds; if $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a sequence of non-negative r.v.'s such that

$$\sup_n E[X_n^2 e^{\alpha X_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] < \infty, \quad \inf_n E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] > 0,$$

then (15) also holds.

Proof. If we set $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$, then by (15) and Theorem 1 we obtain (17), which implies $B \subset A$ a.e. If we let $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, by the definition of the

sets A and B and Theorem 1 we have

$$\limsup_n \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k} = 0, \quad \omega \in AB^c,$$

and

$$\lim_n \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k} = 1 \text{ a.e.}, \quad \omega \in AB^c.$$

Thus we have $AB^c = \emptyset$ a.e. Hence we must have $A = B$ a.e. □

This theorem implies immediately

Corollary 2. *Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a bounded non-negative stochastic adapted sequence such that $0 \leq X_n \leq K$, for all $n \geq 0$. Put*

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = \infty \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \infty \right\}.$$

Then $A = B$ a.e., and (17) holds.

This corollary is an extension of the Extended Borel-Cantelli Lemma (see [2]).

Corollary 3 (see [3]). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a sequence of arbitrary discrete r.v.'s taking values in $S = \{t_0, t_1, \dots\}$, and let $g_n(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be real functions defined on S^{n+1} . Let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of non-negative r.v.'s. Let $\alpha > 0$ and put*

$$D(\alpha) = \left\{ \lim_n a_n = \infty, \right. \\ \left. \limsup_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[g_k^2(X_0, \dots, X_k) e^{\alpha |g_k(X_0, \dots, X_k)|} | X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}] < \infty \right\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \{g_k(X_0, \dots, X_k) - E[g_k(X_0, \dots, X_k) | X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}]\} = 0 \text{ a.e.}, \quad \omega \in D(\alpha).$$

Proof. Let $Y_n = g_n(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$. Then $\{Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a stochastic adapted sequence. This corollary immediately follows from Theorem 1. □

2. A LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE ENTROPY DENSITY
OF AN ARBITRARY INFORMATION SOURCE

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be arbitrary information source taking values in alphabet $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with finite distributions

$$(18) \quad p(x_0, \dots, x_n) = P(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n), \quad x_i \in S, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Let

$$(19) \quad f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n} \log p(X_0, \dots, X_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

$f_n(\omega)$ is called the entropy density of $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$. Denote

$$(20) \quad p_n(x_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) = P(X_n = x_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}).$$

Then

$$(21) \quad p(x_0, \dots, x_n) = p(x_0) \prod_{k=1}^n p_k(x_k | x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

In this case

$$(22) \quad f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n} \left[\log p(X_0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \log p_k(X_k | X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}) \right].$$

If $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a nonhomogeneous Markov chain taking values in the state space S with the initial distribution

$$(23) \quad p = (p(1), \dots, p(N)),$$

and transition matrices

$$(24) \quad P_n = (p_n(j|i)), \quad i, j \in S, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $p_n(j|i) = P(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i)$, then

$$(25) \quad p(x_0, \dots, x_n) = p(x_0) \prod_{k=1}^n p_k(x_k | x_{k-1})$$

and

$$(26) \quad f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n} \left[\log p(X_0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \log p_k(X_k | X_{k-1}) \right].$$

The limit property of $f_n(\omega)$ plays an important role in information theory (see [1]). From Theorem 1 we can obtain easily a limit theorem for $f_n(\omega)$ which holds for an arbitrary information source.

Theorem 3. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be arbitrary information source taking values in alphabet $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ defined as before, and let $f_n(\omega)$ be its entropy density. Then

$$(27) \quad \lim_n \left\{ f_n(\omega) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n H[p_k(1|X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}), \dots, p_k(N|X_0, \dots, X_{k-1})] \right\} = 0 \text{ a.e.,}$$

where $H(p(1), \dots, p(N))$ is the entropy of the distribution $(p(1), \dots, p(N))$, that is

$$H(p(1), \dots, p(N)) = - \sum_{k=1}^N p(k) \log p(k).$$

Proof. Setting $Y_n = -\log p_n(X_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$, $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ and $a_n = n$, then $\{Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a stochastic adapted sequence. Using the inequality

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2 \leq 16e^{-2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}|Y_n|} | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}] &= E[(\log p_n(X_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}))^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log p_n(X_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})} | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}] \\ &= \sum_{x_n} (p(x_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}))^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log p_n(x_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}))^2 \\ &\leq 16Ne^{-2}, \quad \omega \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D(\frac{1}{2}) = \Omega$. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$(28) \quad \lim_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[Y_k | X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}] \right\} = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad E[Y_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}] &= E[-\log p_n(X_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}] \\ &= - \sum_{x_n} p_n(x_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) \log p(x_n|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= H[p_n(1|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}), \dots, p_n(N|X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})], \end{aligned}$$

(27) follows from (22), (28) and (29). □

Corollary 4 (see [4]). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a nonhomogeneous Markov chain taking values in the state space S with initial distribution (23) and transition matrices (24), let $f_n(\omega)$ be its entropy density. Then

$$\lim_n \left\{ f_n(\omega) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n H[p_k(1|X_{k-1}), \dots, p_k(N|X_{k-1})] \right\} = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

References

- [1] *P. Algoat, T. Cover*: A sandwich proof of the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem. *Annals of Probability* 16 (1988), 899–909. [Zbl 0653.28013](#)
- [2] *W. Liu, J. A. Yan, and W. G. Yang*: A limit theorem for partial sums of random variables and its applications. *Statistics and Probability Letters* 62 (2003), 79–86. [Zbl pre02041714](#)
- [3] *W. Liu*: Some limit properties of the multivariate function sequences of discrete random variables. *Statistics and Probability Letters* 61 (2003), 41–50. [Zbl 1016.60034](#)
- [4] *W. Liu, W. G. Yang*: A limit theorem for the entropy density of nonhomogeneous Markov information source. *Statistics and Probability Letters* 22 (1995), 295–301. [Zbl 0833.60034](#)

Authors' addresses: Weiguo Yang, Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, P.R. China, e-mail: wgyang@ujs.edu.cn; Zhongxing Ye, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200240, P.R. China; Wen Liu, Department of Mathematics, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, P.R. China.