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1. Introduction

Let $\pi: E \to M$ be an oriented Riemannian vector bundle with a Riemannian connection $D$, $\Omega$ its curvature matrix. Then the Euler characteristic class $e(E)$ of the bundle $E$ can be represented by $\text{Pf}(-(2\pi)^{-1}\Omega)$, where $\text{Pf}$ is the Pfaffian polynomial. As is well-known, the Pontryagin and Chern classes can be obtained from the Euler classes. The characteristic classes are very important in the study of topology and differential geometry.

In this paper, we study the relationship between the sections and the Chern or Pontrjagin classes of a vector bundle by the theory of connection. The results are natural generalizations of the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem which concerns the relationship among the Euler class of the tangent bundle, the tangent vector fields and the Euler-Poincaré characteristic number of the manifold.

As is well known, the top Chern class of a complex vector bundle $E_\mathbb{C}$ and the Euler class of its realization vector bundle $E_\mathbb{R}$ are the same. This can be proved by the splitting principle, see [1, p. 273], [4, p. 115] or [10, p. 158]. In § 2, we give a direct proof of this fact. Then we state some known results about the characteristic classes which are needed in § 3.
In §3, we study the relationship between the sections of the vector bundle and the Chern or Pontrjagin classes of the bundle. Using the transgression, we show that the Chern and the Pontrjagin classes can be represented by cycles in homology of the base manifolds by Poincaré dual. These cycles are determined by the generic sections of the vector bundles.

In the following, we assume that the base manifolds of vector bundles are all compact and oriented.

2. Preliminaries

The complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$ is naturally isomorphic to a real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The isomorphism can be given by

$$\sum z_i e_i \mapsto \sum x_i e_i + \sum y_i e_{n+i}, \quad z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1} y_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is a unitary basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The basis $e_1, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_n, e_{2n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ also gives an orientation on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. For any matrix $C = (C_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{so}(2n)$, the Lie algebra of $SO(2n)$, let

$$T = (e_1, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_n, e_{2n}) \wedge C(e_1, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_n, e_{2n})^t.$$

The Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(C)$ is defined by

$$\text{Pf}(C) e_1 \wedge e_{n+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n \wedge e_{2n} = \frac{1}{2^n n!} T^n.$$  

Let $U(n)$ be the unitary group and $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ its Lie algebra, any element of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ can be represented as $A + \sqrt{-1} B$, where $A, B$ are real matrices. The canonical representation $U(n) \to SO(2n)$ induces a representation between their Lie algebras. With the oriented bases $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ respectively, the map $\mathfrak{u}(n) \to \mathfrak{so}(2n)$ can be represented by

$$A + \sqrt{-1} B \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^t = -A, \quad B^t = B.$$ 

Denote $C$ the matrix obtained by rearranging the rows and columns of $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$ according to the oriented basis $e_1, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_n, e_{2n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. 
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Lemma 2.1. \( \text{Pf}(C) = \det(-\sqrt{-1}(A + \sqrt{-1}B)) \).

Proof. It is easy to see that \( T \) can also be represented by

\[
T = (e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n}) \wedge \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) (e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n})^t.
\]

Let \( g_i = e_i - \sqrt{-1}e_{n+i} \), \( g_{n+i} = e_i + \sqrt{-1}e_{n+i} \) and \( X = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I & -\sqrt{-1}I \\ \sqrt{-1}I & I \end{array} \right) \in U(2n). \) By

\[
X^{-1}(e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2n})^t = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(g_1, \ldots, g_{2n}, -\sqrt{-1}g_{n+1}, \ldots, -\sqrt{-1}g_{2n})^t,
\]

\[
X^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) X = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A + \sqrt{-1}B \\ A - \sqrt{-1}B \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
(g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_{2n}) \wedge (A + \sqrt{-1}B)(g_1, \ldots, g_n)^t = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \wedge (A - \sqrt{-1}B)(g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_{2n})^t,
\]

we have \( T = (g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_{2n}) \wedge (A + \sqrt{-1}B)(g_1, \ldots, g_n)^t. \) Then

\[
T^n = n! \det(A + \sqrt{-1}B)g_{n+1} \wedge g_1 \wedge \ldots g_{2n} \wedge g_n = n!(-2\sqrt{-1})^n \det(A + \sqrt{-1}B)e_1 \wedge e_{n+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n \wedge e_{2n}.
\]

Hence \( \text{Pf}(C) = (-\sqrt{-1})^n \det(A + \sqrt{-1}B). \) \( \Box \)

Let \( \pi: E_C \to M \) be a Hermitian vector bundle with fibre \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( D_C \) a Hermitian connection on \( E_C \). The bundle \( E_C \) naturally determines a real Riemannian vector bundle \( \tau: E_R \to M \) with fibre \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) and a Riemannian connection \( D_R \). If \( s_1, \ldots, s_n \) is a unitary basis for the sections of \( E_C \) over an open set \( U \subset M \), then \( s_1, s_{n+1} = \sqrt{-1}s_1, \ldots, s_n, s_{2n} = \sqrt{-1}s_n \) form an orthonormal basis for the sections of \( E_R \) over \( U \), see [10, p. 155]. If \( D^2_C s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}s_j \), \( \tilde{\Omega}_{ij} = \Omega_{ij} + \sqrt{-1}\Omega_{i,n+j} \) are the curvature forms of connection \( D_C \), we have

\[
D^2_R s_i = \sum \Omega_{ij}s_j + \sum \Omega_{i,n+j}s_{n+j},
\]

\[
D^2_R s_{n+i} = -\sum \Omega_{i,n+j}s_j + \sum \Omega_{ij}s_{n+j}.
\]

Denote \( \Omega_{E_C} \) and \( \Omega_{E_R} \) the curvature matrices of \( D_C \), \( D_R \), respectively. By Lemma 2.1, we have
Corollary 2.2. The top Chern class of the bundle $E_\mathbb{C}$ and the Euler class of $E_\mathbb{R}$ represented by $\Omega_{E_\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Omega_{E_\mathbb{R}}$ respectively are the same, that is,

$$\det\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\Omega_{E_\mathbb{C}}\right) = Pf\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\Omega_{E_\mathbb{R}}\right).$$

From the vector bundle $\pi: E_\mathbb{C} \to M$, we can construct fibre bundles $\pi_i: V(E_\mathbb{C}, i) \to M$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For any $p \in M$, the fibre $\pi_i^{-1}(p)$ is a complex Stiefel manifold formed by all unitary $i$-frames on $\pi^{-1}(p)$. For each $i$, we have an induced bundle $\pi^*_i E_\mathbb{C} \to V(E_\mathbb{C}, i)$ which can be decomposed by $\pi^*_i E_\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{E}_i \oplus F_{n-i}$. The fibre of $F_{n-i}$ over $(s_1, \ldots, s_i) \in V(E_\mathbb{C}, i)$ is the orthogonal complement of $s_1, \ldots, s_i$ in the vector space $\pi^{-1}(p)$, the bundle $\mathcal{E}_i$ is trivial. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
F_1 & \longrightarrow & \ldots & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & F_n = E_\mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V(E_\mathbb{C}, n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & V(E_\mathbb{C}, n-1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & \ldots & \longrightarrow & V(E_\mathbb{C}, 1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & M.
\end{array}
$$

The maps in the diagram are all defined naturally. By the theory of characteristic class and Corollary 2.2,

$$\pi^*_{n-i} c_i(E_\mathbb{C}) = c_i(\pi^*_{n-i} E_\mathbb{C}) = c_i(\mathcal{E}_{n-i} \oplus F_i) = c_i(F_i) = e(F_i\mathbb{R}).$$

The map $\alpha_j: V(E_\mathbb{C}, j) \to V(E_\mathbb{C}, j-1)$ defines a fibre bundle with the fibre $S^{2n-2j+1}$. As in [10, §14], applying the Gysin sequence to the vector bundle $F_{n-j+1} \to V(E_\mathbb{C}, j-1)$, we know that the pullback map

$$\alpha^*_j: H^k(V(E_\mathbb{C}, j-1), Z) \to H^k(V(E_\mathbb{C}, j), Z)$$

is an isomorphism for any $k < 2n-2j+1$. Since $\pi_{n-i} = \alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-i}: V(E_\mathbb{C}, n-i) \to M$, the maps

$$\pi^*_{n-i}: H^k(M, Z) \to H^k(V(E_\mathbb{C}, n-i), Z), \quad k < 2i + 1,$$

are all isomorphisms.

Proposition 2.3. $c_i(E_\mathbb{C}) = \pi^*_{n-i} c_i(F_i) = \pi^*_{n-i} e(F_i\mathbb{R})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Then $c_i(E_\mathbb{C}) = 0$ if the bundle $F_i \to V(E_\mathbb{C}, n-i)$ has a non-zero section.

For the real vector bundle $\pi: E \to M$, we can also construct fibre bundles $\pi_i: V(E, i) \to M$, $i = 1, \ldots, n = \text{rank } E$. For any $p \in M$, the fibre $\pi_i^{-1}(p)$ is a Stiefel manifold formed by all orthonormal frames on $\pi^{-1}(p)$. For any $i$, we have a pullback vector bundle $\pi^*_i E = \mathcal{E} \oplus \widetilde{F}_{n-i} \to V(E, i)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a trivial bundle of rank $i$. 
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Proposition 2.4. Assume the vector bundle $E$ is oriented, then the vector bundles $\tilde{F}_{n-k} \to V(E, k)$ are all oriented. We have $p_i(E) = \pi_{n-2i}^{-1}[e(\tilde{F}_{2i}) \cdot e(\tilde{F}_{2i})]$, where $p_i(E)$ is the $i$th Pontrjagin class.

**Proof.** Similarly to Proposition 2.3, we have $p_i(E) = \pi_{n-2i}^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{2i})$. By [10, Corollary 15.8], $p_i(\tilde{F}_{2i}) = e(\tilde{F}_{2i}) \cdot e(\tilde{F}_{2i})$.

3. The transgression and the Poincaré dual

In [2], [3], Chern gave an elegant proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and introduced the concept of the transgression for the characteristic classes. Let $\pi: E \to M$ be an oriented Riemannian vector bundle with rank $2n$, $p: S(E) = V(E, 1) \to M$ be the associated sphere bundle. The induced bundle $p^*E \to S(E)$ can be decomposed as $p^*E = \tilde{F}_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. Then

$$p^*e(E) = e(\tilde{F}_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{E}) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^{2n}(S(E), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $D$ be a Riemannian connection on $E$ and $p^*D$ the pull back connection on $p^*E$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-1}, e_{2n}$ be oriented orthonormal frame fields on $p^*E$, $\mathcal{E}$ be generated by $e_{2n} \in S(E)$. Define another connection $\tilde{D}$ on $p^*E$:

$$\tilde{D}e_\alpha = \sum \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta e_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, 2n-1, \quad \tilde{D}e_{2n} = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta = p^*\omega_\alpha^\beta$ are defined by $De_\alpha = \sum \omega_\alpha^\beta e_\beta + \omega_\alpha^{2n} e_{2n}$. Let $p^*\Omega$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the curvature matrices of the Riemannian connections $p^*D$ and $\tilde{D}$ on $p^*E$ respectively. Then $e(E)$ can be represented by $e(\Omega) = Pf(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{2\pi})$ and $e(\tilde{\Omega}) = 0$ on $p^*E$. By Chern-Weil methods, there is a $2n-1$ form $\eta$ on $S(E)$ such that

$$p^*e(\Omega) = -d\eta, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{(-2\pi)^n} \int_0^1 Pf(\tilde{\omega} - p^*\omega, \Omega_t, \ldots, \Omega_t) \, dt,$$

where $\Omega_t$ is the curvature matrix of the connection $p^*D + t(\tilde{D} - p^*D)$. Restricting $\eta$ to each fibre of $S(E) \to M$ is the volume form of the fibre. For the computation of $Pf(\tilde{\omega} - p^*\omega, \Omega_t, \ldots, \Omega_t)$, see [7, p. 297].

When $E = TM$ is the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold $M$, the form $\eta$ is the same as Chern obtained in [3].

Let $\varrho: [0, +\infty) \to R$ be a smooth function, $\varrho(r) = -1$ for $r \in [0, 1]$, $\varrho(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2$. Then the $2n$-form $\Phi = d(\varrho(|e|) \tau^* \eta)$ is a Thom form on $E$, where $|e|$ is the norm of $e \in E$ and $\tau: E - M \to S(E)$ is the projection, $e \in E - M$, $\tau(e) = e/|e|$. 725
For a proof, see [1, p. 132, Proposition 12.3]. For the construction of Thom form, see also Mathai and Quillen [9].

Similarly, for the complex vector bundle $\pi: E_{\mathbb{C}} \to M$ defined in §2, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** For any $i = 1, \ldots, n = \text{rank} E_{\mathbb{C}}$, there is a $2i - 1$ form $\eta_i$ on $V(E_{\mathbb{C}}, n-i+1)$ such that $\pi^*_{n-i+1} c_i(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) = -d\eta_i$.

The theorem follows from Proposition 2.3 and the result on the Euler classes. Using the transgression form $\eta_i$, we can construct a Thom form $\Phi_i$ for the vector bundle $F_i$.

Let $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-i+1}$ be sections of the Hermite bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are linearly independent on $M - Z$. Assume that $Z$ is a set of submanifolds of $M$. From these sections, we have a section $\tilde{s}: M - Z \to V(E_{\mathbb{C}}, n-i+1)$. Then on the subset $M - Z$, we have

$$c_i(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) = -d(\tilde{s}^*\eta_i).$$

Let $U_\varepsilon$ be an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $Z$ in $M$. For any closed $m-2i$ form $\xi$ on $M$, $m = \dim M$, we have

$$\int_M c_i(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) \wedge \xi = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_\varepsilon} \tilde{s}^*\eta_i \wedge \xi.$$  

The left-hand side of this equation is independent of the choice of the sections of the bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. This equation is useful for our understanding the relationship between the characteristic classes and the sections of the vector bundles as we know for the Euler classes.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-i_1+1}$ be sections on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are linearly independent on $M - Z$, where $Z$ is a subset of $M$. If there is a nonzero Chern number $a = \int_M c_{i_1}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) \ldots c_{i_k}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}})$, $i_2 \geq \ldots \geq i_k$, $k > 1$, then the set $Z$ cannot be contained in any submanifold of $M$ with dimension less than $2i_2$.

A similar result holds on real vector bundles.

**Proof.** If $Z$ is contained in a submanifold $N$ of dimension less then $2i_2$, let $U_1 \subset U_2$ be open neighborhoods of $N$ such that $N$ is a deformation retract of $U_2$. Then on $U_2$, we have $E_{\mathbb{C}|U_2} = E_1 \oplus E_2$ and $E_1$ is trivial with rank $> n - i_2$. Then we can construct a connection $D_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $c_{i_2}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}})|_{U_1} = 0$, where $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the curvature matrix of $D_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence

$$\int_M c_{i_1}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) \ldots c_{i_k}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_\varepsilon} \tilde{s}^*\eta_{i_1} \wedge c_{i_2}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) \ldots c_{i_k}(\Omega_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}) = 0,$$

contradicting to the fact that $a \neq 0$. □
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For example, let $\mathbb{CP}^n$ be the complex projective space and $T_c\mathbb{CP}^n$ its holomorphic tangent space. It was proved in [10] that all Chern numbers of $T_c\mathbb{CP}^n$ are nonzero. Let $i_1 = n - k$, $i_2 = k$ in this case. Then for any submanifold $N$ of $\mathbb{CP}^n$, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N < 2k$, there do not exist vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1} \in \Gamma(T_c\mathbb{CP}^n)$ which are linearly independent on $\mathbb{CP}^n - N$.

In the following we give a geometric proof of the fact that the Chern classes can be represented by some submanifolds of the base manifold of the bundle which is the Poincaré dual.

As in [6, Chapter 3], let $\sigma = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ be a set of $C^\infty$ sections of the bundle $E_C$ and let the degeneracy set $D_i(\sigma)$ be defined by

$$D_i = D_i(\sigma) = \{p \in M: s_1(p) \wedge \ldots \wedge s_i(p) = 0\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

We say that $\sigma$ is generic if, for each $i$, $s_{i+1}$ intersects the subspace of $E_C$ spanned by $s_1, \ldots, s_i$ transversally, so that $D_{i+1}$ is, away from $D_i$, a submanifold of dimension $m-2n+2i$. Thus sections $s_1, \ldots, s_{i+1}$ are linearly independent everywhere if $m+2i < 2n$. We can give each $N_{i+1} = D_{i+1} - D_i$ an orientation defined naturally. Then $D_{i+1}$ represents a cycle in homology, called the degeneracy cycle of the sections $\sigma$.

In a neighborhood of a point $p \in N_{i+1}$, complete the sections $e_1 = s_1, \ldots, e_i = s_i$ to a frame for $E_C$, and write $s_{i+1} = \sum_j f_j e_j$, $f_j = f_{j,1} + \sqrt{-1} f_{j,2}$, where $f_{j,1}, f_{j,2}$ are real functions. $N_{i+1}$ is then locally given by $f_{i+1} = \ldots = f_n = 0$. Let $\Psi_{i+1}$ be the orientation on $N_{i+1}$ near $p$ such that the form

$$\Psi_{i+1} \wedge df_{i+1,1} \wedge df_{i+1,2} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{n,1} \wedge df_{n,2}$$

is positive for the given orientation on $M$. Note that the set $N_1$ is discrete when $\dim M = 2n$ and $\Psi_1 = \pm 1$ in this case.

**Theorem 3.3.** For $k = 1, \ldots, n$, the Chern classes $c_k(E_C)$ are the Poincaré duals to the cycles $D_{n-k+1}$. Thus $c_k(E_C) = 0$, if $D_{n-k+1} = D_{n-k}$ or $D_{n-k+1}$ is a boundary.

**Proof.** The theorem has been proved in [6] by using the Grassmann manifolds, see also [1, p. 134]. In the following we give a direct proof.

For any $k \geq 1$, we have $c_k(F_k) = \pi_{n-k}^* c_k(E_C)$. Thus there is a $2k - 1$ form $\eta_k$ on $V(E_C, n - k + 1)$ such that

$$\alpha_{n-k+1}^* c_k(\Omega F_k) = -d\eta_k.$$ 

The restriction of $\eta_k$ to each fibre of $\alpha_{n-k+1}$: $V(E_C, n - k + 1) \to V(E_C, n - k)$ is the volume form. By Gram-Schmidt process, from the sections $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-k+1}$, we
have a section \( \tilde{\sigma}_{n-k+1} : M - D_{n-k+1}(\sigma) \to V(E, n-k+1) \), where \( \tilde{\sigma}_{n-k+1} = \{\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_{n-k+1}\} \) is a Hermite frame field on \( M - D_{n-k+1}(\sigma) \). Let \( U_i(\varepsilon) \) be \( \varepsilon \)-neighborhoods of \( D_i \) respectively, \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), \( U_i(\varepsilon) \subset U_j(\varepsilon) \) if \( i < j \). Then for any closed \( m - 2k \) form \( \xi \), we have

\[
\int_M c_k(\Omega_{E_c}) \wedge \xi = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{n-k+1}(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-k+1}^* \eta_k \wedge \xi.
\]

The space \( \partial U_i(\varepsilon) - \partial U_{i-1}(\varepsilon) \) can be viewed as a fibre bundle over \( N_i \) with fibre \( S^{2n-2i+1} \). The normal bundle \( v(N_{i+1}) \) of \( N_{i+1} \) in \( M \) is oriented and the orientation is given by that of \( M \) and \( N_{i+1} \). Let \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{m-2k}, x_{m-2k+1}, \ldots, x_m) \) be oriented coordinates in a neighborhood of \( p \in N_{n-k+1} \) in \( M \) such that, restricting on \( N_{n-k+1} \), \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{m-2k}) \) are oriented coordinates on \( N_{n-k+1} \). By

\[
\Psi_{n-k+1} = \frac{\partial(f_{n-k+1,1}, f_{n-k+1,2}, \ldots, f_{n,1}, f_{n,2})}{\partial(x_{m-2k+1}, \ldots, x_m)} \Psi_{n-k+1} \wedge dx_{m-2k+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_m,
\]

we have \( \partial(f_{n-k+1,1}, f_{n-k+1,2}, \ldots, f_{n,1}, f_{n,2})/\partial(x_{m-2k+1}, \ldots, x_m) > 0 \). As noted above, integrating along the fibres of the map \( \partial U_{n-k+1}(\varepsilon) - \partial U_{n-k}(\varepsilon) \to N_{n-k+1} \) yields

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{n-k+1}(\varepsilon) - \partial U_{n-k}(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-k+1}^* \eta_k \wedge \xi = \int_{N_{n-k+1}} \xi.
\]

As \( 2n - 2i + 1 > 2k - 1 \) when \( i \leq n - k \), we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{n-k}(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-k+1}^* \eta_k \wedge \xi = 0.
\]

Hence

\[
\int_M c_k(\Omega_{E_c}) \wedge \xi = \int_{D_{n-k+1}} \xi.
\]

This completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.4.** If \( N_{n-k+1} \) is a closed submanifold of \( M \), i.e. \( \overline{N_{n-k+1}} = N_{n-k+1} \), then \( N_{n-k+1} \) is the Poincaré dual of the Chern class \( c_k(\Omega_{E_c}) \). Furthermore, if \( \dim \mathbb{R} M = 2n \), there is an oriented real vector bundle \( F_\mathbb{R} \) over \( N_{n-k+1} \) such that

\[
\int_M c_k(\Omega_{E_c}) \wedge c_{n-k}(\Omega_{E_c}) = \int_{N_{n-k+1}} e(\Omega_{F_\mathbb{R}}),
\]

where \( \Omega_{F_\mathbb{R}} \) is the curvature of \( F_\mathbb{R} \) with respect to some connection on \( F_\mathbb{R} \).
Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we have
\[ \int_M c_k(\Omega_{E_C}) \wedge c_{n-k}(\Omega_{E_C}) = \int_{N_{n-k+1}} p^* c_{n-k}(\Omega_{E_C}), \]
where \( p: N_{n-k+1} \to M \) is the inclusion, \( \dim N_{n-k+1} = 2n - 2k \). By dimensional reason, the pull-back bundle \( p^* E_C \) can be decomposed as \( p^* E_C \cong F_C \oplus E_k \) on \( N_{n-k+1} \), where \( E_k \) is a trivial bundle. Hence
\[ p^* c_{n-k}(E_C) = c_{n-k}(F_C) = e(F_R). \]
\[ \square \]

Remark. On the other hand, by the assumption of Corollary 3.4, there is a natural decomposition \( p^* E_C = \widetilde{F}_C \oplus E_{n-k} \), where \( E_{n-k} \) is a trivial bundle generated by the sections \( s_1, \ldots, s_{n-k} \) restricted on \( N_{n-k+1} \). If \( k < \frac{1}{2} n \), we have
\[ p^* c_{n-k}(E_C) = c_{n-k}(\widetilde{F}_C) = 0. \]
Thus, if \( \int_M c_k(\Omega_{E_C}) \wedge c_{n-k}(\Omega_{E_C}) \neq 0 \), then there do not exist generic sections on the vector bundle \( E_C \) such that \( N_{n-k+1} \) is a closed submanifold of \( M \).

Notice that when \( \dim M = 2n \), \( \int_M c_n(E_C) \) is the intersection number of \( s_1(M) \) with \( M \) in \( T E_C \), where the orientation on the fibres of \( E_C \) are determined by the complex structure.

Theorem 3.5. Assuming \( \dim M > 2n \), let \( i: S \to M \) be an embedding which intersects transversally with \( N_1 \), where \( S \) is a \( 2n \) dimensional oriented submanifold. Then \( \int_S c_n(i^* E_C) \) is the intersection number of \( S \) with \( N_1 \).

Proof. It is easy to see that the section \( s_1 \) of the vector bundle \( E_C \) pulls back to a section \( i^* s_1 \) of the bundle \( i^* E_C \to S \). The zeros of the section \( i^* s_1 \) correspond exactly to the points of intersection of \( S \) with \( N_1 \). If \( p \) is a point in \( S \cap N_1 \), we have
\[ T_p M = T_p N_1 \oplus v_p(N_1) = T_p N_1 \oplus T_p S, \]
where \( v_p(N_1) \) is the normal space of \( N_1 \) at \( p \). Furthermore, the tangent map of \( s_1: S \to E_C \) at \( p \) is an isomorphism of \( T_p S \) to the fibre of \( E_C \) at \( p \). The tangent map \( s_1* p: T_p S \to E_C |_p \) preserves the orientation if and only if the orientation of \( T_p S \otimes T_p N_1 \) defined by those of \( T_p S \) and \( T_p N_1 \) is the same as that of \( T_p M \), see the proof of Theorem 3.3. This completes the proof of the theorem. \[ \square \]
As \( p_k(E) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) \), the Pontrjagin classes are Poincaré duals to some cycles on the base manifold by Theorem 3.3. For example, let \( TM \) be the tangent bundle of a \( 4k \)-Riemannian manifold. Then there is a decomposition: \( TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = F_{2k} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2k} \) and

\[
p_k(TM) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(TM \otimes \mathbb{C}) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(F_{2k}) = (-1)^k e(F_{2kR}).
\]

Hence the Poincaré dual of the Pontrjagin class \( p_k(TM) \) is that of the Euler class \((-1)^k e(F_{2kR})\). In what follows we give some further study.

Let \( \sigma = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\} \) be a set of sections of a real vector bundle \( E \) with the degeneracy set \( D_i = D_i(\sigma) \) defined as in the complex case. We call \( \sigma \) generic if the sections \( \sigma \) satisfy the similar conditions. Denote \( N_{i+1} = D_{i+1} - D_i \), \( \dim N_{i+1} = m - n + i \), where \( m = \dim M \).

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( \pi: E \to M \) be an oriented Riemannian vector bundle and \( \sigma \) be a set of generic sections defined as above. If \( N_{n-2k+1} \) is a closed submanifold of \( M \), the Poincaré dual of the Pontrjagin class \( p_k(E) \) can be represented by the Poincaré dual of the Euler class of the normal bundle \( v(N_{n-2k+1}) \) of \( N_{n-2k+1} \) in \( M \). Thus \( p_k(E) = 0 \) if the bundle \( v(N_{n-2k+1}) \) has a nowhere vanishing section or the cycle \( D_{n-2k+1} \) is a boundary.

**Proof.** For each \( k \), we have \( E|_{M-D_{n-2k}} = \hat{F}_{2k} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{n-2k} \), where \( \mathcal{E}_{n-2k} \) is generated by the sections \( s_1, \ldots, s_{2k} \). The bundle \( \hat{F}_{2k} \) is oriented and \( s_{n-2k+1} \) is a transversal section of this bundle. In Proposition 12.7 of [1], Bott and Tu proved that the vector bundle \( \hat{F}_{2k} \to N_{n-2k+1} \) is isomorphic to the normal bundle of \( N_{n-2k+1} \) in \( M \). This also shows that the submanifold \( N_{n-2k+1} \) is oriented.

By Proposition 2.4, we have \( \pi_{n-2k}^* p_k(E) = [e(\hat{F}_{2k})]^2 \). There is a \( 2k - 1 \) form \( \eta_k \) on \( V(E, n - 2k + 1) \) such that \( \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\Omega_{2k}) = -d\eta_k \), where \( \Omega_{2k} \) is the curvature matrix of the naturally defined connection on the bundle \( \hat{F}_{2k} \). From \( s_1, \ldots, s_{n-2k+1} \), we have a section \( \tilde{\sigma} \) of \( \pi_{n-2k+1} : V(E, n - 2k + 1) \to M \) on \( M - D_{n-2k+1} \). It is easy to see that, on \( M - D_{n-2k+1} \),

\[
p_k(E) = \tilde{\sigma}^* \pi_{n-2k+1}^* p_k(E) = -d\eta_k \wedge \tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\hat{F}_{2k}).
\]

On the submanifold \( N_{n-2k+1} \), we have

\[
\tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\hat{F}_{2k}) = (\alpha_{n-2k+1} \circ \tilde{\sigma})^* e(\hat{F}_{2k}) = e(\hat{F}_{2k}) = e(v(N_{n-2k+1})).
\]
The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3. Let $U_{n-2k+1}(\varepsilon)$ be a $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $D_{n-2k+1}$ in $M$. For any $m - 4k$ form $\xi$ on $M$, we have

$$
\int_M p_k(\Omega_E) \wedge \xi = -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{M - U_{n-2k+1}(\varepsilon)} d\eta_k \wedge \tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\Omega_{2k}) \wedge \xi
$$

$$
= \int_{N_{n-2k+1}} \tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\Omega_{2k}) \wedge \xi,
$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\Omega_{2k})$ is the Euler form of the vector bundle $\tilde{F}_{2k} \to N_{n-2k+1}$. If the submanifold $N_{n-2k+1}$ is closed, then $\partial N_{n-2k+1} = \emptyset$ and

$$
\int_{N_{n-2k+1}} \tilde{\sigma}^* \alpha_{n-2k+1}^* e(\Omega_{2k}) \wedge \xi = \int_{N_{n-2k+1}} e(\tilde{\Omega}_{2k}) \wedge \xi,
$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_{2k}$ is the curvature matrix of the normal bundle $v(N_{n-2k+1})$. The theorem is proved. \qed

In Proposition 12.8 of [1], Bott and Tu proved this kind theorem for the Euler class.

**Lemma 3.7.** If $\dim M = \text{rank} E = 4k$, we can choose a set of generic sections such that $N_i \cap D_{i-1} = \emptyset$ for each $i \leq 2k + 1$. Thus $N_i$ are closed submanifolds of $M$ for $i \leq 2k + 1$.

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by induction. Assume we have chosen generic sections $s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}$ such that the lemma holds for each $i \leq 2k$. Thus the sets $N_i$ are all closed submanifolds of $M$ and $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j \leq 2k$. On $M - \bigcup N_i$, $E$ can be decomposed as $E|_{M - \bigcup N_i} = \tilde{F}_{2k} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2k}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{2k}$ is generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}$. Let $U_i$ be a neighborhood of $N_i$ such that $N_i$ is a deformation retract of $U_i$. Since $\dim N_i = i - 1 < 2k$, the bundle $\tilde{F}_{2k} \to U_i - N_i$ has a nowhere vanishing section. Thus we can construct a section $\tilde{s}$ on $E|_{U_i}$ such that $\tilde{s}$ is nowhere zero on $U_i - N_i$ and $\tilde{s}|_{N_i} = 0$. By the partition of unity we have a section $\tilde{s}$ on $E$ such that $s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}, \tilde{s}$ are linearly independent on each $U_i - N_i$. With a perturbation of $\tilde{s}$ on $M - \bigcup U_i$, we can get the desired section $s_{2k+1}$, cf. [1, p. 123]. \qed

**Corollary 3.8.** Let $M$ be an oriented manifold of dimension $4k$ and $N = N_{2k+1}$ be a closed submanifold defined as in Lemma 3.7 for an oriented vector bundle $E$ of rank $4k$. Then we have

$$
\int_M p_k(E) = \chi(v(N)),
$$

where $\chi(v(N))$ is the Euler characteristic of the normal bundle $v(N)$. 731
Let $TM$ be the tangent bundle of a 4-dimensional oriented manifold. Then 
\[
\int_M \frac{1}{3} p_1(M) = \frac{1}{3} \chi(v(N))
\]
is the signature of the manifold $M$. As we know, the signature of 4-manifold $M$ is a multiple of 8. Furthermore, if $M$ is spin, $\text{sig}(M)$ is a multiple of 16, see for example [8, p. 280]. Thus $\chi(v(N))$ is a multiple of 24 or 48 respectively.
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