

Ján Jakubík

Subdirect decompositions and the radical of a generalized Boolean algebra extension of a lattice ordered group

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 2, 733–754

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128101>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SUBDIRECT DECOMPOSITIONS AND THE RADICAL OF A
GENERALIZED BOOLEAN ALGEBRA EXTENSION OF A
LATTICE ORDERED GROUP

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received January 8, 2004)

Abstract. The extension of a lattice ordered group A by a generalized Boolean algebra B will be denoted by A_B . In this paper we apply subdirect decompositions of A_B for dealing with a question proposed by Conrad and Darnel. Further, in the case when A is linearly ordered we investigate (i) the completely subdirect decompositions of A_B and those of B , and (ii) the values of elements of A_B and the radical $R(A_B)$.

Keywords: lattice ordered group, generalized Boolean algebra, extension, vector lattice, subdirect decomposition, value, radical

MSC 2000: 06F15, 06F20

1. INTRODUCTION

To each pair (A, B) , where A is a lattice ordered group and B is a generalized Boolean algebra, there corresponds a lattice ordered group A_B (cf. Conrad and Darnel [3]); it is called a generalized Boolean algebra extension of A .

In [3], a series of results on A_B was proved. The relations between some properties of A_B and of B were investigated in the author's paper [10].

Let us remark that if $A = Z$ (the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order) then A_B is a Specker lattice ordered group (cf. Conrad and Darnel [4] and the author [7]). Further, if $A = R$ (the additive group of all reals with the natural linear order) then A_B is a Carathéodory vector lattice (cf. Gofman [5], and the author [6], [8], [9]).

In [3] it was proved that if A is a vector lattice then A_B is a vector lattice as well; the following open question was proposed:

(Q) If A_B is a vector lattice, then is A a vector lattice?

In Section 3 we prove that the answer to this question is 'Yes'.

In the remaining part of the paper we assume that A is a linearly ordered group. In [10] it was shown that each direct product decomposition of A_B is finite (in the sense that it has only a finite number of nonzero direct factors) and that there is a one-to-one correspondence between internal direct product decompositions of A_B and finite internal direct product decompositions of B . We remark that internal direct product decompositions of B need not be finite.

The notion of completely subdirect decomposition of a lattice ordered group was introduced by Šik [11]. Analogously we can define this notion for generalized Boolean algebras.

In Section 4 we show that the result of [9] concerning completely subdirect decompositions of Carathéodory vector lattices remains valid for the lattice ordered group A_B ; namely, we prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between internal completely subdirect decompositions of A_B and those of B . We denote by $S(A_B)$ the system of all internal completely subdirect decompositions of A_B and we define in a natural way a binary relation \leq on the system $S(A_B)$. We prove that under the relation \leq , $S(A_B)$ turns out to be a meet semilattice. If for each $b \in B$, the interval $[0, b]$ of B is a complete lattice, then $S(A_B)$ is a lattice.

In Section 5 we investigate the values of elements of A_B and the radical $R(A_B)$. We prove that $R(A_B)$ is determined by the set B_1 of all atoms of B .

2. PRELIMINARIES

For lattice ordered groups we use the notation as in Birkhoff [1] and Conrad [2].

The symbol 0 can denote the zero real, the neutral element of a lattice ordered group or the least element of a generalized Boolean algebra; the meaning of this symbol will be clear from the context.

The generalized Boolean algebra is defined to be a lattice B with the least element 0 such that for each $b \in B$, the interval $[0, b]$ of B is a Boolean algebra. We always assume that B has more than one element.

We recall some notions and the notation from [3] concerning the generalized Boolean algebra extension of a lattice ordered group.

We denote by Λ the set of all maximal proper filters of B . If $b \in B$, then b will be identified with the set $\Lambda(b)$ of all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $b \in \lambda$.

Let A be a lattice ordered group, $A \neq \{0\}$. Consider the direct product $G_0 = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$, where $A_\lambda = A$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ we denote by $a[b]$ the element of G_0 such that

$$a[b](\lambda) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \lambda \in b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by A_B the set of all $g \in G_0$ such that either $g = 0$ or $g \neq 0$ and g can be expressed in the form

$$(1) \quad g = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n],$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are nonzero elements of A and c_1, \dots, c_n are nonzero elements of B such that $c_{i(1)} \wedge c_{i(2)} = 0$ whenever $i(1), i(2)$ are distinct elements of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then (1) is said to be a Specker representation of g .

If, moreover, $a_{i(1)} \neq a_{i(2)}$ whenever $i(1), i(2) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $i(1) \neq i(2)$, then (1) is called a standard Specker representation of g . Each nonzero element of g has a uniquely determined standard Specker representation. A_B is an ℓ -subgroup of the lattice ordered group G_0 .

Let G be a lattice ordered group. In view of the definition from [1], Chapter XV, G is a vector lattice if the multiplication by scalars (= reals) in G is possible, conforming to the usual rules of vector algebra, and also the rule that, for each $r \in R$, $r \rightarrow rx$ preserves the order if $r > 0$, and inverts it if $r < 0$.

By considering a vector lattice X , the multiplication of elements of X by reals is assumed to be fixed.

Sometimes it will be convenient to distinguish between the lattice ordered group G (where the multiplication by reals is not taken into account) and the corresponding vector lattice, if it exists; in such case, this latter will be denoted by $V(G)$.

3. ON THE QUESTION (Q)

For the notion of a subdirect decomposition of an algebraic structure, cf., e.g., [1], Chapter VI.

Let A_B be as in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1. A_B is a subdirect product of the indexed system $(A_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Proof. In view of the definition, A_B is an ℓ -subgroup of the direct product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $a \in A_\lambda$. There exists $b \in B$ with $\lambda \in b$. Then $a[b]$ belongs to A_B and $(a[b])(\lambda) = a$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a lattice ordered group such that the vector lattice $V(G)$ exists. Let X be an ℓ -ideal of G . Then for each $r \in R$ and each $x \in X$, the element rx belongs to X .*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when $r \neq 0$ and $x \neq 0$.

a) First suppose that $x > 0$ and $r > 0$. There exists a positive integer n with $n > r$. Then we have $0 < rx < nx$. Since $nx \in X$, we obtain $rx \in X$.

b) Let $x > 0$ and $r < 0$. Then in view of a), the element $(-r)x = -(rx)$ belongs to X , whence $rx \in X$.

c) Let $x \in X$ and $r \in R$. We have $x = x^+ - x^-$, $x^+ \geq 0$, $x^- \geq 0$, thus in view of a) and b) we get $rx^+ \in X$, $rx^- \in X$; then $rx \in X$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let G and $V(G)$ be as in 3.2. Let ϱ be a congruence relation on G . Then ϱ is a congruence relation on $V(G)$.*

Proof. There exists an ℓ -ideal X of G such that for any $x, y \in G$ we have $x\varrho y$ if and only if $x - y \in X$. For verifying that ϱ is a congruence relation on $V(G)$ it suffices to show that if $x_1, x_2 \in G$ and $x_1\varrho x_2$, then $rx_1\varrho rx_2$ for each $r \in R$.

The relation $x_1\varrho x_2$ yields $x_1 - x_2 \in X$; in view of 3.2 we get $r(x_1 - x_2) \in X$ and thus $rx_1\varrho rx_2$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let G and $V(G)$ be as in 3.2. Then the system of all congruence relations on G coincides with the system of all congruence relations on $V(G)$.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let G and $V(G)$ be as in 3.2. Let ϱ be a congruence relation on G . Put $\bar{G} = G/\varrho$. Then the vector lattice $\bar{G} = G/\varrho$ exists.*

Proof. Let $y \in \bar{G}$. There exists $x \in G$ such $y = \bar{x}$, where $\bar{x} = \{x_1 \in G: x_1\varrho x\}$. Let $r \in R$. We put $r\bar{x} = \overline{rx}$; then in view of 3.2 and 3.3, the mapping $\bar{x} \rightarrow \overline{rx}$ is correctly defined and in this way we obviously obtain a vector lattice $V(\bar{G})$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a lattice ordered group. Further, let $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Assume that $G = A_B$ is a vector lattice. Then A is a vector lattice as well.*

Proof. In view of 3.1, G is a subdirect product of the indexed system $(A_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ be fixed. In view of the well-known relation between subdirect decompositions and congruence relations (cf., e.g., [1], Chapter VI) we conclude that there exists a congruence relation ϱ_0 on G such that A_{λ_0} is isomorphic to G/ϱ_0 . Then according to 3.5, A_{λ_0} is a vector lattice. Since $A_{\lambda_0} \simeq A$, we obtain that A is a vector lattice as well. □

Let Y be a nonempty subset of a vector lattice X . Assume that (i) Y is an ℓ -subgroup of the lattice ordered group X , and (ii) whenever $r \in R$ and $y \in Y$, then $ry \in Y$. We call Y a vector sublattice of X .

If G_i ($i \in I$) are vector lattices and $G_0 = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ then since the corresponding operations in G_0 are performed component-wise, for each $r \in R$ and each $g = (g_i)_{i \in I} \in G_0$ we have

$$(1) \quad rg = (rg_i)_{i \in I};$$

thus G_0 is a vector lattice.

If A is a vector lattice and A_B is as above, then we consider $G = A_B$ as a vector sublattice of G_0 with $G_i = A$ for each $i \in I$. Thus according to the definition of $a[b]$ (where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$) and in view of (1), for each $r \in R$ we get

$$(*) \quad r(a[b]) = (ra)[b].$$

Let G_1 be a lattice ordered group and suppose that X is a vector lattice which has the following properties:

- (i) G_1 is an ℓ -subgroup of the lattice ordered group X ;
- (ii) whenever X_1 is a lattice ordered group such that G_1 is an ℓ -subgroup of X_1 and X_1 is an ℓ -subgroup of X with $X_1 \subset X$, then X_1 fails to be a vector sublattice of X .

Under these assumptions we say that X is a minimal vector lattice over G_1 .

Again, let A and B be as above; denote $G = A_B$. Let b be a fixed element of B and

$$A_b = \{a[b] : a \in A\}.$$

Then A_b is an ℓ -subgroup of G ; moreover, the mapping $a \rightarrow a[b]$ is an isomorphism of A onto A_b .

Proposition 3.7. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a lattice ordered group and let $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Suppose that \bar{A} is a minimal vector lattice over A . Put $G = A_B$ and $\bar{G} = \bar{A}_B$. Then \bar{G} is a minimal vector lattice over G .*

Proof. Since \bar{A} is a vector lattice, in view of [3] we obtain that \bar{G} is a vector lattice as well. Further, because A is an ℓ -subgroup of \bar{A} we conclude that G is an ℓ -subgroup of \bar{G} .

Let X_1 be an ℓ -subgroup of \bar{G} such that $G \subseteq X_1 \subset \bar{G}$. Then in view of the definition of \bar{G} there exist $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$ and $b \in B$ such that $\bar{a}[b] \notin X_1$.

In view of the above mentioned isomorphism between A and A_b , and according to the analogous isomorphism between \bar{A} and \bar{A}_b we obtain that \bar{A}_b is a minimal vector lattice over the lattice ordered group A_b .

We denote

$$X_2 = \bar{A}_b \cap X_1.$$

Then $\bar{a}[b] \notin X_2$, whence $A_b \subseteq X_2 \subset \bar{A}_b$. This yields that X_2 fails to be a vector sublattice of the vector lattice \bar{A}_b . Hence there exist $r \in R$ and $p \in X_2$ with $rp \notin X_2$.

Since $p \in \bar{A}_b$ it must have the form $p = \bar{a}_1[b]$ for some $\bar{a}_1 \in \bar{A}_b$. In view of (*) (applied for \bar{A}_b) we obtain $rp = r(\bar{a}[b]) = (r\bar{a})[b]$, whence $rp \in \bar{A}_b$. If $rp \in X_1$ then we obtain $rp \in X_2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $rp \notin X_1$. Since $p \in X_1$ we conclude that X_1 fails to be a vector sublattice of \bar{G} . Thus \bar{G} is a minimal vector lattice over the lattice ordered group G . \square

In connection with 3.7, cf. also the question proposed on p.306 of [3], where the term ‘vector hull of a lattice ordered group’ has been used.

4. COMPLETELY SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS

Assume that a lattice ordered group G is a subdirect product of an indexed system $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of lattice ordered groups. For $g \in G$ and $i \in I$ we denote by g_i the component of g in X_i .

Suppose that for each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in X_i$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $g_i = x^i$ and $g_j = 0$ if $j \in I, j \neq i$. Then we say that the mapping $\varphi: g \rightarrow (g_i)_{i \in I}$ is a completely subdirect decomposition of G . (Cf. [11].)

If, moreover, for each $i \in I, X_i$ is an ℓ -subgroup of G and $x_i = x^i$ whenever $x \in X_i$, then we call φ an internal completely subdirect product decomposition of G . The lattice ordered groups X_i are called internal subdirect factors of G .

The analogous terminology will be applied in the particular case when φ is a direct product decomposition of G . In this case we speak about internal direct factors of G .

The case $G = \{0\}$ being trivial we will assume that $G \neq \{0\}$ and also that all internal direct (or subdirect) factors under consideration are nonzero.

The definitions of a completely subdirect decomposition and of internal completely subdirect decomposition of a Boolean algebra are analogous.

Let B be a generalized Boolean algebra and let $C(B)$ be the Carathéodory vector lattice corresponding to B . In [9], the relations between internal completely subdirect decompositions of B and those of $C(B)$ have been investigated.

Now let B be as above and let A be a linearly ordered group. In the present section we will deal with the relations between internal completely subdirect decompositions of B and those of A_B .

Lemma 4.1 (Cf. [10]). *Let X be an ℓ -subgroup of a lattice ordered group G . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is an internal subdirect factor of G .
- (ii) X is an internal direct factor of G .

Analogously, we have

Lemma 4.2 (Cf. [10]). *Let Y be an ideal of a generalized Boolean algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is an internal subdirect factor of B .
- (ii) X is an internal direct factor of B .

Now let us suppose that $A \neq \{0\}$ is a linearly ordered group and that $B \neq \{0\}$ is a generalized Boolean algebra.

Let X be a convex ℓ -subgroup of a lattice ordered group G . It is well-known that X is an internal direct factor of G if and only if, for each $0 \leq g \in G$, the set $\{0 \leq x \in X : x \leq g\}$ has a greatest element; if x_1 is the mentioned greatest element, then x_1 is the component of g in the internal direct factor X .

An analogous result holds for generalized Boolean algebras. By a simple calculation we obtain

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let X be an ideal of a generalized Boolean algebra B . Then X is an internal direct factor of B if and only if, for each $b \in B$, the set $\{x \in X : x \leq b\}$ has a greatest element; if x_1 is the mentioned greatest element, then x_1 is the component of b in the internal direct factor X .*

The proof will be omitted.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let B be a generalized Boolean algebra and let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a system of ideals of B which determines a completely subdirect product decomposition of B . For $b \in B$ let b_i be the component of b in X_i ($i \in I$). Then $b = \bigvee_{i \in I} b_i$.*

Proof. Let $b \in B$. In view of 4.2.1 we have $b_i \leq b$ for each $i \in I$. Assume that $b_0 \in B$ such that $b_i \leq b_0$ for each $i \in I$. Then $b_i = (b_i)_i \leq (b_0)_i$ for each $i \in I$, whence $b \leq b_0$. Thus b is the supremum of the system $(b_i)_{i \in I}$. \square

Let X be an internal direct factor of G . We denote by $\varphi(X)$ the set of all $b \in B$ such that there exists $a \in A$ with $a[b] \in X$.

Lemma 4.3 (Cf. [10]). $\varphi(X)$ is an internal direct factor of B .

Let Y be an internal direct factor of B . We denote by $\psi(Y)$ the set of all $g \in G$ such that either $g = 0$ or g has a Specker representation $g = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n]$, where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in B$.

Lemma 4.4 (Cf. [10]). $\psi(Y)$ is an internal direct factor of A_B .

Lemma 4.5 (Cf. [10]). Let A, B be as above and let $G = A_B$.

- (i) If X is an internal direct factor of G , then $\psi(\varphi(X)) = X$.
- (ii) If Y is an internal direct factor of B , then $\varphi(\psi(Y)) = Y$.

For each lattice ordered group G we denote by $F(G)$ the system of all internal direct factors of G . Similarly, for each generalized Boolean algebra B , let $F(B)$ be the system of all internal direct factors of B . Both $F(G)$ and $F(B)$ are partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion.

Again, let $G = A_B$. In view of the definitions of φ and ψ we have

- (1) $X_1, X_2 \in F(G), \quad X_1 \leq X_2 \Rightarrow \varphi(X_1) \leq \varphi(X_2);$
- (1') $Y_1, Y_2 \in F(B), \quad Y_1 \leq Y_2 \Rightarrow \psi(Y_1) \leq \psi(Y_2).$

According to (1), (1'), 4.2, 4.4 and 4.5 we obtain

Lemma 4.6. Let A, B and G be as in 4.5. Then φ is an isomorphism of $F(G)$ onto $F(B)$; similarly, ψ is an isomorphism of $F(B)$ onto $F(G)$.

Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of internal direct factors of a lattice ordered group G . For $g \in G$ and $i \in I$ let g_i be the component of g in X_i . If the mapping $\varphi_1: G \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ (where $\varphi_1(g) = (x_i)_{i \in I}$) is an internal completely subdirect decomposition of G , then we say that the system $\alpha = \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ determines an internal completely subdirect decomposition of G .

A similar terminology will be applied for generalized Boolean algebras.

Proposition 4.7. Assume that $A \neq \{0\}$ is a linearly ordered group and that B is a generalized Boolean algebra. Put $G = A_B$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of internal direct factors of G . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The system $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ determines an internal completely subdirect decomposition of G .
- (ii) The system $\{\varphi(X_i)\}_{i \in I}$ determines an internal completely subdirect decomposition of B .

P r o o f. This is a consequence of 4.6 and of [10]. □

Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between internal completely subdirect decompositions of G and those of B , where A, B and G are as in 4.7.

Under the notation as above, let $S(G)$ be the system of all internal completely subdirect product decompositions of G , and let $S(B)$ be defined analogously.

We assume that $G \neq \{0\}$ and $B \neq \{0\}$. Thus we can suppose that $S(B)$ is the set of all systems $\alpha = \{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$, where $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of nonzero internal direct factors of B which determine an internal completely subdirect decomposition of B .

Let $\beta = \{Y'_j\}_{j \in J}$ be another such system. We put $\alpha \leq \beta$ if for each $i \in I$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $Y_i \subseteq Y'_j$.

Analogously we define the relation \leq on the set $S(G)$.

Lemma 4.8. *The relation \leq is a partial order on $S(B)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that the relation \leq is reflexive and transitive. Let $\alpha, \beta \in S(B)$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\beta \leq \alpha$. For α and β we apply the notation as above. Let $i_0 \in I$. Then there is $j(i_0) \in J$ with $Y_{i_0} \subseteq Y'_{j(i_0)}$. If $j \in J, j \neq j(i_0)$, then $Y'_j \cap Y'_{j(i_0)} = \{0\}$. Hence the element $j(i_0)$ is uniquely determined. Similarly, for each $j_0 \in J$ there exists a unique $i(j_0) \in I$ with $Y'_{j_0} \subseteq Y_{i(j_0)}$. Then $Y_{i_0} \subseteq Y_{i(j(i_0))}$, whence $Y_{i_0} = Y_{i(j(i_0))}$ yielding that $Y_{i_0} = Y'_{j(i_0)}$ and so the mapping $i_0 \rightarrow j(i_0)$ is a bijection. Therefore $\alpha = \beta$. \square

An analogous result holds for the relation \leq on $S(G)$.

In view of 4.7 we obtain

Lemma 4.8.1. *The partially ordered systems $S(B)$ and $S(A_B)$ are isomorphic.*

Let α and β be as above. For $b \in B$ and $i \in I$ let $b(Y_i)$ be the component of b in Y_i . The meaning of $b(Y'_j)$ is analogous. Then in view of 4.2.2 we have

$$(1) \quad b = \bigvee_{i \in I} b(Y_i) = \bigvee_{j \in J} b(Y'_j).$$

We denote by γ the system of those $Y_i \cap Y'_j$ which have more than one element. Let K be the set of all pairs (i, j) with $i \in I, j \in J$ such that $Y_i \cap Y'_j \in \gamma$.

Lemma 4.9. *The set K is nonempty.*

Proof. There exists $0 < b \in B$. In view of (1) we have

$$(2) \quad b = b \wedge \bigvee_{i \in I} b(Y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (b \wedge b(Y_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} (b(Y'_j) \wedge b(Y_i)).$$

For $i \in I$ and $j \in J, b(Y'_j) \wedge b(Y_i) \in Y'_j \cap Y_i$. If $\gamma = \emptyset$, then $b(Y'_j) \wedge b(Y_i) = 0$ for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$, whence $b = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

For each $b \in B$ and each $(i, j) \in K$ we put

$$b_{ij} = b(Y_i) \wedge b(Y'_j).$$

Further, we set

$$\chi(b) = (b_{ij})_{(i,j) \in K}.$$

Lemma 4.10. *Let $b \in B$ and $b^i \in Y_i$ for each $i \in I$. Assume that $b = \bigvee_{i \in I} b^i$. Then $b^i = b(Y_i)$ for each $i \in I$.*

Proof. Let $i_0 \in I$. We have

$$b^{i_0} = b^{i_0} \wedge b = b^{i_0} \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} b(Y_i) \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (b^{i_0} \wedge b(Y_i)).$$

If $i \in I$, $i \neq i_0$, then $b^{i_0} \wedge b(Y_i) = 0$, whence

$$b^{i_0} = b^{i_0} \wedge b(Y_{i_0}),$$

thus $b^{i_0} \leq b(Y_{i_0})$. By similar steps we prove the relation $b(Y_{i_0}) \leq b^{i_0}$. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let $b \in B$ and $(i, j) \in K$. Then*

$$b_{ij} = (b(Y_i))(Y'_j) = (b(Y'_j))(Y_i).$$

Proof. Put $b_i = b(Y_i)$, $b_j = b(Y'_j)$. We have

$$b_i = b_i \wedge b = b_i \wedge \left(\bigvee_{j \in J} b_j \right) = \bigvee_{j \in J} (b_i \wedge b_j).$$

Since $b_i \wedge b_j \in Y'_j$, in view of 4.10 (applied for the element b_i and for the subdirect decomposition β) we obtain $b_i(Y'_j) = b_i \wedge b_j$. Analogously we get $b_j(Y_i) = b_i \wedge b_j$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *The mapping χ is a homomorphism of B into $\prod_{(i,j) \in K} C_{ij}$, where $C_{ij} = Y_i \cap Y'_j$. Moreover, χ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$, the mapping $b \rightarrow b(Y_i)$ is a homomorphism of B into Y_i . Similarly, for each $j \in J$, the mapping $b \rightarrow b(Y'_j)$ is a homomorphism of B into Y'_j . For $(i, j) \in K$, C_{ij} is an ideal of B . According to 4.11 we conclude that the mapping $b \rightarrow b_{ij}$ is a homomorphism of B into C_{ij} . Hence χ is a homomorphism of B into

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in K} C_{ij}.$$

It remains to verify that χ is a monomorphism. Since B is a generalized Boolean algebra it suffices to show that if $b \in B$ and $\chi(b) = 0$, then $b = 0$. By way of contradiction, assume that $0 \neq b$ and $\chi(b) = 0$. Thus $b_{ij} = 0$ for each $(i, j) \in K$. According to (1) there exists $i \in I$ with $b_i > 0$. Then we have $b_i = \bigvee_{j \in J} (b_i(Y'_j))$, hence there exists $j \in J$ with $b_i(Y'_j) > 0$. Thus 4.11 yields $b_{ij} > 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.13. *The system $(C_{ij})_{(i,j) \in K}$ determines an internal completely subdirect decomposition of B .*

Proof. Let $(i, j) \in K$ and $x \in C_{ij}$. Then $x \in Y_i$, whence $x_i = x$. Further, $x \in Y'_j$, yielding $x_j = x$. Thus in view of 4.11, $x_{ij} = (x_i)_j = x_j = x$. According to 4.12, the proof is complete. \square

We denote by γ the internal completely subdirect decomposition of B which is determined by the system $(C_{ij})_{(i,j) \in K}$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let α, β and γ be as above. Then in the partially ordered set $S(B)$ we have $\alpha \wedge \beta = \gamma$.*

Proof. Let $(i, j) \in K$. Then $C_{ij} \subseteq Y_i$ and $C_{ij} \subseteq Y'_j$, whence $\gamma \leq \alpha$ and $\gamma \leq \beta$. Let γ_1 be an element of $S(B)$ which is generated by a system $(Z_m)_{m \in M}$ of ideals of B . Assume that $\gamma_1 \leq \alpha$ and $\gamma_1 \leq \beta$. Thus for each $m \in M$ there exist $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ such that $Z_m \subseteq Y_i$ and $Z_m \subseteq Y'_j$. Then $Z_m \subseteq Y_i \cap Y'_j = C_{ij}$. We have $\{0\} \neq Z_m$, whence $C_{ij} \neq \{0\}$, thus $(i, j) \in K$. Therefore $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma$. This yields $\gamma = \alpha \wedge \beta$. \square

Hence we obtain

Theorem 4.15. *Let B be a generalized Boolean algebra. Then the partially ordered set $S(B)$ is a meet-semilattice.*

In view of 4.15 and 4.7 we get

Theorem 4.15.1. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a linearly ordered group and let $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Then the partially ordered set $S(A_B)$ is a meet-semilattice.*

Let (i_1, j_1) and (i_2, j_2) be elements of K . We put $(i_1, j_1) \equiv (i_2, j_2)$ if there exist elements

$$(i^1, j^1), (i^2, j^2), \dots, (i^n, j^n)$$

of K such that $(i^1, j^1) = (i_1, j_1)$, $(i^n, j^n) = (i_2, j_2)$ and whenever $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, then either $i^m = i^{m+1}$ or $j^m = j^{m+1}$. The relation \equiv is an equivalence on the set K ; let ϱ be the partition of the set K corresponding to the equivalence \equiv . For $(i, j) \in K$ let (i, j) be the class in ϱ containing the element (i, j) .

Recall that in view of 4.13 and 4.1, for each $(i, j) \in K$ the ideal C_{ij} of B is an internal direct factor of B . Thus for each $b \in B$ there exists a uniquely determined component $b(C_{ij})$ of b in C_{ij} .

For any $(i, j) \in K$ let $D_{(i, j)}$ be the set of all elements $b \in B$ such that $b(C_{i_1, j_1}) = 0$ whenever $(i_1, j_1) \notin (i, j)$. Thus in view of (1) we obtain

Lemma 4.16. *Let $(i_0, j_0) \in K$ and $b \in B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $b \in D_{(i_0, j_0)}$;
- (ii) $b = \bigvee_{(i, j) \in (i_0, j_0)} b(C_{ij})$.

In the remaining part of the present section we assume that the following condition is satisfied:

- (*) If $0 < b \in B$, then the interval $[0, b]$ of B is a complete lattice.

We apply the notation as above. Let $b \in B$. In view of (1) and 4.13, we have

$$b = \bigvee_{(i, j) \in K} b_{ij}.$$

Let $(i_0, j_0) \in K$. Then according to (*), the set $\{b_{ij}\}_{(i, j) \in (i_0, j_0)}$ has a supremum in B ; we denote it by $b_{(i_0, j_0)}$.

Lemma 4.17. *For each $b \in B$ and each $(i_0, j_0) \in K$, $b_{(i_0, j_0)}$ is the greatest element of the set*

$$\{x \in D_{(i_0, j_0)} : x \leq b\}.$$

Proof. Let $b \in B$ and $(i_0, j_0) \in K$. In view of the definition of $b_{(i_0, j_0)}$, this element belongs to the set $D_{(i_0, j_0)}$. Let $x \in D_{(i_0, j_0)}$, $x \leq b$.

From the first of the mentioned relations we obtain

$$x_{(i_0, j_0)} = x.$$

Further, from $x \leq b$ we get

$$x_{(i_0, j_0)} \leq b_{(i_0, j_0)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

By applying 4.2.1 we get

Corollary 4.18. *Let $(i_0, j_0) \in K$. Then $D_{(i_0, \bar{j}_0)}$ is an internal direct factor of B . For each $b \in B$, the element $b_{(i_0, \bar{j}_0)}$ is the component of b in $D_{(i_0, \bar{j}_0)}$.*

We denote $\bar{K} = \{(i, \bar{j}) : (i, j) \in K\}$. For $b \in B$ we put

$$\chi_1(b) = \{b_{\bar{k}}\}_{\bar{k} \in \bar{K}}.$$

In view of 4.18, χ_1 is a homomorphism of B into $\prod_{\bar{k} \in \bar{K}} D_{\bar{k}}$. Similarly as in 4.12 we can verify that χ_1 is a monomorphism. From this and from 4.17 we conclude that χ determines an internal completely subdirect decomposition of B ; let us denote it by Δ .

Lemma 4.19. $\Delta = \alpha \vee \beta$.

Proof. Let $i_0 \in I$. There exists $j_0 \in J$ with $(i_0, j_0) \in K$. Then in view of the definition of $D_{\bar{k}}$ for $\bar{k} = (i_0, \bar{j}_0)$ we have $Y_{i_0} \subseteq D_{\bar{k}}$. Hence $\alpha \leq \Delta$. Similarly we have $\beta \leq \Delta$.

Let $\Delta_1 \in S(B)$ such that $\alpha \leq \Delta_1$ and $\beta \leq \Delta_1$. Assume that Δ_1 is determined by a system $\{E_t\}_{t \in T}$ of ideals of B . Let $i_0 \in I$. There exists $t_0 \in T$ with $Y_{i_0} \subseteq E_{t_0}$. Thus whenever $(i_0, j_0) \in K$, then $C_{i_0, j_0} \subseteq E_{t_0}$. Analogously, if $j_1 \in J$ is given and $(i_1, j_1) \in K$, then $C_{i_1, j_1} \subseteq E_{t_1}$ for some $t_1 \in T$. From this and from the definition of $D_{\bar{k}}$ for $\bar{k} \in \bar{K}$ we conclude that $D_{\bar{k}}$ is a subset of some E_t ($t \in T$). Therefore $\Delta \leq \Delta_1$ and thus $\Delta = \alpha \vee \beta$. \square

From 4.14, 4.19 and 4.8.1 we conclude

Theorem 4.20. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a linearly ordered group and let $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Suppose that the condition $(*)$ is satisfied. Then $S(A_B)$ is a lattice.*

5. THE RADICAL OF A_B

In Conrad [2], there are investigated three types of radicals of a lattice ordered group G (the radical $R(G)$, the distributive radical $D(G)$ and the ideal radical $L(G)$). In the present section we deal with the radical $R(G)$ for the case when $G = A_B$, when $A \neq \{0\}$ is a linearly ordered group and B is a generalized Boolean algebra.

We recall the corresponding definitions from [2].

Let G be a lattice ordered group and $0 \neq g \in G$. A value of g is a convex ℓ -subgroup G_α of G such that G_α is maximal with respect to non-containing the element g . Put

$R_g = \bigvee G_\alpha$, where G_α runs over the system of all values of g . Further, we set

$$R(G) = \bigcap_{0 \neq g \in G} R_g.$$

Then $R(G)$ is the *radical* of G .

Again, let $0 \neq g \in G$ and let L_g be the join of all ℓ -ideals of G not containing g . Put

$$L(G) = \bigcap_{0 \neq g \in G} L_g.$$

Then $L(G)$ is the *ideal radical* of G .

A lattice ordered group is called representable if it is isomorphic to a subdirect product of linearly ordered groups.

Proposition 5.1 (Cf. [2]). *Let G be a representable lattice ordered group. Then $L(G) = R(G)$.*

Corollary 5.2. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a linearly ordered group and let $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Then $L(A_B) = R(A_B)$.*

Proof. In view of the definition of A_B we obtain that A_B is a subdirect product of replicas of A . Hence A_B is representable and now it suffices to apply 5.1. \square

The following result is easy to verify.

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a lattice ordered group and $g \in G$. Let X be a convex ℓ -subgroup of G . Then $g \in X$ if and only if $|g| \in X$.*

In view of 5.3 we have

$$(1) \quad R(G) = \bigcap_{0 < g \in G} R_g.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let A and B be as in 5.2. Let $0 < g \in A_B$ and suppose that g has a Specker representation*

$$g = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n].$$

Let X be a convex ℓ -subgroup of $G = A_B$. Then g belongs to X if and only if all $a_i[c_i]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) belong to X .

Proof. If all $a_i[c_i]$ belong to X then in view of the Specker representation we get $g \in X$. Conversely, let $g \in X$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $0 < a_i[c_i] \leq g$, we obtain $a_i[c_i] \in X$. \square

Lemma 5.5. Under the assumption as in 5.4 we have

$$R_g = R_{a_1[c_1]} \vee \dots \vee R_{a_n[c_n]}.$$

Proof. a) Let X be a value of g . Hence $g \notin X$. Thus in view of 5.4 there is $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i[c_i] \notin X$. Then there is a value Y of $a_i[c_i]$ with $X \subseteq Y$. According to the definition of R_g and of $R_{a_i[c_i]}$ we obtain $X \subseteq R_{a_i[c_i]}$ and

$$R_g \leq R_{a_1[c_1]} \vee \dots \vee R_{a_n[c_n]}.$$

b) Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let Y_1 be a value of $a_i[c_i]$. Hence $a_i[c_i] \notin Y_1$. In view of 5.4, $g \notin Y_1$. Then there is a value X_1 of g with $Y_1 \subseteq X_1$. This yields $R_{a_i[c_i]} \leq R_g$. Thus we obtain

$$R_{a_1[c_1]} \vee \dots \vee R_{a_n[c_n]} \leq R_g,$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 5.6. Let A and B be as in 5.2; put $G = A_B$. Then

$$R(G) = \bigcap_{0 < a \in A, 0 < b \in B} R_{a[b]}.$$

Proof. Let $0 < a \in A$, $0 < b \in B$; then $a[b] \in G$, whence

$$R(G) \subseteq \bigcap_{0 < a \in A, 0 < b \in B} R_{a[b]}.$$

Assume that $x \in R_{a[b]}$ for each $0 < a \in A$ and each $0 < b \in B$. Let $0 < g \in G$. Then in view of 5.5 we have $x \in R_g$, whence $x \in R(G)$. □

In view of 5.6, for characterizing $R(G)$ we have to describe the ℓ -subgroups $R_{a[b]}$ for $0 < a \in A$ and $0 < b \in B$. Since A is linearly ordered, there exists a unique value A^a of the element a in A . We denote

$$A_b^a = \{a_1[b] : a_1 \in A^a\}.$$

For each $x \in G$, let $(x)^\delta$ be the orthogonal polar of x , i.e.,

$$(x)^\delta = \{y \in G : |x| \wedge |y| = 0\}.$$

Then $(x)^\delta$ is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G . For $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq G$ we put $X^\delta = \bigcap_{x \in X} (x)^\delta$.

Each linearly ordered group is projectable. Thus according to [4] the lattice ordered group G is projectable. Therefore $(a[b])^\delta$ is an internal direct factor of G . Thus we have

$$(2) \quad G = (a[b])^\delta \times (a[b])^{\delta\delta}.$$

We put

$$G_1 = \{t \in G: t((a[b])^{\delta\delta}) \in A_b^a\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$(3) \quad G_1 = (a[b])^\delta \times A_b^a.$$

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that b is an atom of B . Then G_1 is a value of $a[b]$.*

Proof. We have $a[b] \in (a[b])^{\delta\delta}$, whence

$$a[b]((a[b])^{\delta\delta}) = a[b]$$

and $a[b] \notin A_b^a$. Thus $a[b] \notin G_1$.

Let H be a convex ℓ -subgroup of G with $G_1 \subset H$. Then according to (2) we obtain $H = H_1 \times H_2$, where

$$H_1 = H \cap (a[b])^\delta, \quad H_2 = H \cap (a[b])^{\delta\delta}.$$

In view of (3), $(a[b])^\delta \subseteq G_1$, thus $(a[b])^\delta \subseteq H$. This yields $H_1 = (a[b])^\delta$ and

$$H = (a[b])^\delta \times H_2.$$

Since $G_1 \subset H$, by using (3) again we obtain $A_b^a \subset H_2$. Then there exists $0 < t \in H_2$ with $t \notin A_b^a$. Let

$$t = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n]$$

be a Specker representation of t . Since $t \in H_2$, all $a_i[c_i]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) belong to H_2 . Further, since $t \notin A_b^a$, there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $a_i[c_i] \notin A_b^a$.

From $a_i[c_i] \in H_2 \subseteq (a[b])^{\delta\delta}$ we get $c_i \leq b$. Since $0 < c_i$ and since b is an atom of B we have $c_i = b$. Then $a_i[b] \in H_2$ and $a_i[b] \notin A_b^a$. Hence $a_i \notin A^a$.

We denote by A' the set of all $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0[b] \in H_2$. Then A' is a convex ℓ -subgroup of A and $A^a \subseteq A'$. Since $a_i \in A'$ and $a_i \notin A^a$ we obtain $A^a \subset A'$. From the fact that A^a is a value of a we get $a \in A'$. Hence $a[b] \in H_2 \subseteq H$. Therefore G_1 is a value of $a[b]$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that b is an atom of B and let $0 < a \in A$. Then the lattice ordered group $(a[b])^{\delta\delta}$ is linearly ordered.*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in (a[b])^{\delta\delta}$. Since b is an atom of B we conclude that there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A$ with $x_1 = a_1[b]$, $x_2 = a_2[b]$. Because A is linearly ordered, the elements a_1 and a_2 are comparable and thus x_1 and x_2 are comparable as well. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let a and b be as in 5.8. Further, let G_1 be as above. Then G_1 is a unique value of $a[b]$.*

Proof. Assume that G'_1 is a value of $a[b]$. Then according to (2) we have $G'_1 = K_1 \times K_2$, where

$$K_1 = G'_1 \cap (a[b])^\delta, \quad K_2 = G'_1 \cap (a[b])^{\delta\delta}.$$

Put

$$G''_1 = (a[b])^\delta \times K_2.$$

Thus $G''_1 \supseteq G'_1$. Suppose that $G''_1 \neq G'_1$.

Since G'_1 is a value of $a[b]$ we get $a[b] \in G''_1$. Because $(a[b])(a[b])^\delta = 0$ we have $a[b] \in K_2$. This yields $a[b] \in G'_1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $G''_1 = G'_1$ and hence

$$G'_1 = (a[b])^\delta \times K_2.$$

Both A_b^a and K_2 are convex ℓ -subgroups of $(a[b])^{\delta\delta}$. According to 5.8, $(a[b])^{\delta\delta}$ is linearly ordered. Then the system of convex ℓ -subgroups of $(a[b])^{\delta\delta}$ is linearly ordered as well. This yields that G_1 and G'_1 are comparable. But two distinct values of the same element cannot be comparable. Therefore $G'_1 = G_1$. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let a and b be as in 5.8. Then $R_{a[b]} = G_1$, where G_1 is as above.*

From the definition of the partial order in G we obtain

Lemma 5.11. *Let a and b be as in 5.8. Then $(a[b])^\delta$ is the set of all $g \in G$ such that either $g = 0$, or g has a Specker representation $g = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n]$ such that $a \wedge c_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Corollary 5.12. *Let a, b be as in 5.8 and let $a_1 \in A$, $a_1 \neq 0$. Then $(a[b])^\delta = (a_1[b])^\delta$.*

Lemma 5.13. *Let a, b be as in 5.8 and let $a_1 \in A$, $a \leq a_1$. Then $R_{a[b]} \subseteq R_{a[b_1]}$.*

Proof. If A^{a_1} is defined analogously as A^a , then we have $A^a \subseteq A^{a_1}$, whence $A_b^a \subseteq A_b^{a_1}$. Hence in view of 5.9 and 5.12 we obtain $R_{a[b]} \subseteq R_{a_1[b]}$. \square

Corollary 5.14. *Let a and b be as in 5.8. Let c_1, \dots, c_n be mutually orthogonal nonzero elements of B such that $b \wedge c_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. Then $a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n] \in R_{a[b]}$.*

Now let $0 < a \in A$, $0 < b \in B$; in 5.15–5.22 we suppose that b fails to be an atom of B .

Consider the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$. There exists a proper maximal ideal B^* of $[0, b]$. Let X be the set of all elements x of G such that either $x = 0$ or x has a Specker representation of the form $x = a_1[c_1] + \dots + a_n[c_n]$ such that c_1, \dots, c_n belong to $[0, b]$ and $a_i \in A^a$ whenever $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $c_i \notin B^*$. Then $a[b]$ does not belong to X .

The set X^δ consists of all elements $g \in G$ such that either $g = 0$ or g has a Specker representation $g = a_1^0[c_1^0] + \dots + a_m^0[c_m^0]$ such that $c_j^0 \wedge b = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Put $X_1 = X + X^\delta$. An easy calculation shows that X_1 is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G and that $a[b] \notin X_1$.

Lemma 5.15. *Under the assumptions as above, X_1 is a value of $a[b]$.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that X_1 fails to be a value of $a[b]$. Hence there exists a convex ℓ -subgroup Y of G such that $a[b] \notin Y$ and $X_1 \subset Y$.

There is $0 < y \in Y$ with $y \notin X_1$. Let

$$y = a'_1[b_1] + \dots + a'_k[b_k]$$

be a Specker representation of y .

Put $b_{11} = b_1 \wedge b$ and let b_{12} be the complement of b_{11} in the interval $[0, b_1]$ of B . Hence we have

$$b_{11} \wedge b_{12} = 0, \quad b_{11} \vee b_{12} = b_1, \quad b_{11} \in [0, b], \quad b_{12} \wedge b = 0.$$

We apply the same procedure to the elements b_2, \dots, b_k .

If for each $k(1) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we have either (i) $b_{k(1),1} \in B^*$, or (ii) $a_{k(1)}^1 \in A^a$, then in view of the definition of X_1 we obtain $y \in X_1$, which is a contradiction. Hence there is $k(1) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $b_{k(1),1} \notin B^*$ and $a_{k(1)}^1 \notin A^a$. We denote by b' the complement of $b_{k(1),1}$ in the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$. Then $a_{k(1)}^1[b'] \in X_1$. Further,

$$0 < a_{k(1)}^1[b_{k(1),1}] \leq a_{k(1)}^1[b_{k(1)}] \leq y,$$

whence $a_{k(1)}^1[b_{k(1),1}] \in Y$. Thus we obtain

$$a_{k(1)}^1[b'] + a_{k(1)}^1[b_{k(1),1}] \in Y.$$

Since $b' \wedge b_{k(1),1} = 0$ and $b' \vee b_{k(1),1} = b$, we have

$$a_{k(1)}^1[b'] + a_{k(1)}^1[b_{k(1),1}] = a_{k(1)}^1[b].$$

Thus $a_{k(1)}^1[b] \in Y$.

For each $a_1 \in A$ we put $f(a_1) = a_1[b]$. Then f is an isomorphism of the lattice ordered group A onto the ℓ -subgroup A_b of G . Since A^a is the unique value of a in A , we infer that A_b^a is the unique value of $a[b]$ in A_b .

We have $a_{k(1)}^1 \notin A^a$. Hence $a_{k(1)}^1[b] \in A_b^a$. Therefore the convex ℓ -subgroup Y_1 of G which is generated by $a_{k(1)}^1[b]$ contains the element $a[b]$. Clearly $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ and hence $a[b] \in Y$, which is a contradiction. \square

If the value X_1 of $a[b]$ is constructed as above by using the maximal proper ideal of the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$ then we say that X_1 is determined by B^* .

Again, let $0 < a \in A$, $0 < b \in B$. Suppose that b fails to be an atom of B . Let X_2 be a value of $a[b]$.

Lemma 5.16. $[0, a[b]]^\delta \subseteq X_2$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that $[0, a[b]]^\delta$ fails to be a subset of X_2 . Denote $Y = X_2 \vee [0, a[b]]^\delta$. Then Y is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G and $X_2 \subset Y$. Since X_2 is a value of $a[b]$ we must have $a[b] \in Y$.

There exist $z_1, \dots, z_n \in X_2 \cup [0, a[b]]^\delta$ such that

$$0 < a[b] = z_1 + \dots + z_n.$$

Then it is easy to verify that without loss of generality we can suppose that $z_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $z_i \in [0, a[b]]^\delta$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then we would have $z_i \wedge a[b] = 0$ which is a contradiction, since $z_i \leq a[b]$. Therefore all z_i belong to X_2 yielding that $a[b] \in X_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 5.17. *There exist $b_1 \in B$ with $0 < b_1 < b$ and $a_1 \in A$ with $a_1 \notin A^a$ such that $a_1[b_1] \in X_2$.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that for each a_1 and b_1 with the mentioned properties we have $a_1[b_1] \notin X_2$. Let B^* be a proper maximal ideal of the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$ and let X_1 be the value of $a[b]$ which is determined by B^* . Then $X_2 \subset X_1$ and $a[b] \notin X_1$. Thus X_2 fails to be a value of $a[b]$, which is a contradiction. \square

We denote by B_0 the set of all $b_1 \in B$ such that either $b_1 = 0$, or $0 < b_1 < b$ and there exists $a_1 \in A$ such that $a_1 \notin A^a$ and $a_1[b_1] \in X_2$. In view of 5.17, $B_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.18. B_0 is an ideal of $[0, b]$ and $b \notin B_0$.

Proof. Let $0 < b_1 \in B_0$ and $0 < b_2 \in B$, $b_2 < b_1$. There exists $0 < a_1 \in A$ with $a_1 \notin A^a$, $a_1[b_1] \in X_2$. Then $0 < a_1[b_2] < a_1[b_1]$, whence $a_1[b_2] \in X_2$ and thus $b_2 \in B_0$.

Let $0 < b_1 \in B_0$, $0 < b_2 \in B_0$. Then there exist $a_i \in A$ such that $0 < a_i \notin A^a$, $a_i[b_i] \in X_2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Put $a_3 = a_1 \wedge a_2$. Hence without loss of generality we can suppose that $a_3 = a_2$ and then

$$a_2[b_1] \vee a_2[b_2] = a_2[b_1 \vee b_2] \in X_2.$$

Thus $b_1 \vee b_2 \in B_0$. Therefore B_0 is an ideal of $[0, b]$. Assume that $0 < a_4 \in A$, $a_4 \notin A^a$ and $a_4[b] \in X_2$. Let A^1 be the convex ℓ -subgroup of A generated by a_4 . Since $a_4 \notin A^a$ we have $A^a \subset A^1$ and hence $a \in A^1$. Then there is $n \in N$ with $a \leq na_4$. We get $0 < a[b] \leq na_4[b] \in X_2$ yielding $a[b] \in X_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 5.19. B_0 is a proper maximal ideal of $[0, b]$ and X_2 is generated by B_0 .

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that B_0 fails to be a proper maximal ideal of $[0, b]$. Then in view of 5.17 and 5.18, there exists a proper maximal ideal B^* of $[0, b]$ such that $B_0 \subset B^*$. Let X_1 be as above. Then $X_2 \subset X_1$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $B^* = B_0$.

Let $a_1 \in A$ and $b_1 \in B^*$. If $a_1[b_1] \notin X_2$, then $X_2 \subset X_1$, which is impossible. From this we conclude that $X_2 = X_1$. \square

Corollary 5.20. There is a one-to-one correspondence between values of $a[b]$ and proper maximal ideals of the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$.

Lemma 5.21. Let $a_1 \in A$. There exist values X_1 and X_2 of $a[b]$ such that $a_1[b] \in X_1 \vee X_2$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $a_1 > 0$. Let X_1 be as above. There exists $b_1 \in [0, b]$ such that $b_1 < b$ and $b_1 \notin B^*$. Further, there exists a proper maximal ideal B_1^* of $[0, b]$ such that $b_1 \in B_1^*$. Also, there exists a value X_2 of $a[b]$ which is determined by B_1^* .

Let b'_1 be the complement of b_1 in the Boolean algebra $[0, b]$. Since $b_1 \notin B^*$ we get $b'_1 \in B^*$. In view of the definition of B^* we have $a_1[b'_1] \in X_1$. Similarly, $a_1[b_1] \in X_2$. Then

$$a_1[b'_1] \vee a_1[b_1] = a_1[b'_1 \vee b_1] = a_1[b].$$

Since $a_1[b'_1] \vee a_1[b_1] \in X_1 \vee X_2$, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.22. *Let $0 \neq g \in G$. Then $g \in R_{a[b]}$.*

P r o o f. By applying the Specker representation of g we conclude that it suffices to verify the validity of the relation $a_1[b_1] \in R_{a[b]}$ for each $0 < a_1 \in A$ and each $0 < b_1 \in B$. Put $b_{11} = b_1 \wedge b$ and let b_{12} be the complement of b_{11} in the interval $[0, b_1]$ of B . Then $b_{12} \wedge b = 0$ and hence in view of 5.16 we get $a_1[b_{12}] \in X$ for each value X of $a[b]$.

Further, in view of 5.21, there exist values X_1 and X_2 of $a[b]$ such that $a_1[b_{11}] \in X_1 \vee X_2$. Hence

$$a_1[b_1] = a_1[b_{11}] \vee a_1[b_{12}] \in X_1 \vee X_2.$$

Therefore $a_1[b_1] \in R_{a[b]}$. \square

We denote by B_1 the set of all atoms of B . From 5.6 and 5.22 we obtain

Proposition 5.23. *If $B_1 = \emptyset$, then $R(G) = G$. If $B_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $R(G) = \cap R_{a[b]}$, where $0 < a \in A$ and $b \in B_1$.*

Let $b \in B_1$ and $0 < a \in A$. In view of 5.10 we have

$$R_{a[b]} = (a[b])^\delta \times A_b^a.$$

Recall that $A_b^a = \{a_1[b]\}_{a_1 \in A^a}$. Since $A^a \subset [-a, a]$, we get

$$\bigcap_{0 < a \in A} A^a = \{0\},$$

whence

$$\bigcap_{0 < a \in A} A_b^a = \{0\}.$$

Further, 5.12 yields $(a[b])^\delta = (a_0[b])^\delta$ for each $0 < a_0 \in A$. Denote

$$R_b = \bigcap_{0 < a \in A} R_{a[b]}.$$

Then for each $0 < a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_b &= (a[b])^\delta \times \{0\} = (a[b])^\delta, \\
 (+) \quad R(G) &= \bigcap_{0 < b \in B_1} R_b = \bigcap_{0 < b \in B_1} (a[b])^\delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus in view of 5.22 we obtain

Theorem 5.24. *Let $A \neq \{0\}$ be a linearly ordered group, $B \neq \{0\}$ be a generalized Boolean algebra. Let B_1 be the set of all atoms of B . (i) If $B_1 = \emptyset$, then $R(G) = G$. (ii) If $B_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $R(G)$ is given by the relation (+).*

References

- [1] *G. Birkhoff*: Lattice Theory. Third Edition, Providence, 1967. [Zbl 0153.02501](#)
- [2] *P. Conrad*: Lattice Ordered Groups. Tulane University, 1970. [Zbl 0258.06011](#)
- [3] *P. Conrad and M. R. Darnel*: Generalized Boolean algebras in lattice ordered groups. *Order* 14 (1998), 295–319. [Zbl 0919.06009](#)
- [4] *P. Conrad and M. R. Darnel*: Subgroups and hulls of Specker lattice-ordered groups. *Czechoslovak Math. J* 51 (2001), 395–413. [Zbl 0978.06011](#)
- [5] *C. Goffman*: Remarks on lattice ordered groups and vector lattices. I. Carathéodory functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1958), 107–120. [Zbl 0088.02602](#)
- [6] *J. Jakubík*: Cardinal properties of lattice ordered groups. *Fundamenta Math.* 74 (1972), 85–98. [Zbl 0259.06015](#)
- [7] *J. Jakubík*: Torsion classes of Specker lattice ordered groups. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 52 (2002), 469–482. [Zbl 1012.06018](#)
- [8] *J. Jakubík*: On vector lattices of elementary Carathéodory functions. *Czechoslovak Math. J* 55 (2005), 223–236. [Zbl 1081.06021](#)
- [9] *J. Jakubík*: Torsion classes and subdirect products of Carathéodory vector lattices. *Math. Slovaca* 56 (2006), 79–92.
- [10] *J. Jakubík*: Generalized Boolean algebra extensions of lattice ordered groups. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* 30 (2005), 1–19. [Zbl pre05005286](#)
- [11] *F. Šik*: Über subdirekte Summen geordneter Gruppen. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 10 (1960), 400–424. [Zbl 0102.26501](#)

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: kstefan@saske.sk.