

Ajda Fošner

A note on local automorphisms

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 3, 981–986

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128123>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS

AJDA FOŠNER, Maribor

(Received May 31, 2004)

Abstract. Let H be an infinite-dimensional almost separable Hilbert space. We show that every local automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , is an automorphism.

Keywords: automorphism, local automorphism, algebra of operators on a Hilbert space

MSC 2000: 47B48, 46L40

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

A linear mapping φ of an algebra \mathcal{A} into itself is called a *local automorphism* if for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an automorphism φ_a of \mathcal{A} such that $\varphi(a) = \varphi_a(a)$. This notion was introduced by Larson and Sourour in [5]. They have proved that every surjective local automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite-dimensional Banach space X , is an automorphism [5, Theorem 2.1] (for finite-dimensional spaces X , the result is somewhat different [5, Theorem 2.2]). In [1] Brešar and Šemrl improved this result in the case when X is a separable Hilbert space. They proved that every local automorphism φ of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (note that here we do not assume surjectivity of φ), where H is an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, is an automorphism [1, Theorem 2]. The aim of this paper is to give a shorter and simpler proof of this result and also to extend it to the most important class of nonseparable Hilbert spaces. Recall that a Hilbert space is *separable* if it has a countable orthonormal basis. We shall say that a Hilbert space is *almost separable* if it has an orthonormal basis of the power less or equal to continuum.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional almost separable Hilbert space. Then every local automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is an automorphism.*

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Throughout, H will be a complex infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . By $\mathcal{F}(H)$ we denote the ideal of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of finite rank. For every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ we denote by $\text{Im } T$ the image of T and by $\text{Ker } T$ the kernel of T . Given nonzero $x, y \in H$, by $x \otimes y$ we denote a rank one operator defined by $(x \otimes y)z = \langle z, y \rangle x$, $z \in H$. Note that the spectrum of the operator $x \otimes y$ is equal to the set $\{0, \langle x, y \rangle\}$. Operators $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ are said to be *similar* if there exists an invertible operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $S = ATA^{-1}$. Since every automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is inner [2], a local automorphism φ of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ can be equivalently defined as a linear mapping with the property that the operators T and $\varphi(T)$ are similar for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Note also that any local automorphism φ of an algebra \mathcal{A} preserves idempotents, that is, for any idempotent $p \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi(p)$ is again an idempotent.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we establish three preliminary results. The first lemma was already proved in [3, Lemma 3]. As its proof is rather short we have included it for the sake of completeness. In the proof of the second lemma we shall basically just follow the arguments from [1]. The core of the paper is the last lemma which is new.

Lemma 2.1. *If X and Y are complex normed linear spaces and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bijective linear operator such that A^{-1} carries closed hyperplanes to closed hyperplanes, then A is bounded.*

Proof. Let g be a nonzero bounded linear functional on Y . By hypothesis $A^{-1}(\text{Ker } g)$ is a closed hyperplane, so we can choose a bounded linear functional f on X and a vector $u \in X$ such that

$$\text{Ker } f = A^{-1}(\text{Ker } g) \quad \text{and} \quad f(u) = 1.$$

Then any $x \in X$ can be written in the form

$$x = f(x)u + v$$

for some $v \in \text{Ker } f$. Hence

$$g(Ax) = g(A(f(x)u)) + g(Av) = f(x)g(Au).$$

It follows that $g \circ A$ is bounded and thus A is bounded because g is arbitrary. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional almost separable Hilbert space and let φ be a local automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Then the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is either a homomorphism, or an antihomomorphism.*

Proof. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be orthogonal idempotents, that is, $PQ = QP = 0$. Since $P + Q$ is again an idempotent, it follows that $\varphi(P + Q)^2 = \varphi(P + Q)$. Hence $\varphi(P)\varphi(Q) + \varphi(Q)\varphi(P) = 0$, which (by a standard argument) gives $\varphi(P)\varphi(Q) = \varphi(Q)\varphi(P) = 0$. So, we have shown that φ maps any set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents into a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents.

Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ be a self-adjoint operator. Then $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$, where the P_i 's are mutually orthogonal idempotents and the λ_i 's are real numbers. Hence $\varphi(S^2) = \varphi(S)^2$ (φ maps orthogonal idempotents into orthogonal idempotents). Replacing in this identity S by $S + T$, where S and T are both self-adjoint, we obtain that $\varphi(ST + TS) = \varphi(S)\varphi(T) + \varphi(T)\varphi(S)$. Since every operator $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ can be written in the form $F = S + iT$ with $S, T \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ self-adjoint, we get $\varphi(F^2) = \varphi(F)^2$. Thus the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is a Jordan homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is a locally matrix algebra, a result of Jacobson and Rickart [4, Theorem 8] tells us that $\varphi|_{\mathcal{F}(H)} = \varphi + \theta$, where $\varphi: \mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a homomorphism and $\theta: \mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an antihomomorphism. Pick an idempotent $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ of rank one. Then $\varphi(P)$ is the sum of idempotents $\varphi(P)$ and $\theta(P)$. Therefore, as $\varphi(P)$ also has rank one, it follows that either $\varphi(P) = 0$ or $\theta(P) = 0$. Thus, at least one of φ and θ has a nonzero kernel. Since the kernels of homomorphisms and antihomomorphisms are ideals, and since the only nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is $\mathcal{F}(H)$ itself, we have $\varphi = 0$ or $\theta = 0$. Thus, the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is either a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional almost separable Hilbert space and let φ be a local automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. If the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is a homomorphism, then φ is an automorphism.*

Proof. Fix $u \in H$ such that $\|u\| = 1$. As $\varphi(u \otimes u)$ is an idempotent of rank one, we have

$$\varphi(u \otimes u) = v \otimes w,$$

where $\langle v, w \rangle = 1$. Define $A, B: H \rightarrow H$ by

$$Ax = \varphi(x \otimes u)v, \quad Bx = \varphi(u \otimes x)^*w.$$

Clearly, A and B are linear operators. Since $\varphi|_{\mathcal{F}(H)}$ is a homomorphism, for all $x, y \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x \otimes y) &= \varphi((x \otimes u)(u \otimes u)(u \otimes y)) \\ &= \varphi(x \otimes u)(v \otimes w)\varphi(u \otimes y) \\ &= (\varphi(x \otimes u)v) \otimes (\varphi(u \otimes y)^*w) = (Ax) \otimes (By).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle Ax, By \rangle,$$

because the spectrum of the operator $x \otimes y$ is equal to the spectrum of the operator $\varphi(x \otimes y) = (Ax) \otimes (By)$. This implies that A and B are injective operators.

Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a nontrivial idempotent and $x \in \text{Ker } P$. Pick an element $y \in H$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ and $\langle Pz, y \rangle = 0$ for every $z \in H$. Since $x \otimes y$ and P are orthogonal idempotents and since φ maps orthogonal idempotents into orthogonal idempotents it follows that $\varphi(P)$ and $(Ax) \otimes (By)$ are orthogonal idempotents. In particular, $Ax \in \text{Ker } \varphi(P)$. Now, let $x \in \text{Im } P$. Then $x \in \text{Ker}(I - P)$, which yields (see above) that $Ax \in \text{Ker } \varphi(I - P) = \text{Ker}(I - \varphi(P))$. We use $\text{Ker}(I - \varphi(P)) = \text{Im } \varphi(P)$ to conclude that $Ax \in \text{Im } \varphi(P)$.

Let $x \in H$. Then $x = y + z$, where $y \in \text{Ker } P$ and $z \in \text{Im } P$. Thus $\varphi(P)Ax = \varphi(P)Ay + \varphi(P)Az = \varphi(P)Az = Az$. Therefore, $\text{Im } A$ is invariant under every idempotent $\varphi(P)$, $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Moreover, the restriction of $\varphi(P)$ to $\text{Im } A$ considered as a map from $\text{Im } A$ into itself is equal to CPC^{-1} (here C denotes the bijection $C: H \rightarrow \text{Im } A$ defined by $Cx = Ax$, $x \in H$). Using the result of Pearcy and Topping [6] which states that every operator in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is a sum of idempotents we conclude that $\text{Im } A$ is invariant under every $\varphi(T)$, $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, and

$$(1) \quad \varphi(T)|_{\text{Im } A} = CTC^{-1}, \quad T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

We will prove that C and C^{-1} are bounded operators. Let $K \subseteq H$ be a closed hyperplane. Then $K = \text{Ker } P$ for some idempotent $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $C(K) = C(\text{Ker } P) = \text{Ker}(\varphi(P)|_{\text{Im } A})$ (see above). Thus $C(K)$ is a closed hyperplane in $\text{Im } A$. Applying Lemma 2.1 we then conclude that C^{-1} is bounded. Now, suppose that the operator C is not bounded. Let $\{y_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq H$ be a set of orthonormal vectors. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $x_n \in \text{Im } A$ such that $C^{-1}x_n = y_n$. Moreover, we can find orthonormal vectors $\{z_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\|Cz_n\| > n\|x_n\|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $Ty_n = z_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|CTC^{-1}x_n\| = \|Cz_n\| > n\|x_n\|$, a contradiction (CTC^{-1} is a bounded operator on $\text{Im } A$). So we have proved that C is a bounded operator. Since this is also true

for the operator C^{-1} it follows that $\text{Im } A$ is isomorphic to H . In particular, $\text{Im } A$ is closed.

Suppose that H is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis of the power of the continuum and let $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ be an orthonormal basis in H . Define a linear operator $S : H \rightarrow H$ by $Se_\lambda = \lambda e_\lambda$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Of course, $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Let K be the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im } A$, $H = \text{Im } A \oplus K$. According to this decomposition $\varphi(S)$ has the following matrix representation (see (1))

$$(2) \quad \varphi(S) = \begin{bmatrix} CSC^{-1} & S_1 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some operators $S_1 : K \rightarrow \text{Im } A$ and $S_2 : K \rightarrow K$. Since H is equal to the closure of the direct sum of one-dimensional subspaces $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \text{Ker}(S - \lambda I)$ and since S and $\varphi(S)$ are similar we have

$$(3) \quad H = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \text{Ker}(\varphi(S) - \lambda I)},$$

where $\text{Ker}(\varphi(S) - \lambda I)$ are again one-dimensional subspaces. Applying (2) and (3) we get

$$H = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Ce_\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}},$$

where $\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Ce_\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ denotes the linear span of the vector $\begin{bmatrix} Ce_\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore $H \subseteq \text{Im } A$ and consequently $H = \text{Im } A$. Thus, $A : H \rightarrow H$ is an invertible bounded linear operator and $\varphi(T) = ATA^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. The case when H is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with a countable orthonormal basis can be treated similarly, by considering a bounded linear operator $S : H \rightarrow H$ defined by $Se_n = \frac{1}{n}e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H . \square

P r o o f of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.2 the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is either a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism. In view of Lemma 2.3 it suffices to consider the situation when $\varphi|_{\mathcal{F}(H)} = \theta$ is an antihomomorphism. But then, as φ maps $\mathcal{F}(H)$ into itself, $\varphi^2|_{\mathcal{F}(H)} = \theta^2$ is a homomorphism. Observe that φ^2 is also a local automorphism. Applying Lemma 2.3 we then conclude that φ^2 is an automorphism. In particular, φ^2 is onto, which implies that so is φ . Thus, φ satisfies the requirements of the result of Larson and Sourour [5]. Hence φ is an automorphism. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is thankful to Professor Matej Brešar and Professor Peter Šemrl for help and encouragement.

References

- [1] *M. Brešar, P. Šemrl*: On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents. *Studia Math.* *113* (1995), 101–108. [Zbl 0835.47020](#)
- [2] *M. Eidelheit*: On isomorphisms of rings of linear operators. *Studia Math.* *9* (1940), 97–105. [Zbl 0061.25301](#)
- [3] *P. A. Fillmore, W. E. Longstaff*: On isomorphisms of lattices of closed subspaces. *Canad. J. Math.* *36* (1984), 820–829. [Zbl 0546.46005](#)
- [4] *N. Jacobson, C. Rickart*: Jordan homomorphisms of rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *69* (1950), 479–502. [Zbl 0039.26402](#)
- [5] *D. Larson, A. R. Sourour*: Local derivations and local automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(X)$. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* *51* (1990), 187–194. [Zbl 0713.47045](#)
- [6] *C. Percy, D. Topping*: Sums of small numbers of idempotents. *Michigan Math. J.* *14* (1967), 453–465. [Zbl 0156.38102](#)

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, PeF, University of Maribor, Koroška cesta 160, SI-2000 Maribor, Slovenia, e-mail: ajda.fosner@uni-mb.si.