

Bianca Satco

A Komlós-type theorem for the set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral and applications

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 3, 1029–1047

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128128>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A KOMLÓS-TYPE THEOREM FOR THE SET-VALUED  
HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS INTEGRAL AND APPLICATIONS

B. SATCO, Brest

(Received November 12, 2004)

*Abstract.* This paper presents a Komlós theorem that extends to the case of the set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral a result obtained by Balder and Hess (in the integrably bounded case) and also a result of Hess and Ziat (in the Pettis integrability setting). As applications, a solution to a best approximation problem is given, weak compactness results are deduced and, finally, an existence theorem for an integral inclusion involving the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral is obtained.

*Keywords:* Komlós convergence, Henstock-Kurzweil integral, Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral, selection

*MSC 2000:* 28A20, 28B20, 26A39

1. INTRODUCTION

Komlós's classical theorem (see [17]) yields that from any  $L^1$ -bounded sequence of real functions one can extract a subsequence such that the arithmetic averages of all its subsequences converge pointwise almost everywhere. Similar results were then obtained in the vector-valued case and, moreover, in the case of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued functions,  $X$  being a separable Banach space: in Theorem 2.5 in [2] an integrable boundedness condition is imposed, while Theorem 3.1 in [16] requires Pettis integrability of the multifunctions.

Through the present work, we extend these results providing a Komlós-type theorem for  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued functions under Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability assumptions. The set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral was introduced in [19] in the same manner as the Pettis set-valued integral (see e.g. [9]), but the support functionals are integrated in the Henstock-Kurzweil sense instead of the Lebesgue one.

Our method is based on an abstract Komlós-type result (Theorem 2.1 in [1]), which was also used to obtain a Komlós theorem for Pettis integrable (multi)functions in [3]. As a corollary, a Komlós result similar to that obtained in [16] for the Pettis set-valued integral is given.

In the second part of the work, we apply the results obtained in the first part to give a solution to a best approximation problem. Such a problem was investigated under different assumptions in [5] for integrably bounded multifunctions, as well as in [16] for Pettis integrable set-valued applications.

The third section contains several weak compactness criteria in the set-valued HKP-integration, using Komlós’s results given above and a uniform integrability condition specific to the HK integrability. In particular, a weak compactness result for the family of all integrable multi-selections of an HKP-integrable weakly compact convex-valued multifunction is proved.

Recently, many authors have investigated the existence of solutions of differential (or integral) equations under Henstock-Kurzweil (e.g. [7], [10], [11] and [20]) and Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability assumptions (e.g. [8]). In that line, we obtain an existence result for a set-valued integral equation involving the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral which represents an extension of Theorem VI-7 in [6] (where the Pettis integrability is required).

## 2. TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION

Let us begin by introducing the basic facts on the Henstock-Kurzweil integrability, a concept that on the real line extends the classical Lebesgue one.

A positive function  $\delta$  on a real interval  $[0, T]$  provided with the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  and the Lebesgue measure  $\mu = ds$  is called a gauge. A partition of  $[0, T]$  is a finite family  $(I_i, t_i)_{i=1}^k$  of nonoverlapping intervals that covers  $[0, T]$  with the associated so-called tags  $t_i \in I_i$ . A partition is said to be  $\delta$ -fine if for each  $i$ ,  $I_i \subset ]t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)[$ .

**Definition 1.** A function  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil (shortly, HK-) integrable if there exists a real, denoted by  $(HK) \int_0^T f(t) dt$ , satisfying that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a gauge  $\delta_\varepsilon$  such that, for every  $\delta_\varepsilon$ -fine partition  $(I_i, t_i)_{i=1}^k$ ,  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - (HK) \int_0^T f(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon$ . The function  $f$  is HK-integrable on a measurable  $E \subset [0, T]$  if  $f\chi_E$  is HK-integrable on  $[0, T]$ .

**Remark 2.** Theorem 9.8 in [14] yields that an HK-integrable function is HK-integrable on any subinterval and, by Theorem 9.12 in [14], its primitive  $(HK) \int_0^\cdot f(t) dt$  is continuous.

Let us recall the properties that connect this kind of integrability with the Lebesgue one:

**Proposition 3** (Theorem 9.13 in [14]). *Let  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be HK-integrable on  $[0, T]$ . Then*

- a)  $f$  is measurable;
- b) if  $f$  is nonnegative on  $[0, T]$ , then it is Lebesgue integrable;
- c)  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $[0, T]$ , if and only if it is HK-integrable on every measurable subset of  $[0, T]$ .

The Lebesgue integrability is preserved under multiplication by essentially bounded real functions. The following result states that the HK-integrability is preserved under multiplication by functions of bounded variation.

**Lemma 4** (Theorem 12.21 in [14]). *Let  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an HK-integrable function and let  $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be of bounded variation. Then  $fg$  is HK-integrable.*

We will also use the following uniform integrability notion, specific to the HK-integrability, that allows to obtain a Vitali-type convergence result (Theorem 13.16 in [14]):

**Definition 5.** A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of HK-integrable functions defined on  $[0, T]$  is said to be uniformly HK-integrable if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta_\varepsilon$  such that for every  $\delta_\varepsilon$ -fine partition of  $[0, T]$  and every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - (\text{HK}) \int_0^T f(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon$ .

Let us note that this concept does not allow us to ignore the  $\mu$ -null sets, as is shown by the following example.

**Example 6** (see [14], p. 209). The sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $f_n(t) = 0 \forall t \in ]0, 1]$  and  $f_n(0) = n$ , is not uniformly HK-integrable, although all functions of this sequence differ only at one point.

**Remark 7.** The class of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions (which coincides with the class of Denjoy and Perron integrable functions, cf. [14]) is contained in the class of Khintchine integrable functions (see [14], Chapter 15). In [13] and [12], Khintchine integrability is called Denjoy integrability. This will not lead to any confusion, because we will use only the HK-integral and, when appealing to the results in [13] and [12], we will mean the integration in Khintchine sense.

Through the paper,  $X$  is a separable Banach space,  $X^*$  and  $X^{**}$  denote its topological dual and bi-dual, respectively, and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  stands for the family of its weakly compact convex subsets. On  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  the Hausdorff distance  $D$  is considered and, for every  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ , we put  $|A| = D(A, \{0\})$ .

A well known extension of the Lebesgue integral to the Banach-valued case is the Pettis integral (see [18]). One can generalize this notion of integrability by considering for the canonical bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the HK-integral instead of the Lebesgue one as follows:

**Definition 8.** A function  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow X$  is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis (shortly, HKP-) integrable if

- 1)  $f$  is scalarly HK-integrable, i.e. for all  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\langle x^*, f(\cdot) \rangle$  is HK-integrable;
- 2) for each  $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$  there exists  $x_{[a,b]} \in X$  such that

$$\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]} \rangle = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds,$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ .

We denote  $x_{[a,b]}$  by  $(\text{HKP}) \int_a^b f(s) ds$  and call it the HKP-integral of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .

If in the condition 2) we require only  $x_{[a,b]} \in X^{**}$ , then  $f$  is called Henstock-Kurzweil-Dunford (shortly, HKD-) integrable.

**Remark 9.**

- i) Following Remark 2, if  $f$  is HKP-integrable, then its primitive  $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^\cdot f(t) dt$  is weakly continuous.
- ii) Obviously, any Pettis integrable function is HKP-integrable. The converse is not true: the function considered in Section 4 in [12] provides an example.

One can consider (via Lemma 4) the space of HKP-integrable  $X$ -valued functions equipped with the topology induced by the tensor product of the space of real functions of bounded variation and  $X^*$  (we call it the weak-Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis topology and denote it by w-HKP). That is:  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  if, for every  $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of bounded variation and every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(s) \langle x^*, f_\alpha(s) \rangle ds \rightarrow (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(s) \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$ . Our considerations arise naturally from Pettis integrability setting, where the topology induced on the space of Pettis integrable functions by the tensor product  $L^\infty([0, T]) \otimes X^*$  is called the weak-Pettis topology.

Let us recall various kinds of set-valued measurability and integrability that will be used in the sequel. The support functional of  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  is denoted by  $\sigma(\cdot, A)$  and is defined by  $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup\{\langle x^*, x \rangle, x \in A\}$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . A set-valued function  $F: [0, T] \rightarrow X$  is said to be measurable if, for every open subset  $O \subset X$ , the set  $F^{-1}(O) = \{t \in [0, T]; F(t) \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$  is measurable.  $F$  is called scalarly measurable if, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$  is measurable. According to Theorem III-37 in [6], in the case when  $X$  is separable, a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction is measurable if and only if it is scalarly measurable. A function  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow X$  is called a selection of  $F$  if  $f(t) \in F(t)$  a.e.

**Definition 10.**

- i) A multifunction  $\Gamma$  is said to be integrably bounded if the real function  $|\Gamma(\cdot)|$  is Lebesgue integrable.
- ii)  $\Gamma$  is said to be scalarly (resp. scalarly HK-) integrable if, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(\cdot))$  is Lebesgue (resp. HK-) integrable.
- iii) A  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $\Gamma$  is “Pettis integrable in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ” (or, simply, Pettis integrable since we will work only with  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ) if it is scalarly integrable, and for every  $A \in \Sigma$  there exists  $I_A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  such that  $\sigma(x^*, I_A) = \int_A \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) dt$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . We denote  $I_A$  by  $(P) \int_A \Gamma(t) dt$ .
- iv) A  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $\Gamma$  is “HKP-integrable in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ” (shortly, HKP-integrable) if it is scalarly HK-integrable, and for every  $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$  there exists  $I_a^b \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ , such that  $\sigma(x^*, I_a^b) = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) dt, \forall x^* \in X^*$ . We denote  $I_a^b$  by  $(\text{HKP}) \int_a^b \Gamma(t) dt$ .

Obviously, in the particular case of a single-valued function, these concepts coincide with those given previously in the vector case.

It is worthwhile to restate here the characterizations of HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions given in Theorem 1 in [19]:

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\Gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  be a scalarly HK-integrable multifunction. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i)  $\Gamma$  is HKP-integrable;
- ii)  $\Gamma$  has at least one HKP-integrable selection and for every HKP-integrable selection  $f$  there exists  $G: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  Pettis integrable, such that  $\Gamma(t) = f(t) + G(t), \forall t \in [0, T]$ ;
- iii) each measurable selection of  $\Gamma$  is HKP-integrable.

In the set-valued setting, we will use the following Komlós-type convergence (see [17]), involving the support functionals:

**Definition 12.** A sequence  $(F_n)_n$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions is said to be Komlós-convergent (shortly, K-convergent) to a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction  $F$  if for every subsequence  $(F_{k_n})_n$  there exists a  $\mu$ -null set  $N \subset [0, T]$  (depending on the subsequence) such that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $t \in [0, T] \setminus N$ ,

$$\sigma(x^*, F(t)) = \lim_n \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right).$$

3. A KOMLÓS THEOREM FOR THE SET-VALUED  
HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS INTEGRAL

By using an abstract Komlós-type theorem proved in [1], we obtain a Komlós-type result for the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral. For the convenience of the reader, we recall here Theorem 2.1 in [1], for the presentation of which we need some notation.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and  $Y$  a convex cone, provided with a topology compatible with the operations of addition and multiplication by positive scalars.  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  will denote its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. Consider a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Sigma \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y)$ -measurable functions  $a: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $a(\omega, \cdot)$  is affine and continuous on  $Y$ . A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -scalarly measurable if for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , the real function  $a(\cdot, f(\cdot))$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable. Suppose that there exists a sequence  $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$  which separates the points of  $Y$ . This means that for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $y = z$  if and only if  $a_j(\omega, y) = a_j(\omega, z)$ ,  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given a function  $h: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , we say that  $h(\omega, \cdot)$  is (sequentially) inf-compact if for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{y \in Y; h(\omega, y) \leq \alpha\}$  is sequentially compact.

**Theorem 13** (Theorem 2.1 in [1]). *Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ -scalarly measurable  $Y$ -valued functions defined on  $\Omega$  and satisfying that there exists  $h: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that  $h(\omega, \cdot)$  is convex and sequentially inf-compact and*

- 1)  $\sup_n \int_{\Omega} |a_j(\omega, f_n(\omega))| \mu(d\omega) < +\infty, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 2)  $\sup_n \int_{\Omega}^* h(\omega, f_n(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) < +\infty$ .

*Then there exists a subsequence  $(f_{k_n})_n \subset (f_n)_n$  that Komlós-converges to an  $\mathcal{A}$ -scalarly measurable function  $f$  such that  $\int_{\Omega}^* h(\omega, f(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) < +\infty$ .*

In the preceding theorem,  $\int_{\Omega}^*$  is the outer integration with respect to  $\mu$ , that is, for a (possibly non-measurable) function  $\overline{\varphi}: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega}^* \overline{\varphi} d\mu = \inf \{ \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu, \varphi \in L^1(\mu), \varphi \geq \overline{\varphi} \text{ a.e.} \}$ .

Applying this result to an appropriate convex cone  $Y$  and a suitable family  $\mathcal{A}$  of affine continuous functions, we obtain, in the set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability setting, the following Komlós-type result:

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space which is weakly sequentially complete and let  $F_n: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  be a sequence of HKP-integrable multifunctions. Suppose that*

- i) for every  $x^* \in X^*$ 
  - ia) there exists a real HK-integrable function  $f_{x^*}$  such that

$$f_{x^*}(t) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N};$$

- ib)  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty$ ;
- ii) there exist a function  $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $h(t, \cdot)$  is convex and sequentially inf-compact, and a countable measurable partition  $(B_m)_m$  of  $[0, T]$  satisfying, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following conditions:
- iiia)  $\sup_n \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F_n(t))| dt < +\infty, \forall x^* \in X^*$ ;
- iiib)  $\sup_n \int_{B_m}^* h(t, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty$ .

Then there exist an HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F$  and a subsequence of  $(F_n)_n$  which K-converges to  $F$ . Moreover,  $\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt < +\infty$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** By the separability assumption on  $X$ , we can find a Mackey-dense sequence  $(x_k^*)_k$  in the unit ball of  $X^*$ . Consider the convex cone  $Y = \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  provided with the coarsest topology with respect to which all support functionals are continuous. Consider also the family  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_{x^*} : x^* \in X^*\}$  of functions  $a_{x^*} : [0, T] \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined as  $a_{x^*}(t, C) = \sigma(x^*, C)$ , which are affine and continuous on  $Y$ . Take the countable subfamily  $\{a_{x_k^*} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  that, by the Mackey-density assumption, separates the points of  $Y$ . Applying Theorem 13 on each  $B_m$ , after a diagonal process we obtain a subsequence  $(F_{k_n})_n$  which is Komlós-convergent to a scalarly measurable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F$ . Moreover,  $\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt < +\infty$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In order to prove the scalar HK-integrability of the limit multifunction, fix  $x^* \in X^*$  and use the hypotheses ia) and ib). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the positive function  $-f_{x^*} + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)$  is HK-integrable, therefore, by Theorem 9.13 in [14], it is Lebesgue integrable. We are now able to apply Fatou's Lemma to the sequence  $\left(-f_{x^*} + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$  in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (-f_{x^*}(t) + \sigma(x^*, F(t))) dt \\ & \leq \liminf_n \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\ & = (\text{HK}) \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) dt + \liminf_n (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\ & \leq (\text{HK}) \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) dt + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $-f_{x^*}(\cdot) + \sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$  is Lebesgue integrable and, since  $f_{x^*}$  is HK-integrable, the HK-integrability of  $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$  follows.



Every measurable selection  $f$  of  $F$  is scalarly HK-integrable since, for each  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$-\sigma(-x^*, F(t)) \leq \langle x^*, f(t) \rangle \leq \sigma(x^*, F(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

By Remark 7,  $f$  is Khintchine integrable too. Theorem 3 in [12] yields that, for every  $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$ , there exists an element of the bi-dual  $x_{[a,b]}^{**} \in X^{**}$  such that, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]}^{**} \rangle = \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$ , the integral being in the Khintchine sense. As the function to integrate is HK-integrable too, we have  $\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]}^{**} \rangle = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$ . The Banach space being weakly sequentially complete by Theorem 40 in [13], we have  $x_{[a,b]}^{**} \in X$  for every subinterval. Thus every measurable selection of  $F$  is HKP-integrable.

Finally, the implication iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) in Theorem 11 ensures the HKP-integrability of the limit set-valued function.  $\square$

The following Blaschke-type compactness criteria (e.g. Lemma 5.1 in [15]) will allow us to obtain a useful consequence.

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $M \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ . Then the family of all weakly compact convex subsets of  $M$  is compact with respect to the coarsest topology of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  for which  $\sigma(x^*, \cdot)$  is continuous for every  $x^* \in X^*$ .*

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly sequentially complete separable Banach space and let  $(F_n)_n$  be a sequence of HKP-integrable multifunctions  $F_n: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ . Suppose that i) of the preceding theorem holds and that there is a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction  $\tilde{F}$  such that  $F_n(t) \subset \tilde{F}(t)$  a.e. for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist an HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F$  and a subsequence of  $(F_n)_n$  which K-converges to  $F$ .*

**P r o o f.** Let us define  $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$h(t, C) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \subset \tilde{F}(t), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is convex and sequentially inf-compact with respect to the second variable. Indeed, fix  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\alpha < 0$ , then  $\{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); h(t, C) \leq \alpha\} = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,  $\{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); h(t, C) \leq \alpha\} = \{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); C \subset \tilde{F}(t)\}$  which, by Lemma 15, is compact with respect to the topology of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ .

The countable measurable partition  $(B_m)_m$  of the real interval given by

$$B_m = \{t \in [0, T]; m - 1 \leq |\tilde{F}(t)| < m\}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

satisfies hypothesis ii) in the preceding theorem: for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F_n(t))| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, \tilde{F}(t))| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |\tilde{F}(t)| dt < +\infty;$$

therefore, we are able to apply Theorem 14. □

The next consequence is a Komlós-type result similar to Theorem 3.1 in [16] for the set-valued Pettis integral:

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $X$  be a separable reflexive Banach space and  $(F_n)_n$  a sequence of HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions satisfying hypothesis i) in Theorem 14 and*

ii') *one can find a measurable countable partition  $(B_m)_m$  of  $[0, T]$  such that, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |F_n(t)| dt < +\infty.$$

*Then there exist an HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F$  and a subsequence of  $(F_n)_n$  which K-converges to  $F$ . Moreover,  $\int_{B_m} |F(t)| dt < +\infty$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Alaoglu-Bourbaki's theorem yields that the function  $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by  $h(t, C) = |C|$  is convex and inf-compact in the second variable, whence, thanks to Theorem 14, we obtain the announced result. □

Applying Biting Lemma, we can prove a stronger property of the above mentioned subsequence and its Komlós-limit. Let us recall the Biting Lemma: for any  $L^1([0, T])$ -bounded sequence  $(\varphi_n)_n$ , there exist a subsequence  $(\varphi_{k_n})_n$  and a sequence  $(A_p)_p \subset \Sigma$  decreasing to  $\emptyset$  such that the sequence  $(\chi_{A_p} \varphi_{k_n})_n$  is uniformly integrable.

**Proposition 18.** *In the setting of Theorem 17, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T_\varepsilon \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(T_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  such that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and every measurable  $A \subset [0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$  we have*

$$\sigma\left(x^*, \int_A F(t) dt\right) = \lim_n \sigma\left(x^*, \int_A F_{k_n}(t) dt\right),$$

where the set-valued integrals are Aumann integrals.

**Proof.** Since the sequence of measurable sets  $(B_m)_m$  covers the set of finite measure  $[0, T]$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find  $m_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{m=m_\varepsilon+1}^\infty B_m\right) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ . By hypothesis ii') in the preceding theorem,  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m} |F_n(t)| dt < +\infty$ , whence

the Biting Lemma yields a measurable set  $\widetilde{T}_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m$  such that  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m \setminus \widetilde{T}_\varepsilon\right) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  and the sequence  $(|F_n(\cdot)|)_n$  is uniformly integrable on  $\widetilde{T}_\varepsilon$ . Thus,  $T_\varepsilon = \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m \setminus \widetilde{T}_\varepsilon\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=m_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} B_m\right)$  has  $\mu(T_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  and, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $(\sigma(x^*, F_n(\cdot)))_n$  is uniformly integrable on  $[0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$ . Vitali's convergence theorem yields then that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $A \subset [0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$  we have  $\sigma(x^*, \int_A F(t) dt) = \lim_n \sigma(x^*, \int_A F_{k_n}(t) dt)$ .

Finally, let us remark that any such measurable  $A$  is contained in  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m$  and since on each  $B_m$  all  $F_n$  and  $F$  are integrably bounded, their selections are Bochner integrable on  $A$ , thus the set-valued integrals in the statement are Aumann integrals.  $\square$

**Remark 19.** We can also prove Theorem 17 using a Komlós result for integrably bounded multifunctions (Theorem 2.5 in [2]) in a manner similar to that in which Theorem 3.1 in [16] was obtained.

#### 4. APPLICATION TO A BEST APPROXIMATION PROBLEM

We are looking for a solution to the following best approximation problem: given two  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions  $H$  and  $F$  defined on  $[0, T]$ , we want to get a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction  $F_0$  with  $F_0(t) \subset F(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$  such that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt = \inf \left\{ \int_0^T D(H(t), G(t)) dt; G \text{ HKP-integrable, } G(t) \subset F(t), \forall t \in [0, T] \right\}.$$

Solutions to this problem were already found in [5] in the integrably bounded setting and in [16] in the Pettis integrable one.

If the Banach space and its topological dual have the Radon-Nikodym property, then the above problem has a solution. We use the following lower semi-continuity property of the Hausdorff distance (Lemma 5.1 in [16]):

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $(C_n)_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  converge to  $C_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  with respect to the topology of convergence of all support functionals. Then, for every  $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ,*

$$D(C, C_0) \leq \liminf_n D(C, C_n).$$

**Theorem 21.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $X^*$  have the Radon-Nikodym property and let  $H$  and  $F$  be two  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions defined on  $[0, T]$ . Then there is a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction  $F_0$  with  $F_0(t) \subset F(t), \forall t \in [0, T]$  such that the equality (1) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 11 there exist HKP-integrable functions  $f, h$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunctions  $F_1, H_1$  such that  $F(t) = f(t) + F_1(t)$  and  $H(t) = h(t) + H_1(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . We can suppose that  $m < \infty$ , where  $m$  denotes the infimum in the equality (1), and consider a sequence  $(G_n)_n$  of HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions contained in  $F$  such that

$$m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), G_n(t)) dt.$$

Let us note that every  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction  $G_n$  contained in  $F$  can be written as the sum of  $f$  and a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction  $G_n^1$  contained in  $F_1$ . Indeed, since  $G_n(t) \subset F(t) = f(t) + F_1(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , we obtain that  $G_n^1(t) = -f(t) + G_n(t) \subset F_1(t)$ . Moreover,  $G_n^1$  is  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued and thus, since  $F_1$  is Pettis integrable, by the characterization of Pettis integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions (see [9]), Pettis integrability of  $G_n^1$  follows.

We claim that  $(G_n^1)_n$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 in [16].

Indeed, since

$$-\sigma(-x^*, F_1(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, G_n^1(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_1(t))$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $t \in [0, T]$  and, since  $-\sigma(-x^*, F_1(\cdot))$  and  $\sigma(x^*, F_1(\cdot))$  are Lebesgue integrable, it follows that the sequence  $(\sigma(x^*, G_n^1(t)))_n$  is uniformly integrable.

Considering  $B_m = \{t \in [0, T]; m - 1 < |F_1(t)| \leq m\}$ , we obtain a countable measurable partition of the interval  $[0, T]$  satisfying that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |G_n^1(t)| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |F_1(t)| dt < +\infty$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and,  $\overline{\text{co}}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_A G_n^1(t) dt\right) \subset \int_A F_1(t) dt \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  for all  $A \subset B_m$ .

Then, applying Theorem 3.3 in [16] gives us a Pettis integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F_0^1$  and a subsequence  $(G_{k_n}^1)_n$  that Komlós-converges to  $F_0^1$ .

Therefore,  $(G_{k_n})_n$  Komlós-converges to  $F_0 = f + F_0^1$  which is HKP-integrable and, thanks to the weak compactness and convexity of the values of  $F$ ,  $F_0$  is a.e. contained in  $F$ .

Then, using Lemma 20 and Fatou's Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &\leq \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \liminf_n D\left(H(t), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
 &\leq \liminf_n \int_0^T D\left(H(t), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
 &\leq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T D(H(t), G_{k_i}(t)) dt \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), G_n(t)) dt = m,
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $m = \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt$  and thus  $F_0$  is a solution to our minimisation problem.  $\square$

The best approximation problem (1) has a solution in the case of a weakly sequentially complete Banach space too:

**Theorem 22.** *Let  $X$  be weakly sequentially complete and let  $H, F$  be two  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions defined on  $[0, T]$ . There exists a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction  $F_0$  with  $F_0(t) \subset F(t), \forall t \in [0, T]$  such that the equality (1) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of the preceding theorem, we can suppose that  $m < \infty$  and consider a sequence  $(F_n)_n$  of HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions contained in  $F$  such that  $m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), F_n(t)) dt$ . We claim that  $(F_n)_n$  verifies the hypothesis of Corollary 16. Indeed, for every  $x^* \in X^*$  there exists  $-\sigma(-x^*, F)$  that is a real HK-integrable function such that  $-\sigma(-x^*, F(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)), \forall t \in [0, T]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Obviously,  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt \leq (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt < +\infty$ .

Then, applying Corollary 16 gives us an HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F_0$  and a subsequence of  $(F_n)_n$  which K-converges to  $F_0$ .

Similarly to the second part of the proof of the preceding theorem, we obtain that  $m = \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt$ , so  $F_0$  is a solution to problem (1).  $\square$

5. APPLICATION TO WEAK COMPACTNESS IN THE SPACE OF  
HKP-INTEGRABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS

Let  $F$  be a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction.

**Definition 23.**  $G: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  is said to be a multi-selection of  $F$  if  $G(t) \subset F(t)$  a.e.

Obviously, every selection is a multi-selection. Consider the family of all HKP-integrable multi-selections of  $F$  and denote it by  $\tilde{S}_F^{\text{HKP}}$ . It is nonempty by Theorem 11.

On the space of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions, by the  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP topology, we will understand the coarsest one with respect to which the HK-integrals of the products of support functionals with real bounded variation functions are convergent. That is  $F_\alpha \rightarrow F$  if for every  $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of bounded variation and every  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F_\alpha(t)) dt \rightarrow \text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt.$$

This is an extension of the  $w$ -HKP topology to the set-valued case.

We give now a weak compactness result.

**Proposition 24.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $F$  be a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction. Then  $\tilde{S}_F^{\text{HKP}}$  is  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(F_n)_n$  be a sequence of HKP-integrable multi-selections of  $F$ . Applying Theorem 11 one can find an HKP-integrable function  $f$  and a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction  $G$  such that, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $F(t) = f(t) + G(t)$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 21 we can prove that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a Pettis integrable multi-selection of  $G$ , denoted by  $G_n$ , such that  $F_n(t) = f(t) + G_n(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ .

Proposition 2.6 in [4] yields that one can find a subsequence  $(G_{k_n})_n$  and a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction  $G_\infty$  such that, for every  $g \in L^\infty([0, T])$  and any  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, G_{k_n}(t)) dt = \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) dt.$$

Moreover, on every measurable  $A$ ,

$$\int_A \sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \sigma(x^*, G_{k_n}(t)) dt \leq \int_A \sigma(x^*, G(t)) dt,$$

whence, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have  $\sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, G(t))$  a.e. Therefore, by passing through a Mackey-dense sequence and using the weak compactness of the values of  $G_\infty$  and  $G$ , we obtain that  $G_\infty$  is a multi-selection of  $G$ .

It follows that  $(F_{k_n})_n$   $\tilde{w}$ -HKP-converges to  $F_\infty = f + G_\infty$ , which is a multi-selection of  $F$ , and so the  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP sequential compactness of the family of multi-selections is proved.  $\square$

In particular, the family of all HKP-integrable selections is  $w$ -HKP sequentially compact.

Using the Komlós theorems obtained in the first section we can get two weak compactness criteria in the space of all  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions. We will use the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 25.** *Let  $(f_n)_n$  be a uniformly HK-integrable, pointwise bounded sequence of real functions defined on  $[0, T]$  and let  $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function of bounded variation. Then*

- i) *the sequence  $\tilde{f}_n(\cdot) = (\text{HK}) \int_0^\cdot f_n(t) dt$  is uniformly equicontinuous on  $[0, T]$ ;*
- ii)  *$\tilde{f}_n$  is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to  $g$  uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;*
- iii) *the sequence  $(gf_n)_n$  is uniformly HK-integrable.*

**P r o o f.** i) Let us define  $\tilde{f}: [0, T] \rightarrow l_\infty$  by  $\tilde{f}(t) = (\tilde{f}_n(t))_n, \forall t \in [0, T]$ . Let us first verify that  $\tilde{f}$  is  $l_\infty$ -valued. Take  $c \in [0, T]$ . By the uniform HK-integrability hypothesis, there exists a partition of  $[0, c]$  such that  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f_n(t_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i) - \tilde{f}_n(c) \right| < 1, \forall n$ . The pointwise boundedness assumption on  $(f_n)_n$  allows to choose  $M < \infty$  such that  $|f_n(t_i)| \leq M, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $|\tilde{f}_n(c)| \leq 1 + Mc, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so the assertion follows.

To prove the equicontinuity of the above defined sequence is equivalent to proving that the function  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous with respect to the sup-norm on  $l_\infty$  (thus uniformly continuous, since the definition domain is compact).

Fix  $c \in [0, T]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By hypothesis, one can find  $M_c < +\infty$  such that  $|f_n(c)| \leq M_c$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a gauge  $\delta_\varepsilon$  satisfying  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f_n(t_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i) - (\tilde{f}_n(c_{i+1}) - \tilde{f}_n(c_i)) \right| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\delta_\varepsilon$ -fine partition. Then every  $x \in [0, T]$  with  $|x - c| \leq \eta_{\varepsilon, c}$ , where  $\eta_{\varepsilon, c} = \min(\delta_\varepsilon(c), \varepsilon/M_c)$ , satisfies, by Saks-Henstock's Lemma (Lemma 9.11 in [14]), the inequality

$$|\tilde{f}_n(x) - \tilde{f}_n(c)| \leq |\tilde{f}_n(x) - \tilde{f}_n(c) - f_n(c)(x - c)| + |f_n(c)(x - c)| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

since the interval  $(x, c)$  with the tag  $c$  is an element of a  $\delta_\varepsilon$ -fine partition of  $[0, T]$ .

Consequently,  $\|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(c)\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon$  for every  $x$  with  $|x - c| \leq \eta_{\varepsilon,c}$  so the continuity is proved.

ii) follows, by virtue of the equicontinuity of the sequence  $(\tilde{f}_n)_n$ , by the straightforward adaptation of the proof of the fact that every continuous function is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to a function of bounded variation (e.g. Theorem 12.15 in [14]).

Finally, the assertions i) and ii) allow us to follow the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 4 in order to obtain iii).  $\square$

We have already noticed that the concept of uniform HK-integrability does not allow to ignore the  $\mu$ -null sets (see Example 6). We have, nonetheless, the following property:

**Lemma 26.** *Any pointwise bounded sequence of functions  $f_k: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are null except on a set of null measure is uniformly HK-integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be the  $\mu$ -null set from the hypothesis.

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $N'_n = \{t \in N: 0 < |f_k(t)| \leq n, \forall k\}$  and let  $(N_n)_n$  be the associated pairwise disjoint sequence. By the pointwise boundedness assumption, the sequence  $(N_n)_n$  covers the set  $N$ . For each  $n$  one can find an open set  $O_n$  such that  $N_n \subset O_n$  and  $\mu(O_n) < \varepsilon/n2^n$ . Define a gauge  $\delta_\varepsilon: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\delta_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, T] \setminus N, \\ d(t, (O_n)^c) & \text{if } t \in N_n. \end{cases}$$

Then for every  $\delta_\varepsilon$ -fine partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[0, T]$ , denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  that has tags in  $N_n$ . If  $I$  is an interval of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , then  $I \subset O_n$ . If we denote by  $f(\mathcal{P})$  the HK-integral sum associated to  $f$  and to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , then, for every  $k$ ,  $|f_k(\mathcal{P})| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k(\mathcal{P}_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\mu(O_n) < \varepsilon$ . Thus the sequence considered is uniformly HK-integrable.  $\square$

**Proposition 27.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly sequentially complete separable Banach space and  $\mathcal{K}$  a family of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions on  $[0, T]$  satisfying*

- i') *for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , the family  $\{\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot)): F \in \mathcal{K}\}$  is uniformly HK-integrable and  $\mathcal{K}$  is pointwise bounded;*
- ii) *there exist a function  $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $h(t, \cdot)$  is convex and sequentially inf-compact, and a countable measurable partition  $(B_m)_m$  of  $[0, T]$  such that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*
  - iiia)  $\sup\{\int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F(t))| dt: F \in \mathcal{K}\} < +\infty, \forall x^* \in X^*$ ;



iib)  $\sup\{\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt: F \in \mathcal{X}\} < +\infty$ .

Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is relatively  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP sequentially compact.

**P r o o f.** Let  $(F_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$ . The existence of a subsequence  $(F_{k_n})_n$  that Komlós converges to a measurable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function  $F$  follows in the same way as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 14.

The scalar HK-integrability of the limit multifunction follows from Theorem 13.16 in [14] applied, for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , to the sequence  $\left(\sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$ . Indeed, it is obvious that our condition i') implies the uniform HK-integrability of the latter sequence and the pointwise boundedness assumption allows us (thanks to Lemma 26) to suppose that this sequence converges everywhere to  $\sigma(x^*, F)$  (on the exceptional null set, we redefine all multifunctions by 0).

Applying Lemma 25, we obtain that for any  $g$  of bounded variation,

$$\left(g\sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$$

is uniformly HK-integrable whence, again by Theorem 13.16 in [14], we conclude that

$$\text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n \text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt.$$

This equality can be written as

$$\text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F_{k_i}(t)) dt$$

and, since this is true for every subsequence of  $(F_{k_n})_n$ , it follows that  $(F_{k_n})_n$  satisfies that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of bounded variation one has

$$\text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n \text{(HK)} \int_0^T g(t)\sigma(x^*, F_{k_n}(t)) dt.$$

In other words, the subsequence  $(F_{k_n})_n$   $\tilde{w}$ -HKP converges, whence the relative  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP sequential compactness of  $\mathcal{X}$  follows.  $\square$

In the same way, applying Theorem 17, we get

**Proposition 28.** *Let  $X$  be a separable reflexive Banach space. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a family of HKP-integrable  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions satisfying the following conditions:*

- i) *for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , the family  $\{\sigma(x^*, F), F \in \mathcal{K}\}$  is uniformly HK-integrable and  $\mathcal{K}$  is pointwise bounded;*
- ii) *there is a countable measurable partition  $(B_m)_m$  of  $[0, T]$  such that, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sup\{\int_{B_m} |F(t)| dt: F \in \mathcal{K}\} < +\infty$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is relatively  $\tilde{w}$ -HKP sequentially compact.*

## 6. AN INTEGRAL INCLUSION INVOLVING THE HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS SET-VALUED INTEGRAL

In the sequel, we consider the space  $X$  provided with its weak topology, denoting it by  $X_w$ , and the vector space  $C([0, T], X_w)$  of all  $X_w$ -valued continuous functions on  $[0, T]$  provided with the topology of uniform convergence.

The following theorem extends an existence result for solutions of a set-valued integral equation (Theorem VI-7 in [6]) that imposed a Pettis integrability condition.

**Theorem 29.** *Let an open subset  $U$  of  $X_w$ , an HKP-integrable set-valued function  $\Gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  and  $F: [0, T] \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$  satisfy*

- 1)  $F(t, x) \subset \Gamma(t), \forall t \in [0, T], \forall x \in U$ ;
- 2)  $F(t, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ;
- 3)  $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot, x))$  is measurable for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $x \in U$ .

*Then, for every fixed  $\xi \in U$ , there exists  $T_0 \in ]0, T]$  such that  $\xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} \Gamma(s) ds \subset U$  and the integral inclusion*

$$x(t) \in \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t F(s, x(s)) ds$$

*has a solution in  $C([0, T_0], X_w)$ . Moreover, the set of solutions is compact in  $C([0, T_0], X_w)$ .*

**Proof.** Theorem 11 yields that there exist an HKP-integrable function  $f$  and a  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction  $G$  satisfying that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have  $\Gamma(t) = f(t) + G(t)$ . By Theorem 3,  $f$  is scalarly measurable and, as the Banach space is separable,  $f$  is measurable.

Fix  $\xi \in U$  and consider a weakly open subset  $U_1$  of  $X$  and a weak neighborhood  $U_2$  of the origin such that  $\xi \in U_1$  and  $U_1 + U_2 \subset U$ . Since  $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(t) dt$  is weakly continuous, there exists  $T_1 \in ]0, T]$  such that  $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(t) dt \in U_2$  for every  $t \in$

$[0, T_1]$ . Then the set-valued function  $\tilde{F}: [0, T_1] \times U_1 \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\tilde{F}(t, x) = -f(t) + F(t, x + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1)  $\tilde{F}(t, x) \subset G(t), \forall t \in [0, T_1], \forall x \in U_1$ ;
- 2)  $\tilde{F}(t, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous for every  $t \in [0, T_1]$ ;
- 3)  $\sigma(x^*, \tilde{F}(\cdot, x))$  is measurable for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $x \in U_1$ .

Applying then Theorem VI-7 in [6] we obtain that there exists  $T_0 \in ]0, T_1]$  such that  $\xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^{T_0} G(s) ds \subset U_1$ , the integral inclusion

$$\tilde{x}(t) \in \xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^t \tilde{F}(s, \tilde{x}(s)) ds$$

has a solution in  $C([0, T_0], X_w)$  and the set of solutions is compact in  $C([0, T_0], X_w)$ .

Therefore,  $\xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} \Gamma(s) ds = \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} f(s) ds + (\text{P}) \int_0^{T_0} G(s) ds \subset U$  and we can find  $\tilde{x} \in C([0, T_0], X_w)$  such that

$$\tilde{x}(t) \in \xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^t -f(s) + F\left(s, \tilde{x}(s) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau\right) ds,$$

in other words

$$\tilde{x}(t) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(s) ds \in \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t F\left(s, \tilde{x}(s) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau\right) ds.$$

Thus  $x(\cdot) = \tilde{x}(\cdot) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^\cdot f(\tau) d\tau$  is a continuous function mapping  $[0, T_0]$  into  $X_w$  and it is a solution of our integral inclusion.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to Prof. C. Godet-Thobie for her careful reading of the paper and valuable help.

#### References

- [1] *E. Balder*: New sequential compactness results for spaces of scalarly integrable functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 151 (1990), 1–16. [Zbl 0733.46015](#)
- [2] *E. Balder, C. Hess*: Two generalizations of Komlós theorem with lower closure-type applications. *J. Convex Anal.* 3 (1996), 25–44. [Zbl 0877.49014](#)
- [3] *E. Balder, A. R. Sambucini*: On weak compactness and lower closure results for Pettis integrable (multi)functions. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 52 (2004), 53–61.
- [4] *C. Castaing*: Weak compactness and convergences in Bochner and Pettis integration. *Vietnam J. Math.* 24 (1996), 241–286.
- [5] *C. Castaing, P. Clauzure*: Compacité faible dans l'espace  $L_E^1$  et dans l'espace des multifonctions intégrablement bornées, et minimisation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 140 (1985), 345–364. [Zbl 0606.28006](#)
- [6] *C. Castaing, M. Valadier*: Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions. *Lect. Notes Math.* Vol. 580. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. [Zbl 0346.46038](#)

- [7] *T. S. Chew, F. Flordeliza*: On  $x' = f(t, x)$  and Henstock-Kurzweil integrals. *Differential Integral Equations* 4 (1991), 861–868. [Zbl 0733.34004](#)
- [8] *M. Cichón, I. Kubiacyk, A. Sikorska*: The Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrals and existence theorems for the Cauchy problem. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 54 (2004), 279–289.
- [9] *K. El Amri, C. Hess*: On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions. *Set-Valued Analysis* 8 (2000), 329–360. [Zbl 0974.28009](#)
- [10] *M. Federson, R. Bianconi*: Linear integral equations of Volterra concerning Henstock integrals. *Real Anal. Exchange* 25 (1999/00), 389–417. [Zbl 1015.45001](#)
- [11] *M. Federson, P. Táboas*: Impulsive retarded differential equations in Banach spaces via Bochner-Lebesgue and Henstock integrals. *Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods* 50 (2002), 389–407. [Zbl 1011.34070](#)
- [12] *J. L. Gamez, J. Mendoza*: On Denjoy-Dunford and Denjoy-Pettis integrals. *Studia Math.* 130 (1998), 115–133. [Zbl 0971.28009](#)
- [13] *R. A. Gordon*: The Denjoy extension of the Bochner, Pettis and Dunford integrals]. *Studia Math.* 92 (1989), 73–91. [Zbl 0681.28006](#)
- [14] *R. A. Gordon*: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron and Henstock. *Grad. Stud. Math.* Vol 4. AMS, Providence, 1994. [Zbl 0807.26004](#)
- [15] *C. Hess*: On multivalued martingales whose values may be unbounded: martingale selectors and Mosco convergence. *J. Multivariate Anal.* 39 (1991), 175–201. [Zbl 0746.60051](#)
- [16] *C. Hess, H. Ziat*: Théorème de Komlós pour des multifonctions intégrables au sens de Pettis et applications. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec* 26 (2002), 181–198. [Zbl 1042.28009](#)
- [17] *J. Komlós*: A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 18 (1967), 217–229. [Zbl 0228.60012](#)
- [18] *K. Musiał*: Topics in the theory of Pettis integration. In: *School of Measure theory and Real Analysis*, Grado, Italy, May 1992. *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 23 (1991), 177–262. [Zbl 0798.46042](#)
- [19] *L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał*: Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral. *Set-Valued Analysis* 13 (2005), 167–179. [Zbl pre 05021507](#)
- [20] *S. Schwabik*: The Perron integral in ordinary differential equations. *Differential Integral Equations* 6 (1993), 863–882. [Zbl 0784.34006](#)

*Author's address*: Université de Bretagne Occidentale, UFR Sciences et Techniques, Laboratoire de Mathématiques CNRS-UMR 6205, 6 Avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, CS 93837, 29283 BREST Cedex 3, France, e-mail: [bianca.satco@univ-brest.fr](mailto:bianca.satco@univ-brest.fr).