Miroslav Doupovec; Włodzimierz M. Mikulski
On the existence of prolongation of connections

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 4, 1323–1334

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128147`

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 56 (131) (2006), 1323–1334

ON THE EXISTENCE OF PROLONGATION OF CONNECTIONS

Miroslav Doupovec, Brno, and Włodzimierz M. Mikulski, Kraków

(Received November 29, 2004)

Dedicated to Professor Ivan Kolář on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. We classify all bundle functors G admitting natural operators transforming connections on a fibered manifold $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$. Then we solve a similar problem for natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$.

Keywords: bundle functor, connection, natural operator

MSC 2000: 58A05, 58A20

Introduction

Let G be a bundle functor on the category $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ of fibered manifolds with m-dimensional bases and n-dimensional fibres and their local fibered diffeomorphisms. We recall that a connection on a fibered manifold $p\colon Y \to M$ is a smooth section $\Gamma\colon Y \to J^1Y$ of the first jet prolongation of Y, which can also be interpreted as the lifting map (denoted by the same symbol) $\Gamma\colon Y \times_M TM \to TY$. The present paper is devoted to the following problems:

Problem 1. *To classify all bundle functors G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ which admit natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$.*

The first author was supported by a grant of the GA ČR No. 201/02/0225.

Problem 2. *To classify all bundle functors G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ which admit natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$.*

If $G = V^F$ is the F-vertical functor determined by a natural bundle F, then I. Kolář and the second author have constructed a connection $\mathcal{V}^F\Gamma$ on $V^FY \to M$, which is called the F-vertical prolongation of Γ, [7]. However, if $G \neq V^F$, then we know no natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$. For some particular cases of G it has only been proved that there is no (first order) natural operator of this type, see [1], [5] and [6]. Moreover, the second author has recently proved that under some conditions on the bundle functor G, there are no natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$ and also on $GY \to Y$, see [8] and [9].

It turns out that Problems 1 and 2 are closely related to the order of the bundle functor G. That is why we first study some properties of bundle functors on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ from a more general point of view. In particular, in Section 2 we classify all bundle functors G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$, the base order of which is zero. We show that a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ has base order zero if and only if G is isomorphic to some F-vertical functor V^F. Quite analogously, in Section 3 we characterize all bundle functors G, the fiber order of which is zero. The main result of this paper is the complete solution of Problem 1 and Problem 2, which is described in Section 4 and Section 5.

We remark that the prolongation of connections has motivation e.g. in quantum mechanics and higher order dynamics, see [4] and [10].

Denote by $\mathcal{M}f$ the category of smooth manifolds and all smooth maps, by $\mathcal{M}f_m$ the subcategory of m-dimensional manifolds and their local diffeomorphisms, by $\mathcal{FM}$ the category of fibered manifolds and fiber respecting mappings and by $\mathcal{FM}_m$ the subcategory of fibered manifolds with m-dimensional bases and $\mathcal{FM}$-morphisms with local diffeomorphisms as base maps. In what follows $Y \to M$ stands for $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-objects and N stands for $\mathcal{M}f_n$-objects. All manifolds and maps are assumed to be infinitely differentiable.

1. The foundations

This section contains a survey of some known results which we need in the sequel. Suppose we have two fibered manifolds $p\colon Y \to M$ and $\bar{p}\colon \overline{Y} \to \overline{M}$ and let $s \geqslant r \leqslant q$ be three integers. We say that two $\mathcal{FM}$-morphisms $f, g\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ with the base maps $\underline{f}, \underline{g}\colon M \to \overline{M}$ determine the same (r,s,q)-jet $j^{r,s,q}_y f = j^{r,s,q}_y g$ at $y \in Y$, $p(y) = x$, if

$$j^r_y f = j^r_y g, \quad j^s_y(f|Y_x) = j^s_y(g|Y_x), \quad j^q_x \underline{f} = j^q_x \underline{g}.$$

By [6], a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}$ is said to be of order r, if from $j^r_y f = j^r_y g$ it follows that $G_y f = G_y g$ for every $\mathcal{FM}$-morphisms $f, g\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ and every point $y \in Y$. I. Kolář and the second author have recently introduced the following definition of order, which is based on the concept of (r,s,q)-jets. By [7], a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}$ is said to be of order (r,s,q), if $j^{r,s,q}_y f = j^{r,s,q}_y g$ implies $Gf|G_yY = Gg|G_yY$. Then the integer q is called the base order, s is called the fiber order and r is called the total order of G.

It is well known that product preserving functors can be expressed in terms of Weil algebras, [6]. The most important result from this field is that each product preserving functor F on $\mathcal{M}f$ is a Weil functor $F = T^A$ determined by a Weil algebra A. Then the iteration $T^A \circ T^B$ of two Weil functors corresponds to the tensor product $A \otimes B$ of Weil algebras and natural transformations $T^A \to T^B$ are in bijection with algebra homomorphisms $A \to B$.

Given a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ and a product fibered manifold $M \times N \to M$, we have three fibered manifold projections $\pi\colon G(M\times N) \to M \times N$, $\pi_1\colon G(M\times N) \to M$ and $\pi_2\colon G(M \times N) \to N$. For $x \in M$, $y \in N$ we will denote by $G_{(x,y)}(M \times N)$, $G_x(M \times N)$ and $G(M \times N)_y$ the fibers with respect to π, π_1 and π_2, respectively.

Let F be a natural bundle on $\mathcal{M}f_n$. The F-vertical functor is a bundle functor V^F on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ defined by

$$V^F Y = \bigcup_{x\in M} F(Y_x), \quad V^F f = \bigcup_{x \in M} F(f_x)$$

where f_x is the restriction and corestriction of $f\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ over $\underline{f}\colon M \to \overline{M}$ to the fibers Y_x and $\overline{Y}_{\underline{f}(x)}$, [7]. Clearly, if the order of F is s, then the order of V^F is $(0,s,0)$. For the tangent bundle $F = T$ we obtain the classical vertical bundle, which will be denoted by V instead of V^T. Further, if $F = T^A$ is a Weil functor determined by a Weil algebra A, then V^{T^A} is the vertical Weil functor on $\mathcal{FM}_m \supset \mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$, which will be denoted by V^A.

Let $\Gamma\colon Y \to J^1Y$ be a connection on a fibered manifold $Y \to M$. We recall that a projectable vector field on a fibered manifold $Y \to M$ is an $\mathcal{FM}$-morphism $Z\colon Y \to TY$ over the underlying vector field $M \to TM$ and the flow $\exp tZ$ is formed by local $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-morphisms. Then the flow prolongation of Z with respect to a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ is the vector field $\mathcal{G}Z\colon GY \to TGY$ defined by $\mathcal{G}Z = \partial/\partial t|_0 G(\exp tZ)$. By [7], if G has order (r,s,q), then the value of $\mathcal{G}Z$ at each point of G_yY depends on $j^{r,s,q}_y Z$ only. Thus the flow prolongation $\mathcal{G}Z$ can also be interpreted as a map

$$(1) \qquad \mathcal{G}_Y\colon GY \times_Y J^{r,s,q}TY \to TGY,$$

where $J^{r,s,q}TY$ denotes the space of all (r,s,q)-jets of projectable vector fields on Y. Further, (1) is linear in the second factor. Given a vector field X on M, its Γ-lift is a projectable vector field ΓX on Y. By (1), the flow prolongation $\mathscr{G}(\Gamma X)$ depends on the q-jets of X only and we obtain a map

$$\mathscr{G}\Gamma\colon\ GY \times_M J^q TM \to TGY, \tag{2}$$

which is linear in the second factor. Moreover, if the base order of G is $q = 0$, then (2) is a connection on $GY \to M$. In the case of the F-vertical bundle $G = V^F$, the connection (2) is called the F-vertical prolongation of Γ and is denoted by $\mathscr{V}^F\Gamma$. For the classical vertical bundle V we obtain the classical vertical prolongation $\mathscr{V}\Gamma\colon\ VY \to J^1VY$, which was also constructed by I. Kolář in [5]. We remark that if $G = V^A$ is the vertical Weil functor, then there is another way to construct the T^A-prolongation $\mathscr{V}^A\Gamma$, see [7]. If the base order q of G is arbitrary (not necessarily zero), then we can construct an induced connection on $GY \to M$ by means of some auxiliary q-th order linear connection $\nabla\colon\ TM \to J^qTM$ on M. Indeed, the composition

$$\mathscr{G}(\Gamma,\nabla) := \mathscr{G}\Gamma \circ (\mathrm{id}_{GY} \times_{\mathrm{id}_M} \nabla)\colon\ GY \times_M TM \to TGY \tag{3}$$

is the lifting map of a connection on $GY \to M$. The second author has recently proved

Proposition 1 ([8]). *Let $G\colon\ \mathscr{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $G^1\colon\ \mathscr{M}f_m \to \mathscr{FM}$, $G^1M = G(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $G^1\varphi = G(\varphi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$.*

Proposition 2 ([9]). *Let $G\colon\ \mathscr{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $G^2\colon\ \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{FM}$, $G^2N = G(\mathbb{R}^m \times N)$, $G^2\psi = G(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \psi)$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$.*

Proposition 3 ([8]). *Let $G\colon\ \mathscr{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $G^1\colon\ \mathscr{M}f_m \to \mathscr{FM}$, $G^1M = G(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $G^1\varphi = G(\varphi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$.*

Further, in [3] we have proved

Proposition 4. *The F-vertical prolongation $\mathscr{V}^F$ is the only natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $V^F Y \to M$.*

2. Classification of bundle functors on $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$ of order $(0,s,0)$

Given a bundle functor $G\colon \mathscr{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{FM}$ of order $(0,s,0)$, we can define a bundle functor $F = F^G\colon \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{FM}$ by

$$FN = G_0(\mathbb{R}^m \times N), \quad F\psi = G_0(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \psi), \tag{4}$$

where $\psi\colon N \to \overline{N}$, $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Clearly, F has order s.

Proposition 5. *Let $G\colon \mathscr{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{FM}$ be a bundle functor of order $(0,s,0)$ and denote by $F = F^G$ its associated bundle functor (4) on $\mathscr{M}f_n$. Then we have a natural equivalence*

$$G \cong V^{(F^G)}.$$

Proof. Let $Y \to M$ be an $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$-object. Define a map $I_Y\colon GY \to V^F Y$ by

$$I_Y(v) = G\Phi(v) \in G_0(\mathbb{R}^m \times Y_{x_0}) = F(Y_{x_0}) = (V^F Y)_{x_0}$$

where $v \in (GY)_{x_0}$, $x_0 \in M$ and $\Phi\colon Y \to \mathbb{R}^m \times Y_{x_0}$ is an $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$-map such that $\Phi|Y_{x_0} = (0, \mathrm{id}_{Y_{x_0}})$. Since G is of order $(0,s,0)$, the definition of $I_Y(v)$ is independent of the choice of Φ. The inverse map is $J_Y\colon V^F Y \to GY$ defined by

$$J_Y(w) = G\Phi^{-1}(w), \quad w \in G_0(\mathbb{R}^m \times Y_{x_0}) = (V^F Y)_{x_0}, \quad x_0 \in M,$$

where Φ is as above. The regularity of G implies the smoothness of I_Y and J_Y, so that I_Y is a diffeomorphism. Finally, from the functoriality of G it follows directly that $I\colon G \to V^F$ is a natural transformation. □

As the order of an arbitrary F-vertical functor V^F is $(0,s,0)$, $s = \operatorname{ord}(F)$, we have

Corollary 1. *Let G be a bundle functor on $\mathscr{FM}_{m,n}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
1. *The order of G is $(0,s,0)$ for some s.*
2. *The base order of G is zero.*
3. *G is naturally equivalent to some F-vertical functor V^F.*

Proposition 6. *Let $F_1, F_2\colon \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ be natural bundles. Then $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$-natural transformations $V^{F_1} \to V^{F_2}$ are in bijection with $\mathscr{M}f_n$-natural transformations $F_1 \to F_2$.*

P r o o f. Let $I\colon V^{F_1} \to V^{F_2}$ be a natural transformation. Then we have a natural transformation $J = J^I\colon F_1 \to F_2$, $J_N\colon F_1N \to F_2N$, $J_N(v) = I_{\mathbb{R}^m \times N}(v)$, $v \in (V^{F_1}(\mathbb{R}^m \times N))_0 = F_1N$. Conversely, let $J\colon F_1 \to F_2$ be a natural transformation. We have a natural transformation $I = I^J\colon V^{F_1}Y \to V^{F_2}Y$, $I(v) = J_{Y_{x_o}}(v)$, $v \in (V^{F_1}Y)_{x_o} = F_1(Y_{x_o})$. Obviously, the above correspondences $I \to J^I$ and $J \to I^J$ are mutually inverse. □

Remark 1. Clearly, the F-vertical functor V^F preserves fiber products if and only if the natural bundle F preserves products. By the general theory [6], $F = T^A$ is a Weil functor and the corresponding F-vertical functor V^F is exactly the vertical Weil functor V^A. By [2], every algebra homomorphism $\mu\colon A \to B$ determines a natural transformation $V^\mu\colon V^A \to V^B$ and all natural transformations $V^A \to V^B$ on $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_m$ are of the form V^μ. This corresponds to Proposition 6, which has a more general character.

Remark 2. I. Kolář and the first author have proved that for every fiber product preserving functor G on $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_m$ and every vertical Weil functor V^A there is a canonical natural equivalence $V^AG \cong GV^A$, [2]. Moreover, from the theory of Weil bundles it follows that we have a natural equivalence $V^{A\otimes B} \cong V^A \circ V^B$, where $A \otimes B$ is the tensor product of Weil algebras corresponding to the iterated Weil functor $T^A \circ T^B$. One verifies directly that for F-vertical functors we have the formula

$$V^{F_2 \circ F_1} \cong V^{F_2} \circ V^{F_1}.$$

3. Classification of bundle functors on $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$ of the order $(0,0,q)$

Given a bundle functor $F\colon \mathscr{M}f_m \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ of order q, we can define a bundle functor $G^F\colon \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ by

$$G^FY = FM \times_M Y, \quad G^F\Phi = F\underline{\Phi} \times_{\underline{\Phi}} \Phi \tag{5}$$

where $\Phi\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ is an $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$-morphism over $\underline{\Phi}\colon M \to \overline{M}$. Then G^F is of order $(0,0,q)$.

Conversely, let $G\colon \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ be a bundle functor of order $(0,0,q)$. Define a bundle functor $F = F^G\colon \mathscr{M}f_m \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ by

$$FM = G(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)_0, \quad F\varphi = G(\varphi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})_0 \tag{6}$$

where $\varphi\colon M \to \overline{M}$, $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, $F = F^G$ has order q.

Proposition 7. *Let $G\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor of order $(0,0,q)$ and denote by $F = F^G$ its associated bundle functor (6) on $\mathcal{M}f_m$. Then we have a natural equivalence*

$$G \cong G^{(F^G)}.$$

P r o o f. Let $Y \to M$ be an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-object. Define a map $I_Y\colon GY \to G^F Y$ by

$$I_Y(w) = (G\Phi(w), y) \in FM \times_M Y = G^F Y,$$

where $w \in (GY)_y$, $y \in Y_x$, $x \in M$ and $\Phi\colon Y \to M \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-map such that $\Phi(y) = (x, 0)$, $\underline{\Phi} = \mathrm{id}_M$. Since G is of order $(0,0,q)$ the definition of $I_Y(w)$ is independent of the choice of Φ. The inverse map $J_Y\colon G^F Y \to GY$ is given by

$$J_Y(v, y) = G\Phi^{-1}(v),$$

where $(v, y) \in (G^F Y)_x = (FM \times_M Y)_x$, $x \in M$ and Φ is as above. From the regularity of G follows the smoothness of I_Y and J_Y, so that I_Y is a diffeomorphism. Finally, from the functoriality of G it follows directly that $I\colon G \to G^F$ is a natural transformation. □

Obviously, a bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ has order $(0,0,q)$ if and only if the fiber order of G is zero.

Proposition 8. *Let $F, \overline{F}\colon \mathcal{M}f_m \to \mathcal{FM}$ be natural bundles of order q. Then $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-natural transformations $G^F \to G^{\overline{F}}$ are in bijection with $\mathcal{M}f_m$-natural transformations $F \to \overline{F}$.*

P r o o f. Let $F, \overline{F}\colon \mathcal{M}f_m \to \mathcal{FM}$ be natural bundles of order q and let $I\colon F \to \overline{F}$ be a natural transformation. Then we have the induced natural transformation $J = J^I\colon G^F \to G^{\overline{F}}$, $J_Y(v, y) = (I_M(v), y)$, $(v, y) \in G^F Y$, where $Y \to M$ is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-object. Conversely, let $G, \overline{G}\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ be bundle functors of order $(0,0,q)$ and let $J\colon G \to \overline{G}$ be a natural transformation. Then we have a natural transformation $I = I^J\colon F^G \to F^{\overline{G}}$, where I_M is the restriction of $J_{M\times\mathbb{R}^n}$. Clearly, the correspondences $I \to J^I$ and $J \to I^J$ are mutually inverse. □

4. The solution of Problem 1

By [6], any bundle functor $G\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ is of finite order. We first prove

Proposition 9. *Let $G\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor of order s. Suppose that the bundle functor $G^1\colon \mathcal{M}f_m \to \mathcal{FM}$ defined by*

$$G^1 M = G(M \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad G^1\varphi = G(\varphi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

is of order zero. Then G is of order $(0, s, 0)$.

Proof. Let $\Phi\colon \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $(0,0)$-preserving $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-map satisfying $j^{0,s,0}_{(0,0)}\Phi = j^{0,s,0}_{(0,0)}\,\mathrm{id}$ and let $v \in G_{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. It remains to show that $G\Phi(v) = v$. In general, Φ is of the form $\Phi(x,y) = (\underline{\Phi}(x), \varphi(x,y))$. Because of the zero order of G^1, replacing Φ by $(\underline{\Phi}^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \circ \Phi$ we can assume that $\Phi(x,y) = (x, \varphi(x,y))$. Further, as G^1 is of order zero we have

$$G\Phi(v) = G^1\Big(\frac{1}{t}\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Big) \circ G\Phi \circ G^1(t\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})(v) = G(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \varphi \circ (t\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}))(v),$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m}\colon \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is the projection. Using the regularity of G and putting $t \to 0$ we get $G\Phi(v) = G(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \varphi_0)(v)$, where $\varphi_0 = \varphi(0, \cdot)\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the assumption $j^{0,s,0}_{(0,0)}\Phi = j^{0,s,0}_{(0,0)}\,\mathrm{id}$ gives $j^s_{(0,0)}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \varphi_0) = j^s_{(0,0)}\,\mathrm{id}$. Finally, from the fact that G is of order s we get $G\Phi(v) = v$. □

By Corollary 1, a bundle functor $G\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ is of order $(0,s,0)$ if and only if G is isomorphic to some F-vertical functor V^F. In Proposition 4 we have proved that there is one and only one natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $V^F Y \to M$. On the other hand, from Proposition 1 it follows that if G^1 is not of order zero, then G does not admit natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$. Finally, taking into account Proposition 9 and summing up we have proved

Theorem 1. *A bundle functor $G\colon \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \to \mathcal{FM}$ admits an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$ if and only if G is isomorphic to some F-vertical bundle functor V^F. For V^F such natural operator is unique.*

Using Corollary 1 we have

Corollary 2. *Let G be a bundle functor on $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The order of G is $(0,s,0)$ for some s.*
(2) *The base order of G is zero.*
(3) *G is naturally equivalent to some F-vertical functor V^F.*
(4) *There is an $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$.*

By formula (3), an arbitrary bundle functor G on $\mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n}$ admits a natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to M$ by means of an auxiliary higher order linear connection ∇ on M. By Corollary 2, if the base order of G is not zero, then the use of a linear connection ∇ is unavoidable.

5. The solution of Problem 2

Let $G\colon \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ be a bundle functor. Suppose first that there exists a natural operator D transforming connections Γ on $Y \to M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $GY \to Y$. Composing $D(\Gamma)$ with Γ we obtain a connection $\widetilde{D}(\Gamma)$ on $GY \to M$. Clearly, if $\Gamma\colon Y \times_M TM \to TY$, then $\widetilde{D}(\Gamma)\colon GY \times_M TM \to TGY$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{D}(\Gamma)(u,v) = D(\Gamma)(u, \Gamma(y,v)), \quad (u,v) \in GY \times_M TM, \quad u \in (GY)_y.$$

By Theorem 1, $G \cong V^F$ and the order of G is $(0,s,0)$, $s = \operatorname{ord}(F)$. From Proposition 2 it follows that the functor $G^2\colon \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ defined by

$$G^2 N = G(\mathbb{R}^m \times N), \quad G^2\psi = G(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \psi)$$

is of order zero. Therefore $F\colon \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}$ is of order zero as well, i.e. F is isomorphic to a trivial bundle functor

$$F^W\colon \mathscr{M}f_n \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}, \quad F^W N = N \times W, \quad F^W\psi = \psi \times \mathrm{id}_W$$

for some manifold W. Then the corresponding F-vertical functor $G = V^{(F^W)}$ is also isomorphic to a trivial bundle functor

$$G^W\colon \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}_{m,n} \to \mathscr{F}\mathscr{M}, \quad G^W Y = Y \times W, \quad G^W\Phi = \Phi \times \mathrm{id}_W$$

for some W. So we have proved

Proposition 10. *If there is a natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$, then G is isomorphic to a trivial bundle functor G^W for some manifold W.*

On the other hand, if $G = G^W$ is a trivial bundle functor, then we have a trivial connection on $Y \times W \to Y$. This defines a natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $G^W Y \to Y$. We have

Theorem 2. *A bundle functor G on $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ admits an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-natural operator transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $GY \to Y$ if and only if G is isomorphic to a trivial bundle functor G^W for some manifold W. For G^W such natural operator is unique.*

Proof. Because of the existence of a trivial connection on $G^W Y \to Y$, it suffices to prove only the uniqueness part. Clearly, the difference of two connections on $Y \times W \to Y$ is a map $(Y \times W) \times_Y TY \to V(Y \times W)$. So it remains to show that any $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-natural vector bundle map

$$\Delta(\Gamma)\colon\ (Y \times W) \times_Y TY \to V(Y \times W)$$

over $Y \times W$ is zero. First, the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-invariance implies that the map Δ is determined by the values

$$\Delta(\Gamma)\Big((0,0), w, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}{}_{(0,0)}\Big) \in V_{((0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n} \times W) \tag{7}$$

for all connections Γ on $\mathbb{R}^{m,n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and all $w \in W$. In local coordinates (x^i, y^j) on $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ a connection Γ has the coordinate expression

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{l=1}^{n} \Gamma^l_k \,\mathrm{d}x^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}.$$

By the corollary of non-linear Peetre theorem (Corollary 19.8 in [6]), it suffices to restrict ourselves to connections Γ on $\mathbb{R}^{m,n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with coefficients of the form

$$\Gamma^l_k = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leqslant K} \Gamma^l_{k\alpha\beta} x^\alpha y^\beta$$

for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the invariance with respect to the homotheties $t\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m,n}}$, $t \neq 0$ and then the homogeneous function theorem from [6] we see that Δ is determined by the values (7) for all connections Γ on $\mathbb{R}^{m,n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ whose coefficients are polynomials of degree $\leqslant 1$ and all $w \in W$. Further, taking into account the invariance of Δ with respect to the base homotheties $t\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and then using the homogeneous function theorem we deduce that Δ is determined by the values

$$\Delta\Big(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}+y^j\,\mathrm{d}x^k\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\Big)\Big((0,0),w,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}_{(0,0)}\Big)\in V_{((0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}\times W)$$

and

$$\Delta\Big(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}+\mathrm{d}x^k\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\Big)\Big((0,0),w,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}_{(0,0)}\Big)\in V_{(0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}\times W)$$

for all $w\in W$ and all $k=1,\dots,m$ and $j,l=1,\dots,n$. Hence Δ is uniquely determined by the values

$$\Delta\Big(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}+\mathrm{d}x^k\otimes Y\Big)((0,0),w,v)\in V_{((0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}\times W)$$

for all $k=1,\dots,m$, all vector fields Y on $\mathbb{R}^n$, all $w\in W$ and all $v\in T_{(0,0)}\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$. Clearly, any non-vanishing vertical vector field Y on $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ not depending on x^i can be transformed locally into $\partial/\partial y^1$ by means of a fibered isomorphism of the form $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}\times\psi)$. Using the regularity and the invariance of Δ with respect to $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-maps of the form $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}\times\psi$ we see that Δ is determined by the values

$$\Delta\Big(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}+\mathrm{d}x^k\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}\Big)((0,0),w,v)\in V_{((0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}\times W)$$

for all k,w,v as above. Because of the invariance of Δ with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$-map

$$(x^1,\dots,x^m,y^1-x^k,y^2,\dots,y^n),$$

Δ is uniquely determined by the values

$$\Delta\Big(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\Big)((0,0),w,v))\in V_{((0,0),w)}(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}\times W)$$

for v,w as above. Finally, using the invariance of Δ with respect to the homotheties $t\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m,n}}$, we get $\Delta(\sum \mathrm{d}x^i\otimes\partial/\partial x^i)((0,0),w,v)=0$. Thus we have proved that $\Delta=0$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 3. By Theorem 2, if G is not isomorphic to a trivial bundle functor, then there is no natural operator transforming connections on $Y\to M$ into connections on $GY\to Y$. However, if we restrict ourselves to some aditional structure on GY, then natural operators may exist. For example, in 46.10 of [6] there are constructed first order operators, natural on the local isomorphisms of affine bundles, which transform connections on $Y\to M$ into connections on $VY\to Y$.

Remark 4. There is another approach to the prolongation of connections. The second author has recently proved that a vector bundle functor H on $\mathcal{M}f$ with the point property admits natural operators transforming connections on a fibered manifold $p\colon Y \to M$ into connections on $Hp\colon HY \to HM$ if and only if H preserves products, see [8].

References

[1] *M. Doupovec and I. Kolář*: On natural operators transforming connections to the tangent bundle of a fibred manifold. Knižnice VUT Brno *B-119* (1988), 47–56.

[2] *M. Doupovec and I. Kolář*: Iteration of fiber product preserving bundle functors. Monatshefte Math. *134* (2001), 39–50. Zbl 0999.58001

[3] *M. Doupovec and W. M. Mikulski*: Prolongation of pairs of connections into connections on vertical bundles. Arch. Math. (Brno) *41* (2005), 409–422.

[4] *J. Janyška and M. Modugno*: Relations between linear connections on the tangent bundle and connections on the jet bundle of a fibered manifold. Arch. Math. (Brno) *32* (1996), 281–288. Zbl 0881.53015

[5] *I. Kolář*: Some natural operations with connections. Jour. Nat. Math. India *5* (1987), 127–141. Zbl 0671.53023

[6] *I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák*: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1993. Zbl 0782.53013

[7] *I. Kolář and W. M. Mikulski*: Natural lifting of connections to vertical bundles. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, Suppl. *63* (2000), 97–102. Zbl 0978.53056

[8] *W. M. Mikulski*: Non-existence of some canonical constructions on connections. Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae *44.4* (2003), 691–695.

[9] *W. M. Mikulski*: Non-existence of natural operators transforming connections on $Y \to M$ into connections on $FY \to Y$. Arch. Math. (Brno) *41* (2005), 1–4.

[10] *A. Vondra*: Higher-order differential equations represented by connections on prolongations of a fibered manifold. Extracta Math. *15.3* (2000), 421–512. Zbl 0992.34006

Authors' addresses: Miroslav Doupovec, Department of Mathematics, Brno University of Technology, FSI VUT Brno, Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: doupovec@fme.vutbr.cz; Włodzimierz M. Mikulski, Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University, Reymonta 4, Kraków, Poland, e-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl.