

Jingshi Xu

The boundedness of multilinear commutators of singular integrals on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 1, 13–27

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128151>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE BOUNDEDNESS OF MULTILINEAR COMMUTATORS OF
SINGULAR INTEGRALS ON LEBESGUE SPACES WITH
VARIABLE EXPONENT

JING-SHI XU, Hunan

(Received March 9, 2004)

Abstract. The boundedness of multilinear commutators of Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent is obtained. The multilinear commutators of generalized Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator are also considered.

Keywords: commutator, Calderón-Zygmund singular integral, BMO, Lebesgue space with variable exponent, maximal function

MSC 2000: 42B20, 46E30

1. INTRODUCTION

For $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (for its definition, see Section 2) and T a singular integral operator, the commutator $[b, T]$ is defined by

$$[b, T]f(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x).$$

For these commutators, the classical result, which was obtained by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss in [1], is when T is a standard convolution integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund $[b, T]$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 < p < \infty$), and conversely, if $[b, R_i]$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every Riesz transform R_i , then $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then Janson [6] pointed that for any singular integral T the boundedness of $[b, T]$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 2002, Perez and Trujillo-Gonzalez [15] introduced a generalized commutator, namely multilinear commutator. Let T be a linear

The project was supported by the NNSF (No. 60474070 and No. 10671062) of China, Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (06JJ5012) and Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (06B059).

operator which is initially assumed to be bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and suppose

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)f(y) dy$$

whenever f are $L^\infty(\mu)$ functions with compact support and $x \notin \text{supp } f$, where $K(x, y)$ is a standard Calderon-Zygmund kernel, namely, there exist positive and finite constants γ and C such that, for all distinct $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all z with $2|x - z| < |x - y|$, it verifies (i) $|K(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-n}$,

$$(ii) |K(x, y) - K(z, y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, z)| \leq C|x - z|^\gamma/|x - y|^{n+\gamma}.$$

Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$, $b_j \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the multilinear commutators $T_{\vec{b}}$ defined by

$$(1.1) \quad T_{\vec{b}}f(x) = [b_1, [b_2, \dots [b_m, T] \dots]]f(x).$$

In [15] Perez and Trujillo-Gonzalez proved the $T_{\vec{b}}$ is also bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, where A_p denotes Muckenhoupt's weight class (see [11]). In fact they obtained more and stronger results than that we stated here. For details one can see [15].

Recently, Karlovich and Lerner considered the boundedness of the following commutator

$$[b, K]f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y))K(x - y)f(y) dy$$

on Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ with variable exponent p in [7]. In fact, Karlovich and Lerner obtained analogous results as in [1]. Motivated by [7], we will consider the boundedness of multilinear commutators $T_{\vec{b}}$ in (1.1) on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$. First, let us recall some definitions and notations.

All functions in the present paper are assumed to be real valued. Let $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function. Set the convex modular

$$m(f, p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Denote by $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that $m(\lambda f, p) < \infty$ for some $\lambda = \lambda(f) > 0$. $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with respect to the Luxemburg-Nakano norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \inf\{\lambda > 0: m(f/\lambda, p) \leq 1\}.$$

It is clear that if $p(\cdot) = p$ is constant, then the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For the properties of the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

one can see [12]. Recently the spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have attracted a great attention owing to their connection to fluid dynamics discovered by Michael Růžička. For this one can see [2], [3], [4], [8], [13], [17] and the references therein.

If a measurable function $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad 1 < p_- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x), \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) = p_+ < \infty,$$

then the function

$$p'(x) = p(x)/(p(x) - 1).$$

is well defined and satisfies (1.2) itself.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all measurable functions $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that (1.2) holds and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad |p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{-\log|x - y|}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + |x|)}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|y| \geq |x|$.

If $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Cruz-Uribe, Fiorenza and Neugebauer proved that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself [2, Theorem 1.5]. Pick and Růžička showed that if (1.3) does not hold then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded. For more details, one can see [2], [3], [13], [16].

Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$, $b_i \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we define

$$M_{\vec{b}}f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - b_j(y)| |f(y)| \, dy,$$

where in what follows Q are balls.

Let $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ be a smooth and rapidly decreasing function and satisfying the condition:

$$|\varphi(x - y) - \varphi(x)| \leq C \frac{|y|}{|x|^{n+1}}, \quad \text{if } |x| > 2|y|.$$

Denote $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$. Define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f)(x) &= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\varepsilon(x - y) |f(y)| \, dy, \\ \Phi_{\vec{b}}(f)(x) &= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - b_j(y)| \varphi_\varepsilon(x - y) |f(y)| \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

If $b_j = b$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, these operators $M_{\vec{b}}$, $\Phi_{\vec{b}}$ were considered on standard Lebesgue spaces in [5].

Now we are ready to state our results.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose p belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_i \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $T_{\vec{b}}$ as in (1.1) can be extended a bounded operator from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.*

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose p belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_i \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $\Phi_{\vec{b}}$ is bounded from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.*

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose p belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_i \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $M_{\vec{b}}$ is bounded from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.*

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 2, and the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be given in Section 3. In this paper, C denotes a positive constant, which may differ in different place.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need some preliminary results. They are the duality and density in spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the pointwise estimates for sharp maximal functions. The details will follow.

For p satisfying (1.2) the function p' is well defined and the spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be equipped with the Orlicz type norm

$$\|f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx : g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This norm is equivalent to the Luxemburg-Nakano norm (see [9, Theorem 2.3]). This means that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq r_p \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $r_p = 1 + 1/p_- - 1/p_+$.

Firstly, the duality in spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (see [9, Theorem 2.1]). *Let $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying (1.2). If $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then fg is integrable on \mathbb{R}^n and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq r_p \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Secondly, the density in spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be stated in the following Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.2 (see [7, Lemma 2.2]). *Let $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function satisfying (1.2). Then $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Thirdly, there are some pointwise estimates for sharp maximal functions. Given $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Denote $M_r(f)(x) = M(|f|^r)(x)^{1/r}$, for $r > 0$.

For $\delta > 0$ and $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set also

$$f_\delta^\#(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta}.$$

If $\delta = 1$, we denote $f_\delta^\#$ by $f^\#$. A function f is called belong to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f^\#(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the BMO semi-norm of f is given by

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f^\#(x).$$

For a fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and a given measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^n , the local sharp maximal function $M_\lambda^\#(f)$ is defined by

$$M_\lambda^\#(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} ((f - c)\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|),$$

where $((f - c)\chi_Q)^*$ denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of the function $(f - c)\chi_Q$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [7, Proposition 2.3]). *If $\delta > 0$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$M_\lambda^\#(f)(x) \leq (1/\lambda)^{1/\delta} f_\delta^\#(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

To state further results, we need the definition of Orlicz maximal function. Let Φ be a Young function, namely, Φ is a continuous, nonnegative, strictly increasing and convex function on $[0, \infty)$ with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{\Phi(t)} = 0.$$

We define the Φ -averages of a function f over a cube Q by

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, Q} = \|f\|_{\Phi(L), Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

where as usual $|Q|$ denotes measure of Q .

Associated to this average, we define the maximal operator M_Φ by

$$M_\Phi f(x) = M_{\Phi(L)} f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|f\|_{\Phi, Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the balls containing x .

If $\Phi(x) = x \log^r(e + x)$, we denote M_Φ as $M_{L(\log L)^r}$. It is well known that $Mf \leq CM_{L(\log L)^r} f$ for any $r > 0$, and if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$M_{L(\log L)^m} \sim M^{m+1}$$

the $m + 1$ iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Given any positive integer m , for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, we denote by C_j^m the family of all finite subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(j)\}$ of j different elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. To any $\sigma \in C_j^m$, we associate the complementary sequence σ' given by $\sigma' = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \sigma$. For any $\sigma \in C_j^m$, we denote

$$T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b_{\sigma(1)}(x) - b_{\sigma(1)}(y)) \dots (b_{\sigma(j)}(x) - b_{\sigma(j)}(y)) K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

and $\|b_\sigma\| = \prod_{j \in \sigma} \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}}$. In the case of $\sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we denote $T_{\vec{b}_\sigma}$ by $T_{\vec{b}}$ and $\|b_\sigma\|$ by $\|\vec{b}\|$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [15, Lemma 3.1]). Let $T_{\vec{b}}$ be as in (1.1) and let $0 < \delta < \tau < 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on δ and the kernel K , such that

$$(T_{\vec{b}}f)_{\delta}^{\#}(x) \leq C \left[\|\vec{b}\| M_{L(\log L)^m} f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_{\sigma}\| M_{\tau}(T_{\vec{b}_{\sigma}}, f)(x) \right]$$

for any $f \in L_C^{\infty}$.

As the argument in proving [15, Lemma 3.1], we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. Let $T = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) f(y) dy$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on δ , such that

$$(T(f))_{\delta}^{\#}(x) \leq CM(f)(x)$$

for any $f \in L_C^{\infty}$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [10, Theorem 1]). Suppose $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let φ be a measurable function satisfying

$$|\{x: |\varphi(x)| > \alpha\}| < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi(x)g(x)| dx \leq C_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\lambda_n}^{\#} \varphi(x)gMg(x) dx.$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following result which has its independent role.

Theorem 2.1. If $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a constant C_p such that for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_C^{\infty}$. For any $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since T is of weak type (1.1), according to Lemmas 2.6, 2.3 and 2.5, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(Tf)(x)g(x)| dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf(x)Mg(x) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and $p, p' \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(Tf)(x)g(x)| dx \leq C_n r_p \|Mf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|Mg\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}.$$

This yields

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|Tf\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in L^\infty$ and $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We show Theorem 1.1 by induction on m . For $m = 1$, by Theorem 1.5 in [15], $T_b f$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.6. Thus according to Lemmas 2.6, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(T_b f)(x)g(x)| \, dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\lambda_n}^\#(T_b f)(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (T_b f)_\delta^\#(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_{L(\log L)}f(x) + \|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_\tau(Tf)(x)]Mg(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and $p, p' \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(Tf)(x)g(x)| \, dx &\leq C_n r_p \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|Mf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|Mg\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\|T_b f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|T_b f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Suppose now that for $m - 1$ Theorem 1.1 holds, and let us to prove it for m . As above with Theorem 1.5 in [15] again, by Lemmas 2.6, 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(T_{\vec{b}} f)(x)g(x)| \, dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\lambda_n}^\#(T_{\vec{b}} f)(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (T_{\vec{b}} f)_\delta^\#(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\|\vec{b}\|_{M_{L(\log L)}^m} f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_\sigma\|_{M_\tau} (T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} f)(x) \right] Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\|\vec{b}\|_{M_{L(\log L)}^m} f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_\sigma\|_{M_{L(\log L)}^{m-j}} f(x) \right] Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^m M_{L(\log L)}^{m-j} f(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\|T_{\vec{b}} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|T_{\vec{b}} f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, this completes the proof. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.2 AND 1.3

Let

$$\Phi^N f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) |f(y)| \, dy,$$

and

$$\Phi_b^N f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j(x) - b_j(y))| \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) |f(y)| \, dy.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Φ_b^N be as above and $0 < \delta < \tau < 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on δ and τ , such that*

$$(\Phi_b^N f)_\delta^\#(x) \leq C \left[\|\vec{b}\| M_{L(\log L)^m} f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_\sigma\| M_\tau(\Phi_{b_\sigma}^N f)(x) \right]$$

for any $f \in L_c^\infty$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By homogeneity, we can assume that $\|b_i\|_{\text{BMO}} = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We first consider the lemma for the case $m = 1$. In this case, we have

$$\Phi_b^N f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(b(x) - b(y))| \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) |f(y)| \, dy.$$

Now, for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let Q denote the ball center at x with radius R . For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we choose

$$C_Q = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(y) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(z-y) |f(y)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(y) \, dy \, dz.$$

We first estimate $|\Phi_b^N f(y) - C_Q|$, where $y \in Q$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_b^N f(y) - C_Q| &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(y) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(y-w) |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(w) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(y-w) |f(w)| \, dw \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(w) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(z-w) |f(w)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(w) \, dw \, dz \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(y) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(y-w) |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(w) - \lambda| \varphi_\varepsilon(y-w) |f(w)| \chi_{4Q}(w) \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(w) - \lambda| |\varphi_\varepsilon(y-w) - \varphi_\varepsilon(z-w)| |f(w)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(w) \, dw \, dz \\ &= A_1(y) + A_2(y) + A_3(y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\Phi_b^N f(y) - c_Q|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\
& \leq C \left[\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_1(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} + \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_2(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} + \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_3(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \right] \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\lambda = (b)_Q$, the average of b over the ball Q . For any $1 < q < \tau/\delta$, by Hölder's inequality and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(y) - \lambda|^{\delta q'} dy \right)^{1/\delta q'} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\Phi^N(f)(y)|^{\delta q} dy \right)^{1/\delta q} \\
& \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_{\delta q}(\Phi^N f)(x) \\
& \leq CM_\tau(\Phi^N(f))(x).
\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_2 , since $(b - \lambda)f\chi_{4Q}$ is integrable and Φ is of weak type (1.1) (see [18, page 71]), by Kolmogorov's inequality and Lemma 2.3 in [15], we have

$$I_2 \leq \frac{C}{|4Q|} \int_{4Q} |b(y) - \lambda| |f(y)| dy \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \cdot M_{L(\log L)} f(x) = CM_{L(\log L)} f(x).$$

For the last term I_3 , since $x, y, z \in Q$, $w \notin 4Q$, we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |\varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) - \varphi_\varepsilon(z - w)| \leq \frac{|y - w|}{|x - w|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{CR}{|x - w|^{n+1}}.$$

where C is independent of ε .

Thus by the argument as in [15, page 683], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_3(y) - C_Q| & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |b(w) - \lambda| |f(w)| dw \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} k \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_{L(\log L)} f(x) \\
& \leq CM_{L(\log L)} f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$I_3 \leq CM_{L(\log L)} f(x).$$

Thus, Combining the estimates of I_1 to I_3 , and taking the supremum over all balls centered at x , we have proved Lemma 3.1 for the case $m = 1$.

Now we turn to the case $m \geq 2$. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Q denotes a ball center at x with radius R again, choose

$$C_Q = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(y) - \lambda_j| \varphi_\varepsilon(z - y) |f(y)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(y) \, dy \, dz.$$

We first estimate $|\Phi_b^N f(y) - C_Q|$, where $y \in Q$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_b^N f(y) - C_Q| &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(y) - \lambda_j) + (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(w) - \lambda_j) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} C_{m,j} (b(y) - \bar{\lambda})_{\sigma} (b(w) - \bar{\lambda})_{\sigma'} |\varphi_\varepsilon(y - w)| |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(w) - \lambda_j| \varphi_\varepsilon(z - w) |f(w)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(w) \, dw \, dz \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(y) - \lambda_j| \varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < N} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} |C_{m,j}| |(b(x) - \bar{\lambda})_{\sigma}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(b(y) - b(w))_{\sigma'}| \varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(w) - \lambda_j| \varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) |f(w)| \chi_{4Q}(w) \, dw \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(w) - \lambda_j| |\varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) - \varphi_\varepsilon(z - w)| |f(w)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4Q}(w) \, dw \, dz \\ &= A_1(y) + A_2(y) + A_3(y) + A_4(y). \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate $A_4(y)$. Since $x, y, z \in Q$, $w \notin 4Q$, we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |\varphi_\varepsilon(y - w) - \varphi_\varepsilon(z - w)| \leq C \frac{|y - z|}{|x - w|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{CR}{|x - w|^{n+1}},$$

where C is independent of ε . Thus

$$A_4(y) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} \left| \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(w) - \lambda_j) \right| |f(w)| \, dw.$$

Since $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\Phi_b^N f(y) - C_Q|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} &\leq C \left[\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_1(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} + \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_2(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_3(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} + \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_4(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \right] \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\lambda_i = (b_i)_Q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q A_4(y)^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(w) - \lambda_j| |f(w)| dw \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} k \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_{L(\log L)} f(x) \\ &\leq C M_{L(\log L)} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

For I_3 , by the same argument as above and making use of Kolmogorov's inequality, the weak type (1,1) of Φ and Lemma 2.3 in [15] (in fact [15, (2.5), page 679]),

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \frac{C}{|4Q|} \int_{4Q} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j - \lambda_j| |f(y)| dy \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \cdot M_{L(\log L)} f(x) \\ &= C M_{L(\log L)} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , we estimate $A_1(x)$. Because

$$A_1(x) \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - \lambda_j| \Phi(f)(x),$$

again with $\lambda_i = (b_i)_Q$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, using Hölder's inequality for finitely many functions with $1 < q < \tau/\delta$, we have

$$I_1 \leq C M_\tau(\Phi f)(x).$$

Similarly, we have

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^n} M_\tau(\Phi_{b_\sigma}^N f)(x).$$

Combining the estimates of I_1 to I_4 , and taking the supremum over all balls centered at x , we obtain the lemma. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in L_C^\infty$. First we prove that $\Phi_b^N(f)$ belongs to $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To do so we use induction on m . For $m = 1$, by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [15], $\Phi_b^N(f)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.6. Thus by Lemma 2.6, 2.3 and 3.1, for any $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\Phi_b^N f)(x)g(x)| \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\lambda_n}^\#(\Phi_b^N f)(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Phi_b^N f)_\delta^\#(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_{L(\log L)}f(x) + \|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_\tau(\Phi^N f)(x)] Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M^2f(x) + \|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_\tau(\Phi f)(x)] Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M^2f(x) + \|b\|_{\text{BMO}}M_\tau(Mf)(x)] Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}\|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1, since $p, p' \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This yields

$$\|\Phi_b^N f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|\Phi_b^N f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Suppose now that for $m - 1$, $\Phi_b^N(f)$ belongs to $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let us to prove it for m . As the above by Lemmas 2.6, 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\Phi_b^N f)(x)g(x)| \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\lambda_n}^\#(\Phi_b^N f)(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Phi_b^N f)_\delta^\#(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\|\vec{b}\| M_{L(\log L)}^m f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_\sigma\| M_\varepsilon(\Phi_{b_\sigma}^N f)(x) \right] Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\|\vec{b}\| M_{L(\log L)}^m f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \|b_\sigma\| M_{L(\log L)^{1/\sigma'}} f(x) \right] Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{L(\log L)^{1/\sigma'}} f(x)Mg(x) \, dx \\
& \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}\|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}},
\end{aligned}$$

because $p, p' \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we used Lemma 2.1 again. This yields

$$\|\Phi_b^N f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|\Phi_b^N f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Since $\Phi_{\bar{b}}^N(f)(x)$ tends to $\Phi_{\bar{b}}(f)(x)$ pointwise as N tends to ∞ , by Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\Phi_{\bar{b}}^N f)(x)g(x)| dx \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi_{\bar{b}} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|\Phi_{\bar{b}} f\|'_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In Theorem 1.2, we choose φ such that $\chi_{\{x: |x| \leq 2\}} \leq \varphi$, then we have

$$M_{\bar{b}} f(x) \leq C \Phi_{\bar{b}} f(x).$$

By Theorem 1.2, we obtain Theorem 1.3. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the unknown referee for his carefully reading and helpful comments which led the paper more readable.

References

- [1] *R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss*: Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables. *Ann. of Math.* 123 (1976), 611–635.
- [2] *D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and C. Neugebauer*: The maximal function on variable L^p spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 28 (2003), 223–238.
- [3] *L. Diening*: Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 7 (2004), 245–253.
- [4] *L. Diening and M. Růžička*: Calderón-Zygmund operators on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and problems related to fluid dynamics. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 563 (2003), 197–220.
- [5] *J. Garcia-Cuerva, E. Harboure, C. Segovia and J. Torrea*: Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of strongly singular integrals. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 40 (1991), 1397–1420.
- [6] *S. Janson*: Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators. *Ark. Mat.* 16 (1978), 263–270.
- [7] *A. Karlovich and A. Lerner*: Commutators of singular integrals on generalized L^p spaces with variable exponent. *Publ. Nat.* 49 (2005), 111–125.
- [8] *V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko*: Maximal and fractional operators in weighted $L^{p(x)}$ spaces. *Revista Mat. Iberoam.* 20 (2004), 493–515.
- [9] *O. Kovacik and J. Rákosník*: On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$. *Czech. Math. J.* 41 (1991), 592–618.
- [10] *A. Lerner*: Weighted norm inequalities for the local sharp maximal function. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 10 (2004), 465–474.
- [11] *B. Muckenhoupt*: Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [12] *J. Musielak*: Orlicz spaces and Modular spaces. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [13] *A. Nekvinda*: Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 7 (2004), 255–265.

- [14] *C. Perez*: Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* *128* (1995), 163–185.
- [15] *C. Perez and R. Trujillo-Gonzalez*: Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators. *J. London Math. Soc.* *65* (2002), 672–692.
- [16] *L. Pick and M. Růžička*: An example of a space $L^{p(x)}$ on which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded. *Expo. Math.* *19* (2001), 369–371.
- [17] *M. Růžička*: *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [18] *E. Stein*: *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integral*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, NJ, 1993.

Author's address: Jing-shi Xu, Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410081, China, e-mail: jshixu@yahoo.com.cn.