

Jian Rong Zhao; Shaofang Hong; Qunying Liao; Kar-Ping Shum
On the divisibility of power LCM matrices by power GCD matrices

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 1, 115–125

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128159>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE DIVISIBILITY OF POWER LCM MATRICES BY
POWER GCD MATRICESJIANRONG ZHAO, SHAOFANG HONG*, QUNYING LIAO, Chengdu, and K.
P. SHUM†, Hong Kong

(Received November 20, 2004)

Abstract. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of n distinct positive integers and $e \geq 1$ an integer. Denote the $n \times n$ power GCD (resp. power LCM) matrix on S having the e -th power of the greatest common divisor (x_i, x_j) (resp. the e -th power of the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$) as the (i, j) -entry of the matrix by $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ (resp. $([x_i, x_j]^e)$). We call the set S an odd gcd closed (resp. odd lcm closed) set if every element in S is an odd number and $(x_i, x_j) \in S$ (resp. $[x_i, x_j] \in S$) for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. In studying the divisibility of the power LCM and power GCD matrices, Hong conjectured in 2004 that for any integer $e \geq 1$, the $n \times n$ power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ defined on an odd-gcd-closed (resp. odd-lcm-closed) set S divides the $n \times n$ power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ defined on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of $n \times n$ matrices over integers. In this paper, we use Hong's method developed in his previous papers [J. Algebra 218 (1999) 216–228; 281 (2004) 1–14, Acta Arith. 111 (2004), 165–177 and J. Number Theory 113 (2005), 1–9] to investigate Hong's conjectures. We show that the conjectures of Hong are true for $n \leq 3$ but they are both not true for $n \geq 4$.

Keywords: GCD-closed set, LCM-closed set, greatest-type divisor, divisibility

MSC 2000: 11C20, 11A25, 15A36

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be an arithmetical function. It was first stated by H. Smith in 1876 in his famous paper [19] that if $[f(i, j)]$ is an $n \times n$ matrix having f evaluated at the greatest common divisor (i, j) of i and j as the (i, j) -entry of the matrix, then

* Research is partially supported by Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University, by SRF for ROCS, SEM, China and by the Lady Davis Fellowship at the Technion, Israel.

† Research is partially supported by a UGC (HK) grant 2160210 (2003/05).

$\det[f(i, j)] = \prod_{k=1}^n (f * \mu)(k)$, where μ is the Möbius function and $f * \mu$ is the Dirichlet convolution of f and μ . This result was generalized by Apostol [1] in 1972 and in 1988, McCarthy [18] extended the results of both Smith and Apostol to the class of even functions of $m \pmod{r}$, where m and r are positive integers. Here we call a complex-valued function $\beta(m, r)$ an *even function of $m \pmod{r}$* if $\beta(m, r) = \beta((m, r), r)$ for all values of m , and we notice that the functions considered by Smith and Apostol are in fact even functions of $m \pmod{r}$. The results of Smith, Apostol, and McCarthy were subsequently extended further by Bourque and Ligh [5] in 1993. The results of Smith, Apostol, McCarthy, Bourque and Ligh have been generalized by Hong [10] in 2002 to certain classes of arithmetical functions.

For the set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of n distinct positive integers, we denote the $n \times n$ matrix on S having f evaluated at the greatest common divisor (x_i, x_j) of the entries x_i and x_j by $(f(x_i, x_j))$ and we use $(f[x_i, x_j])$ to denote the $n \times n$ matrix on the set S having f evaluated at the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$ of the entries x_i and x_j , respectively. Then some factorization theorems on the divisibility of the matrix $(f[x_i, x_j])$ by the matrix $(f(x_i, x_j))$ were obtained by Bourque and Ligh [6] and also by Hong in [9] and [11]. Furthermore, Hong has also given some theorems on the nonsingularity of the matrices $(f(x_i, x_j))$ and $(f[x_i, x_j])$ in [13].

Now, for any given integer $e \geq 1$, we let ξ_e be the arithmetical function defined for any positive integer x by $\xi_e(x) = x^e$. We then call $(\xi_e(x_i, x_j))$ (abbreviated by $((x_i, x_j)^e)$) and $(\xi_e[x_i, x_j])$ (abbreviated by $([x_i, x_j]^e)$) the $n \times n$ *power greatest common divisor (GCD) matrix* on S and the $n \times n$ *power least common multiple (LCM) matrix* on S respectively. If $e = 1$, then we simply call them the *greatest common divisor (GCD) matrix* and the *least common multiple (LCM) matrix*, respectively. Naturally, we call the set S *factor closed (FC)* if it contains all divisors of x for any $x \in S$. The set S is called *gcd closed* if $(x_i, x_j) \in S$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Obviously, any FC set is gcd closed but the converse is not necessarily true. In this aspect, Bourque and Ligh first generalized Smith's result in [19] and also Beslin and Ligh showed in [2] that the determinant of the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on a gcd-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is the product $\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_{e,k}$, where

$$\alpha_{e,k} = \sum_{\substack{d|x_k \\ d \nmid x_t, x_t < x_k}} J_e(d).$$

In the above equality, we call $J_e := \xi_e * \mu$ the Jordan totient function. Hong [10] proved that the determinant of the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on a gcd-closed set

$S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is equal to $\prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{2e} \cdot \beta_{e,k}$, where

$$\beta_{e,k} = \sum_{\substack{d|x_k \\ d \nmid x_t, x_t < x_k}} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_e} * \mu \right)(d).$$

On the other hand, Hong has also obtained two important results in [12] on the nonsingularity of the power LCM matrix $(\xi_e[x_i, x_j])$. It was first noticed by Bourque and Ligh in [4] that the power GCD matrix $(\xi_e(x_i, x_j))$ on any set S is positive definite, and then Hong and Loewy [15] made some progress on the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of the power GCD matrix $(\xi_e(x_i, x_j))$ on the set S . The eigenvalues of another kind of power GCD matrix were investigated by Wintner [20] as well as Lindqvist and Seip [17].

In studying the GCD and LCM matrices, Bourque and Ligh [3] showed that if the set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is FC then the GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j))$ on S always divides the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j])$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of $n \times n$ matrices over the integers. It was noticed by Hong in [9] that the factorization theorem on LCM and GCD matrices is in general not true. We now call the set S an *odd gcd closed* set if S is gcd closed and every element in S is an odd number. Naturally, we call the set S an *even gcd closed* set if S is not an odd gcd closed set. By [9] we know that there exists an even-gcd-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that the GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j))$ on S does not divide the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j])$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$. However, it is not clear whether there exists an odd-gcd-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that its GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j))$ on S does not divide the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j])$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$? Consequently, Hong [12] proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $e \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ an odd-gcd-closed set. Then the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*

For the above conjecture, He and Zhao [7] have recently given a counterexample so that the above Conjecture 1.1 is not true for $e = 1$ and $n = 4$. In this paper, by using the reduced formulas given in [12] and [13] and by using Hong's method developed in [8] for finding a solution of the Bourque-Ligh conjecture in [3], we are able to show that for any given integer $e \geq 1$, Conjecture 1.1 is true for $n \leq 3$, but it is not true for $n \geq 4$. Thus Hong's Conjecture 1.1 is completely solved.

On the other hand, we call the set S *lcm closed* if $[x_i, x_j] \in S$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The set S is called *odd lcm closed* if S is lcm closed and every element in S is an odd number. Thus the set S is an *even lcm closed* set if it is not an odd lcm closed set. For example, the set $S = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 24\}$ is an even lcm closed set. In fact, we

can easily construct an *even-lcm-closed set* S such that the GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j))$ on S does not divide the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j])$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (see [9]). However, it is not clear whether there exists an *odd-lcm-closed set* $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that the GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j))$ on the set S does not divide the LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j])$ on the set S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$? For the lcm-closed sets, Hong [12] has also proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let $e \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ an odd-lcm-closed set. Then the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*

For this conjecture, He and Zhao also gave a counterexample in [7] for $e = 1$ and $n = 4$. In this paper, we will show that for any given integer $e \geq 1$, Conjecture 1.2 is true for $n \leq 3$, but the conjecture is false for $n \geq 4$. Thus Conjecture 1.2 is also completely solved.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the reduced formulas of Hong for $\alpha_{e,k}$ and $\beta_{e,k}$. First we recall the concept of greatest-type divisor given by Hong.

Definition ([8]). Let T be a set of distinct positive integers. For any $a, b \in T$ and $a < b$, we call a a *greatest-type divisor* of b in T if $a \mid b$ and the conditions $a \mid c \mid b$ and $c \in T$ imply that $c \in \{a, b\}$.

Remark. The concept of greatest-type divisor played central roles in solving the Bourque-Ligh conjecture [3] (see Hong [8]) and in solving Sun's conjecture in [14].

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). *Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a gcd-closed set and $R_k = \{y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,l_k}\}$ the set of the greatest-type divisors of x_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) in S , where $y_{k,1} < \dots < y_{k,l_k}$, $l_1 = 0$, $l_2 = l_3 = 1$, and $1 \leq l_k \leq k - 2$ for $k \geq 4$. Then*

$$\alpha_{e,k} = x_k^e + \sum_{t=1}^{l_k} (-1)^t \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq l_k} (x_k, y_{k,i_1}, \dots, y_{k,i_t})^e.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a gcd-closed set. Let $R_k = \{y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,l_k}\}$ be the set of the greatest-type divisors of x_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) in S , where $y_{k,1} < \dots < y_{k,l_k}$, $l_1 = 0, l_2 = l_3 = 1$, and $1 \leq l_k \leq k - 2$ for $k \geq 4$. Then

$$\beta_{e,k} = \frac{1}{x_k^e} + \sum_{t=1}^{l_k} (-1)^t \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq l_k} \frac{1}{(x_k, y_{k,i_1}, \dots, y_{k,i_t})^e}.$$

Remark. Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 can be extended to posets (see Hong and Sun in [16]).

3. SOLVING CONJECTURE 1.1

We first prove the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $e \geq 1$, $n \geq 4$ be integers and $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Suppose that

$$(3.1) \quad x_k = a^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-3, \quad x_{n-2} = qb, \quad x_{n-1} = pb, \quad x_n = p^2qb,$$

where $b = a^{n-4}$, q and p are distinct primes, and $a > 1$ is an integer satisfying $(a, p^e q^e + q^e - 1) = 1$. If the determinant of the $n \times n$ power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ defined on S is divisible by the $n \times n$ power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ defined on S , then $p \mid (q^e - 1)$.

Proof. We first note that $\alpha_{e,1} = \beta_{e,1} = 1$. For $2 \leq k \leq n-3$, we have, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$\alpha_{e,k} = a^{e(k-1)} - a^{e(k-2)} = a^{e(k-2)}(a^e - 1)$$

and

$$\beta_{e,k} = \frac{1}{a^{e(k-1)}} - \frac{1}{a^{e(k-2)}} = \frac{1 - a^e}{a^{e(k-1)}},$$

respectively. Consequently, for $2 \leq k \leq n-3$, we can compute that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{x_k^{2e} \beta_{e,k}}{\alpha_{e,k}} = \frac{a^{e(k-1)}(1 - a^e)}{a^{e(k-2)}(a^e - 1)} = -a^e.$$

Clearly, the greatest-type divisors of both $x_{n-2} = qb$ in S and $x_{n-1} = pb$ in S are b , so by using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 again, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{x_{n-2}^{2e} \beta_{e,n-2}}{\alpha_{e,n-2}} = \frac{(qb)^{2e}(1/(qb)^e - 1/b^e)}{(qb)^e - b^e} = -q^e$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{x_{n-1}^{2e} \beta_{e,n-1}}{\alpha_{e,n-1}} = (pb)^{2e} (1/(pb)^e - 1/b^e) / (pb)^e - b^e = -p^e.$$

Since the greatest-type divisors of $x_n = p^2 qb$ in S are qb and pb , it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{x_n^{2e} \beta_{e,n}}{\alpha_{e,n}} &= \frac{(p^2 qb)^{2e} (1/(p^2 qb)^e - 1/(qb)^e - 1/(pb)^e + 1/b^e)}{(p^2 qb)^e - (qb)^e - (pb)^e + b^e} \\ &= p^{2e} q^e \cdot \frac{p^e q^e - p^e - 1}{p^e q^e + q^e - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Equations (3.2)–(3.5), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} &= \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{x_k^{2e} \beta_{e,k}}{\alpha_{e,k}} \\ &= (-a)^{e(n-4)} \cdot (-q^e) \cdot (-p^e) \cdot p^{2e} q^e \cdot \frac{p^e q^e - p^e - 1}{p^e q^e + q^e - 1} \\ &= (-1)^{en} \cdot q^{2e} \cdot p^{3e} \cdot a^{e(n-4)} \cdot \frac{p^e q^e - p^e - 1}{p^e q^e + q^e - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to see that $(q^{2e}, p^e q^e + q^e - 1) = (a^{e(n-4)}, p^e q^e + q^e - 1) = 1$. However, by our assumption, we can easily see that

$$\frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So we have

$$p^{3e} \cdot \frac{p^e q^e - p^e - 1}{p^e q^e + q^e - 1} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $p^e q^e - p^e - 1 < p^e q^e + q^e - 1$, from the above equation, we can deduce that $p \mid (p^e q^e + q^e - 1)$. Hence it follows that $p \mid (q^e - 1)$, as desired. \square

Now we give below an answer to Conjecture 1.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $e \geq 1$ be an arbitrary given integer and $n \geq 1$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $n \leq 3$, then for any gcd-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*
- (ii) *For $n \geq 4$, there exists an odd-gcd-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on the set S does not divide the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on the set S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. (i) Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a gcd-closed set. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $1 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_n$. If $n = 1$, then it is clear that the statement is true. If $n = 2$, then because the set $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ is gcd closed, we know that $x_1 \mid x_2$. Now, we form the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (x_2/x_1)^e & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x_2/x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that $(x_2/x_1)^e \in \mathbb{Z}$, and, consequently, $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We can also check that $([x_i, x_j]^e) = A \cdot ((x_i, x_j)^e)$. Therefore, our result holds for the case of $n = 2$. Now, we consider the case of $n = 3$. Since the set $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is gcd closed, we can easily check that $x_1 \mid x_i$ ($i = 2, 3$), and $(x_2, x_3) = x_1$ or x_2 . If $(x_2, x_3) = x_2$, then $x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3$. Now, we form the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ (x_2/x_1)^e & -1 & 1 \\ (x_3/x_1)^e & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $(x_2/x_1)^e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x_3/x_1)^e \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can see that $B \in M_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, we can easily check that $([x_i, x_j]^e) = B \cdot ((x_i, x_j)^e)$. This shows that the statement in this case is still true. Now, we consider the case: $(x_2, x_3) = x_1$. For such case, we have $[x_2, x_3] = x_2x_3/x_1$. Let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & (x_2/x_1)^e \\ 0 & (x_3/x_1)^e & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $C \in M_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Now, we can easily check that $([x_i, x_j]^e) = C \cdot ((x_i, x_j)^e)$. Hence the statement (i) in this case holds.

(ii) Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and consider the set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as in (3.1). Since q and p are distinct odd primes such that $p > q^e - 1$ (for any given integer $e \geq 1$, such a pair (p, q) always exists since there are infinitely many primes), and $b = a^{n-4}$ and $a > 1$ is an odd number satisfying the situation $(a, p^e q^e + q^e - 1) = 1$ (such element a always exists, for example, we can take $a = 2$, or q), S is clearly an odd gcd closed set. We now claim that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

For if otherwise, we will have $\det([x_i, x_j]^e) \mid \det((x_i, x_j)^e)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we know that $p \mid (q^e - 1)$, and thereby, $p \leq q^e - 1$. This is of course absurd since $p > q^e - 1$. Thus, our claim is established. It now follows from (3.6) that in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $((x_i, x_j)^e) \nmid ([x_i, x_j]^e)$, as required. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is hence complete. \square

Remark. In Theorem 3.2, we see immediately that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $n \leq 3$ and that Conjecture 1.1 does not hold for ≥ 4 .

4. SOLVING CONJECTURE 1.2

In this section, we denote the least common multiple of all elements in S by $m = \text{lcm}(S)$. We first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $e, n \geq 1$ be integers and $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a set of n distinct positive integers. Then we have the following equalities:*

$$((x_i, x_j)^e) = \frac{1}{m^e} \cdot \text{diag}(x_1^e, \dots, x_n^e) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right)^e \right) \cdot \text{diag}(x_1^e, \dots, x_n^e)$$

and

$$([x_i, x_j]^e) = \frac{1}{m^e} \cdot \text{diag}(x_1^e, \dots, x_n^e) \cdot \left(\left[\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right]^e \right) \cdot \text{diag}(x_1^e, \dots, x_n^e).$$

Proof. We first observe the following equalities:

$$(x_i, x_j) = \frac{m}{\left[\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right]} = \frac{m \cdot \left(\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right)}{\frac{m}{x_i} \cdot \frac{m}{x_j}} = \frac{x_i x_j}{m} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right).$$

Since $e \geq 1$ is an integer, we have

$$(x_i, x_j)^e = \frac{x_i^e x_j^e}{m^e} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j} \right)^e.$$

Therefore the first equation follows immediately. The second equation has been proved in [12]. □

Definition ([12]). Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of n distinct positive integers. Then the reciprocal set of S , denoted by mS^{-1} , is defined by $mS^{-1} = \left\{ \frac{m}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{x_n} \right\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. Then S is an lcm-closed set if and only if the reciprocal set mS^{-1} is a gcd-closed set.*

Proof. One side of the equivalence has been proved by Hong in [12]. The converse implication can be proved similarly and hence we omit the details. □

We now give an answer to Conjecture 1.2.

Theorem 4.3. Let $e \geq 1$ be an arbitrary given integer and $n \geq 1$ an integer.

- (i) If $n \leq 3$, then for any lcm-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.
- (ii) For $n \geq 4$, there exists an odd-lcm-closed set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S does not divide the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. (i) Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be an lcm-closed set. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $1 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_n$. Let $n = 1$. Then it is clear that the statement (i) is true. Let $n = 2$. Since the set $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ is lcm closed, we know that $x_1 \mid x_2$. Because the set S is also gcd closed, the result in this case follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 (i). Now let $n = 3$. Since $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is lcm closed, we know that $x_i \mid x_3$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $[x_1, x_2] = x_2$ or x_3 . If $[x_1, x_2] = x_2$, then $x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3$ and so the set S is gcd closed. Consequently, the result in this case follows from Theorem 3.2 (i). Now consider the case: $[x_1, x_2] = x_3$. For this case, we see that $(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2/x_3$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x_1^e & (\frac{x_1x_2}{x_3})^e & x_1^e \\ (\frac{x_1x_2}{x_3})^e & x_2^e & x_2^e \\ x_1^e & x_2^e & x_3^e \end{array} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_3^e}{x_1^e(x_3^e - x_1^e)} & 0 & \frac{1}{x_1^e - x_3^e} \\ 0 & \frac{x_3^e}{x_2^e(x_3^e - x_2^e)} & \frac{1}{x_2^e - x_3^e} \\ \frac{1}{x_1^e - x_3^e} & \frac{1}{x_2^e - x_3^e} & \frac{(x_1x_2)^e - x_3^{2e}}{x_3^e(x_3^e - x_2^e)(x_1^e - x_3^e)} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(x_3/x_1)^e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x_3/x_2)^e \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} ([x_i, x_j]^e)((x_i, x_j)^e)^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1^e & x_3^e & x_3^e \\ x_3^e & x_2^e & x_3^e \\ x_3^e & x_3^e & x_3^e \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1^e & (\frac{x_1x_2}{x_3})^e & x_1^e \\ (\frac{x_1x_2}{x_3})^e & x_2^e & x_2^e \\ x_1^e & x_2^e & x_3^e \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\frac{x_3}{x_2})^e & 0 \\ (\frac{x_3}{x_1})^e & 0 & 0 \\ (\frac{x_3}{x_1})^e & (\frac{x_3}{x_2})^e & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the statement (i) in this case holds and our proof of part (i) is complete.

(ii) Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. Suppose that

$$x_1 = 1, \quad x_2 = pq, \quad x_3 = p^2, \quad x_4 = p^2qa^i, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq i \leq n-4,$$

where q and p are distinct odd primes such that $p > q^e - 1$ and $a > 1$ is an odd number satisfying $(a, p^e q^e + q^e - 1) = 1$. Now, we can easily see that the set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

is odd lcm closed. By Lemma 4.2, the reciprocal set mS^{-1} is an odd gcd closed set, where $m = p^2qa^{n-4}$. It now follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} = \frac{\det([\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j}]^e)}{\det((\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j})^e)}.$$

If we let

$$y_k = a^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-3, \quad y_{n-2} = qb, \quad y_{n-1} = pb, \quad y_n = p^2qb,$$

where $b = a^{n-4}$, then $T = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is just a permutation of the set mS^{-1} and so we deduce that $\det([\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j}]^e) = \det([y_i, y_j]^e)$ and $\det((\frac{m}{x_i}, \frac{m}{x_j})^e) = \det((y_i, y_j)^e)$. Therefore we have

$$\frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} = \frac{\det([y_i, y_j]^e)}{\det((y_i, y_j)^e)}.$$

But by the proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii), we know that

$$\frac{\det([y_i, y_j]^e)}{\det((y_i, y_j)^e)} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

and thereby, we infer that

$$\frac{\det([x_i, x_j]^e)}{\det((x_i, x_j)^e)} \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

This shows immediately that in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $((x_i, x_j)^e) \nmid ([x_i, x_j]^e)$, as expected. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.3 is complete. \square

By Theorem 4.3 we see immediately that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $n \leq 3$ but does not hold for $n \geq 4$.

In closing this paper, we remark that although Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 are in general not true, Hong has proved in [11] that for any given integer $e \geq 1$, if $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a *divisor chain* (that is, $x_1 \mid \dots \mid x_n$), then the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S always divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that a divisor chain is both gcd-closed and lcm-closed. However, the problem how to determine all gcd-closed (resp. lcm-closed) sets S such that the power GCD matrix $((x_i, x_j)^e)$ on S divides the power LCM matrix $([x_i, x_j]^e)$ on S in the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ remains open, where $e \geq 1$ is any given integer.

References

- [1] *T. M. Apostol*: Arithmetical properties of generalized Ramanujan sums. *Pacific J. Math.* *41* (1972), 281–293.
- [2] *S. Beslin and S. Ligh*: Another generalization of Smith’s determinant. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* *40* (1989), 413–415.
- [3] *K. Bourque and S. Ligh*: On GCD and LCM matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* *174* (1992), 65–74.
- [4] *K. Bourque and S. Ligh*: Matrices associated with arithmetical functions. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* *34* (1993), 261–267.
- [5] *K. Bourque and S. Ligh*: Matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions. *J. Number Theory* *45* (1993), 367–376.
- [6] *K. Bourque and S. Ligh*: Matrices associated with classes of multiplicative functions. *Linear Algebra Appl.* *216* (1995), 267–275.
- [7] *C. He and J. Zhao*: More on divisibility of determinants of LCM Matrices on GCD-closed sets. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* *29* (2005), 887–893.
- [8] *S. Hong*: On the Bourque-Ligh conjecture of least common multiple matrices. *J. Algebra* *218* (1999), 216–228.
- [9] *S. Hong*: On the factorization of LCM matrices on gcd-closed sets. *Linear Algebra Appl.* *345* (2002), 225–233.
- [10] *S. Hong*: Gcd-closed sets and determinants of matrices associated with arithmetical functions. *Acta Arith.* *101* (2002), 321–332.
- [11] *S. Hong*: Factorization of matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions. *Colloq. Math.* *98* (2003), 113–123.
- [12] *S. Hong*: Notes on power LCM matrices. *Acta Arith.* *111* (2004), 165–177.
- [13] *S. Hong*: Nonsingularity of matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions. *J. Algebra* *281* (2004), 1–14.
- [14] *S. Hong*: Nonsingularity of least common multiple matrices on gcd-closed sets. *J. Number Theory* *113* (2005), 1–9.
- [15] *S. Hong and R. Loewy*: Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of greatest common divisor matrices. *Glasgow Math. J.* *46* (2004), 551–569.
- [16] *S. Hong and Q. Sun*: Determinants of matrices associated with incidence functions on posets. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* *54* (2004), 431–443.
- [17] *P. Lindqvist and K. Seip*: Note on some greatest common divisor matrices. *Acta Arith.* *84* (1998), 149–154.
- [18] *P. J. McCarthy*: A generalization of Smith’s determinant. *Canad. Math. Bull.* *29* (1986), 109–113.
- [19] *H. J. S. Smith*: On the value of a certain arithmetical determinant. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* *7* (1875–1876), 208–212.
- [20] *A. Wintner*: Diophantine approximations and Hilbert’s space. *Amer. J. Math.* *66* (1944), 564–578.

Authors’ addresses: JIANRONG ZHAO, Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China, e-mail: jr-zhao@tom.com; SHAOFANG HONG, Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China, e-mails: s-f.hong@tom.com, hongsf02@yahoo.com; QUNYING LIAO, The College of Mathematics and Soft-Ware Science, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610066, P. R. China, e-mail: qunyingliao@tom.com; K. P. SHUM, Faculty of Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong (SAR), P. R. China, e-mail: kpshum@math.cuhk.edu.hk.