

Yan-Kui Song

Spaces with large relative extent

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 1, 387–394

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128178>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SPACES WITH LARGE RELATIVE EXTENT

YAN-KUI SONG, Nanjing

(Received February 20, 2005)

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the following statements: (1) For every regular uncountable cardinal κ , there exist a Tychonoff space X and Y a subspace of X such that Y is both relatively absolute star-Lindelöf and relative property (a) in X and $e(Y, X) \geq \kappa$, but Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X and X is not star-Lindelöf. (2) There exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X (hence, relative star-Lindelöf), but Y is not absolutely relative star-Lindelöf in X .

Keywords: relative topological property, Lindelöf, star-Lindelöf, relative extent, relative property (a)

MSC 2000: 54D15, 54D20

1. INTRODUCTION

By a space, we mean a topological space. Let X be a space and Y a subspace of X . Recall from [1], [2], [8] that Y is *Lindelöf* in X if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a countable subfamily covering Y . A space X is *star-Lindelöf* (for different names, see [5], [6], [10], [19]) if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a countable subset F of X such that $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$, where $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$. A space X is *absolutely star-Lindelöf* (see [4], [10]) if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X and every dense subspace $D \subseteq X$, there exists a countable subset F of D such that $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$. A space X has *property (a)* (see [8], [10]) if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X and every dense subspace $D \subseteq X$, there exists a closed (in X) and discrete subset F of D such that $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$. Now, following the general idea of relativization of topological properties [1], it is natural to introduce the following definitions:

The work was supported by the National Education Committee of China for outstanding youth and NSFC Project 10571081.

Definition 1.1 ([18]). A subspace Y of a space X is called *relative star-Lindelöf* (*strongly relative star-Lindelöf*) in X if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a countable subset $F \subseteq X$ (respectively, $F \subseteq Y$) such that $Y \subseteq \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$.

Definition 1.2 ([13]). A subspace Y of a space X is called *relatively absolute star-Lindelöf* in X if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X and every dense subspace $D \subseteq X$, there exists a countable subset F of D such that $Y \subseteq \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$.

Definition 1.3 ([13]). A subspace Y of a space X is called *relative property (a)* in X if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X and every dense subspace $D \subseteq X$, there exists a closed (in X) and discrete subset $F \subseteq D$ such that $Y \subseteq \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$.

From the above definitions, it is not difficult to see that if a subspace Y of X is strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X , then Y is relative star-Lindelöf in X and if a subspace Y of X is relatively absolute star-Lindelöf in X , then Y is relative star-Lindelöf in X . But the converses do not hold (see below Examples 2.3 and 2.4).

Recall that the *extent* $e(X)$ of a space X is the smallest cardinal number κ such that the cardinality of every discrete closed subset of X is not greater than κ ; moreover, Arhangel'skii [2] defined the *extent* $e(Y, X)$ of Y in X as the smallest cardinal number κ such that the cardinality of every closed in X discrete subspace of Y is not greater than κ . It is well-known that the extent of a Lindelöf space is countable. Arhangel'skii [2] proved that if Y is Lindelöf in X , then $e(Y, X)$ is countable. Matveev [14] proved that the extent of a Tychonoff star-Lindelöf space can be arbitrarily large. Matveev [11] asked if the extent of a star-Lindelöf space with the property (a) is greater than \mathfrak{c} . Song [16] answered this question positively. It is natural for us to consider the following question:

Question. Do there exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is both relatively absolute star-Lindelöf and relative property (a) in X and $e(Y, X)$ is greater than \mathfrak{c} , but Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X and X is not star-Lindelöf.

The purpose of this paper is to answer the questions positively and to clarify the relations among these star-Lindelöf spaces by constructing two examples stated in the abstract.

The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$. Let ω denote the first infinite cardinal and \mathfrak{c} the cardinality of the continuum. As usual, a cardinal is an initial ordinal and an ordinal is the set of smaller ordinals. When viewed as a space, every cardinal has the usual order topology. For a pair of ordinals α, β with $\alpha < \beta$, we write $(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma : \alpha < \gamma < \beta\}$ and $(\alpha, \beta] = \{\gamma : \alpha < \gamma \leq \beta\}$. Other terms and symbols that we do not define will be used as in [7].

2. TWO EXAMPLES ON RELATIVE STAR-LINDELÖF SPACES

In this section, we first construct an example with properties of statement 1 stated in the abstract. The example uses Matveev's space. Recall that a space X is *discretely star-Lindelöf* (for different names, see [17], [18]) if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a countable discrete closed subset F of X such that $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$. It is clear that every discretely star-Lindelöf space is star-Lindelöf. We now sketch the construction of Matveev's space M defined in [14], [15]. Let κ be an infinite cardinal and $D = \{0, 1\}$ be the discrete space. For every $\alpha < \kappa$, let z_α be the point of D^κ defined by $z_\alpha(\alpha) = 1$ and $z_\alpha(\beta) = 0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$. Put $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. Matveev's space M is defined to be the subspace

$$M = (D^\kappa \times \omega) \cup (Z \times \{\omega\})$$

of the product space $D^\kappa \times (\omega + 1)$. Then, M is a Tychonoff discretely star-Lindelöf space and $e(M) \geq \kappa$, since $Z \times \{\omega\}$ is a discrete closed set in M .

We need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 ([15], [16]). *Assume that there exists a family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open sets in D^κ such that $z_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$. Then, there exists a countable set $S \subseteq D^\kappa$ such that $S \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\text{cl}_{D^\kappa} S \cap Z = \emptyset$.*

For constructing the example, we use the Alexandroff duplicate $A(X)$ of a space X . The underlying set of $A(X)$ is $X \times \{0, 1\}$; each point of $X \times \{1\}$ is isolated and a basic neighborhood of a point $\langle x, 0 \rangle \in X \times \{0\}$ is a set of the form $(U \times \{0\}) \cup (U \times \{1\} \setminus \{\langle x, 1 \rangle\})$, where U is a neighborhood of x in X . It is well-known that $A(X)$ is countably compact iff X is countably compact. Recall that a space is *absolutely countably compact* (see [9], [10]) if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X and every dense subspace D of X , there exists a finite subset F of D such that $\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$. In the next example, we use the following lemma from [20].

Lemma 2.2. *If X is countably compact, then $A(X)$ is absolutely countably compact.*

For a Tychonoff space X , let βX denote the Čech-Stone compactification of X .

Example 2.3. For every regular uncountable cardinal κ , there exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is both relatively absolute star-Lindelöf and relative (a) in X and $e(Y, X) \geq \kappa$, but Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X and X is not star-Lindelöf.

Proof. Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal and let

$$S_1 = M = (D^\kappa \times \omega) \cup (Z \times \{\omega\})$$

be a subspace of the product space $D^\kappa \times (\omega + 1)$. Then, S_1 is a Tychonoff space. Note that $e(S_1) \geq \kappa$, since $Z \times \{\omega\}$ is discrete closed in S_1 .

Let B be the discrete space of cardinality κ and let

$$S_2 = (\beta B \times (\kappa + 1)) \setminus ((\beta B \setminus B) \times \{\kappa\})$$

be a subspace of the product space $\beta B \times (\kappa + 1)$.

We assume that $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. Since $|Z \times \{\omega\}| = \kappa$ and $|B \times \{\kappa\}| = \kappa$, we can enumerate $Z \times \{\omega\}$ and $B \times \{\kappa\}$ as $\{\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle : \alpha < \kappa\}$ and $\{\langle b_\alpha, \kappa \rangle : \alpha < \kappa\}$ respectively. Let $\varphi : Z \times \{\omega\} \rightarrow B \times \{\kappa\}$ be the bijection defined by

$$\varphi(\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle) = \langle b_\alpha, \kappa \rangle$$

for each $\alpha < \kappa$. Let X' be the quotient space obtained from the discrete sum $S_1 \oplus S_2$ by identifying $\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle$ with $\varphi(\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle)$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$. Let $\pi : S_1 \oplus S_2 \rightarrow X'$ be the quotient map.

Let

$$X = A(X') \quad \text{and} \quad Y = A(\pi(S_2)) \setminus (\pi(B \times \{\kappa\}) \times \{1\}).$$

Clearly, X is a Tychonoff space. Note that $e(Y, X) \geq \kappa$, since $\pi(Z \times \{\omega\}) \times \{0\}$ is a closed (in X) discrete subspace of Y . We show that Y is both relatively absolute star-Lindelöf and relative property (a) in X . For this end, let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Let

$$\begin{aligned} X'_\omega &= \pi(Z \times \{\omega\}) \times \{0\}; & X''_\omega &= \pi(Z \times \{\omega\}) \times \{1\}; \\ X_n &= A(\pi(D^\kappa \times \{n\})) & \text{for each } n \in \omega \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X'' = A(\pi(\beta B \times \kappa)).$$

Then,

$$X = X'' \cup X'_\omega \cup X''_\omega \cup \bigcup_{n < \omega} X_n.$$

Let S be the set of all isolated points of κ and let $D' = B \times S$. If we put

$$D_0 = (\pi(D') \times \{0\}) \cup (\pi(X') \times \{1\}),$$

then D_0 is dense in X and every dense subspace of X includes D_0 . Thus, it suffices to show that there exists a countable $F \subseteq D_0$ such that F is discrete and closed in X and $Y \subseteq \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$. By refining \mathcal{U} , we may assume that \mathcal{U} is cover of the from

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}'_\omega \cup \mathcal{U}_\omega \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{U}_n,$$

where \mathcal{U}_0 , \mathcal{U}'_ω , \mathcal{U}_ω and \mathcal{U}_n , $n \in \omega$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \{U \cap A(\pi(\beta B \times \kappa)) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}; \quad \mathcal{U}'_\omega = \{\pi(\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle) \times \{1\} : \alpha < \kappa\};$$

$\mathcal{U}_\omega = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, where each U_α is of the form

$$U_\alpha = A(\pi(V_\alpha \times (n_\alpha, \omega)) \cup \{\pi(\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle) \times \{0\}\} \cup \{A(\pi\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle) \times (\beta_\alpha, \kappa)\})$$

for some open neighborhood V_α of z_α in D^κ , $n_\alpha < \omega$ and $\beta_\alpha < \kappa$; and $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,x} : x \in D^\kappa\} \cup \{\pi(\langle x, n \rangle) \times \{1\} : x \in D^\kappa\}$, where $U_{n,x}$ is of the form

$$U_{n,x} = (\pi(V_{n,x} \times \{n\}) \times \{0, 1\}) \setminus (\pi(\langle x, n \rangle) \times \{1\}),$$

for some open neighborhood $V_{n,x}$ of x in D^κ .

By applying Lemma 2.1 to the family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, we can find a countable set $S = \{s_i : i \in \omega\} \subseteq D^\kappa$ such that $S \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\text{cl}_{D^\kappa} S \cap Z = \emptyset$. Define

$$E = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \{\pi(\langle s_i, j \rangle) \times \{1\} : i < j < \omega\}.$$

Since $\text{cl}_{D^\kappa} S \cap Z = \emptyset$ and $|E \cap X_n| < \omega$ for each $n \in \omega$, E is discrete closed in X . Moreover, since $S \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$,

$$X''_\omega \subseteq \text{St}(E, \mathcal{U}_\omega) \subseteq \text{St}(E, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since κ is locally compact and countably compact, it follows from [7, Theorem 3.10.13] that $\beta B \times \kappa$ is countably compact, hence $\pi(\beta B \times \kappa)$ is countably compact. By applying Lemma 2.2, there exists a finite subset $E' \subseteq (\pi(D') \times \{0\}) \cup (\pi(\beta B \times \kappa) \times \{1\})$ such that

$$A(\pi(\beta B \times \kappa)) \subseteq \text{St}(E', \mathcal{U}).$$

If we put $E_0 = E \cup E'$, then

$$Y \subseteq \text{St}(E_0, \mathcal{U}),$$

which shows that Y is both relatively absolute star-Lindelöf and relative property (a) in X .

Next, we show that Y is not strongly star-Lindelöf in X . For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let V_α be an open neighborhood of z_α in D^κ . Let

$$U_\alpha = A(\pi(\{z_\alpha\} \times (\alpha, \kappa]) \cup V_\alpha \times (0, \omega)) \quad \text{for each } \alpha < \kappa$$

and

$$W_n = A(\pi(D^\kappa \times \{n\})) \quad \text{for each } n \in \omega.$$

Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{A(\pi(\beta B \times \kappa))\} \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \cup \{W_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\langle z_\alpha, \omega \rangle, 1\rangle : \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of X and let F be any countable subset of Y . It suffices to show that $Y \not\subseteq \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$. Since F is countable, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \kappa$ such that

$$F \cap A(\pi(\beta B \times (\alpha, \kappa))) = \emptyset$$

and

$$F \cap \{\pi(\langle z_\alpha, \kappa \rangle) \times \{0\} : \alpha > \alpha_2\} = \emptyset.$$

If we pick $\alpha_0 > \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, then

$$\langle z_{\alpha_0}, \kappa \rangle, 0 \notin \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}),$$

since U_{α_0} is the only element of \mathcal{U} containing $\langle z_{\alpha_0}, \kappa \rangle, 0$ and $U_{\alpha_0} \cap F = \emptyset$, since F is countable, which shows that Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X .

Finally, we show that X is not star-Lindelöf. Since $\pi(Z \times \{\omega\}) \times \{1\}$ is a discrete closed and open subset of X with cardinality κ and star-Lindelöfness is preserved by closed and open subsets, X is not star-Lindelöf, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 1. In Example 2.3, it is not difficult to see that Y is absolutely relative star-Lindelöf in X . Example 2.3 shows that there exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is relative star-Lindelöf in X , but Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X .

Example 2.4. There exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X , but Y is not relatively absolute star-Lindelöf in X .

Proof. Let $X = \omega_1 \times (\omega_1 + 1)$ be the product of ω_1 and $\omega_1 + 1$ and $Y = \omega_1 \times \{\omega_1\}$. Then, Y is strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X , since Y is homeomorphic with ω_1 .

Next, we show that Y is not absolutely relative star-Lindelöf in X . Let $D = \omega_1 \times \omega_1$. Then, D is dense in X .

Let

$$U_\alpha = \{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle : \gamma > \alpha, \beta < \alpha\} \text{ for each } \alpha < \omega_1.$$

Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup \{D\}$$

of X and a dense subset D of X . Let F be any countable subset of D .

Let

$$\alpha_0 = \sup\{\beta : \exists \alpha < \omega_1 \text{ such that } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in F\}.$$

Then, $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$, since F is countable. Choose $\alpha' > \alpha_0$. Then, $\langle \alpha', \omega_1 \rangle \notin \text{St}(F, \mathcal{U})$, since for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, if $\langle \alpha', \omega_1 \rangle \in U$, then $U \cap F = \emptyset$. This shows that Y is not strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X , which completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. If a subspace Y of X is strongly relative star-Lindelöf in X , then Y is relative star-Lindelöf in X . Thus, Example 2.3 shows that there exist a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y of X such that Y is relative star-Lindelöf in X , but Y is not absolutely relative star-Lindelöf in X .

Acknowledgments. The paper was written while the author was studying at Nanjing University as a post-doctor. The author would like to thank Prof. W.-X. Shi for his helpful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] *A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Genedi Hamdi*: The origin of the theory of relative topological properties. *General Topology, Space and Mappings*. Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1989, pp. 3–48. (In Russian.)
- [2] *A. V. Arhangel'skii*: A generic theorem in the theory of cardinal invariants of topological spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 36 (1995), 303–325. [Zbl 0837.54005](#)
- [3] *A. V. Arhangel'skii*: Relative topological properties and relative topological spaces. *Topology Appl.* 70 (1996), 87–99. [Zbl 0848.54016](#)
- [4] *M. Bonanzinga*: Star-Lindelöf and absolutely star-Lindelöf spaces. *Q and A in General Topology* 14 (1998), 79–104.
- [5] *E. K. van Douwen, G. M. Reed, A. W. Roscoe, and I. J. Tree*: Star covering properties. *Topology Appl.* 39 (1991), 71–103. [Zbl 0743.54007](#)
- [6] *M. Dai*: A class of topological spaces containing Lindelöf spaces and separable spaces. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. A* 4 (1983), 571–575. [Zbl 0586.54030](#)
- [7] *R. Engelking*: *General Topology*. Rev. and compl. ed. Heldermann-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. [Zbl 0684.54001](#)

- [8] *Lj. D. Kocinac*: Some relative topological properties. *Mat. Ves.* 44 (1992), 33–44.
[Zbl 0795.54002](#)
- [9] *M. V. Matveev*: Absolutely countably compact spaces. *Topology Appl.* 58 (1994), 81–92.
[Zbl 0801.54021](#)
- [10] *M. V. Matveev*: A survey on star covering properties. *Topology Atlas*, preprint No. 330 (1998).
- [11] *M. V. Matveev*: A survey on star covering properties II. *Topology Atlas*, preprint No. 431 (2000).
- [12] *M. V. Matveev*: Some questions on property (a). *Quest. Answers Gen. Topology* 15 (1997), 103–111.
[Zbl 1002.54016](#)
- [13] *M. V. Matveev, O. I. Pavlov, and J. K. Tartir*: On relatively normal spaces, relatively regular spaces, and on relative property (a). *Topology Appl.* 93 (1999), 121–129.
[Zbl 0951.54017](#)
- [14] *M. V. Matveev*: How weak is weak extent? *Topology Appl.* 119 (2002), 229–232.
[Zbl 0986.54003](#)
- [15] *M. V. Matveev*: On space in countable web. Preprint.
- [16] *Y-K. Song*: Spaces with large extent and large star-Lindelöf number. *Houston. J. Math.* 29 (2003), 345–352.
[Zbl 1064.54006](#)
- [17] *Y-K. Song*: Discretely star-Lindelöf spaces. *Tsukuba J. Math.* 25 (2001), 371–382.
[Zbl 1011.54020](#)
- [18] *Y-K. Song*: On relative star-Lindelöf spaces. *N. Z. Math* 34 (2005), 159–163.
- [19] *Y. Yasui, Z-M. Gao*: Spaces in countable web. *Houston. J. Math.* 25 (1999), 327–335.
[Zbl 0974.54011](#)
- [20] *J. E. Vaughan*: Absolute countable compactness and property (a). Proceedings of the Eighth Prague Topological symposium, August 1996. 1996, pp. 18–24.

Author's address: Yan-Kui Song, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210097 P.R. China, e-mail: songyankui@njnu.edu.cn.