

Jiří Rachůnek; Dana Šalounová

Local bounded commutative residuated ℓ -monoids

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 1, 395–406

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128179>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LOCAL BOUNDED COMMUTATIVE RESIDUATED ℓ -MONOIDS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK, Olomouc, DANA ŠALOUNOVÁ, Ostrava

(Received February 21, 2005)

Abstract. Bounded commutative residuated lattice ordered monoids ($R\ell$ -monoids) are a common generalization of, e.g., BL -algebras and Heyting algebras. In the paper, the properties of local and perfect bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids are investigated.

Keywords: residuated ℓ -monoid, residuated lattice, BL -algebra, MV -algebra, local $R\ell$ -monoid, filter

MSC 2000: 06D35, 06F05

1. INTRODUCTION

Commutative residuated lattice ordered monoids ($R\ell$ -monoids) were introduced (in the dual form) by Swamy [15] as a common generalization of Abelian lattice ordered groups and Heyting algebras. Moreover, bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids are in very close connections with algebras of fuzzy logics, i.e., with BL -algebras, and consequently, with MV -algebras, which can be viewed as particular cases of such $R\ell$ -monoids. Many of important properties of BL -algebras are also satisfied in all bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids. Therefore bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids could be taken as an algebraic semantics of a more general logic than Hájek's basic fuzzy logic. Hence it is natural to study filters of those $R\ell$ -monoids because from the logical point of view they correspond to sets of provable formulas.

Local BL -algebras which are characterized e.g. by the property that they contain a unique maximal filter, were studied by Turunen and Sessa [18]. In [12], we have analogously introduced the notion of a local bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoid. In the present paper, we study the properties of those $R\ell$ -monoids in connection with the properties of their filters.

The first author was supported by the Council of Czech Government, MSM 6198959214.

For the notions and results concerning BL -algebras and MV -algebras see e.g. [3], [4], [7], [17].

2. ADDITION IN $R\ell$ -MONOIDS

Commutative dually residuated lattice ordered monoids ($DR\ell$ -monoids) were introduced by Swamy in [15] as a common generalization of Abelian ℓ -groups and Brouwerian algebras. In [9], [10], [11], it was shown that also algebras of fuzzy logics can be viewed as particular cases of bounded commutative $DR\ell$ -monoids. For instance, MV -algebras coincide with bounded commutative $DR\ell$ -monoids satisfying the double negation law, and BL -algebras are exactly the duals of subdirect products of linearly ordered bounded commutative $DR\ell$ -monoids.

In this paper we deal with a generalization of local BL -algebras, hence we use the duals of $DR\ell$ -monoids that are called $R\ell$ -monoids.

A *commutative $R\ell$ -monoid* is an algebra $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $(M; \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid.
- (ii) $(M; \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice.
- (iii) The operation \odot distributes over the operations \vee and \wedge .
- (iv) $x \odot y \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq y \rightarrow z$, for any $x, y, z \in M$.
- (v) $((x \rightarrow y) \wedge 1) \odot x = x \wedge y$, for any $x, y \in M$.

By [15], commutative $R\ell$ -monoids form a variety of algebras of the indicated type. In the paper we will deal with bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids. It is known that an $R\ell$ -monoid M is bounded if and only if it is lower bounded. In such a case, 1 is the greatest element in M and identity (v) is in the form $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x = x \wedge y$. Let us denote by 0 the least element in a bounded $R\ell$ -monoid, and consider such $R\ell$ -monoids as algebras $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$.

It is possible to show that bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids are exactly the bounded commutative integral generalized BL -algebras in the sense of [8] and [1], and that, according to [2] and [8], condition (iii) in the definition of an $R\ell$ -monoid is then for bounded cases superfluous. (See also [5] or [6].) Therefore we can modify the definition of a bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoid as follows.

A *bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoid* is an algebra $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $(M; \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid.
- (ii) $(M; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice.
- (iii) $x \odot y \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq y \rightarrow z$, for any $x, y, z \in M$.
- (v) $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$, for any $x, y \in M$.

For example, both BL -algebras and Heyting algebras are special cases of bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids, hence the class of bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoids is essentially larger than that of BL -algebras.

In the sequel, by an $R\ell$ -monoid we will mean a *bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoid*.

On any $R\ell$ -monoid M let us define a unary operation negation $-$ by $x^- := x \rightarrow 0$ for any $x \in M$. Further, put $x^1 := x$ and $x^{n+1} := x^n \odot x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([15], [13]). *In any bounded commutative $R\ell$ -monoid M we have for any $x, y \in M$:*

- (1) $x \leq y \iff x \rightarrow y = 1$.
- (2) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$.
- (3) $(x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)$.
- (4) $x \rightarrow (y \wedge z) = (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (x \rightarrow z)$.
- (5) $(x \vee y) \odot (x \wedge y) = x \odot y$.
- (6) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$.
- (7) $1^{--} = 1, 0^{--} = 0$.
- (8) $x \leq x^{--}, x^- = x^{---}$.
- (9) $x \leq y \implies y^- \leq x^-$.
- (10) $(x \vee y)^- = x^- \wedge y^-$.
- (11) $(x \wedge y)^{--} = x^{--} \wedge y^{--}$.
- (12) $(x \odot y)^- = y \rightarrow x^- = y^{--} \rightarrow x^- = x \rightarrow y^- = x^{--} \rightarrow y^-$.
- (13) $(x \odot y)^{--} \geq x^{--} \odot y^{--}$.
- (14) $(x \rightarrow y)^{--} = x^{--} \rightarrow y^{--}$.

Remark 2.2. By Lemma 2.1 (8), $x \leq x^{--}$ for any $x \in M$. In [9], [10] it is proved that M satisfies the identity $x^{--} = x$ if and only if M is an MV -algebra.

Lemma 2.3. *If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid then $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$, for any $x, y, z \in M$.*

Proof. From the definition of an $R\ell$ -monoid and from the fact that M is a lattice ordered monoid we have

$$x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) = (x \wedge y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq y \odot (y \rightarrow z) = y \wedge z \leq z.$$

Thus $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$, therefore $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$. □

Corollary 2.4. *For any $x, y \in M, x \rightarrow y \leq y^- \rightarrow x^-$.*

Proposition 2.5. For any $x, y \in M$, $x^- \rightarrow y^- = y^{--} \rightarrow x^{--}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 2.1 (8), $x^- \rightarrow y^- \leq y^{--} \rightarrow x^{--} \leq x^{--} \rightarrow y^{--} = x^- \rightarrow y^-$. \square

Proposition 2.6. For any $x, y \in M$, $(x^- \odot y^-)^- = y^- \rightarrow x^{--} = x^- \rightarrow y^{--}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 (12). \square

In any MV -algebra there is a binary operation “ \oplus ” dual to the operation “ \odot ”. Now we will introduce an operation “ \oplus ” also for arbitrary $R\ell$ -monoids and study its properties.

If $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is an $R\ell$ -monoid, then we define a binary operation “ \oplus ” on M as follows:

$$\forall x, y \in M: x \oplus y := (x^- \odot y^-)^-.$$

Lemma 2.7. For any $x, y \in M$, $(x \oplus y)^- \geq x^- \odot y^-$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (8) and (12), $(x \oplus y)^- = (x^- \odot y^-)^{--} \geq x^- \odot y^-$. \square

We say that an $R\ell$ -monoid M is *normal* if M satisfies the identity

$$(x \odot y)^{--} = x^{--} \odot y^{--}.$$

Remark 2.8. By [13, Proposition 5], every BL -algebra and every Heyting algebra is normal, hence the variety of normal $R\ell$ -monoids is considerably wide.

Proposition 2.9. Let M be a normal $R\ell$ -monoid. Then for any $x, y \in M$,

$$(x \oplus y)^- = x^- \odot y^-.$$

Proof. By the normality and Lemma 2.1 (8), $(x \oplus y)^- = (x^- \odot y^-)^{--} = x^- \odot y^-$. \square

Proposition 2.10. If M is any $R\ell$ -monoid, then $(M; \oplus)$ is a semigroup.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in M$. Then by Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.1 (2),

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus (y \oplus z) &= x \oplus (y^- \odot z^-)^- = (x^- \odot (y^- \odot z^-)^{--})^- = x^- \rightarrow (y^- \odot z^-)^- \\ &= x^- \rightarrow (z^- \rightarrow y^{--}) = z^- \rightarrow (x^- \rightarrow y^{--}) = z^- \rightarrow (x^- \odot y^-)^- \\ &= ((x^- \odot y^-)^{--} \odot z^-)^- = (x^- \odot y^-)^- \oplus z = (x \oplus y) \oplus z. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Now we can put $1 \cdot x = x$, $(n + 1)x = nx \oplus x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us denote by $R(M) = \{x \in M : x^{--} = x\}$ the set of all *regular elements* in M . Obviously, $0, 1 \in R(M)$. If $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is any *Rℓ-monoid*, then by [13, Proposition 4], $R(M)$ is a subalgebra of the reduct $(M; \wedge, \rightarrow, 1)$. We will show further properties of the set $R(M)$.

Lemma 2.11. *If M is an Rℓ-monoid and $x, y \in M$, then*

- (a) $x \oplus 0 = x^{--}$;
- (b) $(x \oplus y)^{--} = x^{--} \oplus y^{--} = x \oplus y$.

Proof. (a) $x \oplus 0 = x \oplus 1^- = (x^- \odot 1^{--})^- = (x^- \odot 1)^- = x^{--}$.

(b) $(x \oplus y)^{--} = (x^- \odot y^-)^{---} = (x^- \odot y^-)^- = x \oplus y$, $x^{--} \oplus y^{--} = (x^{---} \odot y^{---})^- = (x^- \odot y^-)^- = x \oplus y$. □

Remark 2.12.

- a) By the previous lemma and Remark 2.2, 0 is a neutral element of $(M; \oplus)$ if and only if M is an *MV-algebra*.
- b) The sum $x \oplus y$ of any elements $x, y \in M$ belongs to $R(M)$.

Proposition 2.13. *If M is an Rℓ-monoid, then $R(M)$ is a subsemigroup of $(M; \oplus)$ and $(R(M); \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid which, moreover, satisfies the identity $(x \odot y)^- = x^- \oplus y^-$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, it is sufficient to prove that $(x \odot y)^- = x^- \oplus y^-$. (It is obvious that $(x \odot y)^-$, x^- and y^- belong to $R(M)$.) Let $x, y \in R(M)$. Then $(x \odot y)^- = (x^{--} \odot y^{--})^- = x^- \oplus y^-$. □

Remark 2.14. Let an *Rℓ-monoid* be normal. Then by [13, Theorem 7], $R(M) = (R(M); \odot, \vee_{R(M)}, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$, where $y \vee_{R(M)} z =: (y \vee z)^{--}$ for any $y, z \in R(M)$ and the other operations are restrictions of the operations on M , is an *MV-algebra*. In such a case, the operation “ \oplus ” on $R(M)$ is the dual operation to the operation “ \odot ”.

Proposition 2.15 ([13, Proposition 2]). *If M is an Rℓ-monoid, then the following conditions are equivalent for any $x, y \in M$.*

- (1) $(x \vee y)^{--} = x^{--} \vee y^{--}$.
- (2) $(x \wedge y)^- = x^- \vee y^-$.
- (3) $(x \wedge y)^- \odot ((x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x)) = (x \wedge y)^-$.

Every *BL-algebra* satisfies the identity $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$, therefore it also satisfies the identities (1), (2) and (3) from the previous proposition. (See also [13, Proposition 2].)

Proposition 2.16. *If an $R\ell$ -monoid M satisfies the identities from Proposition 2.15, then the operation “ \oplus ” distributes over the operations “ \vee ” and “ \wedge ”, hence $(M; \oplus, \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice ordered monoid.*

Proof. If $x, y, z \in M$ then by Lemma 2.1 (10),

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus (y \vee z) &= (x^- \odot (y \vee z)^-)^- = (x^- \odot (y^- \wedge z^-))^- = ((x^- \odot y^-) \wedge (x^- \odot z^-))^- \\ &= (x^- \odot y^-)^- \vee (x^- \odot z^-)^- = (x \oplus y) \vee (x \oplus z), \\ x \oplus (y \wedge z) &= (x^- \odot (y \wedge z)^-)^- = (x^- \odot (y^- \vee z^-))^- = ((x^- \odot y^-) \vee (x^- \odot z^-))^- \\ &= (x^- \odot y^-)^- \wedge (x^- \odot z^-)^- = (x \oplus y) \wedge (x \oplus z). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROPERTIES OF LOCAL $R\ell$ -MONOIDS

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq M$, then F is called a *filter* of M if

- (i) $x, y \in F \implies x \odot y \in F$;
- (ii) $x \in F, y \in M, x \leq y \implies y \in F$.

By [5], the filters of M are exactly all *deductive systems* of M , i.e. $F \subseteq M$ is a filter of M if and only if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (2) $x \in F, x \rightarrow y \in F \implies y \in F$.

Furthermore, by [16], the filters of $R\ell$ -monoids coincide with the kernels of their congruences. If F is a filter of M then F is the kernel of the unique congruence $\theta(F)$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in \theta(F)$ if and only if $(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) \in F$ for any $x, y \in M$. Hence we will consider quotient $R\ell$ -monoids M/F of $R\ell$ -monoids M with respect to their filters F .

If for a filter F the quotient $R\ell$ -monoid is an MV -algebra, then F is called an *MV -filter*.

An element $x \in M$ is called *dense* if $x^{--} = 1$. Denote by $D(M)$ the set of all dense elements in M . By [13, Theorem 8] and [14, Remark to Theorem 10], or by [5, Proposition 3.3], $D(M)$ is a proper MV -filter of M . Moreover, a filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is an MV -filter if and only if $D(M) \subseteq F$.

Let us recall that an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called *local* if M contains a unique maximal filter. (See [12].)

Let us put

$$A(M) := \{x \in M : x^n \neq 0 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Define $\text{ord}(x)$, the *order of an element* $x \in M$, as follows: $\text{ord}(x)$ is the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = 0$; otherwise $\text{ord}(x) = \infty$. Hence $A(M)$ is the set of all elements $x \in M$ such that $\text{ord}(x) = \infty$. We have $0 \notin A(M)$, thus $A(M) \neq M$.

Proposition 3.1 ([12, Theorem 3.9]). *If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) M is local.
- (2) $A(M)$ is a filter of M .
- (3) $A(M)$ is the unique maximal filter of M .
- (4) If $x^n \neq 0 \neq y^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x^n \odot y^n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3.2. *If M is a local $R\ell$ -monoid, then for any element $x \in M$, $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$ or $\text{ord}(x^-) < \infty$.*

Denote

$$A(M)^- := \{x \in M : x \leq y^- \text{ for some } y \in A(M)\}.$$

Let us define now the notion of an ideal of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq M$, then I is called an *ideal* of M if

- (i) $x, y \in I \implies x \oplus y \in I$;
- (ii) $x \in I, z \in M, z \leq x \implies z \in I$.

Proposition 3.3. *If M is a local $R\ell$ -monoid then $A(M)^-$ is an ideal of M and $A(M) \cap A(M)^- = \emptyset$.*

Proof. $0 \in A(M)^-$, hence $A(M)^- \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in A(M)^-$. Then $x \leq v^-$ and $y \leq w^-$ for some elements $v, w \in A(M)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 (8) and (9),

$$x \oplus y \leq v^- \oplus w^- = (v^{--} \odot w^{--})^- \leq (v \odot w)^-,$$

and since $A(M)$ is by Proposition 3.1 a filter of M , we have $x \oplus y \in A(M)^-$.

Let $x \in M, y \in A(M)^-, x \leq y$ and $y \leq z^-$, where $z \in A(M)$. Then $x \leq z^-$, hence $x \in A(M)^-$.

Therefore $A(M)^-$ is an ideal of M . □

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and let F be a filter of M . Then F is called a *primary filter* if it is satisfied for any $x, y \in M$: If there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(x \oplus y) \in F$, then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mx \in F$ or $my \in F$.

Proposition 3.4. For any $R\ell$ -monoid M and any MV -filter F of M , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) M/F is a local $R\ell$ -monoid.
- (2) F is a primary filter.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let F be a filter of M such that M/F is local. Let us suppose that $x, y \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n(x \oplus y) \in F$, i.e., $n(x \oplus y)/F$ is the greatest element 1 in M/F . Then $(x^- \odot y^-)^n/F$ is the smallest element 0 in M/F , and since M/F is local, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x^-/F)^m = 0$ or $(y^-/F)^m = 0$. Since F is an MV -filter, this implies that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mx \in F$ or $my \in F$. Therefore F is a primary filter.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let F be a primary MV -filter. Suppose that $x, y \in M$ and that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x/F \odot y/F)^n = 0$. Then $n(x^-/F \oplus y^-/F) = F$, i.e., $n(x^- \oplus y^-) \in F$, hence there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mx^- \in F$ or $my^- \in F$. This yields $(x/F)^m = 0$ or $(y/F)^m = 0$, and thus M/F is local. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) Every MV -filter of M is primary.
- (2) $D(M)$ is a primary filter.
- (3) $M/D(M)$ is a local MV -algebra.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): It follows from the fact that $D(M)$ is the least MV -filter of M .

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): By Proposition 3.4.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): If F is an MV -filter of M , then $D(M) \subseteq F$, hence by the isomorphism theorems for algebras we get that M/F also contains a unique maximal filter, which means F is primary. \square

Proposition 3.6. Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid.

- a) If M is local then it satisfies the equivalent conditions from Theorem 3.5.
- b) If $\{1\}$ is a primary MV -filter then M is a local MV -algebra.

Proof. a) Let an $R\ell$ -monoid M be local, let F be a filter of M , $x, y \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n(x \oplus y) \in F$. Then $\text{ord}(n(x \oplus y)) = \infty$, hence $\text{ord}((x^- \odot y^-)^n) < \infty$. Since M is local, we get $\text{ord}(x^-) < \infty$ or $\text{ord}(y^-) < \infty$. That is, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x^-)^m = 0$ or $(y^-)^m = 0$.

Therefore, if F is an MV -filter then $mx = 1 \in F$ or $my = 1 \in F$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus F is a primary filter of M .

b) If $\{1\}$ is an MV -filter then $D(M) = \{1\}$. Hence the assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Every linearly ordered $R\ell$ -monoid is a local BL -algebra.*

Proof. Let M be a linearly ordered $R\ell$ -monoid. By [11], BL -algebras are exactly all $R\ell$ -monoids which are subdirect products of linearly ordered $R\ell$ -monoids. Hence M is a BL -algebra.

Let $x, y \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(x \odot y)^n = 0$. Since $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$, we have $(x \odot y)^n \geq x^{2n}$ or $(x \odot y)^n \geq y^{2n}$, thus $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$ or $\text{ord}(y) < \infty$. Therefore by [12, Theorem 3.9], M is local. \square

Let M be a local $R\ell$ -monoid. Then M is called

- a) *perfect* if for any $x \in M$, $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$ implies $\text{ord}(x^-) = \infty$;
- b) *singular* if there exist $x, y \in M$ such that $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$, $\text{ord}(y) < \infty$ and $\text{ord}(x \oplus y) = \infty$.

Proposition 3.8. *Every local $R\ell$ -monoid M is either perfect or singular and there is no M having both properties.*

Proof. (a) Let M be a local $R\ell$ -monoid which is not singular. Then $\text{ord}(y) = \infty$ or $\text{ord}(z) = \infty$ or $\text{ord}(y \oplus z) < \infty$ for every $y, z \in M$.

If x is any element in M then

$$\text{ord}(x \oplus x^-) = \text{ord}((x^- \odot x^{-})^-) = \text{ord}(0^-) = \text{ord}(1) = \infty,$$

hence $\text{ord}(x) = \infty$ or $\text{ord}(x^-) = \infty$.

Therefore M is perfect.

(b) Let now M be a local $R\ell$ -monoid that is simultaneously perfect and singular. Then there exist $x, y \in M$ such that $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$, $\text{ord}(y) < \infty$ and $\text{ord}(x \oplus y) = \infty$, and hence $\text{ord}(x^-) = \text{ord}(y^-) = \infty$ and $\text{ord}((x \oplus y)^-) < \infty$. By Proposition 2.9, $(x \oplus y)^- = x^- \odot y^-$, hence we get, because M is local, $\text{ord}(x^-) < \infty$ or $\text{ord}(y^-) < \infty$, a contradiction. \square

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F a filter of M . Then F is called a *perfect filter* if it is primary and if, for each $x \in M$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $nx \in F$ if and only if $mx^- \notin F$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F an MV -filter of M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) M/F is a perfect $R\ell$ -monoid.
- (2) F is a perfect filter.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let F be an MV -filter of M and let M/F be a perfect $R\ell$ -monoid. Then M/F is local by definition, and thus, by Proposition 3.4, F is a primary filter.

Let $x \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $nx \in F$. Then $nx/F = 1$ and $(x^-)^n/F = 0$ in M/F . Hence $\text{ord}(x^-/F) < \infty$, and since M/F is perfect, $\text{ord}(x^{--}/F) = \infty$. Moreover, F is an MV -filter, thus also $\text{ord}(x/F) = \infty$, therefore $x^n/F \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $nx^-/F \neq 1$, thus $nx^- \notin F$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The converse implication can be proved analogously, and therefore F is perfect.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let F be perfect. Then F is primary, and since it is an MV -filter, we get, by Proposition 3.4, that M/F is a local $R\ell$ -monoid. Let $x \in M$ and $\text{ord}(x^-/F) < \infty$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x^-)^n/F = 0$ in M/F , hence $nx/F = 1$. Thus there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx \in F$, therefore $mx^- \notin F$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $mx^-/F \neq 1$ and $x^m/F \neq 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\text{ord}(x/F) = \infty$ in M/F . That is, M/F is perfect. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be a local $R\ell$ -monoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) M is perfect.
- (b) $M = A(M) \cup A(M)^-$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let M be perfect and $x \in M \setminus A(M)$. Then $x^- \in A(M)$. We have $x \leq x^{--} = (x^-)^-$ and $x^- \in A(M)$, hence $x \in A(M)^-$. Therefore $M = A(M) \cup A(M)^-$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Since M is local, $A(M)$ is by [12, Theorem 3.9] a filter of M , and by Proposition 3.3, $A(M)^-$ is an ideal of M and $A(M) \cap A(M)^- = \emptyset$. Thus by the assumption, we get $A(M)^- = \{y \in M : \text{ord}(y) < \infty\}$. Let $x \in M$.

If $\text{ord}(x) = \text{ord}(x^-) = \infty$, then $x, x^- \in A(M)$, thus $0 \in A(M)$, a contradiction.

If $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$ and $\text{ord}(x^-) < \infty$, then $x, x^- \in A(M)^-$, and hence $1 \in A(M)^-$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\text{ord}(x) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{ord}(x^-) = \infty$, and this means that M is perfect. \square

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and F is a proper filter of M , set (analogously as for $A(M)$)

$$F^- := \{x \in M : x \leq y^- \text{ for some } y \in F\}.$$

Obviously $F \cap F^- = \emptyset$.

An $R\ell$ -monoid M is called *bipartite* if $M = F \cup F^-$ for some maximal filter of M , and it is called *strongly bipartite* if $M = F \cup F^-$ for every maximal filter of M .

A filter F of M is called a *Boolean filter* if $x \vee x^- \in F$ for any $x \in M$ (or, equivalently, if M/F is a Boolean algebra [12, Theorem 3.2]).

Theorem 3.11. *Let M be a local $R\ell$ -monoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) M is perfect.
- (2) M is (strongly) bipartite.
- (3) $A(M)$ is a Boolean filter.
- (4) For any element $x \in M$, $x \in A(M)$ or $x^- \in A(M)$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): By [12, Theorem 3.9], $A(M)$ is a unique maximal filter of M , hence the equivalence follows from Theorem 3.10.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): By [12, Theorem 3.8], any $R\ell$ -monoid M is strongly bipartite if and only if every maximal filter of M is Boolean.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4): If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and F is a filter of M then by [12, Theorem 3.3], F is maximal and Boolean if and only if F is a proper filter such that $x \in F$ or $x^- \in F$ for every $x \in M$. □

References

- [1] *P. Bahls, J. Cole, N. Galatos, P. Jipsen, and C. Tsinakis*: Cancellative residuated lattices. *Alg. Univ.* 50 (2003), 83–106.
- [2] *K. Blount, C. Tsinakis*: The structure of residuated lattices. *Intern. J. Alg. Comp.* 13 (2003), 437–461. [Zbl 1048.06010](#)
- [3] *R. L. O. Cignoli, I. M. L. D’Ottaviano, and D. Mundici*: Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000. [Zbl 0937.06009](#)
- [4] *A. Dvurečenskij, S. Pulmannová*: New Trends in Quantum Structures. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000. [Zbl 0987.81005](#)
- [5] *A. Dvurečenskij, J. Rachůnek*: Probabilistic averaging in bounded residuated ℓ -monoids. *Semigroup Forum.* 72 (2006), 191–206.
- [6] *A. Dvurečenskij, J. Rachůnek*: Bounded commutative residuated ℓ -monoids with general comparability and states. *Soft Comput.* 10 (2006), 212–218.
- [7] *P. Hájek*: Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic. Kluwer, Amsterdam, 1998. [Zbl 0937.03030](#)
- [8] *P. Jipsen, C. Tsinakis*: A survey of residuated lattices. In: *Ordered Algebraic Structures* (J. Martinez, ed.). Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, pp. 19–56. [Zbl pre02208113](#)
- [9] *J. Rachůnek*: $DR\ell$ -semigroups and MV -algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 48 (1998), 365–372. [Zbl 0952.06014](#)
- [10] *J. Rachůnek*: MV -algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of $DR\ell_{1(i)}$ semigroups. *Math. Bohemica* 123 (1998), 437–441. [Zbl 0934.06014](#)
- [11] *J. Rachůnek*: A duality between algebras of basic logic and bounded representable $DR\ell$ -monoids. *Math. Bohemica* 126 (2001), 561–569. [Zbl 0979.03049](#)
- [12] *J. Rachůnek, D. Šalounová*: Boolean deductive systems of bounded commutative residuated ℓ -monoids. *Contrib. Gen. Algebra* 16 (2005), 199–207.
- [13] *J. Rachůnek, V. Slezák*: Negation in bounded commutative $DR\ell$ -monoids. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 56 (2006), 755–763.
- [14] *J. Rachůnek, V. Slezák*: Bounded dually residuated lattice ordered monoids as a generalization of fuzzy structures. *Math. Slovaca.* 56 (2006), 223–233.

- [15] *K. L. N. Swamy*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Ann.* 159 (1965), 105–114. [Zbl 0135.04203](#)
- [16] *K. L. N. Swamy*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups III. *Math. Ann.* 167 (1966), 71–74. [Zbl 0158.02601](#)
- [17] *E. Turunen*: *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*. Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg-New York, 1999. [Zbl 0940.03029](#)
- [18] *E. Turunen, S. Sessa*: Local *BL*-algebras. *Multip. Val. Logic* 6 (2001), 229–250. [Zbl 1049.03045](#)

Authors' addresses: Jiří Račůnek, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Sciences, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: rachunek@inf.upol.cz; Dana Šalounová, Department of Mathematical Methods in Economy, Faculty of Economics, VŠB-TU Ostrava, Sokolská 33, 701 21 Ostrava, Czech Republic, e-mail: dana.salounova@vsb.cz.