

Chi Keung Lai; Kar-Ping Shum

A note in inverse and dual semigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 1, 7–10

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128321>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE IN INVERSE AND DUAL SEMIGROUPS

C.K. LAI AND K.P. SHUM, Shatin

(Received November 10, 1986)

In studying the structure of inverse semigroups, L. Márkl [2] has proved the following conditions on semigroups with 0 are equivalent:

- (i) S is an inverse semigroup and the union of a finite number of its 0-minimal left (right) ideals.
- (ii) S is the union of a finite number of its quasi-ideals, and all these quasi-ideals form a special complete system.
- (iii) S is an inverse semigroup and the union of a finite number of its 0-minimal quasi-ideals.
- (iv) S is an inverse semigroup with finitely many idempotents and every non-zero idempotent is primitive.

However, it has been noticed by him that the following condition:

- (v) S is a 0-direct union of finitely many two-sided ideals which are completely 0-simple inverse subsemigroups of S .
- is weaker than any one of the conditions (i) to (iv).

In this note, we observe that if each summand in (v) satisfies min-r (that is, Minimum condition on right ideals), then the above conditions are in fact all equivalent. We shall prove that any one of these conditions is a necessary and sufficiency condition for a semigroup to be semisimple and dual. Thus a characterization theorem for semisimple dual semigroup is obtained. Throughout the paper, every semigroup has 0 and contains more than one element. The reader is referred to O. Steinfield [7] for all terminology and definitions not given here.

Definition 1. Let A be a subset of a semigroup S . Denote

$$r(A) = \{x \in S \mid Ax = 0\}$$

and

$$\ell(A) = \{x \in S \mid xA = 0\}.$$

A semigroup S is called *dual semigroup* if $\ell r(L) = L$ for every left ideal L in S and $r\ell(R) = R$ for every right ideal R in S .

Definition 2. A semigroup S with $\text{min-}r$ is said to be *semisimple* if the radical of S is zero. In other words, a semigroup S is semisimple if S satisfies the descending chain condition on its right ideals and contains no non-trivial nilpotent ideals.

Unlike in ring theory, a semisimple semigroup need not be a dual semigroup. The following is an example.

Example 3. Let $S = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ with Cayley table

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| · | 0 | a | b | c | d |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | 0 | a | a | a | a |
| b | 0 | a | b | a | b |
| c | 0 | a | a | c | c |
| d | 0 | a | b | c | d |

Then S is a semisimple semigroup. Since $\{0, a, c\}$ is a right ideal of S such that $r\ell\{0, a, c\} = S$, S is not a dual semigroup.

Lemma 4. Let S be a dual semigroup. Then S satisfies $\text{min-}r$ ($\text{min-}\ell$; $\text{max-}r$; $\text{max-}\ell$) if and only if S satisfies $\text{max-}\ell$ ($\text{max-}r$; $\text{min-}\ell$; $\text{min-}r$).

Proof (\Rightarrow). Consider the ascending chain of left ideals of S : $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$. Then $r(L_1) \supseteq r(L_2) \subseteq \dots$ is a descending chain of right ideals of S . By $\text{min-}r$, this chain will be terminated, say, at the n th step. Thus, there exists a positive integer n such that $r(L_n) = r(L_m)$ for all $m \geq n$. Apply the duality of S , we have $L_n = \ell r(L_n) = \ell r(L_m) = L_m$ for all $m \geq n$. This implies that S satisfies $\text{max-}\ell$.

(\Leftarrow). Follows dually as the “only if” part. □

Lemma 5. If a semigroup is a finite 0-direct union of 0-minimal right (left) ideals of S , then S satisfies both $\text{min-}r$ and $\text{max-}r$ ($\text{min-}\ell$ and $\text{max-}\ell$).

Proof. By assumption, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i$, where each R_i is a 0-minimal right ideal of S . Let R be an arbitrary non-zero right ideal of S . Then $R \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k R_{n_i}$, $\{n_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Clearly $R \cap R_{n_i} \neq \{0\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since each R_{n_i} is 0-minimal, so $R_{n_i} = R \cap R_{n_i} \subseteq R$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^k R_{n_i} \subseteq R$. Consequently $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^k R_{n_i}$. This shows that every right ideal of S is also a finite 0-direct union of 0-minimal right ideals of S . This implies that S satisfies both $\text{min-}r$ and $\text{max-}r$. □

Lemma 6. Let S be a dual semigroup with zero radical. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\overline{E} < \infty$.
- (ii) S satisfies max- r
- (iii) S satisfies min- r
- (iv) S satisfies max- ℓ
- (v) S satisfies min- ℓ .

Proof. It was proved by St. Schwarz [3] that S is a 0-direct union of eS for all $e^2 = e \in S$, that is, $S = \bigcup_{e \in E} eS$. It can be seen that each eS is a 0-minimal right ideal of S . In virtue of Schwarz's theorem and Lemma 5, we obtain (i) implies (ii) to (v). In view of Lemma 4, it remains to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i) and (vi) \Rightarrow (i). For (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we suppose that the set E is not finite. Then there exists a countable set of idempotents $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S$. Write $S_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n e_i S$. If $S_n = S_{n+1}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n e_i S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} e_i S \supseteq e_{n+1} S$. This implies that $e_{n+1} S \cap e_k S \neq \{0\}$ for some k , which contradicts that the union of $e_i S$'s are 0-directed. Thus $S_n \subsetneq S_{n+1}$. However, this result contradicts max- r . Consequently E must be a finite set. Thus (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Similarly, (iv) \Rightarrow (i). \square

Theorem 7. *The following conditions on a semigroups with 0 are equivalent:*

- (i) S is a semisimple dual semigroup.
- (ii) S is a 0-direct union of finitely many two-sided ideals which are 0-simple dual subsemigroups of S with min- r .
- (iii) S is a 0-direct union of finitely many two-sided ideals which are completely 0-simple inverse subsemigroups of S with min- r .
- (iv) S is a regular semigroup with finitely many orthogonal idempotents.
- (v) S is an inverse semigroup with finitely many idempotents and every non-zero idempotent of S is primitive.
- (vi) S is an inverse semigroup and can be expressed as the union of a finite number of its quasi-ideals, and these quasi-ideals form a special complete system.
- (vii) S is an inverse semigroup and can be expressed as the union of a finite number of its 0-minimal left (right) ideals.
- (viii) S is an inverse semigroup and can be expressed as the union of a finite number of its 0-minimal quasi-ideals.

Proof. In virtue of the characterization theorem for dual semigroups with zero radicals due to O. Steinfeld in [6] and Lemma 6, we have (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v). By Corollary 10.13 in [7], conditions (v) to (viii) are all equivalent. It remains to show that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). By the Corollary stated in [5], we obtain that (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). For (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), we let S be a semisimple dual semigroup. By Schwarz's decomposition theorem in [4], S is a 0-direct union of two-sided ideals $\{I_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ which are 0-simple dual subsemigroups of S . Since every 0-simple dual semigroup contains at

least one non-zero idempotent [5], so $E = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} (E \cap I_i)$. As $\overline{E} < \infty$, by Lemma 6, Γ and $E \cap I_i$ are finite sets for all i . Hence all I_i 's satisfy min- r by Lemma 6. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Conversely, let S be a 0-direct union of finitely many two-sided ideals $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}$ which are 0-simple dual subsemigroups of S with min- r . Then by the converse of Schwarz's decomposition theorem proved in [1], S must be a dual semigroup with zero radical. Moreover, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $E \cap I_i$ is a finite set since each I_i is a semisimple dual subsemigroup of S . Thus $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (E \cap I_i)$ is a finite set. By Lemma 6, S is a semisimple dual semigroup. Thus (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Our proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] *C.K. Lai and K.P. Shum*: Characterizations of dual semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. *39* (1989), 518–584.
- [2] *L. Márkl*: Structure theorems on certain regular and inverse semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. *27* (1977), 388–393.
- [3] *St. Schwarz*: On dual semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. *10* (1960), 201–230.
- [4] *St. Schwarz*: On the structure of dual semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. *21* (1971), 461–483.
- [5] *St. Schwarz*: Any 0-simple dual semigroup is completely 0-simple, Semigroup Forum *2* (1971), 90–92.
- [6] *O. Steinfeld*: A characterization of dual semigroup without nilpotent ideals, Semigroup Forum *14* (1977), 1–5.
- [7] *O. Steinfeld*: Quasi-ideals in rings and semigroups, Akademiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1978.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.