

Andrew M. Bruckner; Zoltán Buczolich

Attractive properties via generalized derivatives of continuous functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 2, 271–278

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128326>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ATTRACTIVE PROPERTIES VIA GENERALIZED DERIVATIVES
OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

A. M. BRUCKNER and Z. BUCZOLICH,* Budapest

(Received November 16, 1989)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a continuous function mapping a closed interval I into itself and let x_0 be a fixed point of f . An elementary theorem asserts that if $|f'(x_0)| < 1$, then there is a neighborhood U of x_0 such that for each $x \in U$, the sequence $\{f^n(x)\}$ of iterates of x under f converges to x_0 . Here $f^0(x) = x$ and $f^n(x) = f^{n-1}(x)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

A variant of this theorem can be obtained by replacing the condition on the derivative by a condition on the difference-quotient: if there is a number $d \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1) \quad -d < \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} < d$$

for all $x \in U$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x) = x_0$ for all $x \in U$.

Suppose, now, that we replace the full neighborhood U of x_0 with some other set E . We can ask whether the condition $|f'_E(x_0)| < 1$ where $f'_E(x_0)$ denotes the derivative of f with respect to E at x_0 , or the condition (1) holding for all $x \in E$, still implies some sort of attraction to the fixed point x_0 . The strength of the conclusion will depend, of course, on the set E . It is, perhaps surprising that porosity conditions, rather than density conditions, are the relevant ones.

* This paper was prepared while the second listed author visited University of Carolina at Davis.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Suppose x_0 is a fixed point of f and $0 < f'(x_0) < 1$. It is then clear that for x sufficiently close to x_0 the sequence $\{f^n(x)\}$ approaches x_0 monotonically. Suppose we weaken the assumption to $0 < f'_{ap}(x_0) < 1$. This means that there is a set E having x_0 as a point of density that

$$0 < \lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0 \\ x \in E}} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} < 1.$$

If $x \in E$ and $f^n(x) \in E$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $f^n(x) \rightarrow x_0$. Perhaps the fact that E has x_0 as a density point suggests there must be an x attracted to x_0 whose orbit lies entirely in E . We shall see that this is not necessarily the case, although there will be a point not necessarily in E , whose orbit approaches x_0 . Our goal in this section is to obtain two theorems. The first provides a condition on a set E that guarantees that any continuous function such that $0 < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0; x \in E} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} = d < 1$ has some point x (not necessarily in E) whose orbit is attracted to the fixed point x_0 without landing on x_0 . The second theorem provides a condition that guarantees that if $0 < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0; x \in E} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} < 1$ then there is a point $x \in E$ whose orbit is attracted to x_0 without landing on x_0 . Actually each theorem reveals a bit more.

We begin with an example that may be instructive.

Example 2.1. Assume that $0 \in \text{int}(I)$, where $\text{int}(I)$ denotes the interior of the interval I . Then there exists a continuous function $f: I \rightarrow I$ and a set E such that $f(0) = 0$, 0 is a right point of E , $0 < \lim_{x \searrow 0; x \in E} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $|f^n(x)| > \delta_x > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, for every $x \in E$, $x > 0$. The notation $x \searrow y$ means that x converges to y from the right.

Verification of Example 2.1. Before turning to the details we want to sketch the idea of our example. We split each interval $[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$ into 2^n subintervals $I_{n,m}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and we choose small subintervals $J_{n,m}$ about the endpoints of $I_{n,m}$. The set E will be $(0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n,m} J_{n,m}$ and the function f will be constant on each set $E \cap I_{n,m}$. These constants will be chosen so that we can obtain $0 < \lim_{x \searrow 0; x \in E} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}$ and each constant will equal a fixed point of f . Hence for any $x \in E$ we have $f^k(x) = f(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, that is, no point of E will be attracted to 0 .

Put $I_{n,m} = [2^{-n} + (m-1)4^{-n}, 2^{-n} + m4^{-n}]$ and $J_{n,m} = (2^{-n} + (m-4^{-n})4^{-n}, 2^{-n} + (m+4^{-n})4^{-n})$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. Put $E = (0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n,m} J_{n,m}$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{-n} + m4^{-n})$ for $x \in I_{n,m} \cap E$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. We also

put $f(2^{-n} + m4^{-n}) = 2^{-n} + m4^{-n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. Otherwise define f arbitrarily so as to be continuous. Then for every $x \in E$ there exist n and m such that $x \in I_{n,m}$. Thus $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{-n} + m4^{-n}) = 2^{-n-1} + 2m4^{-n-1}$ and hence $f^k(f(x)) = f(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. As the reader easily can verify 0 is a right density point of E and $\lim_{x \searrow 0; x \in E} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}$.

We remark that it will follow from Theorem 2.1 below that there is one point x not in E whose orbit is attracted to 0.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $f(0) = 0 \in \text{int}(I)$, $0 < f(x) \leq x$ for $x > 0$, $f: I \rightarrow I$ is continuous, there exists $a_n \searrow 0$ such that $\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} < d \in (0, 1)$, and $a_{n+1}/a_n > d$. We also assume that an interval $[c_0, b_0]$ is given such that $0 < c_0 < d \cdot b_0 \leq a_1$, $f([c_0, b_0]) \cap [c_0, b_0] \neq \emptyset$. Then $0 \in \text{cl}(\cup\{f^n([c_0, b_0]): n = 1, 2, \dots\})$.*

Proof. Since f is continuous $f^n([c_0, b_0])$ is an interval denoted by $[c_n, b_n]$. From $0 < f(x) \leq x$ it follows that $0 \leq \dots \leq c_n \leq c_{n-1} \leq \dots < c_0$, and $0 \leq \dots \leq b_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq b_0$. From $f([c_0, b_0]) \cap [c_0, b_0] \neq \emptyset$ it follows that there exist $z, w \in [c_0, b_0]$ such that $f(w) = z$. Then $f^{n+1}(w) = f^n(z) \in [c_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] \cap [c_n, b_n]$ for every $n \geq 1$. Thus $\bigcup_{k=0}^n f^k([c_0, b_0]) = [c_n, b_0]$.

To obtain a contradiction suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \gamma > 0$. Then $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} ([c_k, b_k]) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f^k([c_0, b_0]) \supset (\gamma, b_0]$. We also have $f(\gamma) = \gamma$ since otherwise $f(\gamma) < \gamma$ and by the continuity of f there would exist a c_n such that $f(c_n) < \gamma$ which is clearly impossible. If there is $x \in (\gamma, b_0]$ such that $f(x) < \gamma$ then from $(\gamma, b_0] \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [c_k, b_k]$ it follows that $x \in [c_k, b_k]$ for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This would imply $c_{k+1} \leq f(x) < \gamma$ which again contradicts the definition of γ . Therefore $f(x) \geq \gamma$ for $x \in (\gamma, b_0]$. Since $a_{n+1}/a_n > d$ and $b_0 < a_1$ there exists an n such that $\gamma < a_n \leq \gamma/d$. By the assumption of this lemma $f(a_n) < d \cdot a_n$. On the other hand also by the assumption of this lemma $\gamma \leq c_0 < d \cdot b_0$ and hence $\gamma/d \in (a_n, b_0]$. Thus $f(a_n) \geq \gamma = d \cdot \gamma/d \geq d \cdot a_n$, a contradiction proving $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$, which implies $0 \in \text{cl}(\cup\{f^n([c_0, b_0]): n = 1, 2, \dots\})$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $f(0) = 0$, $0 < f(x) \leq x$ for $x > 0$, f is continuous, and there exist $0 < c_0 < b_0$ such that $0 \in \text{cl}(\cup\{f^n([c_0, b_0]): n = 1, 2, \dots\})$ then there exists $y \in [c_0, b_0]$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = 0$ and $f^n(y) > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. From the continuity of f^n it follows that $f^n([c_0, b_0])$ is an interval $[c_n, b_n]$. Since $f(x) \leq x$ we have $c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n \geq \dots > 0$, $b_0 \geq b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq \dots > 0$. The assumption of this lemma implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$. If there exists c_n such that $c_n = c_{n+1}$ then $f([c_n, b_n]) = [c_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = [c_n, b_{n+1}] \subset [c_n, b_n]$ and hence $f^m([c_n, b_n]) \subset f^{m-1}([c_n, b_n]) \subset f([c_n, b_n]) \subset [c_n, b_n]$ contradicting the fact that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$. Thus $c_0 > c_1 > \dots > c_n > \dots > 0$. Put $F_n = f^{-n}([c_n, c_{n-1}]) \cap [c_0, b_0]$. If we have finitely many F_n 's say $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_m}, n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_m$ then we show that their intersection is nonempty. Choose a $z \in [c_{n_m}, c_{n_m-1}] \cap [c_{n_m}, b_{n_m}]$. Then there exists a $u \in F_{n_m}$ such that $f^{n_m}(u) = z$. Suppose that there exists a j such that $u \notin F_{n_j}$, that is, $f^{n_j}(u) \notin [c_{n_j}, c_{n_j-1}]$. Thus $f^{n_j}(u) \in (c_{n_j, -1}, b_{n_j}] \subset [c_{n_j, -1}, b_{n_j, -1}]$ and hence there exists a $w \in [c_0, b_0]$ such that $f^{n_j}(u) = f^{n_j-1}(w)$ and hence $z = f^{n_j+(n_m-n_j)}(u) = f^{n_j-1+(n_m-n_j)}(w) = f^{n_m-1}(w) \in [c_{n_m-1}, b_{n_m-1}]$ contradicting to $z \in [c_{n_m}, c_{n_m-1}]$. Thus by compactness there exists a $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Since $f^n(y) \in [c_n, c_{n-1}]$ we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $f(0) = 0$, f is continuous, and there exist $q_n, r_n \rightarrow 0$, $q_1 > r_1 > q_2 > r_2 > \dots > q_k > r_k > \dots > 0$, such that $f(q_k) > 0$, and $f(r_k) = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ then there exists a nonempty perfect set P such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = 0$, $f^n(y) > 0$, for every $y \in P$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. Put $J_\emptyset = [r_1, q_1]$. Suppose that s is a finite zero-one sequence of length $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (when $m = 0$ then $s = \emptyset$) and $J_s = [r_{n(s)}, q_{n(s)}]$. Since $f(q_{n(s)}) > 0$ we can choose $n(s0) > n(s1) > n(s)$ such that $J_{s0} = [r_{n(s0)}, q_{n(s0)}] \subset [0, f(q_{n(s)})]$, and $J_{s1} = [r_{n(s1)}, q_{n(s1)}] \subset [0, f(q_{n(s)})]$.

Put $F_\emptyset = J_\emptyset$. Suppose that s is of the length $n - 1$, the closed set F_s is defined, and $f^{n-1}(F_s) = J_s$. Then put $F_{s0} = f^{-n}(J_{s0}) \cap F_s$ and $F_{s1} = f^{-n}(J_{s1}) \cap F_s$. From $J_{s0} \subset [0, f(q_{n(s)})]$ it follows that for every $x \in J_{s0}$ there exists a $z \in J_s$ such that $f(z) = x$. Since $f^{n-1}(F_s) = J_s$ we can find a $w \in F_s$ such that $f^{n-1}(w) = z$. Thus $f^n(w) = x$ and $w \in F_{s0}$. This implies that $f^n(F_{s0}) = J_{s0}$. A similar argument shows that $f^n(F_{s1}) = J_{s1}$.

Put $H_m = \cup\{F_s : s \text{ is a zero-one sequence of length } m\}$ and $H = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} H_m$. Since the sets F_s are closed and there are 2^m zero-one sequences of length m the set H_m is closed. Therefore H is also closed.

Suppose that $y \in H$. Then $f^m(y) \in [r_{n(s)}, q_{n(s)}]$, where s is of length m . It is easy to see that $n(s) > m$. Thus $f^m(y) \rightarrow 0$ and $f^m(y) > 0$.

If φ is an infinite zero-one sequence then denote by $\varphi|m$ the first m terms of φ .

For arbitrary zero-one sequence φ choose a $y(\varphi) \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_{\varphi|m} \in H$. We remark that $y(\varphi)$ is well defined since $F_{\varphi|m}, m = 1, 2, \dots$ is a nested sequence of closed sets. If φ, ψ are different zero-one sequences then there exists an m such that $\varphi|m = \psi|m = s$ and $\varphi|m+1 \neq \psi|m+1$. By symmetry we may assume that $\varphi|m+1 = s0$ and $\psi|m+1 = s1$. Then $y(\varphi) \in F_{s0}$ and $y(\psi) \in F_{s1}$. Since $f^{m+1}(y(\varphi)) \in J_{s0}$, $f^{m+1}(y(\psi)) \in J_{s1}$ and $J_{s0} \cap J_{s1} = \emptyset$ we obtain $y(\varphi) \neq y(\psi)$. Therefore the cardinality of H is that of the continuum and there exists a nonempty perfect subset $P \subset H$. This proves Lemma 2.3. \square

It will be convenient to state our results in the language of porosity. Let S be a set, x_0 a point and $h > 0$. Let $\ell(h)$ denote the length of the longest interval in

$[x_0, x_0 + h] \setminus S$. The right porosity of S at x_0 is defined as

$$p_+(x_0, S) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell(h)}{h}.$$

If $p_+(x_0, S) = 0$, we say S is *nonporous from the right* at x_0 . If $p_+(x_0, S) = 1$ we say S is *strongly porous from the right* at x_0 . The notions of left porosity and (bilateral) porosity are now defined in the obvious way.

Theorem 2.1. *If x_0 is a fixed point of the continuous function f and*

$$0 < \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} < d < 1$$

for all x in set E such that $p_+(E, x_0) < 1 - d$, then there exists y such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = x_0$ and for every n , $f^n(y) \neq x_0$.

PROOF. Replacing f by $f(x + x_0) - x_0$ we may assume that $x_0 = 0$. The porosity condition now implies the existence of a strictly decreasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$, $0 < f(a_n)/a_n < d$, and $a_{n+1}/a_n > d$.

If there exist $x_n \searrow 0$ such that $f(x_n) \leq 0$ then using $0 < f(a_n)$ one can choose $q_n, r_n \rightarrow 0$, $q_1 > r_1 > \dots > q_k > r_k > \dots > 0$, such that $f(q_k) > 0$, $f(r_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In this case applying Lemma 2.3 we can complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Thus we may assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < f(x)$ for $x \in (0, \delta]$. Put

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } f(x) \leq x, \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x < \delta; \\ x, & \text{if } f(x) > x, \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x < \delta; \\ f(x), & \text{if } x < 0; \\ \min(\delta, f(\delta)), & \text{if } \delta \leq x. \end{cases}$$

Since $a_n \searrow 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_m < \delta$. Put $a'_n = a_{n+m}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Obviously $0 < f_1(x) \leq x$ for $x > 0$. Put $b_0 = a'_1$ and choose a $c_0 \in (0, f_1(b_0)]$. Then $f_1([c_0, b_0]) \cap [c_0, b_0] \neq \emptyset$. Applying Lemma 2.1 for f_1, a'_n and $[c_0, b_0]$ we obtain that $0 \in \text{cl}(\cup \{f_1^n([c_0, b_0]) : n = 1, 2, \dots\})$. Therefore we can apply Lemma 2.2 for f_1 and hence there exists $y \in [c_0, b_0]$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1^n(y) = 0$ and $f_1^n(y) > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. From $0 < f_1^n(x) \leq x$ it follows that $0 < \dots \leq f_1^{n+1}(y) \leq f_1^n(y) \dots \leq y$. If $f_1^{n+1}(y) = f_1^n(y)$ for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $f_1^{\ell+1}(y) = f_1^{n+1+(\ell-n)}(y) = f_1^{n+(\ell-n)}(y) = f_1^\ell(y)$ for every $\ell \geq n$ and this would contradict the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1^n(y) = 0$. Therefore $0 < f_1(f_1^n(y)) < f_1^n(y)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $0 < \dots < f_1^n(y) < \dots < y < b_0 = a_{m+1} \leq a_m < \delta$ we obtain that $0 < f_1^n(y) < \delta$ and $f_1(f_1^n(y)) < f_1(y)$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$. From the definition of f_1 it follows that $f^n(y) = f_1^n(y)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = 0$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Example 2.2 below shows that the porosity condition in Theorem 2.1 cannot be weakened.

Example 2.2. Suppose that $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Put $f(x) = 2^{-n}$ for $x \in [2^{-n}, \frac{2^{-n+1}}{1+\varepsilon}]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\frac{2^{-n+1}}{1+\varepsilon} < x < 2^{-n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ choose $2^{-n} \leq f(x) \leq 2^{-n+1}$ so that it continuously connects $f(\frac{2^{-n+1}}{1+\varepsilon}) = 2^{-n}$ and $f(2^{-n+1}) = 2^{-n+1}$. If $x \leq 0$ or $x > \frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}$ choose f so that $f(0) = 0$, $f(\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}) = 1$ and f is continuous. Then the porosity of $E = \{x: f(x) < (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)x\}$ at 0 is no greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ since $f(\frac{2^{-n+1}}{1+\varepsilon}) = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{-n+1}) < (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)\frac{2^{-n+1}}{1+\varepsilon}$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is also clear that each interval $[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$ is mapped into itself and hence no point is attracted from the right to 0.

We saw Example 2.1 that even when E is nonporous at x_0 and $f'_E(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ there may be no point in E whose orbit is attracted from the right to x_0 . Observe that $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ was also nonporous from the right at x_0 in that example. One can rectify this flaw by requiring $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ to be strongly porous from the right at x_0 .

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose E is nonporous from the right at x_0 and $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ is strongly porous from the right at x_0 . If f is a continuous function having x_0 as a fixed point and satisfies an inequality*

$$0 < d_1 < \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} < d_2 < 1$$

for all x in E then there exists $y \in E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = x_0$ and $f^n(y) > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, if $f'_E(x_0)$ exists and $0 < f'_E(x_0) < 1$, the conclusion follows.

Proof. Replacing f by $f(x + x_0) - x_0$ we can reduce the proof to the case that $x_0 = 0$. The assumptions of the theorem imply that there exist $c_0 < b_0$, and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ converging monotone decreasingly to 0 such that for each $n, a_n \in E$, $a_{n+1}/a_n > d_2$, $[c_0, b_0] \subset E \cap [0, a_1]$ and $\frac{c_0}{b_0} < d_1$. Put

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } f(x) \leq x, \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x; \\ x, & \text{if } f(x) > x, \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x; \\ f(x), & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $f_1(a_n)/a_n < d_2$, $c_0 < d_1 b_0 < d_2 b_0 < b_0 \leq a_1$ and finally $f_1(b_0)/b_0 > d_1 > c_0/b_0$. Therefore $f_1(b_0) > c_0$, and hence $f_1([c_0, b_0]) \cap [c_0, b_0] \neq \emptyset$. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 for f_1 with $\{a_n\}$, d_2 , c_0 , b_0 and find a point $y \in [c_0, b_0] \subset E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1^n(y) = 0$, $f_1^n(y) > 0$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. If there exists an $n \geq 1$ such that $f_1^n(y) \neq f^n(y)$ then $f_1(f_1^{n-1}(y)) = f_1^{n-1}(y)$ and hence $f_1^n(y) = f_1^{n-n+1}(f_1^{n-1}(y)) = f_1^{n-1}(y)$ which contradicts to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1^n(y) = 0$. Thus $f_1^n(y) = f^n(y)$ and this proves Theorem 2.2. \square

Example 2.3. In this example we want to show that in Theorem 2.2 the condition $0 < d_1 < \frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0} < d_2 < 1$ cannot be replaced by $0 < \frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0} < 1$. Put

$$[c_n, b_n] = \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{(n+1)!}, \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n!} \right]$$

and $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [c_n, b_n]$. Then E is non porous from the right at 0 and $\mathbf{R} \setminus E$ is strongly porous from the right at 0. Put $f\left(\frac{b_{n+1}+c_n}{2}\right) = \frac{b_{n+1}+c_n}{2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $f(x) = \frac{b_{n+1}+c_n}{2}$ for $x \in [c_n, b_n]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Otherwise choose f so that it is continuous on \mathbf{R} . Then, obviously no point from E is attracted to 0.

3. RESULTS FOR "TYPICAL" CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

The previous results show that adequate behavior of the difference quotient $\frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0}$ on sets satisfying certain porosity conditions with respect to a fixed point x_0 will guarantee certain attractive behavior to x_0 . But "must" continuous functions will not exhibit this behavior with respect to any fixed point [BH]. In this section, we make this statement precise and show that, nonetheless, most continuous functions have the property that to each fixed point x_0 corresponds a nonempty perfect set $P(x_0)$ such that for each $y \in P(x_0)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = x_0$ with $f^n(y) \neq x_0$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let \mathcal{C} denote the class of continuous functions mapping I into I . When \mathcal{C} is furnished with the sup norm it becomes a complete metric space.

Theorem 3.1. a) Let A consist of those functions f in \mathcal{C} such that for each $x_0 \in I$ the set

$$S(x_0) = \left\{ x : \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| < 1 \right\}$$

is bilaterally strongly porous at x_0 . Then A is a residual subset of \mathcal{C} .

b) Let B consist of those functions f in \mathcal{C} such that to every fixed point x_0 of f corresponds a nonempty perfect set $P(x_0)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(y) = x_0$ for $y \in P(x_0)$ and $f^n(y) \neq x_0$ for all n . Then B is a residual subset of \mathcal{C} .

Proof. a) In [BH] one finds that for f in some residual subset D of \mathcal{C} , the set $S = \{x : f(x) = g(x)\}$ is bilaterally strongly porous at each point of S whenever f satisfies a Lipschitz condition. If for some $x_0 \in I$ the set $S(x_0)$ in part a) of the statement fails to be bilaterally strongly porous at x_0 , we can argue as in the proof of [BH] Thm. 3.2, that is, we can find a Lipschitz function g that agrees with f on a subset of $S(x_0)$ that is also not strongly porous at x_0 . It follows that $D \subset A$ so A is residual in \mathcal{C} .

To prove b) assume that $I = [a_0, b_0]$ and put $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{f \in \mathcal{C} : f(a_0) \neq a_0 \text{ and } f(b_0) \neq b_0\}$. Obviously \mathcal{C}_1 is a residual subset of \mathcal{C} .

Assume that $J = [a, b] \subset I$ is given. Denote by $M(J)$ the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that the maximum of f on J is achieved at a fixed point of f and this fixed point is different from b . First we show that for a given J the set $M(J)$ is nowhere dense in \mathcal{C}_1 . Let $N(f, \varepsilon)$ be the sphere in \mathcal{C}_1 that is centered at f and has radius $\varepsilon > 0$. We find a sphere $N(g, \delta)$ inside $N(f, \varepsilon)$ whose intersection with $M(J)$ is empty. Without loss of generality, suppose $f \in M(J)$ with $f(c) = c = \max_{x \in J} f(x)$, $a \leq c < b$. We may also assume $\varepsilon < b - c$ and choose $d \in (c, b)$ with $d - c < \varepsilon/2$. Let $g \in N(f, \varepsilon/2)$ satisfy $d < g(c) < f(c) + \varepsilon/2$, $g(x) \leq c$ for $x \geq d$. This is possible since $f \leq c$ on J . Let u be the maximum fixed point of g in J . Then $c < u < d$. Choose $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon/2)$ such that $g(c) - \delta > d$ and $g(x) + \delta < d$ for $x \geq u$. If $h \in N(g, \delta)$ then $h(c) > d$ and h has no fixed points greater than $d \in J$. Thus $N(g, \delta) \cap M(J)$ is empty and $N(g, \delta) \subset N(f, \varepsilon)$. It follows that $M(J)$ is nowhere dense in \mathcal{C}_1 .

By applying the above result to each interval with rational endpoints and by applying a similar argument for “minimum” instead of “maximum”, we find that the set of functions E in \mathcal{C}_1 that achieve a local extremum at a fixed point is first category in \mathcal{C}_1 and hence these functions form a first category subset of \mathcal{C} .

Now according to a theorem of Jarnik [B: p. 213], each function in some residual subset S of \mathcal{C} has every real number as a derived number at every point. This means that for $f \in S$, $x_0 \in I$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a sequence x_n converging to x_0 such that $(f(x_n) - f(x_0))/(x_n - x_0)$ converges to t . Let f be in $(\mathcal{C}_1 \cap S) \setminus E$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to f (with respect to x_0 and $f(x_0)$ instead of 0 and $f(0)$) we find f in the required set B . Thus the residual set $(\mathcal{C}_1 \cap S) \setminus E$ is contained in B so B is residual in \mathcal{C} . This concludes our proof. \square

Finally we remark that using similar techniques to those in Chapter VIII of [B] one can show that for the typical $f: I \rightarrow I$ the fixed point set is a nowhere dense non-empty perfect set.

References

- [B] A. Bruckner: *Differentiation of Real Functions*, Springer Verlag, 1978.
- [BH] A. Bruckner and J. Hausmann: Strong porosity features of typical continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hung.* 45 (1985), 7–13.

Authors' addresses: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 93106, U.S.A.; Department of Analysis, Eötvös Loránd University, 1088 Budapest, Múzeum krt. 6–8, Hungary, H-1088.