

Ivan Chajda

Characterizations of Hamiltonian algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 3, 487–489

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128353>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF HAMILTONIAN ALGEBRAS

IVAN ČHAJDA, Olomouc

(Received January 21, 1991)

A group is *Hamiltonian* if every its subgroup is normal. This concept was generalized for algebras in [4]: an algebra A is Hamiltonian if every its subalgebra is a class (block) of some congruence on A . A variety \mathcal{V} is *Hamiltonian* if each $A \in \mathcal{V}$ has this property.

Hamiltonian algebras were characterized in [5]:

Lemma 1 (see Lemma 3 in [5]). *An algebra A is Hamiltonian if and only if for every unary algebraic function φ over A and each x, y of A there exists a ternary polynomial p such that*

$$(*) \quad \varphi(x) = p(y, \varphi(y), x).$$

The same characterization is also used for Hamiltonian varieties in [4] (only the unary algebraic function is substituted by an $(n+1)$ -ary polynomial in $(*)$). However, all examples of Hamiltonian algebras occurring in [4] are members of varieties of loops or modules, i.e. of congruence-permutable varieties with one nullary operation. The aim of this short note is to show that for such varieties the characterization from Lemma 1 can be simplified using only a binary polynomial in $(*)$.

An algebra A is called "*with 0*" if 0 is a nullary operation of A . A variety \mathcal{V} is "*with 0*" if 0 is a nullary operation in the type of \mathcal{V} .

Theorem 1. *Let A be an algebra with 0. A is Hamiltonian if and only if for every unary algebraic function φ over A and each x of A there exist binary polynomials p, r such that*

$$(**) \quad \varphi(x) = p(x, \varphi(0)), \quad \varphi(0) = r(x, \varphi(x)).$$

Proof. Let A be Hamiltonian. Putting $y = 0$ in $(*)$ we obtain $\varphi(x) = p(x, \varphi(0))$ for some binary polynomial p . Putting $x = 0$ (and replacing y by x) in $(*)$, we obtain the second equation in $(**)$. Conversely, let A satisfy $(**)$. Then

$$\varphi(x) = p(x, \varphi(0)) = p(x, r(y, \varphi(y))),$$

whence $(*)$ is evident. □

A variety is n -permutable if

$$\Theta \circ \Phi \circ \Theta \circ \dots = \Phi \circ \Theta \circ \Phi \circ \dots$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and every $\Theta, \Phi \in \text{Con } A$, where there are n factors on both sides of the equality. Denote by $\Theta_A(a, b)$ the least congruence on A containing $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Now we proceed to show that for n -permutable varieties the first equation of $(**)$ is satisfied.

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{V} be an n -permutable variety with 0 , $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $0 \in B \subseteq A$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) B is a block of some $\Theta \in \text{Con } A$;
- (ii) B is a block of $\Theta = \bigvee \{\Theta_A(0, x); x \in B\}$;
- (iii) for every algebraic function φ ,

$$\varphi(0) \in B \text{ implies } \varphi(B) \subseteq B.$$

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is evident and (i) \Rightarrow (iii) is a direct consequence of Theorem 5 in [6]. Let us prove (iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $b \in B$, $a \in A$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \in \Theta = \bigvee \{\Theta_A(0, x); x \in B\}$. Then $b \in B$ implies $\langle b, 0 \rangle \in \Theta$. Transitivity of Θ gives $\langle a, 0 \rangle \in \Theta$. Since \mathcal{V} is n -permutable, congruences on A coincide with *compatible quasiorders* on A (i.e. reflexive and transitive relations satisfying the Substitution Condition with respect to all operations of A), see e.g. [2] or [3]. Thus

$$\Theta = Q = \bigvee_Q \{Q(0, x); x \in B\},$$

where \bigvee_Q is the join in the lattice of all quasiorders on A and $Q(0, x)$ is the quasiorder on A generated by the pair $\langle 0, x \rangle$, see [1], [2] for details. By [1], there exist unary algebraic functions $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n$ and elements $x_0, \dots, x_n \in B$ such that

$$0 = \varphi_0(0), \varphi_0(x_0) = \varphi_1(0), \dots, \varphi_i(x_i) = \varphi_{i+1}(0), \dots, \varphi_n(x_n) = a.$$

Since $0 \in B$, we have $\varphi_0(0) \in B$. By (iii) also $\varphi_0(x_0) \in B$, i.e. $\varphi_1(0) \in B$. Similarly, this yields $\varphi_1(x_1) \in B$, etc. After n steps we obtain $a \in B$. By Theorem 5 in [6], (ii) is evident. □

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{V} be an n -permutable variety with 0. An algebra $a \in \mathcal{V}$ is Hamiltonian if and only if for every unary algebraic function φ there exists a binary polynomial p such that

$$(***) \quad \varphi(x) = p(x, \varphi(0)).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be an n -permutable variety with 0. Let $A \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfy (***) and let B be a subalgebra of A . Let $b \in B$ and let φ be a unary algebraic function over A . If $\varphi(0) \in B$, then (***) also implies $\varphi(B) \subseteq B$. By Lemma 2, B is a block of some $\Theta \in \text{Con } A$. The converse implication is a consequence of Theorem 1. \square

Remark. Results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 can be easily formulated for varieties with 0 in the same way as in [4] using $(n + 1)$ -ary polynomials instead of the unary algebraic function in the conditions (**), (***) .

Example. Any variety \mathcal{V} of loops has 0 and is permutable, hence n -permutable (for each $n \geq 2$). If $A \in \mathcal{V}$ is an abelian group (additive notation), then every unary algebraic function $\varphi(x)$ can be written in the form $\varphi(x) = n \cdot x + z$, where $n \in N$, $z \in A$. Choose $p(x, y) = n \cdot x + y$. Then

$$p(x, \varphi(0)) = n \cdot x + \varphi(0) = n \cdot x + n \cdot 0 + z = n \cdot x + z = \varphi(x),$$

i.e. (***) of Theorem 2 is satisfied.

References

- [1] *Chajda I.*: Lattices of compatible relations, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 13 (1977), 89–96.
- [2] *Chajda I., Rachůnek J.*: Relational characterization of permutable and n -permutable varieties, *Czech. Math. J.* 33 (1983), 505–508.
- [3] *Hagemann J., Mitschke A.*: On n -permutable congruences, *Algebra Univ.* 3 (1973), 8–12.
- [4] *Klukovits L.*: Hamiltonian varieties of universal algebras, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 37 (1975), 11–15.
- [5] *Kiss E. W.*: Each Hamilton variety has the congruence extension property, *Algebra Univ.* 12 (1981), 395–398.
- [6] *Mal'cev A. I.*: On the general theory of algebraic systems, *Matem. Sbornik* 35 (1954), 3–20. (In Russian.)

Author's address: Department of Algebra and Geometry Faculty of Sci., Palacký University Olomouc, tř. Svobody 26, 771 46 Olomouc, Czechoslovakia.