

Carlos Bosch; Jan Kučera  
On regularity of inductive limits

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 1, 171–173

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128504>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON REGULARITY OF INDUCTIVE LIMITS

CARLOS BOSCH, San Angel and JAN KUČERA, Pullman

(Received March 19, 1993)

Throughout the paper  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$  is a sequence of Hausdorff locally convex spaces with continuous identity maps:  $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $E = \text{ind } E_n$  their inductive limit.

We use the following notation: The convex, resp. linear, hull of a set  $S \subset E$  is denoted by  $\text{co } S$ , resp.  $E_S$ ; the symbol  $\text{cl}_E S$  stands for the closure of  $S$  in the space  $E$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $\tau_n = \text{top } E_n$ ,  $\tau = \text{top } E$ ,  $\sigma_n = \sigma(E_n, E'_n)$  is the weak topology on  $E_n$ , and  $\tau(S)$  is the topology on  $S$  generated by  $\tau$ .

In [2] Makarov introduced the following terminology: An inductive limit  $\text{ind } E_n$  is called

$\alpha$ -regular if any set bounded in  $\text{ind } E_n$  is contained in some  $E_n$

$\beta$ -regular if any set, which is bounded in  $\text{ind } E_n$  and contained in  $E_n$  is bounded in some  $E_m$ ,

regular if it is both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -regular.

We need two more notions,  $\text{ind } E_n$  is called:

uniformly  $\beta$ -regular if for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any set bounded in  $\text{ind } E_n$  and contained in  $E_n$  is bounded in  $E_m$ ,

uniformly regular if it simultaneously  $\alpha$ -regular and uniformly  $\beta$ -regular.

The Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem, [1; §4, Prop. 4] or [3; Ch. 2, §12, Th. 2] states that  $E = \text{ind } E_n$  is regular provided that:

(H-1) each space  $E_n$  is closed in  $E$ ,

(H-2) each  $\tau_n = \tau(E_n)$ .

**Theorem 1.** (a) H-1  $\implies E$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,

(b) H-2  $\implies E$  is uniformly  $\beta$ -regular.

*Proof.* Put  $F_n = (\text{cl}_E E_n, \tau)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the  $\text{ind } F_n$  is strict and equal to  $\text{ind } E_n$ . Hence, by Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem, each set bounded in  $E$  is contained in some  $\text{cl}_E E_n = E_n$ .

The second claim is evident.

We use four more hypotheses:

(H-3) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{cl}_E E_n \subset E_m$ ,

(H-4) there exists a sequence  $\{G_n\}$ , where each  $G_n$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $E_n$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\text{cl}_E \text{co} \bigcup \{G_k; k \leq n\} \subset E_m$ ,

(H-5) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m \geq n$  such that  $\tau(E_n) \supset \sigma_m(E_n)$ ,

(H-6) for every set  $B \subset E_n$ , bounded in  $E$ , there exists  $m \geq n$  such that  $\tau(E_B) \supset \sigma_m(E_B)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.**  $\text{H-1} \implies \text{H-3} \implies \text{H-4} \implies E$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. If all spaces  $E_n$  are normable, the last implication can be reversed.

*Proof.* The first two implications are evident. To prove the third one, assume H-4 and  $E$  not  $\alpha$ -regular. Then there exists an absolutely convex set  $B \subset E$  which is bounded in  $E$  and not contained in any space  $E_n$ . By taking a subsequence of  $\{E_n\}$ , we may assume that in the hypothesis H-4 we can put  $m = n + 1$ .

Take a sequence  $\{b_n\} \subset B$  such that  $b_n \notin E_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $b_1 \neq 0$ , there exists an absolutely convex, closed in  $E$ , 0-neighborhood  $U_1 \subset E$  such that  $b_1 \notin U_1$ . Put  $V_1 = U_1 \cap G_1$  and  $W_1 = \text{cl}_E V_1$ . Then  $W_1 \subset U_1$  and  $b_1 \notin W_1$ . Further, by H-4, we have  $W_1 \subset \text{cl}_E G_1 \subset E_2$  which implies  $\frac{1}{2}b_2 \notin W_1$ . Hence there exists an absolutely convex, closed in  $E$ , 0-neighborhood  $U_2 \subset E$  such that  $b_1, \frac{1}{2}b_2 \notin W_1 + U_2 + U_2$ . Put  $V_2 = U_2 \cap G_2$  and  $W_2 = \text{cl}_E \text{co}(V_1 \cup V_2)$ . Then  $\text{co}(V_1 \cup V_2) \subset W_1 + U_2$ ,  $W_2 \subset \text{cl}_E(W_1 + U_2) \subset W_1 + U_2 + U_2$ , and  $b_1, \frac{1}{2}b_2 \notin W_2$ . Since  $\text{co}(V_1 \cup V_2) \subset \text{co}(G_1 \cup G_2)$ , H-4 implies  $W_2 \subset \text{cl}_E \text{co}(G_1 \cup G_2) \subset E_3$  and  $\frac{1}{3}b_3 \notin W_2$ . Hence there exists an absolutely convex, closed in  $E$ , 0-neighborhood  $U_3 \subset E$  such that  $b_1, \frac{1}{2}b_2, \frac{1}{3}b_3 \notin W_2 + U_3 + U_3$ , etc.

When all 0-neighborhoods  $V_n \subset E_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are constructed, the set  $V = \text{co} \bigcup \{V_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $E$  for which  $\frac{1}{k}b_k \notin V$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $V$  does not absorb  $B$ , a contradiction.

Assume all spaces  $E_n$  are normable and  $E$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G_n$  be an open ball in  $E_n$ . Since all maps  $\text{id} : E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  are continuous, we may choose each  $G_n$  so that  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots$ . In this case  $\text{co} \bigcup \{G_k; k \leq n\} = G_n$ . Now,  $G_n$  is bounded in  $E_n$ , hence also bounded, together with its  $\text{cl}_E G_n$ , in the space  $E$ . By  $\alpha$ -regularity of  $E$ , there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\text{cl}_E G_n \subset E_m$ , i.e. H-4 holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.**  $\text{H-2} \implies \text{H-5} \Leftrightarrow E$  is uniformly  $\beta$ -regular.

*Proof.* The first implication is evident.

Assume H-5 and fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $\tau$ -bounded set in  $E_n$  is weakly bounded in  $E_m$ , hence also bounded in  $E_m$ .

Assume H-5 does not hold. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $m \geq n$ , the topology  $\tau(E_n)$  is not stronger than  $\sigma_m(E_n)$ . This implies that, for each  $m \geq n$ , the set families  $\mathcal{D}_m = \{D \subset E_n; D \text{ is } \sigma_m\text{-bounded}\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{D \subset E_n; D \text{ is } \tau\text{-bounded}\}$  are not equal. Since  $\mathcal{D}_n \subset \mathcal{D}_{n+1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_m \neq \emptyset$ , for any  $m \geq n$ , i.e.  $E$  is not uniformly  $\beta$ -regular.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** H-6  $\implies E$  is  $\beta$ -regular.

*Proof.* Let  $B \subset E_n$  be bounded in  $E$ . Then  $B$  is also  $\tau(E_B)$ -bounded. By H-6, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq n$ , such that  $\tau(E_B) \supset \sigma_m(E_B)$ . Thus  $B$  is  $\sigma_m(E_B)$ -bounded, hence  $\sigma_m(E_m)$ -bounded and also  $\tau_m$ -bounded.  $\square$

**Example.** We construct a regular inductive limit of Hilbert spaces which does not satisfy H-6. So the implication is Theorem 4 cannot be reversed in case that all spaces  $E_n$  are normable.

Let  $E_n = \{x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R, \|x\|_n^2 = \int_0^\infty x^2(t) \exp(-2nt) dt < +\infty\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then all spaces  $E_n$  are Hilbert and, by [4, Th. 4], their inductive limits is regular, hence also  $\beta$ -regular. For each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $x_{k,m}(t) = \psi_{[0,k]}(t) \exp(mt)$ , where  $\psi_{[0,k]}$  is the characteristic function of  $[0, k]$ . It is easy to establish that:

- (a)  $x_{k,m} \in E_1$ ,  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (b)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k,m} - \exp(mt)\|_{m+1} = 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (c)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k,m}\|_m = +\infty$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Denote by  $B$  the unit ball in  $E_1$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $B_m = \{x_{k,m}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then, by (a), each  $B_m \subset E_1 = E_B$ . By (b),  $B_m$  is bounded in  $E_{m+1}$ , hence it is also bounded in  $\text{ind } E_n$ . On the other hand, by (c),  $B_m$  is not bounded in  $E_m$ . This implies that the topology  $\tau(E_B)$  is not stronger than  $\sigma_m(E_B)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### References

- [1] Dieudonné, J., Schwartz, L.: La dualité dans les espaces  $(\mathcal{F})$  et  $(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F})$ . Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 1 (1949), 61–101.
- [2] Makarov, B.M.: Pathological properties of LB-spaces. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 18 (1963), 171–178.
- [3] Horváth, J.: Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions. vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [4] Kučera, J., McKennon, K.: Dieudonné-Schwartz Theorem on bounded sets in inductive limits. Proc. AMS 78 (1980), no. 3, 366–368.

*Authors' addresses:* Carlos Bosch, Departamento de Matemáticas ITAM, Rio Hondo #1, Col. Tizapan San Angel, 01000 Mexico, Jan Kučera, Department of Mathematics WSU, Pullman, WA 99164-3113, USA.