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1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the paper is to study the existence of monotone solutions of the linear differential equation of the fourth order with quasi-derivatives

\[(L) \quad L(y) \equiv L_4y + P(t)L_2y + Q(t)y = 0,\]

where

\[\begin{align*}
L_1y(t) &= p_1(t)y'(t) = p_1(t)\frac{dy(t)}{dt}, \\
L_2y(t) &= p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))' = p_2(t)(L_1y(t))', \\
L_3y(t) &= p_3(t)(p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))')' = p_3(t)(L_2y(t))', \\
L_4y(t) &= (p_3(t)(p_2(t)(p_1(t)y'(t))'))' = (L_3y(t))',
\end{align*}\]

\[P(t), Q(t), p_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3,\] are real-valued continuous functions on an interval \(I = [a, \infty), -\infty < a < \infty.\) It is assumed throughout that

\[(A) \quad P(t) \leq 0, Q(t) \leq 0, p_i(t) > 0, i = 1, 2, 3,\] for all \(t \in I\) and \(Q(t)\) not identically zero in any subinterval of \(I.\)

Similar problems for the third order ordinary differential equations with quasi-derivatives were studied in several papers ([2], [3], [5], [6]). The equation \((L),\) where \(p_i(t) \equiv 1, i = 1, 2, 3,\) was studied for example in ([1], [9], [10]). The equation of the fourth order with quasi-derivatives was also studied, for instance, in ([7], [8]). Therefore some results achieved in the papers mentioned above are special cases of ours.
Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 give sufficient conditions for the existence of monotone solutions of (L) and their quasi-derivatives as well. Theorem 3 deals with the uniqueness of such solutions (with the exception of constant multiples).

A nontrivial solution of a differential equation of the $n$-th order is called oscillatory if its set of zeros is not bounded from above. Otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. A differential equation of the $n$-th order will be called nonoscillatory, when all its solutions are nonoscillatory; oscillatory, when at least one of its solutions (except the trivial one) is oscillatory. Let $C(I)$ denote the set of all real-valued functions which are continuous on $I$.

2. Preliminary results

We start by a generalization of Švec’s result from [4].

**Lemma 1.** Let $p(t) > 0$, $p(t)$, $q(t)$, $f(t)$ be functions of class $C([t_0, \infty))$, let the differential equation

\[(1) \quad (p(t)w'(t))' + q(t)w(t) = 0\]

be nonoscillatory. If $f(t)$ does not change the sign in $[t_0, \infty)$, then also the differential equation

\[(2) \quad (p(t)z'(t))' + q(t)z(t) = f(t)\]

is nonoscillatory in $[t_0, \infty)$.

**Proof.** If $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are solutions of (1) and (2), respectively, then the function

\[W(z, y) = \begin{vmatrix} y(t) & z(t) \\ p(t)y'(t) & p(t)z'(t) \end{vmatrix}\]

fulfils the equation

\[W(z, y) = c + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(x)y(x) \, dx,\]

where $c$ is a constant. Let equation (1) be nonoscillatory. Then its solution $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory function. Let $y(t) > 0$ eventually. Then the function $\int_{t_0}^{t} f(x)y(x) \, dx$ as well as the function $W(z, y)$ do not change the sign for all $t > t_1 \geq t_0$. This fact implies the existence of such $t_1$ that $W$ is a nonoscillatory function on $(t_1, \infty)$. Now, the function

\[\left( \frac{z(t)}{y(t)} \right)' = \frac{1}{p(t)} \frac{W(z, y)}{y^2(t)}\]
as well as the function $W(z, y)$ have the same sign for all $t > t_1$. This fact implies that $z(t)/y(t)$ is either an increasing function or a decreasing one, i.e. there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that either

\begin{enumerate}
  \item the function $z/y$ is still negative on $[t_2, \infty)$ or
  \item the function $z/y$ is still positive on $[t_2, \infty)$.
\end{enumerate}

In both cases it is obvious that $z(t)$ is nonoscillatory, i.e. equation (2) is nonoscillatory.

Lemma 2, [1]. Let $A(t, s)$ be a nonnegative and continuous function for $t_0 \leq s \leq t$ (nonpositive for $\alpha \leq t \leq s \leq t_0$). If $g(t), \varphi(t)$ ($\psi(t)$) are continuous functions in the interval $[t_0, \infty)$ ($[\alpha, t_0]$) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) &\leq g(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} A(t, s) \varphi(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty) \\
(\psi(t) &\geq g(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} A(t, s) \psi(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in [\alpha, t_0]),
\end{align*}
\]

then every solution $y(t)$ of the integral equation

\[
y(t) = g(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} A(t, s) y(s) \, ds
\]

satisfies the inequality

\[
y(t) \geq \varphi(t) \quad \text{in } [t_0, \infty)
\]

\[
y(t) \leq \psi(t) \quad \text{in } [\alpha, t_0]).
\]

Proof. See [1].

Lemma 3. Let $(A)$ and $\int (1/p_1(t)) \, dt = \infty$ hold. Then for every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (L) there exists a number $t_0 \geq a$ such that either

\[
(y(t)L_1 y(t) > 0, \ y(t)L_2 y(t) > 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (y(t)L_1 y(t) < 0, \ y(t)L_2 y(t) > 0)
\]

or

\[
(y(t)L_1 y(t) > 0, \ y(t)L_2 y(t) < 0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.
\]

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (L). Then there exists a number $t_1 \geq a$ such that $y(t) \neq 0$ in $[t_1, \infty)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that
The substitution $z(t) = L_2y(t)$ into (L) leads to the differential equation

\[(p_3(t)z'(t))' + P(t)z(t) = -Q(t)y(t).\]

Since $P(t) \leq 0$, the equation $(p_3z')' + Pz = 0$ is nonoscillatory on $[t_1, \infty)$. Then the fact that $Q(t)y(t)$ does not change the sign in $[t_1, \infty)$ implies that equation (5) is nonoscillatory by Lemma 1.

Hence, there exists a number $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $z(t) \neq 0$, i.e. $L_2y(t) \neq 0$. This fact implies the existence of a number $t_0 \geq t_2$ such that $L_1y(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. The following four cases may occur for $t \geq t_0$:

a) $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0$, $y(t)L_2y(t) > 0$,

b) $y(t)L_1y(t) < 0$, $y(t)L_2y(t) > 0$,

c) $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0$, $y(t)L_2y(t) < 0$,

d) $y(t)L_1y(t) < 0$, $y(t)L_2y(t) < 0$.

We prove that the case d) is impossible. Without loss of generality we can assume that $y(t) > 0$, $L_1y(t) < 0$, $L_2y(t) < 0$. It follows that $L_1y(t) = p_1(t)y'(t)$ is a negative and decreasing function and hence there exists a constant $k \neq 0$ such that $p_1(t)y'(t) \leq -k^2$ for $t \geq t_0$. This implies that $y(t) \leq y(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t} (k^2/p_1(\tau)) d\tau$. According to the assumptions of the lemma we have $y(t) \to -\infty$, $t \to \infty$, which contradicts the fact that $y(t) > 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4. Suppose that (A) holds and let $y(t)$ be a nontrivial solution of (L) satisfying the initial conditions

\[
y(t_0) = y_0 \geq 0, \quad L_1y(t_0) = y_0' \geq 0, \quad L_2y(t_0) = y_0'' \geq 0, \quad L_3y(t_0) = y_0''' \geq 0
\]

($t \in I$ arbitrary and $y_0 + y_0' + y_0'' + y_0''' \neq 0$). Then

\[y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) > 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t > t_0.\]

Proof. The initial-value problem $L_4y + P(t)L_2y + Q(t)y = 0$, $y(t_0) = y_0$, $L_1y(t_0) = y_0'$, $L_2y(t_0) = y_0''$, $L_3y(t_0) = y_0'''$ is equivalent to the following Volterra integral equation:

\[(6) \quad L_3y(t) = g(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} A(t, \tau)L_3y(\tau) d\tau.\]
where
\[
g(t) = y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \, ds - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)G(t_0, s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)(y_0' h(t_0, s) + y_0) \, ds,
\]
\[
A(t, \tau) = \int_{\tau}^t \left( (-P(s) - Q(s)G(\tau, s))/p_3(\tau) \right) \, ds,
\]
\[
G(\tau, s) = \int_{\tau}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi,
\]
\[
h(\xi, s) = \int_{\xi}^s (1/p_1(t)) \, dt.
\]

It follows from (L) that \( L_4 y = -P(t)L_2 y - Q(t)y \). Integrating the last equation we get
\[
(7)
L_3 y(t) = y_0''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t P(s) \left[ \int_{t_0}^s (L_3 y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] \, ds - \int_{t_0}^t Q(s)y(s) \, ds.
\]

If we express \( y(s) \) by \( L_1 y \) and \( L_2 y \) we get
\[
y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s \left[ \int_{t_0}^\tau (L_2 y(\xi)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi \right] /p_1(\tau) \, d\tau + y_0' \int_{t_0}^s (1/p_1(\tau)) \, d\tau + y_0.
\]

Exchanging the limits of integration and denoting
\[
h(t_0, s) = \int_{t_0}^s (1/p_1(\tau)) \, d\tau
\]
we get
\[
y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s (L_2 y(\xi)h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi + y_0' h(t_0, s) + y_0.
\]

If we express \( L_2 y \) by \( L_3 y \), we obtain
\[
y(s) = \int_{t_0}^s \left[ \int_{t_0}^\xi (L_3 y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi) \, d\xi
\]
\[
+ y_0'' \int_{t_0}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi + y_0' h(t_0, s) + y_0.
\]

Exchanging the limits of integration and denoting
\[
G(t_0, s) = \int_{t_0}^s (h(\xi, s)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi
\]
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we get
\[ y(s) = \int_{t_0}^{s} (G(\tau, s)L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau + y_0''G(t_0, s) + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0. \]

We substitute this expression for \( y(s) \) into (7) obtaining
\[
L_3y(t) = y_0'''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^{t} P(s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^{t} P(s) \left[ \int_{t_0}^{s} (L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] \, ds
- \int_{t_0}^{t} Q(s) \left[ \int_{t_0}^{s} (G(\tau, s)L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau + y_0''G(t_0, s) + y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0 \right] \, ds.
\]

After little arrangements we get
\[
L_3y(t) = y_0'''' - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^{t} P(s) \, ds - y_0'' \int_{t_0}^{t} Q(s)G(t_0, s) \, ds - \int_{t_0}^{t} Q(s)(y_0'h(t_0, s) + y_0) \, ds
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} \left[ - \int_{t_0}^{s} ((P(s) + Q(s)G(\tau, s))L_3y(\tau)/p_3(\tau)) \, d\tau \right] \, ds.
\]

Exchanging the limits of integration and rearranging the equation we obtain the Volterra integral equation (6). The hypotheses of the lemma imply that \( A(t, \tau) \geq 0 \) and \( g(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in (t_0, \infty) \). According to Lemma 2 we get \( L_3y(t) \geq \varphi(t) = g(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in (t_0, \infty) \). Integrating this inequality over \( [t_0, \infty) \) we obtain (owing to the initial conditions) the assertion of Lemma 4. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that (A) holds and let \( y(t) \) be a nontrivial solution of (L) satisfying the initial conditions
\[
y(t_0) = y_0 \geq 0, \ L_1y(t_0) = y_0' \leq 0, \ L_2y(t_0) = y_0'' \geq 0, \ L_3y(t_0) = y_0''' \leq 0, \]
\((t_0 \in I \text{ arbitrary}, \ y_0^2 + y_0'^2 + y_0''^2 + y_0'''^2 > 0)\). Then
\[
y(t) > 0, \ L_1y(t) < 0, \ L_2y(t) > 0, \ L_3y(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in [a, t_0).
\]

**Proof.** The initial-value problem is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation (6), where
\[
g(t) = y_0'''' + y_0'' \int_{t_0}^{t} P(s) \, ds + y_0'' \int_{t_0}^{t} Q(s)[G(s, t_0) - y_0'h(s, t_0) + y_0] \, ds,
\]
\[
G(b, a) = \int_{b}^{a} (h(b, \xi)/p_2(\xi)) \, d\xi,
\]
\[
A(t, \tau) = \int_{t}^{\tau} [(P(s) + G(s, \tau) Q(s))/p_3(\tau)] \, ds,
\]
\[
h(s, \xi) = \int_{s}^{\xi} (1/p_1(\tau)) \, d\tau.
\]
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The hypotheses of the lemma imply that \( g(t) < 0 \), \( A(t, r) \leq 0 \) for \( a \leq t \leq r \leq t_0 \). Then by Lemma 2 we have \( L_3y(t) < 0 \) for all \( t \in [a, t_0) \). Hence the assertion of Lemma 5 follows from the initial conditions.

3. THE EXISTENCE OF MONOTONE SOLUTIONS

Let \( z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 \) be solutions of (L) on \( [a, \infty) \) which fulfil the initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_i(a) &= \begin{cases} 
    1, & i = 0, \\
    0, & i = 1, 2, 3,
  \end{cases} \quad L_1z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 
    1, & i = 1, \\
    0, & i = 0, 2, 3,
  \end{cases} \\
  L_2z_i(a) &= \begin{cases} 
    1, & i = 2, \\
    0, & i = 0, 1, 3,
  \end{cases} \quad L_3z_i(a) = \begin{cases} 
    1, & i = 3, \\
    0, & i = 0, 1, 2.
  \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

We want to show the existence of solutions \( y(t) \) and \( z(t) \) such that \( y(t) > 0 \), \( L_1y(t) > 0 \), \( L_2y(t) > 0 \), \( L_3y(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in I \) and \( z(t) > 0 \), \( L_1z(t) < 0 \), \( L_2z(t) > 0 \), \( L_3z(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in I \).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that (A) holds. Then there exists a solution \( y(t) \) of (L) such that

\[
y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) > 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in I_0 = (a, \infty).
\]

**Proof.** The assertion of the theorem follows from Lemma 4 for \( t_0 = a \). □

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that (A) holds. Then there exists a solution \( y(t) \) of (L) such that

\[
y(t) > 0, \quad L_1y(t) < 0, \quad L_2y(t) > 0, \quad L_3y(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in I = [a, \infty).
\]

**Proof.** Let \((c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n})\) be a solution of the system \((S_n)\) which consists of the relationships (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12):

\[
\begin{align*}
  (8) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(0)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(0)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(0)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(0)}(n) &= 0, \\
  (9) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(1)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(1)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(1)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(1)}(n) &= 0, \\
  (10) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(2)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(2)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(2)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(2)}(n) &= 0, \\
  (11) \quad c_{0n}z_0^{(3)}(n) + c_{1n}z_1^{(3)}(n) + c_{2n}z_2^{(3)}(n) + c_{3n}z_3^{(3)}(n) &= 0, \\
  (12) \quad c_{0n}^2 + c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]
where \( n \) is an arbitrary integer, \( n > \max\{0, a\} \), \( z^{(j)}(n) = L_j z_i(n) \), \( z_i(t) \) form the fundamental system of solutions of (L) such that \( z^{(j)}(a) = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \), \( z^{(j)}(a) = 1 \) for \( i = j, i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). We will show that \((S_n)\) admits a solution \((c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n})\) for all \( n > \max\{0, a\} \). Let \( W(z_0(t), z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t)) \) denote Wronski’s determinant of \( z_i \) at the point \( t \). Then at least one of all the four subdeterminants of the system of equations (8), (9), (10) is not equal to zero. Let it be, for instance, the determinant

\[
W_3 = \begin{vmatrix}
  z_0^{(0)}(n), & z_1^{(0)}(n), & z_2^{(0)}(n), & z_3^{(0)}(n), \\
  z_0^{(1)}(n), & z_1^{(1)}(n), & z_2^{(1)}(n), & z_3^{(1)}(n), \\
  z_0^{(2)}(n), & z_1^{(2)}(n), & z_2^{(2)}(n), & z_3^{(2)}(n).
\end{vmatrix}
\]

According to the Frobenius theorem, the system of equations (8), (9), (10) with the unknowns \( c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n} \) and the right hand side \((-c_{3n} z_3^{(0)}(n), -c_{3n} z_3^{(1)}(n), -c_{3n} z_3^{(2)}(n))\) admits the only solution \((c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n}) = (A_n c_{3n}, B_n c_{3n}, C_n c_{3n})\). Then \((12)\) has the form \( c_{0n} z_0^{(3)}(n) + c_{1n} z_1^{(3)}(n) + c_{2n} z_2^{(3)}(n) + c_{3n} z_3^{(3)}(n) = 0, \)

\[(11') \quad c_{0n} z_0^{(3)}(n) + c_{1n} z_1^{(3)}(n) + c_{2n} z_2^{(3)}(n) + c_{3n} z_3^{(3)}(n) = 0, \]

would admit a nontrivial solution, which is impossible because \( W(z_0(n), z_1(n), z_2(n), z_3(n)) \neq 0 \). Now it suffices to choose the sign of \( c_{3n} \) for \((11)\) to be valid. Therefore \((S_n)\) admits a solution for all \( n > \max\{0, a\} \). Let us put \( y_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i z_i(t) \).

Because of \((c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n}) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0), y_n(t) \) is not identically zero. According to Lemma 5, we have \((-1)^k L_k y_n(t) > 0 \) on \([a, n)\) for \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). It is obvious that \( c_{in}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) are bounded. For this reason, there exist subsequences \( c_{in} \) of \( c_{in} \) which are convergent. Let \( c_{irn} \to c_i \) for \( n \to \infty, i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). Let us put \( y(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i z_i(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(t) \) for all \( t \in [a, \infty) \). Let \( n_0 > \max\{0, a\} \). Then \((-1)^k L_k y_n(t) > 0 \) on \([a, n_0)\) for \( n \geq n_0 \) and so \((-1)^k L_k y(t) > 0 \) on \([a, n_0)\) for all \( n_0 > \max\{0, a\} \). Therefore \((-1)^k L_k y(t) > 0 \) on \([a, \infty) \). Since \( y(t) \) is a nontrivial solution of \((L)\) on \([a, \infty) \) (because \( \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i^2 > 0 \), \( Q(t) \leq 0 \) and \( Q(t) \) is not identically zero in any subinterval of \( I \), we have \( L_4 y(t) \geq 0 \) with \( L_4 y(t) = 0 \) at most at isolated points of \([a, \infty) \). This implies that \( L_3 y(t) \) is increasing on \( I \), so \( L_3 y(t) < 0 \) on \([a, \infty) \). Similarly, it can be proved that \( L_2 y(t) > 0 \), \( L_1 y(t) < 0 \), \( L_0 y(t) = y(t) > 0 \) on \([a, \infty) \).

The next theorem deals with the uniqueness of such a solution.
Theorem 3. Suppose that (A) holds, \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_1(t)} \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_2(t)} \, dt = \infty \), and (L) is nonoscillatory. Then there exists at most one solution (with the exception of constant multiples) of (L) such that

\begin{equation}
\text{sign } y \neq \text{sign } L_1 y \neq \text{sign } L_2 y \neq \text{sign } L_3 y \quad \text{on } I = [a, \infty), \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0.
\end{equation}

Proof. Suppose that there exists another solution \( z(t) \) linearly independent of \( y(t) \), which fulfils (13). Let \( \tau \in [a, \infty) \). Then there exists \( c \in (-\infty, \infty) \) such that \( z(\tau) + cy(\tau) = 0 \). The number \( \tau \) has been taken such that \( y(\tau) \neq 0 \). We prove that such \( \tau \) exists. Suppose on the contrary that the required \( \tau \) does not exist. This implies that \( y(t) \equiv 0 \) for all \( t > t^* \) and that is why \( y'(t) \equiv 0 \equiv L_1 y(t) \), which contradicts (13). Let \( Y(t) = z(t) + cy(t) \). It is obvious that \( Y(\tau) = 0 \), \( \lim Y(t) = \lim z(t) + c \lim y(t) = 0 \) for \( t \to \infty \). According to Lemma 3 there exists \( t_0 \geq a \) such that either

(i) \( \begin{cases} (Y L_1 Y > 0, Y L_2 Y > 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (Y L_1 Y > 0, Y L_2 Y < 0) \end{cases} \)

or

(ii) \( \begin{cases} Y L_1 Y < 0, Y L_2 Y > 0 \end{cases} \)

for all \( t \geq t_0 \). Let \( t_0 \) be taken such that \( t_0 > \tau \). Without loss of generality we can assume \( Y > 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \). Suppose that (ii) holds, i.e.

\[ Y > 0, \quad L_1 Y < 0, \quad L_2 Y > 0. \]

Since \( Y \) is a solution of (L) we have

\[ L_4 Y = -P L_2 Y - Q Y \geq 0. \]

This fact implies that the function \( L_3 Y \) is increasing (\( dL_3 Y / dt = L_4 Y \)) because \( L_4 Y = 0 \) at isolated points of the interval \([a, \infty)\) only. Two cases may occur now. Either

(a) there exists \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( L_3 Y(t_1) = 0 \)

or

(b) \( L_3 Y(t) < 0 \) for all \( t \in [t_0, \infty) \).

If (a) is fulfilled then \( L_3 Y > 0 \) for all \( t > t_1 \). Take \( t_2 > t_1 \). This implies that \( L_3 Y(t_2) = b > 0 \) and \( L_3 Y(t) \geq b \) for all \( t \geq t_2 \), i.e. \( dL_2 Y(t) / dt \geq b / p_3(t) \). Let \( t > t_2 \). Integrating the last inequality over \([t_2, t]\) we obtain

\[ L_2 Y(t) - L_2 Y(t_2) \geq \int_{t_2}^{t} (b / p_3(s)) \, ds > 0, \]
i.e. \( L_2 Y(t) > L_2 Y(t_2) > 0 \) because of \( L_2 Y(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( t_2 > t_0 \). Hence 
\[
dL_1 Y(t)/dt > L_2 Y(t_2)/p_2(t).
\]
Integration over \([t_2,t]\) yields 
\[
L_1 Y(t) \geq L_1 Y(t_2) + L_2 Y(t_2) \int_{t_2}^t (1/p_2(s)) \, ds.
\]

It is obvious that \( t_3 \) can be taken such that \( t_3 > t_2 \) and the right hand side of the last inequality is positive for all \( t \geq t_3 \). This fact follows from the assumption 
\[
\int_0^{\infty} (1/p_2(t)) \, dt = \infty.
\]

This implies that \( L_1 Y(t) = p_1(t)Y'(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_3 \), which is a contradiction. Therefore the case (a) is impossible, i.e. the case (b) occurs, i.e. \( Y > 0, L_1 Y < 0, L_2 Y > 0, L_3 Y < 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \). According to Lemma 5 we have \( Y(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in [t_0, t_2] \). But \( \tau \in [a, t_0) \). This implies that \( Y(\tau) > 0 \), which contradicts our assumptions. This contradiction implies impossibility of (ii). For this reason the condition (i) holds. It implies that \( Y(t) > 0, L_1 Y(t) > 0, i.e. Y''(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \) and so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) \neq 0 \) for \( t \to \infty \). This contradiction proves our theorem. \( \square \)
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