

Anna Kolesárová

Note on the integral with respect to the pre-measure

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 1, 89--92

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128650>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NOTE ON THE INTEGRAL WITH RESPECT TO THE PRE-MEASURE

ANNA KOLESÁROVÁ

In [1] the integration process was defined with respect to the pre-measure (non-negative, monotone, in an empty set vanishing set function) and it was shown that the integrability of the function $|f|$ implies the integrability of f . In [2] it was proved that the integrability of f and $|f|$ is equivalent for a wide class of pre-measures, namely for strong submeasures (for definitions see below). The question arises whether this equivalence holds in the case of the general pre-measure too. We give an example which shows that the answer to this question is in general in the negative. Our pre-measure will be a continuous strong supermeasure.

First we recall the definition of the integral given in [1]. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space and let μ be a pre-measure on \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of all finite subsets of $\langle -\infty, \infty \rangle$ which contain zero. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$F = \{b_m \leq b_{m-1} \leq \dots \leq b_0 = 0 = a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1} \leq a_n\}$$

and let f be an \mathcal{S} -measurable function.

We put

$$S(f, F) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i - a_{i-1}) \mu(\{x; f(x) \geq a_i\}) + \\ + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} (b_j - b_{j-1}) \mu(\{x; f(x) \leq b_j\})$$

if the right-hand side expression contains no expression of the type $\infty - \infty$.

Since \mathcal{F} is directed by inclusion, the triple $(S(f, F), \mathcal{F}, \supseteq)$ is a net. We put

$$I\mu f = \int f \, d\mu = \lim_{F \in \mathcal{F}} S(f, F)$$

if the limit exists. The function f is called integrable iff $I\mu f$ is finite.

The properties of $I\mu f$ which we shall mainly use are:

- (1) $I\mu$ is a monotone functional.
- (2) If f^+ and f^- are integrable, then f is integrable and $I\mu f = I\mu f^+ + I\mu f^-$.
- (3) If the function $|f|$ is integrable, then f is also integrable.

Now we recall the definitions of a continuous pre-measure, a strong submeasure and a strong supermeasure.

Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space. The pre-measure μ defined on \mathcal{S} is

(a) a strong submeasure if

$$\mu(A \cap B) + \mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

(b) a strong supermeasure if

$$\mu(A \cap B) + \mu(A \cup B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

for every A and B in \mathcal{S} .

We say that the pre-measure μ defined on \mathcal{S} is continuous if it has the following two properties

(1) $A_n \nearrow A \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) \nearrow \mu(A)$

(2) $A_n \searrow A, \mu(A_1) < \infty \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) \searrow \mu(A)$

for $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $A_n \in \mathcal{S}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Further we shall need the following lemma, which is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 proved in [2].

Lemma 1. Let μ be a finite measure on \mathcal{S} . Let f be a real valued, increasing, convex, continuous function with $f(0) = 0$. Then the set function ν defined on \mathcal{S} by $\nu(A) = f(\mu(A))$ is a continuous strong supermeasure.

Before we give the promised example we shall prove this lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $X = \left\langle -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right\rangle$, let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the family of all Borel subsets of X and μ the Lebesgue measure on X . Let g be a function defined by $g(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $g(0) = 0$. Then the set function ν defined on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ by $\nu(A) = g(\mu(A))$ is a continuous strong supermeasure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

Proof. It is clear that $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Since g is a continuous, convex, increasing real function on the interval $\left\langle 0, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$ with $g(0) = 0$, by Lemma 1 we get that $\nu = g(\mu)$ is a continuous strong supermeasure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

Now we give an example which shows that in the case of the integral with respect to the pre-measure the integrability of f and $|f|$ is in general not equivalent.

Example. Let $X = \left\langle -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right\rangle$. Put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2x}\right) & x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2x}\right) & x \in \left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right) \end{cases}$$

Let ν be a continuous strong supermeasure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ from Lemma 2. Then the function f is integrable on X with respect to ν and $|f|$ is not integrable.

Proof. Let h be a function defined on $\langle 0, \frac{1}{4} \rangle$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ k+1 & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2 \ln(k+1)}, \frac{1}{2 \ln k} \right) \quad k \geq 8 \\ 8 & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2 \ln 8}, \frac{1}{4} \right) \end{cases}$$

The range of h is the set $H = \{0, 8, 9, \dots\}$. Since the function h is non-negative, $I_\nu h$ exists. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu h &= \lim_k \left[8\nu\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)\right) + \sum_{i=9}^k \nu\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{2 \ln(i-1)}\right)\right) \right] = \\ &= 8 \exp(-4) + \lim_k \sum_{i=9}^k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2 \ln(i-1)}}\right) = \\ &= 8 \exp(-4) + \lim_k \sum_{i=9}^k \left(\frac{1}{(i-1)^2}\right) = \\ &= 8 \exp(-4) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} - \sum_{k=1}^7 \frac{1}{k^2} = \\ &= 8 \exp(-4) + \frac{\pi}{6} - \sum_{k=1}^7 \frac{1}{k^2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence h is integrable on $\langle 0, \frac{1}{4} \rangle$.

Since in the interval $\langle 0, \frac{1}{4} \rangle f^+ = f \leq h$ and I_ν is a monotone functional, f^+ is also integrable on $\langle 0, \frac{1}{4} \rangle$. As $f^+ = 0$ on $\langle -\frac{1}{4}, 0 \rangle$, we get that f^+ is integrable on X .

The integrability of f^- can be shown similarly.

Since f^+ and f^- are integrable on X , by the property (2) of I_ν we get that f is integrable on X .

To show that $|f|$ is not integrable it is enough to find a function φ defined on X with $0 \leq \varphi \leq |f|$ and $I_\nu \varphi = \infty$.

Put

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 7 & x \in \left\langle -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2 \ln 8} \right\rangle \cup \left(\frac{1}{2 \ln 8}, \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ k & x \in \left\langle -\frac{1}{2 \ln k}, -\frac{1}{2 \ln(k+1)} \right\rangle \cup \left(\frac{1}{2 \ln(k+1)}, \frac{1}{2 \ln k} \right) \quad k \geq 8 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since φ is non-negative, $I_v \varphi$ exists. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} I_v \varphi &= \lim_k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (i - (i - 1)) \nu(\{x; \varphi(x) \geq i\}) = \\ &= 7 \exp(-2) + \lim_k \sum_{8 \leq i \leq k} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2 \ln i}\right) = \\ &= 7 \exp(-2) + \lim_k \sum_{8 \leq i \leq k} \frac{1}{i} = 7 \exp(-2) + \sum_{k=8}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that the series $\sum_{k=8}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ is divergent we have $I_v \varphi = +\infty$, which means that φ is not integrable on X with respect to ν .

Thus we found a function φ defined on X with the properties

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq |f| \quad \text{and} \quad I_v \varphi = +\infty.$$

Hence we have $I_v |f| = +\infty$ because I_v is a monotone functional. This implies that $|f|$ is not integrable on X with respect to ν .

REFERENCES

- [1] ŠIPOŠ, J.: Integral with respect to a pre-measure. *Math. Slovaca* 29, 1979, 141—155.
 [2] ŠIPOŠ, J.: Non linear integrals. *Math. Slovaca* 29, 1979, 257—270.

Received February 1980

*Katedra matematiky
 Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT
 Vazovova 5
 812 19 Bratislava*

ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ К ИНТЕГРАЛУ ПО ПРЕДМЕРЕ

Анна Колесарова

Резюме

В статье приведен пример, который показывает, что для интеграла по предмере, введенного в [1], не верно, что функция f интегрируема тогда и только тогда, когда $|f|$ интегрируема. Вышеприведенный пример показывает, что существует функция f и предмера μ , что интеграл от f по μ существует, по функция $|f|$ уже не интегрируема.