

Bohdan Zelinka

Disconnected neighbourhood graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 2, 109--110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128747>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DISCONNECTED NEIGHBOURHOOD GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA

Let G be an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. Let v be a vertex of G . The subgraph of G induced by the set of all vertices adjacent to v in G is called the neighbourhood graph of v in G and denoted by $N_G(v)$.

At the Symposium on Graph Theory in Smolenice [1] in 1963 B. A. Trahtenbrot and A. A. Zykov suggested the problem: Which graphs H have the property that there exists a graph G such that $N_G(v) \cong H$ for each vertex v of G .

The class of the above mentioned graphs H will be denoted by \mathcal{N} . We shall study the case when a graph from \mathcal{N} is disconnected.

The direct product $G_1 \times G_2$ of two graphs G_1, G_2 with vertex sets $V(G_1), V(G_2)$ is defined in the usual way; its vertex set is the Cartesian product $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and two vertices $(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)$ are adjacent in it if and only if either $u_1 = v_1$ and u_2, v_2 are adjacent in G_2 , or $u_2 = v_2$ and u_1, v_1 are adjacent in G_1 . This definition can be easily extended for an arbitrary finite number of factors.

Theorem. *If H is a disconnected graph with the connected components H_1, \dots, H_k , where k is an arbitrary integer greater than one, and if $H_i \in \mathcal{N}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then $H \in \mathcal{N}$, but not vice versa.*

Proof. The proof of the implication is easy. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ let G_i be a graph with the property that $N_{G_i}(v) \cong H_i$ for each vertex v of G_i . Let $G \cong G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$. Then it is easy to verify that $N_G(v) \cong H$ for an arbitrary vertex v of G .

Now we shall show an example of a disconnected graph H which belongs to \mathcal{N} , while none of its connected components does. Let m, n, p be three positive integers such that $m < n < p$. The graph H has three connected components which are the complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}, K_{m,p}, K_{n,p}$. Now we shall describe a graph G . The vertex set V of the graph G is the set of all ordered sextuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$, where $a_1 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, a_2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, a_3 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, b_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, b_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}, b_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. If $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then each vertex $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is adjacent to all vertices $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, b_1, x_1, b_3), (a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_1), (a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, x_2, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_2), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, x_3, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, y_3, b_3)$, where $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}, y_1 \in \{1, \dots, p\}, x_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, y_2 \in \{1, \dots, p\}, x_3 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, y_3 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the sums are taken modulo 3. If $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then each vertex $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is adjacent to all vertices $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, x_1, b_2, b_3), (a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_1), (a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, x_2, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, y_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, b_1, x_3, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, b_2, y_3)$, where

$x_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $y_1 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $y_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $x_3 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $y_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and the sums are again taken modulo 3. If $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then each vertex $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is adjacent to all vertices $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, x_1, b_2, b_3)$, $(a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, y_1, b_2)$, $(a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, b_1, x_2, b_3)$, $(a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_2)$, $(a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, x_3, b_2, b_3)$, $(a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, b_2, y_3)$, where $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $y_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $y_2 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, $x_3 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $y_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and the sums are again taken modulo 3. For each vertex v of G we have $N_G(v) \cong H$ and hence $H \in \mathcal{N}$.

Now suppose that $K_{m,n} \in \mathcal{N}$, i.e. that there exists a graph G_0 such that $N_{G_0}(v) \cong K_{m,n}$ for each vertex v of G_0 . Let u_1 be a vertex of G_0 , let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be the bipartition classes of $N_{G_0}(u_1)$. Now consider $N_{G_0}(v_1)$. This is also a graph isomorphic to $K_{m,n}$ and contains an independent set $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. In $K_{m,n}$ with $m < n$ there is exactly one independent set with n vertices and this is a bipartition class of $K_{m,n}$. Hence $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ is the bipartition class of $N_{G_0}(v_1)$ with n vertices. The other bipartition class of $N_{G_0}(v_1)$ contains u_1 and no vertex from $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. Therefore there exist vertices u_2, \dots, u_m such that $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ is a bipartition class of $N_{G_0}(v_1)$. Now consider $N_{G_0}(w_1)$. This graph contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{m,n}$ with the bipartition classes $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. As $N_{G_0}(w_1)$ is isomorphic to $K_{m,n}$, one of these sets, say $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, is one of its bipartition classes and there exist vertices v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the other bipartition class of $N_{G_0}(w_1)$. Each of the vertices v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n is adjacent to all vertices u_1, \dots, u_m and is different from the vertices v_1, \dots, v_m , w_1, \dots, w_n . But then these vertices belong to $N_{G_0}(u_1)$ and this is a contradiction with the assumption that the vertex set of $N_{G_0}(u_1)$ is $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. Hence G_0 does not exist and $K_{m,n} \notin \mathcal{N}$. Analogously $K_{m,p} \notin \mathcal{N}$ and $K_{n,p} \notin \mathcal{N}$.

REFERENCE

- [1] Theory of Graphs and Its Applications. Proc. Symp. Smolenice 1963 (ed. by M. Fiedler), Academia, Prague 1964.

Received February 1, 1984

*Katedra tváření a plastů
Vysoké školy strojní a textilní
Studentská 1292
460 01 Liberec 1*

НЕСВЯЗНЫЕ ГРАФЫ ОКРЕСТНОСТЕЙ

Bohdan Zelinka

Резюме

Статья занимается классом \mathcal{N} графов H , обладающих тем свойством, что существует граф G , в котором окрестность каждой вершины порождает граф, изоморфный графу H . Доказано, что если все компоненты графа H принадлежат классу \mathcal{N} , то граф H принадлежит классу \mathcal{N} , но не обратно.