

Ivan Dobrákov

On Lebesgue pseudonorms on $C_0(T)$

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 4, 327--335

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128886>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON LEBESGUE PSEUDONORMS ON $C_0(T)$

IVAN DOBRAKOV

Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and let $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ denote the σ -ring of all Baire measurable subsets of T . Denote by $C_0(T)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions on T tending to zero at infinity with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_T$. Let further Y be a Banach space and Y^* its dual. (All considered Banach spaces are either real or complex.)

Definition. We say that a mapping $p: C_0(T) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue pseudonorm on $C_0(T)$ if it has the following properties:

- 1) $p(f) = p(|f|)$,
- 2) $|f| \leq |g| \Rightarrow p(f) \leq p(g)$,
- 3) $p(af) = |a| \cdot p(f)$ for each scalar a ,
- 4) $p(f+g) \leq p(f) + p(g)$, and
- 5) if $g, f_n \in C_0(T)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n| \leq |g|$ then $p(f_n) \rightarrow 0$.

There is a remarkable result, see [7, 24H], which is valid in the more general context of arbitrary Riesz spaces with a linear space topology such that every order-bounded set is bounded, that condition 5) may be replaced by the following "disjointness" condition:

5d) if $g, f_n \in C_0(T)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $f_n \cdot f_m = 0$ for $n \neq m$, and $|f_n| \leq |g|$ for each n , then $p(f_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(For more information about Lebesgue topologies on a general Riesz space see [7, section 24] and also [8].)

According to the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem each countably additive Baire measure $\mu: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow$ the scalars of $C_0(T)$, induces by the equality

$$\hat{\mu}(f) = \int_T |f| \, d\nu(\mu, \cdot) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_T g \, d\mu \right|; g \in C_0(T), |g| \leq |f| \right\}, f \in C_0(T),$$

a Lebesgue pseudonorm on $C_0(T)$. Hence by the Riesz Representation Theorem each bounded linear functional $F \in C_0(T)^* = ca(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0))$ — the Banach

space of all countably additive scalar valued Baire measures on $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ with the total variation norm, induces by the equality

$$\hat{F}(f) = \sup \{ |F(g)|; g \in C_0(T), |g| \leq |f| \} = \int_T |f| \, dv(\mu_F, \cdot), \quad f \in C_0(T),$$

where μ_F is the representing Baire measure of F , a Lebesgue pseudonorm on $C_0(T)$.

Let $U: C_0(T) \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator and for $y^* \in Y^*$ let μ_{y^*} denote the representing Baire measure of the linear functional y^*U .

For $f \in C_0(T)$ put $\hat{U}f = \sup \{ |Ug|; g \in C_0(T), |g| \leq |f| \}$, and for $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ put $\hat{\mu}(E) = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} v(\mu_{y^*}, E)$.

Then clearly $\hat{U} = |U| < +\infty$, and \hat{U} has the properties 1), 2) and 3) of the Definition above. Further, by the Hahn—Banach Theorem and the Riesz Representation Theorem we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}f &= \sup \{ \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \{ |y^*Ug|; g \in C_0(T), |g| \leq |f| \} \} = \\ &= \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \{ \sup \{ |y^*Ug|; g \in C_0(T), |g| \leq |f| \} \} = \\ &= \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \int_T |f| \, dv(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

for each $f \in C_0(T)$, hence \hat{U} is also subadditive.

Obviously $\hat{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$, $\hat{\mu}$ is monotone and countably subadditive. Since each measure $v(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot)$, $y^* \in Y^*$ has the Fatou property, i.e., $E_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $E_n \nearrow E \Rightarrow v(\mu_{y^*}, E_n) \nearrow v(\mu_{y^*}, E)$, $\hat{\mu}$ also has the Fatou property.

Let $U^*: Y^* \rightarrow C_0(T)^* = ca(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0))$ be the conjugate of U . Then

$$\hat{\mu}(T) = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} v(\mu_{y^*}, T) = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} |y^*U| = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} |U^*y^*| = |U^*| = |U| < +\infty.$$

According to Theorems VI. 4.8, IV. 9.1 and IV. 9.2 in [6] (for a short proof of IV. 9.2 see [9]) U is weakly compact $\Leftrightarrow U^*$ is weakly compact $\Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow [0, |U|]$ is continuous, i.e., $E_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $E_n \searrow \emptyset \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(E_n) \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow$ there is a countably additive measure $\lambda: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\hat{\mu}$ is absolutely λ -continuous $\Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}$ is exhaustive, i.e., if $E_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are pairwise disjoint, then $\hat{\mu}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Let U be weakly compact. Then from the exhaustivity of $\hat{\mu}$ on $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ it is easy to see that \hat{U} has the property 5d) stated above, hence \hat{U} is a Lebesgue pseudonorm on $C_0(T)$. The converse is also true, see Theorem 3.3 in [11], where a lot of other characterizations of weak compactness of U is proved.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^T$. We say that a set function $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$ has the property (p), or better that ν is uniformly exhaustive, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer N_ε such

that for any collection of pairwise disjoint sets $A_1, \dots, A_{N_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}$ there is at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ for which $|v(A_n)| \leq \varepsilon$, see [5, Def. 4]. We say that $f, g \in C_0(T)$ are orthogonal if $f \cdot g = 0$.

In [3] we announced the following characterization of weak compactness of U :

Theorem 1. *For a bounded linear operator $U: C_0(T) \rightarrow Y$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) U is weakly compact,
- 2) $\hat{\mu}: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow [0, |U|]$ is uniformly exhaustive, and
- 3) U has the following property (p): for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer N_ε such that for any collection $f_1, \dots, f_{N_\varepsilon} \in C_0(T)$ with $\|f_n\|_T \leq 1$ and $f_n \cdot f_m = 0$ for $n \neq m, n, m = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon$ there is at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ for which $|Uf_n| \leq \varepsilon$.

We now prove this result, and in Theorem 2 below we give an extension of it. (Theorem 2 from [3] will be proved elsewhere.)

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\lambda: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a countably additive measure such that $\hat{\mu}$ is absolutely λ -continuous. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ and $\lambda(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(E) \leq \varepsilon$. Take a positive integer $N_\varepsilon \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \right\rceil + 1$. Then for any collection of pairwise disjoint sets $E_1, \dots, E_{N_\varepsilon} \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ there must be at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ for which $\lambda(E_n) < \delta$, since otherwise we have the contradiction $1 \geq \lambda(T) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \lambda(E_i) > 1$. Thus $\hat{\mu}(E_n) \leq \varepsilon$ for at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$, hence $\hat{\mu}$ is uniformly exhaustive on $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$.

2) \Rightarrow 3). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take a positive integer N_ε so that for any collection of pairwise disjoint sets $E_1, \dots, E_{N_\varepsilon} \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ there is at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ for which $\hat{\mu}(E_n) \leq \varepsilon$. Take arbitrary $f_1, \dots, f_{N_\varepsilon} \in C_0(T)$ with $\|f_i\|_T \leq 1$ and $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$ for $i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon$. Since by the Hahn—Banach Theorem and the Riesz Representation Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} |Uf_i| &= \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} |y^* Uf_i| = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \left| \int_T f_i \, d\mu_{y^*} \right| \leq \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \int_T |f_i| \, d\nu(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \nu(\mu_{y^*}, \{t; t \in T, f_i(t) \neq 0\}) = \hat{\mu}(\{t; t \in T, f_i(t) \neq 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon$, and since the sets $E_i = \{t; t \in T, f_i(t) \neq 0\}, i = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon$ are pairwise disjoint, there must be at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ for which $|Uf_n| \leq \hat{\mu}(E_n) \leq \varepsilon$.

3) \Rightarrow 1). Clearly \hat{U} has also the property (p). Denote by \mathcal{Q}_0 the lattice of all open Baire subsets of T and by \mathcal{C}_0 the lattice of all compact G_δ subsets of T . Let $V \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and let $y^* \in Y^*$. Then

$$\nu(\mu_{y^*}, V) = \sup \left\{ \int_T |f| \, d\nu(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot); f \in C_0(T), |f| \leq \chi_V \right\}$$

by the regularity of the Baire measure $\nu(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot)$ and Theorem B in § 50 in [10], hence $\hat{\mu}(V) = \sup \{ \int f; f \in C_0(T), |f| \leq \chi_V \}$. The last equality implies that $\hat{\mu}: \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow [0, |U|]$ is uniformly exhaustive. Since any finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact G_δ sets can be mutually separated by the same number of pairwise disjoint sets from \mathcal{U}_0 , see Theorem D in § 50 in [10], $\hat{\mu}: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow [0, |U|]$ is also uniformly exhaustive. Since $\nu(\mu_{y^*}, E) = \sup \{ \nu(\mu_{y^*}, C); C \in \mathcal{C}_0, C \subset E \}$ for each $y^* \in Y^*$ and each $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ by the regularity of the Baire measure $\nu(\mu_{y^*}, \cdot)$, $\hat{\mu}(E) = \sup \{ \hat{\mu}(C); C \in \mathcal{C}_0, C \subset E \}$ for each $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$. Thus $\hat{\mu}: \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow [0, |U|]$ is uniformly exhaustive, hence U is weakly compact. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. Let X be a Banach space and consider the Banach space $C_0(T, X)$ of all X -valued continuous functions on T tending to zero at infinity with the supremum norm. It is well known that $C_0(T, X)^* = cabv(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0), X^*)$ — the Banach space of all countably additive X^* -valued Baire measures with bounded variations. Since reflexive Banach spaces have the Radon—Nikodým property, a subset $M \subset cabv(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0), X^*)$ is relatively weakly compact if and only if the subset $\{ \nu(\mu, \cdot); \mu \in M \} \subset ca(\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0))$ is relatively weakly compact, see [1], [2] and [4]. Hence for reflexive Banach spaces X Theorem 1 remains valid if $C_0(T)$ is replaced by $C_0(T, X)$. We note that the implications $1) \Rightarrow 2) \Leftrightarrow 3)$ of Theorem 1 hold for $C_0(T, X)$ for any Banach space X , see [1], [2] and Theorem 3 in [4] in this connection. In fact, above we proved that for any bounded linear operator $U: C_0(T, X) \rightarrow Y$, X being an arbitrary Banach space, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $\hat{\mu}$ (= the semivariation of the representing measure of U) is continuous on $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$,
- 2) $\hat{\mu}$ is uniformly exhaustive on $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$, and
- 3) U has the property (p) in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $p: C_0(T) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ have the properties 1)—4) of the Definition above, let $p(1) = \sup \{ p(f); f \in C_0(T), |f| \leq 1 \} < +\infty$, and let p have the property (p) from Theorem 1. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer M_ε such that for any collection $f_1, \dots, f_{M_\varepsilon} \in C_0(T)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{M_\varepsilon} |f_n| \leq 1$ there is at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, M_\varepsilon\}$ for which $p(f_n) \leq \varepsilon$

Proof Suppose the contrary. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each positive integer M there are M functions $f_1, \dots, f_M \in C_0(T)^+ = \{f; f \in C_0(T), f \geq 0\}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^M f_n \leq 1$ and $p(f_n) > \varepsilon$ for each $n = 1, \dots, M$

Let k be the smallest positive integer for which $p(1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{k}{2}$. Since $p(1) > \varepsilon$, $k \geq 5$.

If now $f \in C_0(T)^+$, $f \leq 1$ and $p(f) > \varepsilon$, then $\frac{2}{k} < \max_{t \in T} f(t) = \|f\|_T$ (otherwise we have the contradiction

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \frac{2}{k} \cdot p(1) \geq p(\|f\|_T) \geq p(f) > \varepsilon.$$

In this proof let N_δ for $\delta > 0$ denote the smallest positive integer corresponding to δ according to the property (p) of p . Put $M = N_{\varepsilon/8} + \dots + N_{\varepsilon/2^{k+1}}$. Then by assumption there are functions $f_1, \dots, f_M \in C_0(T)^+$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^M f_n \leq 1$ and $p(f_n) > \varepsilon$ for each $n = 1, \dots, M$. To each f_n we construct two functions φ_n and ψ_n in the following way: We put

$$E_{n,0} = \left\{ t: t \in T, f_n(t) \leq \frac{5}{4k} \right\}, \quad E_{n,1} = \left\{ t: t \in T, f_n(t) \geq \frac{6}{4k} \right\},$$

$$F_{n,0} = \left\{ t: t \in T, f_n(t) \leq \frac{6}{4k} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{n,1} = \left\{ t: t \in T, f_n(t) \geq \frac{7}{4k} \right\}.$$

Then $E_{n,0} \cap E_{n,1} = \emptyset$, $E_{n,1} \neq \emptyset \left(\frac{2}{k} < \|f_n\|_T \right)$, $E_{n,0}$ is a closed and $E_{n,1}$ a compact subset of T . We put $\varphi_n = 1$ if $E_{n,0} = \emptyset$. If $E_{n,0} \neq \emptyset$, then according to Theorem B in § 50 in [10] we take a function $\varphi_n \in C_0(T)^+$ such that $\varphi_n \leq 1$, $\varphi_n(t) = 0$ for $t \in E_{n,0}$, and $\varphi_n(t) = 1$ for $t \in E_{n,1}$. Similarly we put $\psi_n = 1$ if $F_{n,0} = \emptyset$, and if $F_{n,0} \neq \emptyset$, then we take a function $\psi_n \in C_0(T)^+$ such that $\psi_n \leq 1$, $\psi_n(t) = 0$ for $t \in F_{n,0}$, and $\psi_n(t) = 1$ for $t \in F_{n,1}$.

Clearly

$$t \in T, \varphi_n(t) > 0 \Rightarrow f_n(t) > \frac{1}{k}, \quad (1 - \varphi_n)\psi_n = 0,$$

and

$$f_n = \psi_n f_n + (1 - \psi_n) f_n < \psi_n f_n + \frac{2}{k}.$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\varepsilon < p(f_n) \leq p(\psi_n f_n) + \frac{2}{k} p(1) \leq p(\psi_n f_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

hence $p(\psi_n f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for each $n = 1, \dots, M$.

Put $n_{1,1} = 1$. Let $n_{1,2}$ be the first $n \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ for which

$$p((1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}})\psi_n f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2},$$

if it exists. Let $n_{1,3}$ be the first $n \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ for which

$$p((1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}})(1 - \varphi_{n_{1,2}})\psi_n f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2},$$

if it exists. In general, let $n_{1, r}$ be the first $n \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ for which

$$p((1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,r-1}}) \psi_{n_1} f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^r},$$

if it exists. Since the functions $\psi_{n_{1,1}}, (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \psi_{n_{1,2}}, \dots, (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,r-1}}) \psi_{n_{1,r}}$ are pairwise orthogonal elements of $C_0(T)^+$ with values in $[0, 1]$, continuing in this manner, owing to the property (p) of p we may arrive only to some $r_1 < N_{\varepsilon_1^2}$.

Put

$$J_1 = \{n_{1,1}, \dots, n_{1,r_1}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_1 = \varphi_{n_{1,1}} + (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \varphi_{n_{1,2}} + \dots + (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,r_1-1}}) \varphi_{n_{1,r_1}}.$$

Since

$$1 - \alpha_1 = (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{1,r_1}}), \quad p((1 - \alpha_1) \psi_{n_1} f_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{r_1}}$$

for each $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - J_1$. Thus

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < p(\psi_{n_1} f_n) \leq p(\alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) + p((1 - \alpha_1) \psi_{n_1} f_n) \leq p(\alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{r_1}},$$

hence $p(\alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{r_1}}$ for each $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - J_1$.

Let $n_{2,1}$ be the smallest number from $\{1, \dots, M\} - J_1$. Let $n_{2,2}$ be the first $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - J_1$ for which

$$p((1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) \alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^2},$$

if it exists. Let $n_{2,3}$ be the first $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - J_1$ for which

$$p((1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,2}}) \alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^3},$$

if it exists etc. Since the functions $\psi_{n_{2,1}}, (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) \psi_{n_{2,2}}, \dots, (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,r_2-1}}) \psi_{n_{2,r_2}}$ are pairwise orthogonal elements of $C_0(T)^+$ with values in $[0, 1]$, continuing in this manner, owing to property (p) of p , we may arrive only to some $r_2 < N_{\varepsilon_2^2}$.

Put

$$J_2 = \{n_{2,1}, \dots, n_{2,r_2}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_2 = \varphi_{n_{2,1}} + (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) \varphi_{n_{2,2}} + \dots + (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,1}}) \dots (1 - \varphi_{n_{2,r_2-1}}) \varphi_{n_{2,r_2}}.$$

Then $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$, $\{1, \dots, M\} - (J_1 \cup J_2) \neq \emptyset$, and similarly as above

$$p(\alpha_2 \alpha_1 \psi_{n_1} f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{r_1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{r_2}}$$

for each $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - (J_1 \cup J_2)$.

Continuing in this way we obtain pairwise disjoint sets $J_1, \dots, J_{k-1} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$ such that $1 \leq \text{card } J_i < N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, hence $\{1, \dots, M\} - (J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{k-1}) \neq \emptyset$, and functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$ of the form

$$\alpha_i = \varphi_{n_{i,1}} + (1 - \varphi_{n_{i,1}})\varphi_{n_{i,2}} + \dots + (1 - \varphi_{n_{i,1}}) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \varphi_{n_{i,n_i}})\varphi_{n_{i,n_i}},$$

$i = 1, \dots, k-1$, such that

$$p(\alpha_{k-1}\alpha_{k-2} \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_1 \psi_n f_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2} - \dots - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^{k-1}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for each $n \in \{1, \dots, M\} - (J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{k-1})$.

Take some $n_0 \in \{1, \dots, M\} - (J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{k-1})$. Then by the last inequality there must be a point $t_0 \in T$ such that

$$\alpha_{k-1}(t_0) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_1(t_0) \psi_{n_0}(t_0) > 0.$$

But then $\psi_{n_0}(t_0) > 0$, hence $f_{n_0}(t_0) > \frac{1}{k}$. Further $\alpha_i(t_0) > 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, hence by the definition of α_i there exists an $n_{i,j} \in J_i$ such that $\varphi_{n_{i,j}}(t_0) > 0$. But then $f_{n_{i,j}}(t_0) > \frac{1}{k}$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^M f_n(t_0) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{n_{i,j}}(t_0) + f_{n_0}(t_0) > 1,$$

which contradicts the assumption $\sum_{n=1}^M f_n \leq 1$. The theorem is proved.

Corollary. Let $p: C_0(T) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ have the properties 1)–4) of the Definition above, and let, for each $g \in C_0(T)^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer $N_{g,\varepsilon}$ such that for any collection $f_1, \dots, f_{N_{g,\varepsilon}} \in C_0(T)^+$ of pairwise orthogonal functions with $\sum_{n=1}^{N_{g,\varepsilon}} f_n \leq g$ there is at least one $n \in \{1, \dots, N_{g,\varepsilon}\}$ for which $p(f_n) \leq \varepsilon$. Then the same is true without assuming pairwise orthogonality.

Proof. For $g \in C_0(T)^+$ it is enough to put $p_g(f) = p(g \wedge |f|)$, $f \in C_0(T)$, and apply the theorem.

Remark 2. Let T be an arbitrary set and let $\mathcal{R} \subset 2^T$ be a ring. Then it is easy to prove that Theorem 2 and its Corollary remains valid if $C_0(T)$ is replaced by $S(\mathcal{R})$ — the space of all \mathcal{R} — simple scalar valued functions on T . There are many other spaces for which the assertion of Theorem 2 and its Corollary are valid. Nevertheless the author was unable to solve the following

Problem. Does Theorem 2 hold if $C_0(T)$ is replaced by an arbitrary Riesz space?

Remark 3. In a forthcoming paper the assertion of Theorem 2 will be proved for arbitrary Lebesgue pseudonorm on $C_0(T)$ or on $S(\mathcal{R})$. The proof essentially uses the Hahn—Banach theorem, see section 5.3 in [8].

Remark 4. By a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2 we can achieve that the assertion of Theorem 2 remains to hold if the property 3) of p : $p(af) = |a| \cdot p(f)$ for each scalar a , is weakened to 3w): $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(\frac{f}{n}\right) = 0$, and the subadditivity of p is weakened to 4w): for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $p(f + g) \leq p(f) + \varepsilon$ whenever $p(g) < \delta$. The same is true if $C_0(T)$ is replaced by $S(\mathcal{R})$. We note that for so weakened p the validity of the result in Remark 3 is an open question.

Remark 5. The given method of proof of Theorem 2 may be applied to prove that condition 5) in the Definition above may be replaced by condition 5d), compare with 24H in [7] Namely, suppose 5d) \Rightarrow 5). Then there are $g \in C_0(T)^+$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $f_n \in C_0(T)^+$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \leq 1$ and $p_g(f_n) = p(g \wedge f_n) > \varepsilon$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Take a positive integer k so that $\frac{2}{k} \cdot p_g(1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and construct the functions φ_n and ψ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ as in the proof of Theorem 2. Since the functions $\psi_1, (1 - \varphi_1)\psi_2, \dots, (1 - \varphi_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \varphi_{n-1})\psi_n, \dots$ are pairwise orthogonal elements of $C_0(T)^+$ with values in $[0, 1]$, by 5d) there is a positive integer $r_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$p_g((1 - \varphi_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \varphi_{r_1})\psi_{r_1}f_{r_1}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2}$$

for each $n > r_1$. Put $\alpha_1 = \varphi_1 + (1 - \varphi_1)\varphi_2 + \dots + (1 - \varphi_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \varphi_{r_1-1})\varphi_{r_1}$. Then

$$p_g(\alpha_1\psi_{r_1}f_{r_1}) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2}$$

for each $n > r_1$. Since the functions $\psi_{r_1+1}, (1 - \varphi_{r_1+1})\psi_{r_1+2}, \dots, (1 - \varphi_{r_1+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \varphi_{r_1+n-1})\psi_{r_1+n}, \dots$ are pairwise orthogonal ... etc. Continuing in this way we obtain a contradiction with the assumption $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \leq 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BATT, J.: Applications of the Orlicz—Pettis Theorem to operator-valued measures and compact and weakly compact linear transformations on the space of continuous functions. *Revue Roumaine Math. Pure Appl.* 14 (1969), 907—935.
- [2] BATT, J.: On weak compactness in spaces of vector-valued measures and Bochner-integrable

- functions in connection with the Radon—Nikodým property of Banach spaces. *Revue Roumaine Math. Pure Appl.* 19 (1974), 285—304.
- [3] DOBRAKOV, I.: On subadditive operators on $C_0(T)$. *Bull. Acad. Polonaise Sciences Math. Astr. Phys.* 20 (1972), 561—562.
- [4] DOBRAKOV, I.: On representation of linear operators on $C_0(T, X)$. *Czech. Math. J.* 21 (96) (1971), 13—30.
- [5] DOBRAKOV, I.: On submeasures I. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 112, Warszawa 1974.
- [6] DUNFORD, N., SCHWARTZ, J. T.: *Linear operators, part I.* Interscience, New York 1958.
- [7] FREMLIN, D. H.: *Topological Riesz spaces and measure theory.* Cambridge University Press 1974.
- [8] FUGLEDE, B.: Capacity as a sublinear functional generalizing an integral. *Det Kongelige Danske videnskabernes Selskab, Matematik-fysiske Meddelelser*, København 1971.
- [9] GOULD, G. G.: Integration over vector-valued measures, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 15 (1965), 193—225.
- [10] HALMOS, P. R.: *Measure theory*, D. Van Nostrand, New York 1950.
- [11] THOMAS, E. G. F.: On Radon maps with values in arbitrary topological vector spaces, and their integral extensions. Yale University 1972.

Received February 18, 1980

*Matematický ústav SAV
Obrancov mieru 49
814 73 Bratislava*

ОБ ПОЛУНОРМАХ ЛЕБЕГА НА $C_0(T)$

Иван Добраков

Резюме

Пусть T есть локально компактное хаусдорфово пространство. Обозначим $C_0(T)$ банахово пространство всех непрерывных скалярных функций на T стремящихся к нулю в бесконечности с равномерной нормой. Далее, пусть Y есть банахово пространство. В работе доказана и расширена следующая характеристика слабо компактных линейных операторов $U: C_0(T) \rightarrow Y$, анонсированная в [3, Теорема 1]:

Теорема 1. *Ограниченный линейный оператор $U: C_0(T) \rightarrow Y$ является слабо компактным тогда и только тогда, когда он имеет следующее свойство:*

- (p) для каждого $\epsilon > 0$ существует натуральное число N_ϵ такое, что для любого набора $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{N_\epsilon} \in C_0(T)$ с $\|f_n\|_T \leq 1$ и $f_n \cdot f_m = 0$ для $n \neq m$, $n, m = 1, 2, \dots, N_\epsilon$ существует хотя бы одно $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_\epsilon\}$, для которого $|Uf_n| \leq \epsilon$.