

Ivan Korec; Štefan Znam

On disjoint covering of groups by their cosets

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 1, 3--7

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129020>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON DISJOINT COVERING OF GROUPS BY THEIR COSETS

IVAN KOREC—ŠTEFAN ZNÁM

A system of residue classes of the additive group of integers Z

$$(1) \quad a_i \pmod{n_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, k (\geq 2)$$

is said to be disjoint covering if every integer belongs to exactly one of the residue classes (1).

Among other facts the following properties of disjoint covering systems are known (see [1]):

A. $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} = 1;$

B. $(n_i, n_j) > 1$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

Our article is devoted to the study of the disjoint covering of any group by its cosets (an obvious generalization of the above mentioned problem), and properties analogous to *A* and *B* are shown. Further we show that for studying this problem it is sufficient to consider finite groups only.

I

Let G be a group, $G_1, \dots, G_k, k > 1$ be its subgroups (not necessary distinct) and a_1, \dots, a_k some elements of G .

The sequence

$$(2) \quad a_1 G_1, \dots, a_k G_k$$

will be called a disjoint covering system (DCS) of G if every element of G belongs to exactly one coset of (2) (see [3]).

Remark. For any subgroup H and any element x of G the equality $Hx = x(x^{-1}Hx)$ holds and therefore every right coset of G is also a left coset. Hence it is sufficient to consider DCS consisting of left cosets only.

Denote by $[G : H]$ the index of the subgroup H in G .

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group, G_1, \dots, G_k its subgroups, $H = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_k$. Then*

$$[G : H] \cong [G : G_1] \dots [G : G_k].$$

Proof. Every coset xH can be written as $xG_1 \cap \dots \cap xG_k$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there are $[G : G_i]$ possibilities for the choice of xG_i .

Theorem 1. *If (2) is a DCS of G , then the indices $[G : G_i]$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) are finite.*

Proof. Let k be the smallest natural for which there exists a DCS (2) of some group G in which $[G : G_r]$ is infinite for some r . Consider two possibilities:

- a) there exist some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ is infinite;
- b) all the indices $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ are finite.

a) Without loss of generality we can suppose $a_i = e$. Consider the sequence

$$(3) \quad G_i \cap a_1 G_1, \dots, G_i \cap a_j G_j, \dots, G_i \cap a_k G_k.$$

Obviously $G_i \cap a_j G_j = G_i \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$. The index $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ is infinite, therefore the non-empty elements of (3) form a DCS of G_i with less than k members and with at least one infinite index. This is a contradiction.

b) Denote $H = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_k$. At first we prove that $[G_s : H]$ is finite for all $s = 1, \dots, k$. Obviously $H = (G_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_s) \cap \dots \cap (G_k \cap G_s)$ holds. By Lemma 1 we get

$$[G_s : H] \cong [G_s : G_1 \cap G_s] \dots [G_s : G_k \cap G_s].$$

All factors on the right-hand side are finite and hence $[G_s : H]$ is finite, too.

For every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the coset $a_i G_i$ consists of $[G_i : H]$ cosets by H and hence the group G consists of $\sum_{i=1}^k [G_i : H]$ cosets by H , i.e.

$$(4) \quad [G : H] = \sum_{i=1}^k [G_i : H].$$

From (4) it follows that $[G : H]$ is finite (all summands on the right are finite). Then from

$$(5) \quad [G : H] = [G : G_r] \cdot [G_r : H] \quad (\text{see [2]})$$

we get a contradiction.

Theorem 2. *Let (2) be a DCS of a group G ; denote $n_i = [G : G_i]$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} = 1.$$

Proof. Denote $H = \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \dots \cap G_k$. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 the index $[G : H]$ is finite. Then the indices $[G_1 : H], \dots, [G_k : H]$ are also finite and similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain (4). Thus we get

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{[G_i : H]}{[G : H]} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{[G_i : H]}{[G : G_i] \cdot [G_i : H]} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i}.$$

Remark. Theorem 2 obviously generalizes the property A of the DCS of Z.

Theorem 3. *Let (2) be a DCS of G; let $[G : G_i] = n_i$. Then*

$$(n_i, n_j) > 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. Denote $n_{ij} = [G : G_i \cap G_j]$. By Lemma 1

$$(6) \quad n_{ij} \leq n_i n_j \quad \text{holds.}$$

From $[G : G_i \cap G_j] = [G : G_i] \cdot [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ it follows that $n_i \mid n_{ij}$. Similarly $n_j \mid n_{ij}$. Suppose $(n_i, n_j) = 1$; then from the preceding relations it follows that $n_i n_j \mid n_{ij}$ and hence by (6) we get

$$n_{ij} = n_i n_j.$$

Every coset by $G_i \cap G_j$ is an intersection of a coset by G_i and a coset by G_j . There are at most $n_i n_j$ such intersections; however there exists at least one empty intersection ($a_i G_i \cap a_j G_j = \emptyset$) and so $n_{ij} < n_i n_j$, which is a contradiction.

Remark. Theorem 3 generalizes the property B.

II

Definition. *If (2) is a DCS of G and $n_i = [G : G_i]$, then the sequence*

$$(7) \quad n_1, \dots, n_k$$

will be called the indexing of (2).

In this part we shall show that to study completely the problem of a disjoint covering of groups by their cosets it is sufficient to consider the finite groups only, because if (7) is the indexing of a DCS of some group then there exists also a finite group having a DCS with the indexing (7).

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group and K its subgroup with $[G : K] = n < \infty$. Then there exists such normal subgroup H of G that $H \subset K$ and $[G : H] \leq n^n$.*

Proof. By [2] there exist exactly $[G : N_G(K)]$ conjugate subgroups to K, where $N_G(K)$ is the normalizer of K in G. Let K_1, \dots, K_m be the list of all distinct conjugate subgroups to K in G. Obviously $m \leq n$. For all $i = 1, \dots, m$ $[G : K] = [G : K]$ holds. Now, denote

$$(8) \quad H = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_m.$$

By Lemma 1 we have $[G : H] \leq n^m$. It remains to prove that H is a normal subgroup of G. But from (8) for any $x \in G$ we have

$$x^{-1}Hx = (x^{-1}K_1x) \cap \dots \cap (x^{-1}K_mx).$$

On the right we have m distinct conjugate subgroups to K and hence all of them. Thus we get $x^{-1}Hx = H$ for every $x \in G$.

Theorem 4. *Let the finite sequence (7) be the indexing of a DCS of a group G . Then there exists also a finite group F (of the order at most n^n , where $n = n_1 \dots n_k$) having a DCS with the indexing (7).*

Proof. Denote $K = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_k$; by Lemma 1 $[G : K] \leq n$. By Lemma 2 there exists such a normal subgroup H of G that $H \subset K$ and $[G : H] \leq n^n$. Denote $F = G/H$, $F_i = G_i/H$, $b_i = a_iH$. The order of the factor-group F is equal to $[G : H]$, hence it is not greater than n^n . Obviously

$$b_1F_1, \dots, b_kF_k$$

is a DCS of the group F with the indexing (7).

Theorem 5. *Let the finite sequence (7) be the indexing of a DCS (2) of an Abelian group G . Then there exists also a finite Abelian group F (of the order at most $n = n_1 \dots n_k$) having a DCS with the indexing (7).*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4 (put $H = K$).

Remark. Theorem 5 is an analogon of the following known fact. Denote Z_m the additive group of integers modulo m . Now, if a DCS of Z with moduli n_1, \dots, n_k exists, then there exists a DCS with the same moduli also for Z_m , where $m = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$. It can be shown that in Theorem 5 the product $n_1 \dots n_k$ cannot be in general replaced by $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$.

From Theorems 4 and 5 we get:

Theorem 6. *The following problems are recursively solvable*

- a) *whether to a given sequence (7) of naturals there exists a group G having a DCS with the indexing (7);*
- b) *whether to a given sequence (7) of naturals there exists an Abelian group G having a DCS with the indexing (7).*

REFERENCES

- [1] ERDŐS, P.: Egy kongruenciarendszerekről szóló problémáról. Matematikai Lapok, 4, 1952, 122—128.
- [2] HALL, M.: The theory of groups. The MacMillan Company, New York, 1959.
- [3] HEJNÝ, M.—ZNÁM, Š.: Coset decomposition of the Abelian groups. Acta F.R.N. Univ. Comen., Mathematica, 25, 1971, 15—19.

Received February 28, 1975

*Katedra algebrы a teórie čísel
Prírodovedeckej fakulty Univerzity Komenského
Mlynská dolina
816 31 Bratislava*

О ТОЧНО ПОКРЫВАЮЩИХ СИСТЕМАХ ГРУПП СОСТОЯЩИХ ИЗ СМЕЖНЫХ КЛАССОВ

Иван Корец, Стефан Знак

Резюме

Пусть G группа, a_1, \dots, a_p ($k \geq 2$) элементы G и G_1, \dots, G_k подгруппы G . Конечная последовательность (2) смежных классов группы G называется точно покрывающей системой группы G , если всякий элемент G принадлежит одному и только одному классу из (2). Индексированием системы (2) называется конечная последовательность (7), где $n_i = [G : G_i]$ обозначает индекс G_i в G . Доказывается, что

- 1) все n_i натуральные числа и $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} = 1$;
- 2) среди элементов последовательности (7) не существуют два взаимно простых элемента;
- 3) проблема, является ли данная конечная последовательность натуральных чисел индексированием любой точно покрывающей системы, алгоритмически разрешима.