

Nanda Dulal Banerjee; Chhanda Bandyopadhyay  
Semi-closed sets and the associated topology

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 2, 225--229

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129062>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## SEMI-CLOSED SETS AND THE ASSOCIATED TOPOLOGY

N. D. BANERJEE—CHHANDA BANDYOPADHYAY

### Introduction

Let  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  be a topological space. Let  $A \subset X$  then following N. Levine [4]  $A$  is said to be semi-open iff there exists a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $O$  such that  $O \subset A \subset \bar{O}$  where  $(\bar{\quad})$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{T}$ -closure. A set  $F \subset X$  is said to be semi-closed iff  $X - F$  is semi-open. In [2] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand have defined the semi-closure  $scl A$  of a set  $A \subset X$  as follows:

$scl A \cap \{F: F \text{ is a semi-closed set containing } A\}$ . They have proved that  $A$  is semi-closed iff  $A = scl A$ . They have introduced in [2] another set  $D_A \subset X$  for each set  $A \subset X$  such that  $scl A(A \cup D_A \cup B) = A \cup D_A \cup scl B$  for all subsets  $B \subset X$ , and that  $D_A$  is minimal in the sense that if any set  $C \subset X$  satisfies this relation, we have  $D_A \subset C$ . By defining  $c: \mathbf{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(X)$  by the rule:  $cA = A \cup D_A$  for all  $A \in \mathbf{P}(X)$  where  $\mathbf{P}(X)$  denotes the family of all subsets of  $X$ . It follows that the operator  $c$  is a Kuratowski-closure operator in  $X$ . The topology induced by the Kuratowski closure operator  $c$  on  $X$ , is denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ . In [2] it has been shown that  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$  is finer than  $\mathfrak{T}$  on  $X$ . The purpose of this paper is to study properties of  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ . In §1 of this paper, we have established a characterization of open sets in  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$  and deduced that  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$  does not associate any more real-valued continuous function than  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  does, where we take the space  $\mathcal{R}$  of reals with usual topology  $\mathfrak{ll}$ . If  $C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R})$  is the class of continuous functions over  $\mathcal{R}$  (into self). We have sought informations in §2 for  $f: (R, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{ll})) \rightarrow$  itself to be continuous whenever  $f \in C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{ll})$ . We have also studied conditions for continuity of functions over topological spaces whenever the spaces are equipped with finer topologies of the kind as stated above:

**§1. Theorem 1.1.** *For a topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  a subset  $G \subset X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$  iff for each  $x \in G$  there is an  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $x$  such that  $(\bar{G}^\circ) \supset N_0$ , where  $(\quad)^\circ$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{T}$ -interior.*

**Proof:** The proof is based on the following characterisation of the set  $D_A$  for any set  $A \subset X$ :

$$D_A = \{p \in X: p \notin A \text{ and for every neighbourhood } N \text{ of } p, (\overline{N \cap A}) \neq \Phi\}$$

This characterization has been obtained by C. Banerjee in her Ph. D. Thesis [1]. Its analogue in the classical setting of the space  $(R, \mathbb{I})$  can be found in [3]. For necessity part, let  $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{T})$ . So  $X - G$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{T})$ -closed. Put  $F = X - G$ . Clearly  $cF = F$  and  $D_F = \Phi$ . Take a point  $x \in G$ . Clearly  $x \notin F$  and since  $D_F = \Phi$ , it follows that there exists a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $x$ , such that  $(\overline{N_0 \cap F})^\circ = \Phi$ . So for  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open subset  $V \subset N_0$ , there is a point  $\xi \in V$  such that  $\xi \in \overline{N_0 \cap F}$ . This shows that there exists a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_\xi$  of  $\xi$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad N_\xi \cap (N_0 \cap F) = \Phi.$$

Put  $W = V \cap N_\xi$ . Clearly  $W$  is a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood of  $\xi$  and

$$(1.2) \quad \xi \in W \subset V \subset N.$$

From (1.1) it follows that  $W \cap (N_0 \cap F) = \Phi$ . This implies that  $W \cap F = \Phi$  i. e.  $W \subset G$ .

Hence  $\xi \in G^\circ$ , and from (1.2) we  $V \cap G^\circ \neq \Phi$ . This shows that  $G^\circ$  is everywhere dense in  $N_0$  i. e.  $(\overline{G^\circ}) \supset N_0$ . For sufficiency part let  $G \subset X$  and suppose that condition of Theorem 1.1 holds for  $G$ . We show that  $G$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open by showing  $F = X - G$  to be  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{T})$ -closed. It suffices to show that  $D_F = \Phi$ . If possible, let  $\xi \in D_F$ . Then  $\xi \notin F$  and for every neighbourhood  $N$  of  $\xi$  we have  $(\overline{N \cap F})^\circ \neq \Phi$ . Clearly  $\xi \in G$  and let  $N_0$  be a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood of  $\xi$  such that  $G^\circ$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ . Hence by the above argument, we have  $(\overline{N_0 \cap F})^\circ \neq \Phi$ . Let  $V$  be a nonempty  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set such that

$$(1.3) \quad V \subset (\overline{N_0 \cap F})$$

Clearly  $V \cap N_0 \neq \Phi$ . Putting  $V' = V \cap N_0$ ,  $V'$  is a non-empty  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set such that  $V' \cap G^\circ = \Phi$ , for otherwise let  $\beta \in V' \cap G^\circ$ . So there exists a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $W$  such that  $\beta \in W \subset G$ . This implies that  $W \cap F = \Phi$  i. e.  $\beta \notin \overline{F}$ , which is a contradiction of (1.3), since  $V' \subset V$ . Hence  $V' \cap G^\circ = \Phi$ .

Now this contradicts the fact that  $G^\circ$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ . Thus we have shown  $D_F = \Phi$  and the proof is now complete.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{T})$  then for each  $x \in G$ , there is a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $x$  such that  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ .*

We now recall the following definition as in [5].

**Definition 1.1.** *If  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathfrak{T}')$  are topological spaces, then a function  $f: (X, \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{T}')$  is said to be quasi-continuous ( $q$ -continuous) at  $\xi \in X$  if for every  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $U$  containing  $\xi$  and every  $\mathfrak{T}'$ -open set  $V$  containing  $f(\xi)$ , we have  $(f^{-1}(V) \cap U)^\circ \neq \Phi$ .*

$f$  is said to be  $q$ -continuous whenever  $f$  is  $q$ -continuous everywhere in  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Every real-valued continuous function in  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$  is continuous in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ .

To prove this theorem we need a lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** Every real-valued continuous function in  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$  is  $q$ -continuous in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ .

Proof: Let  $f$  be a real-valued continuous function on  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$ . Let  $\xi \in X$  and let  $N_\xi$  be an  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood of  $\xi$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have  $N_\xi \cap f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \varepsilon, f(\xi) + \varepsilon) = A$  as  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open set containing  $\xi$  (since  $N_\xi$  is also  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open). By Theorem 1.1 there exists a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $\xi$  such that  $A^\circ \cap N_0 \neq \Phi$ . i. e., there is a non-empty  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $B$  such that  $B \subset A^\circ \cap N_0$ .

So  $B \subset A$ , and consequently  $(N_\xi \cap f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \varepsilon, f(\xi) + \varepsilon))^\circ \neq \Phi$ . This shows that  $f$  is  $q$ -continuous at  $\xi$ . Since  $\xi$  is any point in  $X$ ,  $f$  is  $q$ -continuous.

Proof of the theorem 1.2. Suppose theorem 1.2 is false. We seek a contradiction. Let there exist a point  $\xi \in X$  where  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ -continuous but  $\mathfrak{T}$ -discontinuous. So, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $V$  containing  $\xi$ , there exists a point  $\eta \in V$  such that  $f(\eta) \notin (f(\xi) - \delta, f(\xi) + \delta)$ . Choose  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad [f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta'] \subset (f(\xi) - \delta, f(\xi) + \delta)$$

It follows that  $f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta')$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open set containing  $\xi$ . So by Corollary 1.1 there is a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $G$  containing  $\xi$  such that  $f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta')$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $G$ . Clearly  $f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta')$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $G \cap V$ , which is a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set containing  $\xi$ . So, there is a point  $\eta' \in G \cap V$  such that by (1.4)  $f(\eta') \notin [f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta']$ . Choose  $\delta'' > 0$  such that  $(f(\eta') - \delta'', f(\eta') + \delta'') \cap (f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta') = \Phi$ . Now by Lemma 1.1,  $f$  is  $q$ -continuous. So  $f$  is  $q$ -continuous at  $\eta'$ . Therefore, there exists a non-empty  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $O$  such that  $O \subset G \cap V$  and  $f(O) \subset (f(\eta') - \delta'', f(\eta') + \delta'')$ . Clearly then  $f(O) \cap (f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta') = \Phi$ . This contradicts the fact that  $f^{-1}(f(\xi) - \delta', f(\xi) + \delta')$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $G \cap V$ . This is the desired contradiction, and we have proved the theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Every  $\mathfrak{T}$ -nowhere dense set  $A$  in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  is closed in  $(X, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T}))$ .

Proof: Let  $A$  be a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ . Then  $(\bar{A})^\circ = \Phi$ . It suffices to show that  $D_A = \Phi$ . If possible, let  $\xi \in D_A$ , then for every  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N$  of  $\xi$ , we have  $(\overline{N \cap A})^\circ \neq \Phi$ . i. e.  $(\bar{A})^\circ \neq \Phi$ , a contradiction. Hence  $D_A = \Phi$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** Every  $\mathfrak{T}$ -1st category set in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set of 1st category relative to  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ .

We close this section with the following remark.

Remark 1.1. The closed interval  $[0, 1]$  is not compact in  $(R, \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{I}))$ .

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then every  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{I})$ -closed subset in  $[0, 1]$  is  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{I})$ -compact in  $[0, 1]$ . Let us consider the subset  $B = \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}$ . By theorem 1.3

it follows that  $B$  is  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -closed in  $[0, 1]$ . But  $B$  is not  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -compact in  $[0, 1]$ . In fact let for each  $i$   $A_i = \left\{ \frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i+1}, \dots \right\}$ . Then arguing as above  $A_i$ 's are  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -closed subsets of  $B$  with finite intersection property, but  $\bigcap_i A_i = \Phi$ . Thus  $B$  fails to be  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -compact and hence  $[0, 1]$  is not  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -compact.

**§2. Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f: (R, \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow (R, \mathbb{I})$  be a continuous function such that  $f$  does not remain constant over any non-degenerate subinterval of  $R$  then  $f: (R, \tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})) \rightarrow (R, \tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I}))$  is continuous.*

*Proof:* If possible, let  $f$  be  $(\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I}) - \tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I}))$  discontinuous at a point  $\xi \in R$ . Then there exists an  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -open set  $G$  containing  $f(\xi)$  such that for every  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -open set  $V$  containing  $\xi$ , we have  $f(V) \not\subset G$ . Since  $f(\xi)$  is an  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -interior point of  $G$ , it follows that there exists an  $\mathbb{I}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $f(\xi)$  such that  $G^\circ$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ . Since  $f: (R, \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow (R, \mathbb{I})$  is continuous, it follows that  $f^{-1}(N_0)$  is an  $\mathbb{I}$ -open set containing the point  $\xi$ . Clearly  $(f^{-1}(N_0))^\circ$  is an  $\mathbb{I}$ -open set containing the point  $\xi$ . Clearly  $(f^{-1}(G))^\circ$  is not  $\mathbb{I}$ -everywhere dense in  $f^{-1}(N_0)$ , otherwise it follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $\xi$  is a  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})$ -interior point of  $f^{-1}(G)$  — a contradiction. Since  $(f^{-1}(G))^\circ$  is not  $\mathbb{I}$ -everywhere dense in  $f^{-1}(N_0)$ , there is an open interval (non-degenerate)  $I \subset f^{-1}(N_0)$  such that  $I \cap (f^{-1}(G))^\circ = \Phi$ . So  $R \setminus f^{-1}(G)$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -everywhere dense in  $I$ . Since  $f$  does not remain constant over any subinterval of  $R$ , it follows that there are two points  $\alpha, \beta \in I$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$ . Without loss of generality, we take  $f(\alpha) < f(\beta)$ . Since  $f(\alpha), f(\beta) \in N_0$  and  $N_0$  is open and since  $G^\circ$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ , we find a  $v \in G^\circ$  such that  $v \in (f(\alpha), f(\beta))$ . Since  $f: (R, \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow (R, \mathbb{I})$  is continuous, it satisfies the Dabroux Property. So find  $x' \in (\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $f(x') = v$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(v - \varepsilon, v + \varepsilon) \subset G$ . As  $f$  is  $(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I})$  continuous at  $x'$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x' - \delta, x' + \delta) \subset (v - \varepsilon, v + \varepsilon) \subset G$  without loss of generality, take  $\delta$  such that  $(\xi' - \delta, \xi' + \delta) \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ . Clearly  $(x' - \delta, x' + \delta) \cap R \setminus f^{-1}(G) = \Phi$ . This contradicts the fact that  $R \setminus f^{-1}(G)$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -dense in  $I$ . Hence  $f: (R, \tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I})) \rightarrow (R, \tilde{\delta}(\mathbb{I}))$  is shown to be continuous.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathfrak{T}')$  are topological spaces. If  $f: (X, \tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T})) \rightarrow (Y, \tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}'))$  is continuous and if  $(Y, \tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}'))$  is regular then  $f: (X, \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{T}')$  is continuous.*

*Proof:* If possible, let  $f: (X, \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{T}')$  be not continuous at a point  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there is a  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}')$ -neighbourhood  $G$  of  $f(x_0)$  in  $Y$  such that for any  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$ , there is a point  $\alpha \in U$  such that  $f(\alpha) \notin G$ . Since  $(Y, \tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}'))$  is regular, we can find a  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}')$ -open set  $V$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad f(x_0) \in V \subset \tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T}') - cI V \subset G.$$

Clearly,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open set containing  $x_0$ . So by Theorem 1.1, there is

a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $O$  of  $x_0$  such that  $(f^{-1}(V))^{\circ}$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $O$ . Now there exists a point  $\beta \in O$  such that  $f(\beta) \in G$ . From (2.1)  $f(\beta) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T}') - cV$ . There exists a  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T}')$ -open neighbourhood  $V'$  of  $f(\beta)$  in  $Y$  such that  $V' \cap V = \Phi$ . As  $f: (X, \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T})) \rightarrow (Y, \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T}'))$  is continuous, it follows that  $f^{-1}(V')$  is an  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open set containing  $\beta$ . Put

$$(2.2) \quad W = O \cap f^{-1}(V').$$

Clearly  $W$  is a non-empty  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{T})$ -open set containing  $\beta$ . So by Theorem 1.1 there is a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open neighbourhood  $N_0$  of  $\beta$  such that  $W^{\circ}$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $N_0$ . Put  $O' = N_0 \cap W^{\circ}$ . Clearly  $O'$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -open set  $\subset O$ . Clearly  $O' \cap f^{-1}(V) = \Phi$ . Otherwise  $V' \cap V \neq \Phi$ . Thus  $O' \cap (f^{-1}(V))^{\circ} = \Phi$ . This is impossible since  $(f^{-1}(V))^{\circ}$  is  $\mathfrak{T}$ -everywhere dense in  $O$ . This is the desired contradiction and we have proved the theorem.

**Acknowledgement:** The first named author wishes to thank Dr. N. D. Chakrabarti, University of Burdwan, for discussion.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BANERJEE, C.: Studies on Some structure and mappings in topological spaces. Ph. D. Thesis (Chapter I 15—19), 1980 Burdwan University.
- [2] CROSSLEY, S. G.—HILDEBRAND, S. K.: Semi-closure. Texas J. Sci. 22(2+3), 1971, 90—112.
- [3] CROSSLEY, S. G.—HILDEBRAND, S. K.: Semi-topological properties. Fundamenta Mathematicae 74, 1972, 233—254.
- [4] LEVINE, N. L.: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity: Amer. Math. Monthly 70, 1963, 36—41.
- [5] NEUBRUNN, T.: A generalised continuity and product spaces: Math. Slovaca 26, 1976, 97—99.

Received June 23, 1981

*Department of Mathematics  
The University of Burdwan  
BURDWAN. 713104  
West Bengal. India.*

#### ПОЛУЗАМКНУТЫЕ МНОЖЕСТВА И СООТВЕТСТВУЮЩАЯ ТОПОЛОГИЯ

N. D. Banerjee—Chhanda Bandyopadhyay

#### Резюме

В топологическом пространстве класс полузамкнутых множеств определяет более тонкую топологию. В этой работе изучаются квази-непрерывные функции из точки зрения этой топологии. Оказывается, что более тонкая топология этого пространства никак не связана с действительными непрерывными функциями над этим пространством.