

Milan Jasem

On weak isometries in multilattice groups

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 4, 337--340

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129082>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON WEAK ISOMETRIES IN MULTILATTICE GROUPS

MILAN JASEM

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a weak isometry in a distributive multilattice group  $G$ . In this paper it is proved that  $f$  is a bijection and  $f(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))) = U(L(f(x), f(y))) \cap L(U(f(x), f(y)))$  for each  $x, y \in G$ . This gives the positive answer to a question proposed in a recent paper by J. Jakubík concerning weak isometries in lattice ordered groups.

In [2] J. Jakubík proved that each weak isometry in a representable lattice ordered group is a bijection and put the question whether each weak isometry  $f$  in a lattice ordered group  $G$  satisfies the condition

$$f([x \wedge y, x \vee y]) = [f(x) \wedge f(y), f(x) \vee f(y)] \quad \text{for each } x, y \in G.$$

In this paper it is proved that each weak isometry in a distributive multilattice group is a bijection. This generalizes the above mentioned result of J. Jakubík on lattice ordered groups.

Further, it is shown that for each weak isometry  $f$  in a distributive multilattice group  $G$  the relation

$$f(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))) = U(L(f(x), f(y))) \cap L(U(f(x), f(y)))$$

is valid for each  $x, y \in G$ .

From this follows that the answer to the question of J. Jakubík is positive.

First we recall some notions and notations used in the paper.

Let  $C$  be a partially ordered group (po-group). The group operation will be written additively. We denote  $C^+ = \{x \in C, x \geq 0\}$ . If  $A \subseteq C$ , then we denote by  $U(A)$  and  $L(A)$  the set of all upper bounds and the set of all lower bounds of the set  $A$  in  $C$ , respectively. If  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , we shall write  $U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  for  $U(A)$  and  $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  for  $L(A)$ . For each  $a \in C$ ,  $|a| = U(a, -a)$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $C$ , then we denote by  $a \vee_m b$  the set of all minimal elements of the set  $U(a, b)$  and analogously  $a \wedge_m b$  is defined to be the set of all maximal elements of the set  $L(a, b)$ . If for  $a, b \in C$  there exists the least upper bound

(greatest lower bound) of the set  $\{a, b\}$  in  $C$ , then it will be denoted by  $a \vee b$  ( $a \wedge b$ ).

A mapping  $f: C \rightarrow C$  is called a weak isometry in  $C$  if  $|x - y| = |f(x) - f(y)|$  for each  $x, y \in C$ . A weak isometry  $f$  is called a weak 0-isometry if  $f(0) = 0$ .

The partially ordered set  $P$  is said to be a multilattice (Benado [1]) if it fulfils the following conditions for each pair  $a, b \in P$ :

(m<sub>1</sub>) If  $x \in U(a, b)$ , then there is  $x_1 \in a \vee_m b$  such that  $x_1 \leq x$ .

(m<sub>2</sub>) If  $y \in L(a, b)$ , then there is  $y_1 \in a \wedge_m b$  such that  $y_1 \geq y$ .

A multilattice  $P$  is called distributive if, whenever  $a, b, c$  are elements  $P$  such that

$$(a \vee_m b) \cap (a \vee_m c) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (a \wedge_m b) \cap (a \wedge_m c) \neq \emptyset,$$

then  $b = c$ .

Let  $G$  be a partially ordered group such that

- (i)  $G$  is directed,
- (ii) the partially ordered set  $(G, \leq)$  is a multilattice. Then  $G$  is called a multilattice group.

A quadruple  $(a, b, u, v)$  of elements of a multilattice group  $G$  is said to be regular if  $u \in a \wedge_m b$ ,  $v \in a \vee_m b$  and  $v - a = b - u$ .

**1. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a distributive multilattice group,  $f$  a weak 0-isometry in  $G$ . Let  $x \in G^+$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in G^+$  such that  $x = x_1 + x_2, f(x) = x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2 = x_2 + x_1, f(x_1) = x_1, f(x_2) = -x_2$ . Moreover,  $x_1 \vee x_2 = x, x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0, x_1 = f(x) \vee 0, x_2 = -f(x) \vee 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \geq 0$ , from the relation  $U(x) = |x| = |f(x)| = U(f(x), -f(x))$  we get  $x = -f(x) \vee f(x)$ . By 1 (i) [4],  $(-f(x), f(x), -f(x) - x + f(x), x)$  is a regular quadruple in  $G$ . Clearly  $-f(x) - x + f(x) \leq 0$ . Let  $y_2 \in -f(x) \wedge_m 0, y_2 \geq -f(x) - x + f(x)$ . According to Theorem 5 [4], there exist elements  $y_1 \in [-f(x) - x + f(x), f(x)], x_1 \in [f(x), x], x_2 \in [-f(x), x]$  such that  $(-f(x), 0, y_2, x_2), (0, f(x), y_1, x_1), (y_2, y_1, -f(x) - x + f(x), 0), (x_2, x_1, 0, x)$  are regular quadruples in  $G$ . clearly  $x_1 \vee x_2 = x, x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$ . Thus  $x = x_1 + x_2$  where  $x_1 \in U(0, f(x)), x_2 \in U(0, -f(x))$ . Let  $z \in U(0, f(x)), t \in U(0, -f(x))$ . Then  $z + x_2, x_1 + t \in U(f(x), -f(x)) = |f(x)| = |x| = U(x_1 + x_2)$ . From this we have  $z \geq x_1, t \geq x_2$ . Therefore  $x_1 = f(x) \vee 0, x_2 = -f(x) \vee 0$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $x_2 = x_1 - f(x) = -f(x) + x_1$ . From this we obtain  $f(x) = x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2 = x_2 + x_1$ .

Since  $x_1 \geq 0$ , from the relation  $|x_1| = |f(x_1)|$  we get  $f(x_1) \leq x_1, f(x_1) \geq -x_1$ . Then we have  $f(x_1) + x_2 \geq -x_1 + x_2 = x_2 - x_1 = -f(x)$ . Because of  $x_2 \geq 0$ , the relation  $|x_2| = |x_1 + x_2 - x_1| = |x - x_1| = |f(x) - f(x_1)| = |x_1 - x_2 - f(x_1)|$  implies that  $x_2 \geq x_1 - x_2 - f(x_1)$ . Thus  $f(x_1) + x_2 \geq x_1 - x_2 = f(x)$ . Therefore  $f(x_1) + x_2 \in U(-f(x), f(x))$ . Then  $f(x_1) + x_2 \geq x$ . From this we have  $f(x_1) \geq x_1$ . Thus  $f(x_1) = x_1$ .

Since  $x_2 \geq 0$ , from the relation  $|x_2| = |f(x_2)|$  we obtain  $x_2 \geq f(x_2)$ ,  $x_2 \geq -f(x_2)$ . Hence  $-f(x_2) + x_1 \geq -x_2 + x_1 = x_1 - x_2 = f(x)$ . Further, from the relation  $|x_1| = |x - x_2| = |f(x) - f(x_2)|$  we get  $x_1 \geq f(x_2) - f(x)$ . Then we have  $-f(x_2) + x_1 \geq -f(x)$ . Because of  $x = -f(x) \vee f(x)$ , we infer that  $-f(x_2) + x_1 \geq x$ . Therefore  $f(x_2) = -x_2$ .

Let  $g$  be a weak 0-isometry in a po-group  $H$ ,  $A_1 = \{x \in H^+, g(x) = x\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{x \in H^+, g(x) = -x\}$ ,  $A = A_1 - A_1$ ,  $B = B_1 - B_1$ . In [3] it was proved that  $A$  is a group [Lemma 1.8],  $B$  is an abelian group [Lemma 1.9] and  $f(a + b) = a - b$  for each  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  [Theorem 1.13].

Under these denotations, we now establish the following two theorems.

**2. Theorem.** *Let  $a \in A_1$ ,  $b \in B_1$ . Then  $a + b = b + a = a \vee b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x = a + b$ , where  $a \in A_1$ ,  $b \in B_1$ . By 1.13 [3],  $g(a + b) = a - b$ . Thus  $a \in U(0, g(x))$ ,  $b \in U(0, -g(x))$ . Let  $z \in U(0, g(x))$ ,  $t \in U(0, -g(x))$ . Hence  $z + b$ ,  $a + t \in U(g(x), -g(x)) = |g(x)| = |x| = U(a + b)$ . From this we have  $z \geq a$ ,  $t \geq b$ . Therefore  $a = g(x) \vee 0 = (a - b) \vee 0$ ,  $b = -g(x) \vee 0 = (b - a) \vee 0$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $a + b = b + a = a \vee b$ .

**3. Theorem.** *Let  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Then  $a + b = b + a$ .*

*Proof.* It is a consequence of 2.

**4. Theorem.** *Each weak isometry in a distributive multilattice group is a bijection.*

*Proof.* Since each weak isometry in a po-group is an injection [3, Lemma 1.2] and a superposition of a weak 0-isometry and a right translation [3, Lemma 1.1], it suffices to prove that a weak 0-isometry  $f$  in a distributive multilattice group  $G$  is a surjection. Let  $x \in G$ . Since  $G$  is a directed group,  $x = y - z$  where  $y, z \in G^+$ . By Theorem 1, there exist  $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in G^+$  such that  $f(y_1) = y_1$ ,  $f(y_2) = -y_2$ ,  $f(z_1) = z_1$ ,  $f(z_2) = -z_2$ ,  $y = y_1 + y_2$ ,  $z = z_1 + z_2$ . From 3, 1.9 and 1.13 [3] it follows that  $f(y_1 - z_1 + (-y_2 + z_2)) = y_1 - z_1 - z_2 + y_2 = y_1 - z_1 + y_2 - z_2 = y_1 + y_2 - z_1 - z_2 = y - z = x$ . This ends the proof.

Theorem 4 generalizes the proposition (A) of J. Jakubík [2] on lattice ordered groups.

**5. Theorem.** *Let  $f$  be a weak isometry in a distributive multilattice group  $G$ . Then  $f(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))) = U(L(f(x), f(y))) \cap L(U(f(x), f(y)))$  for each  $x, y \in G$ .*

*Proof.* In [4] the desired relation was proved for any weak isometry in a distributive multilattice group which is a bijection (Theorem 18). Hence the theorem is a consequence of 4 and Theorem 18 [4].

Theorem 5 gives the positive answer to a question proposed by J. Jakubík in [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] BENADO, M.: Sur la théorie de la divisibilité. Acad. R. P. Romine. Bul. Sti. Sect. Mat. Fyz. 6, 1954, 263—270.
- [2] JAKUBÍK, J.: Weak isometries of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38, 1988, 133—138.
- [3] JASEM, M.: Weak isometries and isometries in partially ordered groups. Algebra Universalis (submitted).
- [4] JASEM, M.: Isometries in non-abelian multilattice groups. Czech. Math. J. (submitted).

Received December 23, 1988

*Katedra matematiky ČHTF SVŠT  
Radlinského 9  
812 37 Bratislava*