

Peter Horák

Digraphs maximal with respect to connectivity

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 87--90

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129085>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DIGRAPHS MAXIMAL WITH RESPECT TO CONNECTIVITY

PETER HORÁK

The digraphs considered in this paper are finite without loops and multiple arcs.

The strong (unilateral, weak) connectivity $\kappa^3 = \kappa^3(G)$ ($\kappa^2 = \kappa^2(G)$, $\kappa^1 = \kappa^1(G)$) of a digraph G is the minimum number of points whose removal results in a not strong (unilateral, weak) or trivial digraph.

We shall describe constructively and determine the number of digraphs maximal with respect to the strong or unilateral or weak connectivity, respectively.

Maximal graphs with a given vertex (edge) connectivity have been studied in [1].

Let digraphs G_1 and G_2 have disjoint sets V_1 and V_2 of points and disjoint arc sets E_1 and E_2 , respectively.

Their union is the digraph $G = G_1 \cup G_2$, which has the point set $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and the arc set $E = E_1 \cup E_2$.

Their join $G_1 + G_2$ consists of $G_1 \cup G_2$ and all arcs joining V_1 with V_2 .

Their directional join $G_1 \oplus G_2$ consists of $G_1 \cup G_2$ and all arcs going from V_1 to V_2 .

It is clear that the directional join is not a commutative operation.

Definition 1. Let G be a not complete digraph and n a nonnegative integer. Then G is called κ_n^i -maximal if $\kappa^i(G) = n$ and $\kappa^i(G + x) > \kappa^i(G)$ holds for every arc $x \in E(\bar{G})$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$).

All notions not defined here will be used in the sense of [2]. The symbol K_n denotes here the complete digraph on n points.

Theorem 1. Let G be a digraph and n be a natural number. Then G is κ_n^i -maximal if and only if $G \approx K_n + D$, where D is a κ_0^i -maximal digraph (for $i = 1, 2, 3$).

Proof. Let $G \approx K_n + D$ and be κ_0^i -maximal. Let us denote $V(G) = A \cup B$, where $A = V(K_n)$, $B = V(D)$.

Since $\kappa^i(G - A) = \kappa^i(D) = 0$, we have $\kappa^i(G) \leq n$. If $C \subset V(G)$, $|C| \leq n$, $C \neq A$, then by $G - C = K_n + D'$ it follows that the digraph $G - C$ is strong. Thus $\kappa^i(G) = n$.

Let $x \in E(\bar{G})$. Then $\kappa^i((G+x) - A) = \kappa^i(D+x) > 0$, because the digraph D is κ_0^i -maximal. Now $\kappa^i((G+x) - C) \geq \kappa^i(G - C) > 0$ implies $\kappa^i(G+x) > n$, i.e. the digraph G is κ_n^i -maximal.

Let G be κ_n^i -maximal. Then there exists a set A of points of G such that $|A| = n$ and $\kappa^i(G - A) = 0$. We denote by D the digraph $G - A$. From the κ_n^i -maximality of G it follows that $G \approx K_n + D$. To finish our proof we must show that the digraph D is κ_0^i -maximal. We shall prove it indirectly.

Let $x \in E(\bar{G})$ and $\kappa^i(D+x) = 0$. Then $\kappa^i(G+x) = n$ and this is a contradiction because G is κ_n^i -maximal. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a digraph. Then G is*

- a) κ_0^1 -maximal if and only if $G \approx K_a \cup K_b$,
- b) κ_0^2 -maximal if and only if either $G \approx K_a \cup K_b$, or $G \approx K_c \oplus (K_a \cup K_b)$ or $G \approx (K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c$ or $G \approx K_d \oplus ((K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c)$,
- c) κ_0^3 -maximal if and only if $G \approx K_a \oplus K_b$.

Proof. One can easily verify that the sufficient condition in all three assertions holds.

Let G be κ_0^i -maximal. If S is a strong component of the digraph G , then the κ_0^i -maximality of G implies $S \approx K_a$.

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n be the strong components of G .

a) If $i = 1$, then the digraph G is disconnected and from the κ_0^1 -maximality of G it follows that G consists of exactly two strong components. Thus $G \approx K_a \cup K_b$.

b) If $i = 2$, then the digraph G is not unilateral, hence there exist two points $u \in S_r, v \in S_t$ such that u cannot be reached from v and v cannot be reached from u .

Let us put

$$\mathcal{M} = \{S_j, j \neq r, j \neq t, S_j \text{ can be reached from } S_r \text{ or from } S_t\}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \{S_j, j \neq r, j \neq t, S_j \notin \mathcal{M}\}.$$

The κ_0^2 -maximality of G implies that \mathcal{M} (and analogously \mathcal{N}) is empty or it contains exactly one strong component.

We have to consider only four cases.

1. Let $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset, \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$. Then $G \approx K_a \cup K_b$.
2. Let $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset, \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. Then $G \approx K_c \oplus (K_a \cup K_b)$.
3. Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$. Then $G \approx (K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c$.
4. Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. Then $G \approx K_d \oplus ((K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c)$.

We can prove 1—4 by the κ_0^2 -maximality of G .

c) If $i = 3$, then the digraph G is unilateral. The strong components of a unilateral digraph G can be denoted in such a way that S_c can be reached from S_d if and only if $c \geq d$ (see [2], p. 200). Let $n \geq 3$, then the digraph $G + x$ (where

$x \in E(\bar{G})$, $x = uv$, $v \in S_1$) is not strong. This is a contradiction, because G is κ_0^3 -maximal. Hence $n = 2$ and from the κ_0^3 -maximality of G it follows that $G \simeq K_a \oplus K_b$. Q.E.D.

By using Theorem 1 and 2 we prove the following inequalities.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a digraph with p points and q arcs. Let $\kappa^i(G) = n$. Then we have:*

- a) $q(G) \leq (p-1)(p-2) + 2n$ (for $i = 1, 2$),
- b) $q(G) \leq (p-1)^2 + n$ (for $i = 3$).

Proof. Let $\kappa^i(G) = n$. Then there exists an κ_n^i -maximal digraph H such that G is a factor of H .

By Theorem 1 we have $H \simeq K_n + D$, where D is κ_0^i -maximal. Then

$$(1) \quad q(G) \leq q(H) = n(n-1) + 2n(p-n) + q(D).$$

a) Let D be κ_0^1 -maximal. According to Theorem 2 $D \simeq K_a \cup K_b$. Then $q(D) = a(a-1) + b(b-1)$, where $a+b = p-n$.

The maximum of the function $q(D)$ (for $1 \leq a \leq p-n-1$) is reached in the digraph $K_1 \cup K_{p-n-1}$. We have

$$(2) \quad q(D) \leq (p-n-1)(p-n-2).$$

If $\kappa^1(G) = n$, then from (1) and (2) it follows that

$$q(G) \leq (p-1)(p-2) + 2n.$$

b) Let D be κ_0^2 -maximal. According to Theorem 2 either $D \simeq K_a \cup K_b$ or $D \simeq (K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c$ or $D \simeq K_a \oplus (K_b \cup K_c)$ or $D \simeq K_a \oplus ((K_b \cup K_c) \oplus K_d)$.

Without loss of generality we can suppose that $b \leq c$. One can easily verify that

$$(3) \quad q(K_a \oplus ((K_b \cup K_c) \oplus K_d)) \leq q((K_b \cup K_c) \oplus K_{a+d}) = \\ = q(K_{a+d} \oplus (K_b \cup K_c)) \leq q(K_b \cup K_{a+c+d}).$$

If $\kappa^2(G) = n$, from (1), (2), (3) it follows that

$$q(G) \leq (p-1)(p-2) + 2n.$$

c) Let D be κ_0^3 -maximal. According to Theorem 2 $D \simeq K_a \oplus K_b$. Then $q(D) = a(a-1) + b(b-1) + ab$, where $a+b = p-n$. The maximum of the function $q(D)$ (for $1 \leq a \leq p-n-1$) is reached in the digraph $K_1 \oplus K_{p-n-1}$. We have

$$(4) \quad q(D) \leq (p-n-1)^2.$$

If $\kappa^3(G) = n$, then (1) and (4) imply

$$q(G) \leq (p-1)^2 + n \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

By using Theorem 1 and 2 we determine the number of maximal digraphs with respect to the connectivity.

Theorem 4. The number of nonisomorphic κ_n^i -maximal digraphs with p points is

- a) $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$ if $i = 1, m \geq 2$,
 b) $\frac{1}{24} \left(2m^3 + 3m^2 - 5m + 6 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right)$, if $i = 2, m \geq 2$,
 c) $m - 1$ if $i = 3, m \geq 2$,

where $m = p - n$.

Proof. One can easily verify that parts a) and c) hold. Let $|V(G)| = p$. There are

$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$ nonisomorphic κ_n^2 -maximal digraphs of the form $K_a \cup K_b$,
 $\sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{1}{4} \left(m^2 - m - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right)$ nonisomorphic κ_n^2 -maximal digraphs of the form $K_a \oplus (K_b \cup K_c)$ and of the form $(K_a \cup K_b) \oplus K_c$, too, and $\sum_{j=3}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4} \left(j^2 - j - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor \right) = \frac{1}{24} \left(2m^3 - 9m^2 + 7m + 6 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right)$ nonisomorphic κ_n^2 -maximal digraphs of the form $K_a \oplus ((K_b \cup K_c) \oplus K_d)$, where $m = p - n$. Thus there are $\frac{1}{24} \left(2m^3 + 3m^2 - 5m + 6 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right)$ nonisomorphic κ_n^2 -maximal digraphs with $p = m + n$ points. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [1] GLIVIAK, F.: Maximal graphs with given connectivity and edge-connectivity. Mat. Čas., 25, 1975, 99—103.
 [2] HARARY, F.: Graph theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading 1969.

Received June 22, 1977

Exnárova 8
 829 00 Bratislava

ОРГРАФЫ МАКСИМАЛЬНЫЕ ОТНОСИТЕЛЬНО СВЯЗНОСТИ

Петер Горак

Резюме

Сильной (односторонней, слабой) связностью $\kappa^3 = \kappa^3(K)$ $\kappa^2 = \kappa^2(K)$, $\kappa^1 = \kappa^1(K)$ орграфа K называется наименьшее число вершин, удаление которых приводит к не сильному (одностороннему, слабому), или же тривиальному орграфу.

Конструктивно описано и определено число орграфов максималшных относительно сильной или односторонней или слабой связности.