

Manfred Kühleitner

Comparing the number of abelian groups and of semisimple rings of a given order

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 5, 509--518

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129876>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

COMPARING THE NUMBER OF ABELIAN GROUPS AND OF SEMISIMPLE RINGS OF A GIVEN ORDER¹

MANFRED KÜHLEITNER

(Communicated by Oto Strauch)

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the arithmetic function $\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$, where $a(n)$ denotes the number of non-isomorphic abelian groups of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S(n)$ the number of non-isomorphic semisimple rings of the same order. We establish an asymptotic formula for the Dirichlet summatory function of $\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$, up to an order term which is best possible on the basis of the present knowledge about the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function.

1. Introduction

Let $a(n)$ denote the number of non-isomorphic abelian groups of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The study of the average order of this arithmetic function has been initiated by Erdős and Szekeres [1]. Subsequently, various authors contributed to the subject; for an enlightening historical survey, see Krätzel [5; ch. 7.2]. The hitherto sharpest result is due to Liu Hong-Quan [4] and reads

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) = C_1 x + C_2 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_3 x^{\frac{1}{3}} + O(x^{\frac{40}{159} + \epsilon}).$$

(Here C_1, C_2, C_3 are computable constants.) Another arithmetic function which shares some properties of the counting function of abelian groups is $S(n)$, the number of non-isomorphic semisimple rings of a given order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to derive the product representation for the generating Dirichlet series of $S(n)$, we note that each semisimple finite ring can be expressed as a direct sum of a finite number of simple finite rings, in a way that is unique up to permutation.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 11N45, 11N37.

Key words: counting function, Abelian group, semisimple group, Dirichlet series, asymptotic expansion.

¹This article is part of a research project supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (Nr. P9892-PHY).

A simple finite ring R , however, is isomorphic to a full matrix ring $M_n(K)$ over a finite field K . Thus K is a finite Galois field $GF(p^k)$ for some prime power p^k and $\text{card}(R) = p^{kn^2}$. Therefore (see I v i ć [2; p. 38]),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta(km^2s) \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 1).$$

(For the algebraic background, c.f. Northcott [7].) Hence, the generating functions of $S(n)$ and of $a(n)$ are identical up to a factor which possesses an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, there is little hope to obtain any asymptotic result about $\sum_{n \leq x} S(n)$ which is not completely analogous (in statement and proof) to the case of $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n)$.

2. Subject and result of this paper

One way to establish a result which (in a quantitative sense) compares behaviour of the two arithmetic functions $a(n)$ and $S(n)$ is to investigate the average order of the ratio $\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$. The aim of this note thus is a proof of an asymptotic formula for the Dirichlet summatory function of $\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$, up to an order term, which is best possible on the basis of the present knowledge about the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function.

THEOREM. *As $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = Ax + x^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{M(x)} A_k (\log x)^{-\frac{7}{6}-k} + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp(-c(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{-\frac{1}{5}})\right),$$

where

$$M(x) = [c_0(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{-\frac{6}{5}}] \tag{2.1}$$

and $A_k \ll (b_*k)^k$ with a certain positive constant b_* .

3. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, b and c (also with a subscript or a dash) denote positive constants.

Let $H(s)$ be any analytic function without zeros on a certain simply connected domain S of \mathbb{C} which contains the real line to the right of $s = \sigma_0$, where $\sigma_0 = 1$ or $\frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that $H(s) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for real $s > \sigma_0$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary. Then we define $(H(s))^\alpha$ on S by

$$(H(s))^\alpha = \exp\left(\alpha\left(\log(H(2)) + \int_2^s \frac{H'(z)}{H(z)} dz\right)\right),$$

the path of integration being completely contained in S but otherwise arbitrary.

In our analysis, S will usually be a domain symmetric with respect to the real line, with a “cut” along $L = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s \leq \sigma_0\}$ (such that $S \cap L = \emptyset$). We shall join in the common abuse of terminology to think of an “upper” and a “lower edge” of $L \cap \partial S$, on which $(H(s))^\alpha$ are attributed two different values, depending on whether L is approached from above or from below.

In our first lemma, we summarize the present state of art about zero-free regions of the Riemann zeta-function.

LEMMA 1. *Define for short*

$$\psi(t) = (\log t)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log \log t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (t \geq 3)$$

and, for positive constants $b_1 \geq 3$ and b_2 ,

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - b_0 = 1 - \frac{b_2}{\psi(b_1)} & \text{for } |t| \leq b_1, \\ 1 - \frac{b_2}{\psi(|t|)} & \text{for } |t| \geq b_1. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist values of b_1, b_2, b_3 such that for all $s = \sigma + it$ with

$$\sigma \geq \lambda(t)$$

it is true that

$$\zeta(s) \neq 0$$

and

$$(\zeta(s))^{-1} \ll (\log(2 + |t|))^{b_3}$$

Proof. This result is contained in the textbook of *Walfisz* [13]; see also *Mitsui* [6]. The very last assertion is readily derived on classical lines: see, e.g., *Prachar* [10; p. 71]. □

Our next auxiliary result provides an asymptotic expansion for a certain contour integral, which is essential in the type of problem under consideration.

LEMMA 2. *Let $H(s)$ be a holomorphic function on the disk*

$$\{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s - 1| < 2b_0\} \quad (b_0 > 0 \text{ fixed}),$$

and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Let C_0 denote the circle $|s - 1| = b_0$, with positive orientation, starting and ending at $1 - b_0$. For a large real variable w , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} (s - 1)^{-\alpha} H(s) w^s \, ds \\ &= w \sum_{k=0}^{M(w)} \frac{\beta_k}{\Gamma(\alpha - k)} (\log w)^{\alpha - k - 1} + O\left(w \exp(-c''(\log w)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log w)^{-\frac{1}{5}})\right) \end{aligned}$$

($c'' > 0$),

where $M(w)$ is defined as in (2.1), β_k are the coefficients in the Taylor expansion of $H(s)$ at $s = 1$. By Cauchy's estimates and standard results on the Gamma-function, they satisfy

$$\frac{\beta_k}{\Gamma(\alpha - k)} \ll b_0^{-k} \Gamma(1 - \alpha + k) \max_{|s-1|=b_0} |H(s)| \ll (b_0^{-1}k)^k \max_{|s-1|=b_0} |H(s)|.$$

The constant c'' and the \ll -constants depend only on α .

Proof. This result is derived (in a special context) in [9; formula (3.5) and sequel]. □

4. Proof of the Theorem

Our analysis is based on the ideas of Selberg [12], De Koninck and Ivić [3], and Nowak [8]. We note that $S(n)$ is multiplicative and prime-independent, and that $a(p^k) = S(p^k)$ for $k \leq 3$, while $a(p^4) = 5$, $S(p^4) = 6$. Consequently, for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} n^{-s} \\ &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s}) \left(1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + p^{-3s} + \frac{5}{6} p^{-4s} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \frac{a(p^k)}{S(p^k)} p^{-ks} \right) \\ &= \zeta(s) (\zeta(4s))^{-\frac{1}{6}} U(s), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $U(s)$ has a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{5}$. We define

$$F(s) = (\zeta(4s))^{-\frac{1}{6}} U(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) n^{-s}, \tag{4.2}$$

where the last equality holds for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{4}$. From this, we infer

$$\frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = \sum_{m|n} g(m). \tag{4.3}$$

The idea behind this step is, that we cannot apply complex integration directly to $\sum \frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$, but only to $\sum g(n)$, and that we have to combine this technique with an elementary convolution argument.

LEMMA 3. *For $u \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$G(u) = \sum_{n \leq u} g(n) = I(u) + R(u),$$

where

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) u^{\frac{s}{4}} \frac{ds}{s},$$

and

$$R(u) \ll u^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_1(u)$$

for some $c_1 > 0$. C_0 is the circle $|s - 1| = b_0$ (b_0 from Lemma 1), with positive orientation, starting and ending at $1 - b_0$. Here and throughout the sequel, we write for short

$$\delta_k(u) = \exp\left(-c_k (\log(3 + u))^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log(3 + u))^{-\frac{1}{5}}\right)$$

for $u \geq 0$ and suitable positive constants c_k .

P r o o f . By a version of Perron's formula,

$$G_1(u) = \int_1^u G(w^4) dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) \frac{u^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds.$$

We replace the line of integration $\operatorname{Re}(w) = 2$ by the path $C = C_1 \cup C_0 \cup C_2$, where C_1 denote the path from $1 - i\infty$ to $1 - b_0$, C_2 the path from $1 - b_0$ to $1 + i\infty$, both along $\sigma = \lambda(t)$. (b_0 and $\lambda(t)$ are defined as in Section 3). Defining

$$T = \frac{1}{\delta_2(u)}$$

(with suitable $c_2 > 0$), a short calculation gives that the contribution from C_1 and C_2 is $\ll u^2 \delta_3(u)$, hence

$$G_1(u) = I_1(u) + O(u^2 \delta_3(u)), \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$I_1(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) \frac{u^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds. \tag{4.5}$$

Employing a technique due to R i e g e r [11], we put, for $w \geq 1$,

$$f(w) = G(w^4) - I(w^4) + (I(1) - G(1)).$$

Now $f(w)$ fulfils the necessary requirements of [11; Hilfssatz 2] (R i e g e r) since (4.4) implies that

$$\int_1^u f(w) dw \ll u^2 \delta_3(u).$$

In order to estimate the difference $f(w_1) - f(w_2)$ for $w_1 > w_2$, we see from (4.3) that $g(n)$ is multiplicative and

$$g(p^k) = \frac{a(p^k)}{S(p^k)} - \frac{a(p^{k-1})}{S(p^{k-1})}$$

for every prime p and every integer k . From this, it is clear that $g(p) = g(p^2) = g(p^3) = 0$ for every prime p . Furthermore, $|g(n)| \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $a(n) \leq S(n)$ is immediate from the respective generating functions. Consequently, if $Q(v)$ denotes the number of 4-full integers $\leq v$, we obtain

$$|G(w_1^4) - G(w_2^4)| \leq Q(w_1^4) - Q(w_2^4) \ll w_1 - w_2 + w_1^{\frac{4}{5}},$$

where the last estimate is an immediate consequence of the asymptotic formula for $Q(v)$ (see K r ä t z e l [5; ch. 7]). Furthermore,

$$I(w_1^4) - I(w_2^4) = \int_{w_1}^{w_2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) u^{s-1} ds \right) du \ll w_1 - w_2.$$

This follows by replacing C_0 by $C_0^*(u)$ which we define as the boundary of

$$\left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} : |s-1| \leq b_0, \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\log(2u)} \right\}$$

with positive orientation, starting and ending at $1 - b_0$. [11; Hilfssatz 2] (R i e g e r) implies therefore that

$$G(w^4) = I(w^4) + O(w\delta_4(w)).$$

Putting $u = w^4$, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.

We now define

$$y = y(x) = x\delta_5(x),$$

with a positive constant c_5 remaining at our disposition. We recall (4.3) to conclude that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = \sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \left[\frac{x}{m} \right] + \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{y}} G\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - G(y) \left[\frac{x}{y} \right].$$

Writing $\{\cdot\}$ for the fractional part, we see that

$$\sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \left[\frac{x}{m} \right] = \sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \frac{x}{m} - \sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \left\{ \frac{x}{m} \right\}.$$

We note that

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \left\{ \frac{x}{m} \right\} \right| \leq Q(y) \ll y^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{m \leq y} g(m) \frac{x}{m} = x \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m} - x \sum_{m > y} \frac{g(m)}{m}.$$

The second part yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m > y} \frac{g(m)}{m} &= \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} dG(u) \\ &= \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} I'(u) du + \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} dR(u) \\ &= \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} I'(u) du - \frac{1}{y} R(y) + \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^2} R(u) du \\ &= \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} I'(u) du + O(y^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = Ax - x \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} I'(u) du + \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{y}} G\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - G(y) \left[\frac{x}{y} \right] + O(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_6(x))$$

with

$$A = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m}$$

by a suitable choice of c_5 and c_6 . (Note that $A > 0$ by the Euler product representation.)

In view of Lemma 3, one has

$$\sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{y}} R\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \ll x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_7(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{y}} I\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{x}{y}} I\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) d[u] \\ &= I(y) \left[\frac{x}{y}\right] + x \int_1^{\frac{x}{y}} \frac{[u]}{u^2} I'\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) du \\ &= I(y) \left[\frac{x}{y}\right] + x \int_y^x I'(v) \frac{dv}{v} - x \int_1^{\frac{x}{y}} I'\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \frac{\{u\}}{u^2} du \end{aligned}$$

by the substitution $v = \frac{x}{u}$ in the last but one integral. Using this, we arrive at

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = Ax - x \int_x^\infty I'(u) \frac{du}{u} - x \int_1^{\frac{x}{y}} I'\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \frac{\{u\}}{u^2} du + O(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_8(x)),$$

where

$$I'(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) u^{s-1} ds.$$

It remains to evaluate these two integrals. We consider first

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty I'(u) \frac{du}{u} &= \int_x^\infty \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) u^{s-1} ds \right) \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) \left(\int_x^\infty u^{s-2} du \right) ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} \frac{F(s)}{s-1} x^{s-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_1^{\frac{x}{y}} I'\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \frac{\{u\}}{u^2} du = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) x^{s-1} \left(\int_1^{\frac{x}{y}} \frac{\{u\}}{u^{s+1}} du \right) ds.$$

In view of the well-known identity

$$\int_1^\infty \{u\} u^{-s-1} du = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{\zeta(s)}{s}$$

(valid for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a(n)}{S(n)} = Ax + I^*(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) \left(\int_{\frac{x}{y}}^\infty \frac{\{u\}}{u^{s+1}} x^s du \right) ds + O(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_8(x)), \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$I^*(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} \zeta(s) F(s) x^s \frac{ds}{s}. \tag{4.7}$$

Our penultimate step is thus to estimate the remaining integral in (4.6).

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}C_0} F(s) \left(\int_{\frac{x}{y}}^\infty \frac{\{u\}}{u^{s+1}} x^s du \right) ds \ll y^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_9(x).$$

This follows by replacing $\frac{1}{4}C_0$ by $\frac{1}{4}C_0^*(x)$ defined as in Lemma 3, and by the fact that $F(s)$ is bounded on $\frac{1}{4}C_0^*(x)$.

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain for the integral $I^*(x)$ (defined in (4.7)) the asymptotic expansion (as $x \rightarrow \infty$)

$$I^*(x) = x^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{M(x)} A_k (\log x)^{-\frac{7}{8}-k} + O(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{10}(x)).$$

This completes the proof of our Theorem. □

R e m a r k . By the same proof, we can generalize this result to an arbitrary r -th power moment of $\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}$ (r any fixed positive real number). Instead of (4.1), we now have (for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_r(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{a(n)}{S(n)} \right)^r n^{-s} \\ &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s}) \left(1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + p^{-3s} + \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^r p^{-4s} + \sum_{k=5}^\infty \left(\frac{a(p^k)}{S(p^k)} \right)^r p^{-ks} \right) \\ &= \zeta(s) (\zeta(4s))^{-\alpha} U_r(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^r,$$

and $U_r(s)$ has a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{5}$. Repeating our argument, we readily obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left(\frac{a(n)}{S(n)}\right)^r = A^{(r)} x + x^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{M(x)} A_k^{(r)} (\log x)^{-\alpha-1-k} + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp(-c(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{-\frac{1}{5}})\right)$$

with $M(x)$ given as in (2.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] ERDÖS, P.—SZEKERES, G.: *Über die Anzahl der Abelschen Gruppen gegebener Ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches Problem*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **VII** (1934), 95–102.
- [2] IVIĆ, A.: *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane-Toronto-Singapore, 1985.
- [3] De KONINCK, J. M.—IVIĆ, A.: *Topics in Arithmetical Functions*, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1980.
- [4] HONG-QUAN LIU: *On the number of abelian groups of a given order*, Acta Arith. **LIX** (1991), 261–277.
- [5] KRÄTZEL, E.: *Lattice Points*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1988.
- [6] MITSUI, T.: *On the prime ideal theorem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 233–247.
- [7] NORTHCOTT, D. G.: *Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1968.
- [8] NOWAK, W. G.: *On the average number of finite abelian groups of a given order*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **15** (1991), 193–202.
- [9] NOWAK, W. G.: *Sums of reciprocals of general divisor functions and the Selberg divisor problem*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **61** (1991), 163–173.
- [10] PRACHAR, K.: *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1957.
- [11] RIEGER, G. J.: *Zum Teilerproblem von Atle Selberg*, Math. Nachr. **30** (1965), 181–192.
- [12] SELBERG, A.: *Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **18** (1954), 83–87.
- [13] WALFISZ, A.: *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*, Dt. Verl. d. Wiss., Berlin, 1963.

Received July 29, 1993

*Institut für Mathematik der
Universität für Bodenkultur
Gregor Mendel-Straße 33
A-1180 Wien
AUSTRIA
E-mail: nowak@edv1.boku.ac.at*