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# ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES GENERATED BY ALMOST CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS 

ZBIGNIEW GRANDE


#### Abstract

There are investigated the group, the lattice and the Baire system generated by the family of almost continuous in the Husain sense functions.


## I. Preliminaries

Let us establish some of the terminology to be used. $\mathbf{R}$ denotes the real line. Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to be $T$ almost continuous (in the Husain sense) at a point $x_{0} \in X$ iff for every $\varepsilon>0$, $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int}\left(\operatorname{Cl}\left(f^{-1}\left(f\left(x_{0}\right)-\varepsilon, f\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\right)\right)$, where Cl denotes the closure operation (in the topology $\mathcal{T}$ ) and Int - the interior operation, respectively ([2]). If $\mathfrak{K}$ is a family of functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, then
(i) $G(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the group generated by $\mathfrak{K}$, i.m. the least family for which $\mathfrak{K} \subset G(\mathfrak{K})$ and $f+g \in G(\mathfrak{K})$ for any $f, g \in G(\mathfrak{K}) ;$
(ii) $B(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the collection of all pointwise limits of sequences taken from $\mathfrak{K}$;
(iii) $L(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the lattice generated by $\mathfrak{K}$, i.e. the least family for which $\mathfrak{K} \subset L(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\max (f, g) \in L(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\min (f, g) \in L(\mathfrak{K})$ for any $f, g \in$ $L(\mathfrak{K})$.
Let $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of all rationals.
Denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{H}$ the family of all $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous (in the Husain sense) functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and by $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ the family of all $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-field of subsets of $X$.

## II. General theorems

Theorem 1. Suppose that the topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is such that

[^0](1) there is a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathrm{Cl} A_{n}=\operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl} A_{n} \supset X^{\prime}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, where $X^{\prime}$ denotes the set of all accumulation points of $X$.
Then for each $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there are two $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous, $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions $f_{1}, f_{2}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$.

Proof. Let us put

$$
f_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}
f(x) & \text { for } & x \in X-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \\
w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{2 n}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
f(x)-w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{2 n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}
0 & \text { for } & x \in X-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \\
f(x)-w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{2 n}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{2 n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are $\mathcal{M}$ measurable. Fix $x_{0} \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. If $x_{0} \notin X^{\prime}$, then the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are $\mathcal{T}$ continuous at $x_{0}$, hence also $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous. If $x_{0} \in X^{\prime}-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, then there is $w_{n_{0}}$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-w_{n_{0}}\right|<\varepsilon$. Since $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl} A_{2 n_{0}}$, the function $f_{1}$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous at $x_{0}$. There is also $n_{1}$ such that $\left|w_{n_{1}}\right|<\varepsilon$. Since $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl} A_{2 n_{1}-1}$, $f_{2}$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous at $x_{0}$. If $x_{0} \in X^{\prime} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, then there is $n_{2}$ such that $x_{0} \in A_{n_{2}}$. The function $f_{1} \mid A_{n_{2}}\left(f_{2} \mid A_{n_{2}}\right)$ is constant for even (odd) $n_{2}$ and $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl} A_{n_{2}}$, so in this case $f_{1}\left(f_{2}\right)$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous at $x_{0}$. If $f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)-w_{n_{3}}\left(f_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)-w_{n_{3}}\right)$, then there is $n_{4}$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-w_{n_{3}}-w_{n_{4}}\right|<\varepsilon$. Because $x_{0} \in \operatorname{IntCl} A_{2 n_{4}}\left(x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int~Cl} A_{2 n_{4}-1}\right)$ and $\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-w_{n_{3}}-w_{n_{4}}\right|=\left|f_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{i}(x)\right|<\varepsilon(i=1,2)$ for $x \in A_{2 n_{4}}\left(x \in A_{2 n_{4}-1}\right)$, so $f_{1}\left(f_{2}\right)$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous at $x_{0}$.

Theorem 2. Assume the hypothesis (1) from Theorem 1. For each $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there are four $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous and $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(2) $f=\min \left(\max \left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \max \left(f_{3}, f_{4}\right)\right)$.

Proof. For $i=1,2,3,4$, let us put

$$
f_{i}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{4 n+i}, n=0,1, \ldots \\
f(x) & \text { for } & x \notin \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{4 n+i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Likewise as in the proof of Theorem 1 we prove that the function $f_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3,4$ ) are $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous and $\mathcal{M}$ measurable.

Now we will prove that (2) holds. Fix $x \in X$. If $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} A_{4 N+i}$, then $f_{1}(x)=f_{2}(x)=f_{3}(x)=f_{4}(x)=f(x)$ and (2) holds. If $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, then there are $i_{0} \leq 4$ and $n_{0}$ such that $x \in A_{4 n_{0}+i_{0}}$ and $x \notin A_{n}$ for $n \neq 4 n_{0}+i_{0}$. So we have $f_{i_{0}}(x)=w_{n_{0}}$ and $f_{i}(x)=f(x)$ for $i \neq i_{0}(i=1,2,3,4)$. Consequently, $\max \left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right) \geq f(x), \max \left(f_{3}(x), f_{4}(x)\right) \geq f(x)$ and $\max \left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)=$ $=f(x)$ or $\max \left(f_{3}(x), f_{4}(x)\right)=f(x)$. Thus (2) holds.

Corollary 1. If the space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and the $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{M}$ fulfil the condition (1) from Theorem 1, then

$$
G\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)=L\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{1}
$$

Theorem 3. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{M}$ fulfil the condition (1) from Theorem 1 , then for each $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ there is a sequence of $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous and $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions $f_{k}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}$.

Proof. Let us define the functions $f_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ in the following way:

$$
f_{k}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
w_{n} & \text { for } & x \in A_{n}, n \geq k \\
f(x) & \text { for } & x \in X-\bigcup_{n \geq k} A_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove that the functions $f_{k}(k=$ $1,2, \ldots$ ) are $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous and $\mathcal{M}$ measurable. For every $x \in X$ there is $k_{0}$ such that $x \notin A_{n}$ for $n \geq k_{0}$. So for $k \geq k_{0}$ we have $f_{k}(x)=f(x)$ and consequently $f(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)$.

Corollary 2. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{M}$ fulfil (1), then $B\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{1}$.
Example1. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$ and $\mathcal{T}=\{\emptyset, X,\{a\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}\}$. Then $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ iff $f$ is constant. Consequently, if $\mathcal{M}=2^{X}$, then

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{H}=G\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=L\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=B\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \neq \mathfrak{M}_{1}=\mathbf{R}^{X}
$$

## III. The case of the Euclidean topology

If $X=\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}$ is the Euclidean topology in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-field containing all denumerable sets, then evidently Theorems $1,2,3$ hold. In the considered case we can prove some more special versions of these theorems.

A function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be almost continuous in the Stallings sense ( $A_{K}$ alnost continuous) iff for every open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing the graph $\mathbf{G}(f)$ of the function $f$ there exists a continuous function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{G}(g) \subset V([3])$. A set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is said to be a blocking set for a function $f$ iff $W$ is closed, $\mathbf{G}(f) \cap W=\emptyset$ and $W \cap \mathbf{G}(g) \neq \emptyset$ for every continuous function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A blocking set $W$ is a minimal blocking set for $f$ iff for every blocking set $V$ for $f$ we have $W \subset V$. A minimal blocking set $W$ for a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is closed and its projection $\operatorname{Pr} W$ on the axis $O X$ is a closed nondegenerate interval. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $A_{K}$ almost continuous iff there is not any blocking set for $f$ ([3]).

Denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ the family of all $A_{K}$ almost continuous functions $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Every function $f \in \mathfrak{A}_{K}$ has the Darboux property, but there are Darboux functions $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are not in $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ ([3]).

In the following theorems $4,5,6$ we suppose that
(3) $X=\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}$ is the Euclidean topology in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-field of subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ such that all denumerable sets are in $\mathcal{M}$ and there exists a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}-B)=\mathbb{R}, 2^{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $B \cap I$ is of the continuum power for every open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4. If the condition (3) holds, then every $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the sum of two $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}$.

Proof. Let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(\alpha<\omega_{1}\right.$ and $\omega_{1}$ denotes the first ordinal number of the continuum power) be a transfinite sequence of all minimal blocking sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Let us fix two distinct points $x_{1,1}, x_{1,2} \in B \cap \operatorname{Pr} W_{1}$. If $1<\alpha<\omega_{1}$ then we choose two distinct points $x_{\alpha, 1}, x_{\alpha, 2} \in B \cap \operatorname{Pr} W_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
x_{\alpha, 1}, x_{\alpha, 2} \neq x_{\beta, 1}, x_{\beta, 2} \quad \text { for } \quad \beta<\alpha .
$$

For each point $x_{\alpha, i}, \alpha<\omega_{1}, i=1,2$, we choose some $y_{\alpha, i}$ such that $\left(x_{\alpha, i}, y_{\alpha, i}\right) \in W_{\alpha}$. Let $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint denumerable dense sets contained in $\mathbb{R}-B$. Define

$$
f_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
w_{n} & \text { for } x \in A_{2 n}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
f(x)-w_{n} & \text { for } x \in A_{2 n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
y_{\alpha, 1} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, 1}, \alpha<\omega_{1} \\
f(x)-y_{\alpha, 2} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, 2}, \alpha<\omega_{1} \\
f(x) & \text { in the remaining cases }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f(x)-w_{n} & \text { for } x \in A_{2 n}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
w_{n} & \text { for } x \in A_{2 n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
f(x)-y_{\alpha, 1} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, 1}, \alpha<\omega_{1} \\
y_{\alpha, 2} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, 2}, \alpha<\omega_{1} \\
0 & \text { in the remaining cases }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Evidently $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$. We can also prove likewise as in the proof of Theorem 1 that $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ and are $\mathcal{M}$ measurable. Since the graphs $\mathbf{G}\left(f_{1}\right), \mathbf{G}\left(f_{2}\right)$ intersect every blocking set $W_{\alpha}\left(\alpha<\omega_{1}\right)$, so $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{K}$.

Corollary 3. If (3) holds, then $G\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{1}$.
Theorem 5. Suppose that (3) holds. Then for every $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exist $\mathcal{M}$ measurable functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4} \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}$ such that $f=\min \left(\max \left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \max \left(f_{3}, f_{4}\right)\right)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4 we choose points $\left(x_{\alpha, i}, y_{\alpha, i}\right) \in W_{\alpha} \cap$ $(B \times \mathbb{R})\left(\alpha<\omega_{1} ; i=1,2,3,4\right)$ such that $x_{\alpha_{1}, i_{1}} \neq x_{\alpha_{2}, i_{2}}$ if $\left(\alpha_{1}, i_{1}\right) \neq\left(\alpha_{2}, i_{2}\right)$ $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}<\omega_{1}\right.$ and $\left.i_{1}, i_{2}=1,2,3,4\right)$. Let $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 4. Define, for $i=1,2,3,4$,

$$
f_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}w_{n} & \text { for } x \in A_{4 n+i}, n=0,1, \ldots \\ y_{\alpha, i} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, i}, \alpha<\omega_{1} \\ f(x) & \text { in the remaining case }\end{cases}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2 we verify that $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4} \in \mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ and $f=\min \left(\max \left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \max \left(f_{3}, f_{4}\right)\right)$. Since the graphs $\mathbf{G}\left(f_{i}\right)(i=1,2,3,4)$ intersect all the blocking sets $W_{\alpha}\left(\alpha<\omega_{1}\right)$, so $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4} \in \mathfrak{A}_{K}$.

Corollary 4. If (3) holds, then $L\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{1}$.
Theorem 6. If (3) holds, then for every $\mathcal{M}$ measurable function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ there exists a sequence of functions $f_{k} \in \mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}$ such that $f=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4 we choose points $\left(x_{\alpha, i}, y_{\alpha, i}\right) \in W_{\alpha} \cap$ $(B \times \mathbb{R})\left(\alpha<\omega_{1} ; i=1,2, \ldots\right)$ such that $x_{\alpha_{1}, i_{1}} \neq x_{\alpha_{2}, i_{2}}$ for $\left(\alpha_{1}, i_{1}\right) \neq\left(\alpha_{2}, i_{2}\right)$ $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}<\omega_{1}\right.$ and $\left.i_{1}, i_{2}=1,2, \ldots\right)$. Let $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the same as in the proof of the Theorem 4. Define, for $k=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
f_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}w_{k} & \text { for } \quad x \in A_{k}, n \leq k \\ y_{\alpha, k} & \text { for } x=x_{\alpha, k}, n \leq k \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha<\omega_{1} \\ f(x) & \text { in the remaining case } .\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\bigcup_{k \geq n}\left(A_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_{1} .}\left\{x_{\alpha, k}\right\}\right)\right)=\emptyset
$$

there is $f=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)$. Likewise as in the proofs of the Theorems 4,5 we show that all $f_{k} \in \mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{K}$.

## IV. The case of the density topology

Let $X=\mathbf{R}$. Recall that a point $x$ is an outer density point of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ iff

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} m^{*}(A \cap(x-h, x+h)) / 2 h=1
$$

( $m^{*}$ denotes the outer Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$ ). If $A$ is measurable (in the Lebesgue sense) then $x$ is called a density point of $A$. The family of all measurable ( L ) sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which every $x \in A$ is a density point of $A$ forms a topology. This topology is said to be a density topology in $\mathbb{R}$ ([1]). We denote it by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

In the paper [4] Sierpinski introduced a property (P). A function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property (P) at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ iff there exists a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in E, x$ is an outer density point of $E$ and the function $f \mid E$ is continuous at $x$. He proved also that every function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property (P) at almost all points $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property $(\mathrm{P})$ at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ iff $f$ is almost continuous in the Husain sense at $x$ with respect to the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

Proof. If $f$ has the property ( P ) at $x$, then there is a set $E \ni x$ having the outer density 1 at x such that $f \mid E$ is continuous at $x$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Since $f^{-1}((f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)) \supset E \cap I$ for some open interval $I \ni x$, so $x \in \operatorname{Int} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}}\left(f^{-1}(f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)\right)\right)$. The proof that the $\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)_{H}$ almost continuity (i.m. the Husain almost continuity with respect to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) of $f$ at $x$ implies the property ( P ) of $f$ at $x$ is the same as the proof of the Theorem 5.6 in [1].

Since $\mathbb{R}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, where $A_{n}$ are disjoint pairwise and of plenty outer Lebesgue measure ([5]), then Theorems 1, 2, 3 hold for the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ in the case where the $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{M}$ contains nonmeasurable (L) sets. From Remark 2 it results that if $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ almost continuous in
the Husain sense, then it is approximately continuous, i.e. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ continuous ([1]), so Theorems $1,2,3$ do not hold for the $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ topology and the $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{M}$ of Lebesgue
 ([1]) and $B\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{B}_{2}$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{2}$ denotes the family of all Baire 2 functions ([6]).

## V. The maximal additive, multiplicative and lattice families for the class $\mathfrak{C}_{H}$

Define:

$$
A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} ; \quad \text { for every } \quad g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \quad \text { the sum } \quad f+g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}\right\},
$$

$$
P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} ; \quad \text { for every } \quad g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \quad \text { the product } \quad f g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}\right\}
$$

$$
S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \quad \text { for every } \quad g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \quad \max (f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}\right\}
$$

$$
S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \quad \text { for every } \quad g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H} \quad \min (f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}\right\}
$$

Remark 2. $\mathfrak{C}_{H} \supset A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cup P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cup S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cup S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$.
Proof. As $g=0 \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$, so $A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. Similarly $g=1 \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ implies $P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. If $f \notin \mathfrak{C}_{H}$, then there exists a point $x \in X$ and a positive number $\varepsilon$ such that $x \notin \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl}\left(f^{-1}(f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)\right)$. If $g=f(x)-\varepsilon$, then $g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ and $x \notin \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t \in X:|\max (f, g)(t)-\max (f, g)(x)|<\varepsilon\}) \subset$ $\subset \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl}(\{t \in X:|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon\})$ and $\max (f, g)$ is not in $\mathfrak{C}_{H}$. So $S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. Analogously we can prove that $S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{H}$.

Remark 3. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ denote the family of all $\mathcal{T}$ continuous functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have $\mathfrak{C} \subset A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cap P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cap S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \cap S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathbb{C}, g \in \mathbb{C}_{H}, x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Since $x \in \operatorname{Int}(\{t \in X:$ $|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon / 2\}) \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl}(\{t:|g(t)-g(x)|<\varepsilon / 2\}) \subset \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl}(\{t:$ $|f(t)+g(t)-f(x)-g(x)|<\varepsilon\}), f+g$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous (in the Husain sense) at $x$. This proves that $\mathfrak{C} \subset A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$.

Similarly, $x \in \operatorname{Int}(\{t \in X:|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon / 2 \max (|g(x)|, 1)\}) \cap \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t:$ $|g(t)-g(x)|<\varepsilon / 2 \max (1,|f(x)|+\varepsilon / 2)\}) \subset \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t:|f g(x)-f g(t)|<\varepsilon\})$, so $P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \supset \mathfrak{C}$.

Now if $f(x)<g(x)$, then for $0<\varepsilon<(g(x)-f(x)) / 2$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that
$U \cap\{t \in X:|\max (f, g)(x)-\max (f, g)(t)|<\varepsilon\} \cap\{t:|f(x)-f(t)|<\varepsilon\}=U \cap$ $\cap\{t:|g(t)-g(x)|<\varepsilon\}$. Thus $x \in \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t:|\max (f, g)(t)-\max (f, g)(x)|<\varepsilon\})$ and $\max (f, g)$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous in the Husain sense at $x$. If $f(x) \geq$ $\geq g(x)$, then also $x \in \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl}(\{t:|\max (f(t), g(t))-\max (f(x), g(x))|<\varepsilon\})$.

So $\mathfrak{C} \subset S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$. Similarly we can show that $\min (f, g)$ is in $\mathfrak{C}_{H}$. So $\mathfrak{C} \subset$ $\subset S_{\text {min }}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$.

Theorem 7. $S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)=\mathfrak{C}$.
Proof. It suffices to prove that $S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right), S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right), P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right), A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$. If $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}(f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is not continuous at $x \in X$, then there is $\varepsilon>0$ such that for every open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ there is some point $t \in U$ with $|f(t)-f(t)|>\varepsilon(\varepsilon<|f(x)| / 2$ whenever $f(x) \neq 0)$. Let $V \subset X$ be an open set such that $x \in V$ and $V \subset \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}\left(f^{-1}(f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)\right)$. There is some point $u \in V$ such that $|f(u)-f(x)|>\varepsilon$. As $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$, there is an open neighbourhood $W \subset V$ of $u$ such that $W \subset \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t \in V:|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta\})$, where $2 \eta$ is a positive number $\leq|f(u)-f(x)|-\varepsilon(\eta<|f(u)| / 2$ whenever $f(u) \neq 0)$. Remark that
$W \subset \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t \in W:|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon\}) \cap \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t:|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta\})$ and $\{t \in W:|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta\} \cap\{t:|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon\}=\emptyset$. Define

$$
g(t)= \begin{cases}2 \eta & \text { if } t \in W, t \neq u \text { and }|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta \\ 0 & \text { in the remaining case }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Int}\left(g^{-1}(2 \eta)\right)=\emptyset, g$ is $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous in the Husain sense at each point $t \in X$ with $g(t)=0$. The almost continuity in the Husain sense of $g$ at every point $t \in X$ with $g(t)=2 \eta$ results from the inclusion

$$
W \subset \operatorname{Int} \mathrm{Cl}(\{t \in W:|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta\})
$$

So $g \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. But $f+g$ is not $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous in the Husain sense at $u$, because $(f+g)(u)=f(u), f(t)+g(t)=f(t)$ for $t \in W$ with $|f(t)-f(u)| \geq \eta$ and $|f(t)+g(t)-f(u)| \geq|g(t)|-|f(t)-f(u)| \geq 2 \eta-\eta=\eta$ for $t \in W$ with $t \neq u$ and $|f(u)-f(t)|<\eta$. Thus $f \notin A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$ and $A\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$. For the proof of the inclusion $P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$ we fix a point $w \in W$ such that $|f(w)-f(u)|<\eta$ whenever $f(u) \neq 0$ or $|f(w)-f(x)|<\varepsilon$ in the other case. If $f(u) \neq 0$, we define

$$
h(t)= \begin{cases}c & \text { if } t \in W, t \neq w \text { and }|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta \\ 1 & \text { in the remaining case },\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ is such that $|c y|>|f(w)|+1$ for each $y \in(f(u)-\eta, f(u)+\eta)$. Analogously to the case of the function $g$ we prove that $h \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. Since $f(w) h(w)=$ $f(w) \neq 0, f(t) h(t)=c f(t)$ for $t \in W$ with $|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta$ and $f(t) h(t)=$ $f(t)$ for $t \in W$ with $|f(t)-f(u)| \geq \eta$, so the function $f h$ is not $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous in the Husain sense at $w$.

If $f(u)=0$, then we define

$$
h(t)= \begin{cases}c & \text { if } t \in W, t \neq x \text { and }|f(t)-f(x)|<\varepsilon \\ 1 & \text { in the remaining case },\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ is such that $|c y|>|f(w)|+1$ for each $y \in(f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)$ and analogously as above we prove that $h \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$ and that the function $f h$ is not $\mathcal{T}$ almost continuous in the Husain sense at $w$. Thus $f \notin P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$ and $P\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$.

For the proof of the inclusion $S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$ we define

$$
k(x)= \begin{cases}f(u)+\eta & \text { if } t \in W, t \neq u \text { and }|f(t)-f(u)|<\eta \\ f(u)-\eta & \text { in the remaining case }\end{cases}
$$

and analogously to the case of the function $g$ we prove that $k \in \mathfrak{C}_{H}$. Since $\max (f(u), k(u))=f(u)$ and $\max (f(t), g(t)) \notin(f(u)-\eta, f(u)+\eta)$ for $t \in W$ with $t \neq u$, we have $f \notin S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right)$ and $S_{\max }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$. Analogously we can prove that $S_{\min }\left(\mathfrak{C}_{H}\right) \subset \mathfrak{C}$.
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