

Detlef Plachky

A version of the strong law of large numbers universal under mappings

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 2, 229--233

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131004>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A VERSION OF THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS UNIVERSAL UNDER MAPPINGS

DETLEF PLACHKY

(Communicated by Miloslav Duchoň)

ABSTRACT. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  stand for some probability space,  $\Theta$  for a separable topological space, and  $(Y, \mathcal{Y})$  for a measurable space. Furthermore,  $f: Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is some function such that  $f_\vartheta$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable for all  $\vartheta \in \Theta$  and  $\{f_y : y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$  is pointwise equicontinuous. It is proved that for any sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of  $Y$ -valued random variables, which is i.i.d. relative to  $P$  such that  $E(|f(X_1, \vartheta)|) < \infty$  is valid for any  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , there exists some  $P$ -zero set  $N$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) \rightarrow E(f(X_1, \vartheta))$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ , for all  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ . This result is illustrated by examples and compared with known uniform versions of the SLLN.

### 1. Introduction and main result

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space,  $(Y, \mathcal{Y})$  a measurable space, and  $f: Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function such that  $f_\vartheta$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable for all  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , where  $\Theta$  stands for some non-empty and not necessarily countable set. Then it seems quite interesting to inquire, whether the following uniform version of the strong law of large numbers (SLLN) holds true: Does there exist for any (w.r.t.  $P$ ) independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequence of  $Y$ -valued random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfying  $E(|f(X_1, \vartheta)|) < \infty$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , some  $P$ -zero set  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) \rightarrow E(f(X_1, \vartheta))$  holds true for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  and any  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ ?

Now it will be shown that the following conditions are sufficient:

1.  $\Theta$  is some separable topological space.
2.  $f: Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the property that  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$  is pointwise equicontinuous.

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 60A10, 60B12.

Key words: Uniform strong law of large numbers.

The pointwise equicontinuity of  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$  implies for any  $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  the existence of some neighbourhood  $U_\varepsilon(\vartheta_0)$  satisfying  $f(y, \vartheta_0) - \varepsilon \leq f(y, \vartheta) \leq f(y, \vartheta_0) + \varepsilon$ ,  $\vartheta \in U_\varepsilon(\vartheta_0)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , from which the inequalities  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta_0) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta_0) + \varepsilon$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\vartheta \in U_\varepsilon(\vartheta_0)$ , follow. Therefore, the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta_0) - \varepsilon &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta_0) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

are valid for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and any  $\vartheta \in U_\varepsilon(\vartheta_0)$ , i.e.  $\left| \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta_0) \right| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\vartheta \in U_\varepsilon(\vartheta_0)$ , holds true, which proves that the function defined by  $\vartheta \rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta)$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , is continuous for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . By a similar argument the function introduced by  $\vartheta \rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta)$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , is continuous for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Furthermore, the function  $\vartheta \rightarrow E(f(X_1, \vartheta))$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , is continuous. Now the classical SLLN implies that the set  $S$  introduced by  $\left\{ (\omega, \vartheta) \in \Omega \times \Theta : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) < E(f(X_1, \vartheta)) \text{ or } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) > E(f(X_1, \vartheta)) \right\}$  satisfies  $P(S_\vartheta) = 0$  for all  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ . Furthermore, the continuity of the functions  $\vartheta \rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\vartheta), \vartheta)$ ,  $\vartheta \rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\vartheta), \vartheta)$ , and  $\vartheta \rightarrow E(f(X_1, \vartheta))$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , together with the existence of some countable and dense subset  $\Theta'$  of  $\Theta$  yields the universal  $P$ -zero set  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\bigcup_{\vartheta \in \Theta'} S_\vartheta$  of the type described by the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  denote a probability space,  $\Theta$  some separable topological space, and  $(Y, \mathcal{Y})$  some measurable space. Furthermore, let  $f : Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that  $f_\vartheta$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable for any  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , and  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$  is pointwise equicontinuous. Then for any sequence  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable random variables, which are i.i.d. with respect to  $P$  and satisfy  $E(|f(X_1, \vartheta)|) < \infty$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , there exists some  $P$ -zero set  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i(\omega), \vartheta) = E(f(X_1, \vartheta))$  is valid for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  and any  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ .*

## 2. Examples and comparison to known results

The following first example shows that one cannot drop the assumption that  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$  is pointwise equicontinuous and that the corresponding domain  $\Theta$  is a separable topological space without introducing some other conditions.

EXAMPLE 1. (Projections of random vectors)

First of all it will be shown that the exceptional zero-set occurring in the SLLN cannot be empty in general. For this purpose let  $\Omega$  stand for the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  and let  $X_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  stand for the  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ -measurable random variable defined by  $X_k(\omega) = \omega_k$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{2^k}$ ,  $\omega_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the dyadic expansion of  $\omega$ , which is unique if there does not exist any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\omega_k = 1$ ,  $k \geq j$ . Then the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed with respect to the probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  introduced as the Lebesgue-measure restricted to  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . Obviously, the set  $N$  defined by  $\left\{ \omega \in [0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n}{n} \neq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$  is not empty. Now let the set  $Y$  stand for  $[0, 1]^T$ ,  $T$  being some uncountable set, where the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Y}$  of subsets of  $Y$  is introduced as the direct product  $\bigotimes_{t \in T} \mathcal{A}_t$ . Here the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_t$  of subsets of

$[0, 1]$  coincides with  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  for all  $t \in T$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Y}$  has the following property: For any  $A \in \mathcal{Y}$  there exists some countable subset  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $(y_t)_{t \in T} \in A$  and  $y_s = y'_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , for some  $(y'_t)_{t \in T} \in Y$  implies  $(y_t)_{t \in T} \in A$ , i.e. the countable subset  $S$  of  $T$  determines  $A$ . Now if one introduces  $\Theta$  by the set consisting of all one-dimensional projections  $\pi_t : [0, 1]^T \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $t \in T$ , and the function  $f : Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f((y_t)_{t \in T}, \pi_t) = y_t = \pi_t((y_t)_{t \in T})$ ,  $t \in T$ , then  $f_{\pi_t}$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable for all  $t \in T$ . Furthermore, in connection with the  $Y$ -valued and  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable random vectors  $Y_n : [0, 1]^T \rightarrow [0, 1]^T$  defined by  $Y_n((\omega_t)_{t \in T}) = (X_n(\omega_t))_{t \in T}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $X_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , has been introduced at the beginning of this example, one gets that the exceptional zero-sets  $N_s$  defined by  $\left\{ (\omega_t)_{t \in T} \in [0, 1]^T : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (X_1(\omega_s) + \dots + X_n(\omega_s)) \neq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$  is equal to  $X_{t \in T} A_t$ ,  $A_t = [0, 1]$ ,  $t \in T \setminus \{s\}$ ,  $A_s = N$ . Here  $N$  has already been defined at the beginning of Example 1 and the underlying probability measure on  $\bigotimes_{t \in T} \mathcal{A}_t$ ,  $A_t = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ ,  $t \in T$ , is the direct product  $\bigotimes_{t \in T} P_t$ ,  $P_t = P$ ,  $t \in T$ ,

$P$  being the Lebesgue-measure restricted to  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . Now it will be shown that for  $\bigcup_{t \in T} N_t$  there does not exist any  $M \in \bigotimes_{t \in T} \mathcal{A}_t$ ,  $A_t = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ ,  $t \in T$ , satisfying  $\left( \bigotimes_{t \in T} P_t \right) (M) = 0$  and  $\bigcup_{t \in T} N_t \subset M$ . For this purpose one observes

that the inclusion  $\bigcup_{t \in T} N_t \subset M$  together with some  $(\omega_t)_{t \in T} \in [0, 1)^T$  results in  $(\omega_t)_{t \in T} \in M$ , i.e.  $M = [0, 1)^T$ , which might be seen as follows: Let  $S$  denote some countable subset of  $T$ , which determines  $M$  and let  $(\omega'_t)_{t \in T}$  be any element of  $[0, 1)^T$  satisfying  $\omega'_t = \omega_t$ ,  $t \in T \setminus \{t_0\}$ , and  $\omega'_{t_0} \in N$ , where  $t_0$  is some element of  $T \setminus S$ . Hence  $(\omega'_t)_{t \in T} \in N_{t_0}$  together with  $N_{t_0} \subset M$  implies  $(\omega_t)_{t \in T} \in M$ . Finally,  $\bigcup_{t \in T} N_t$  and  $X_{t \in T} B_t$ , where  $B_t$  stands for  $N^c$ ,  $t \in T$ , are disjoint, i.e.  $\bigcup_{t \in T} N_t \not\subset \bigotimes_{t \in T} A_t$ ,  $A_t = \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ ,  $t \in T$ , holds true.

The second example results in some application of the preceding theorem.

**EXAMPLE 2.** (Power series with random coefficients)

Let  $Y$  and  $\Theta$  stand for second countable topological spaces and let  $f: Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be some continuous function with respect to the corresponding product topology of  $Y \times \Theta$ . Then there exists for any  $y \in Y$  some neighborhood  $U(y)$  such that  $\{f_{y'} : y' \subset U(y)\}$  is pointwise equicontinuous (since otherwise there would exist  $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$ , and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  satisfying  $|f(y_n, \vartheta_n) - f(y_n, \vartheta_0)| \geq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $y_n \in Y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $(\vartheta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\vartheta_n \in \Theta$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are sequences with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y_0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n = \vartheta_0$ , which is a contradiction to the property of  $f$  to be continuous) and a theorem of Lindelöf (cf. [2; I.4.13, p. 12]) yields the existence of some countable collection  $U(y_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , satisfying

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U(y_k) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} U(y) = Y$ . Now the theorem above results in the existence of

some universal zero set with respect to  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$  in connection with the SLLN, if the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Y}$  of subsets of  $Y$  is chosen as the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ . In particular, in connection with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{|\vartheta|^n}{n!} < \infty$ ,  $|\vartheta| < \vartheta_0$

for some  $\vartheta_0 > 0$  and some  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , one might introduce the continuous function  $f: Y \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $Y = \{(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : |y_n| \leq |a_n|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,

and  $\Theta = (-\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)$  defined by  $f((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \vartheta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \frac{\vartheta^n}{n!}$ ,  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Y$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ ,

where  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is equipped with the product topology and  $\Theta$  with the relative topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark.** (Comparison with known uniform strong laws of large numbers)

In [3; p. 107–111] and [5; p. 854] one might find the following uniform version of the Strong law of large numbers:

$$P \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i, \vartheta) - E(f(X_1, \vartheta)) \right| = 0 \right\} = 1$$

under the assumption that  $\Theta$  is some compact and metric space (tacit assumption, cf. [3; p. 110]),  $\vartheta \rightarrow f(y, \vartheta)$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ , is continuous for all  $y \in Y$ , and there

exists some  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable function  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g \circ X_1$  is  $P$ -integrable and  $|f(y, \vartheta)| \leq g(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Theta$ . This result might also be derived easily by the theorem above together with a version of the theorem of Arzela-Ascoli, which might be found in [6; p. 369]. However, there appears the stronger point-wise equicontinuity assumption for  $\{f_y : y \in Y\}$ . Finally, one might consult [1; p. 4], and [4; p. 1308], for a version concerning the existence of some universal  $P$ -zero set in connection with the SLLN.

### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the referees for critical comments improving the representation and Professor Z. Artstein for drawing my attention to the problem concerning a universal version of the SLLN with respect to mappings.

### REFERENCES

- [1] ARTSTEIN, Z.—WETS, R. J.-B.: *Consistency of minimizers and the SLLN for stochastic programs*, J. Convex Anal. **2** (1995), 1–17.
- [2] DUNFORD, N.—SCHWARTZ, J.: *Linear Operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1964.
- [3] FERGUSON, T. S.: *A Course in Large Sample Theory*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
- [4] HESS, CHR.: *Epi-convergence of sequences of normal integrands and strong consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator*, Ann. Statist. **24** (1996), 1298–1315.
- [5] JOHNSON, R. A.: *Asymptotic expansions associated with posterior distributions*, Ann. Math. Statistics **41** (1970), 851–864.
- [6] RUDIN, W.: *Functional Analysis*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New Delhi, 1982.

Received September 19, 1994  
 Revised June 9, 1997

*Institute of Mathematical Statistics  
 University of Münster  
 Einsteinstr. 62  
 D-48149 Münster  
 GERMANY*