

Zbigniew Grande

On a theorem of Browder

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 3, 293--299

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131414>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A THEOREM OF BROWDER

ZBIGNIEW GRANDE¹⁾

(Communicated by Milan Medved')

ABSTRACT. In this paper, there is proved Browder's theorem about the existence of solutions of the Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space for the equation $u'(t) = f(t, u(t))$, where the weak continuity of f is replaced by more general conditions.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let \mathbb{R}^+ be the set of nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \rightarrow H$ is a mapping. It is well known that Peano's methods can be applied to prove that the Cauchy problem:

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad (2)$$

where $u_0 \in H$, has solutions when $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, the n -dimensional Euclidean space, and f is a continuous mapping. This method cannot be generalized to the infinite dimensional case, as was shown by Dieudonné [2, p. 287], even if we assume the continuity of f . Browder [1, Th. 7] has proved the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let H_w be the Hilbert space H endowed with the weak topology and let $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \rightarrow H$ be a weakly continuous mapping (i.e. f is continuous as a mapping from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times H_w$ into H_w). Then for each $r > 0$, there exists $a(r) > 0$ such that for each $u_0 \in H$ with $\|u_0\| < r$, there exists a C^1 solution u of system (1), (2) for $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$.*

In this paper we show that for the existence of differentiable solution u of (1), (2) in Theorem 1 it suffices to suppose (instead of the weak continuity of f) the following conditions:

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 34A07, 34G99, 34A45, 34A34.

Key words: Cauchy problem in Hilbert spaces, Weak continuity, Local differentiable solution, Lipschitz condition.

¹⁾ Supported by KBN research grant (1992–94) No. 2 1144 91 01 .

- (3a) for all $a, r > 0$ the image $f([0, a] \times \{v \in H : \|v\| \leq r\})$ is a bounded subset in H ;
- (3b) for every $v \in H$ the section $t \mapsto f(t, v)$ is a derivative, i.e. for each $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, v) \, ds = f(t_0, v);$$

and

- (3c) for all $a, r, s > 0$ and $v \in H$ there are $p > 0$ and $v_1, \dots, v_m \in H$ such that if $y_1, y_2 \in H$, $\|y_1\|, \|y_2\| \leq r$, $|(y_1 - y_2, v_i)| < p$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $|(f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2), v)| < s$ for all $t \in [0, a]$.

Remark 1. Let C_w and D respectively denote the class of all weakly continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \rightarrow H$ and the class of all functions $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \rightarrow H$ satisfying the conditions (3a)–(3c).

Define for $f, g \in D$,

$$p(f, g) = \min\left(1, \sup_{(t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times H} \|f(t, v) - g(t, v)\|\right).$$

Remark that (D, p) is a complete metric space and $C_w \subset D$ is a closed subset of D . We shall prove that C_w is a nondense subset of D . Fix $1 > s > 0$ and $v_0 \in H$, $\|v_0\| = 1$. There is a discontinuous derivative $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (see [5]). If $f \in C_w$, then the function

$$g(t, v) = f(t, v) + (s/2)h(t)v_0$$

is in $D - C_w$ and $p(f, g) < s$.

Hence C_w is a nondense subset of D .

We shall now apply the basic idea of the proof of **Browder's Theorem 1** to the proof of the following:

THEOREM 2. *Let a function $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \rightarrow H$ satisfy the conditions (3a)–(3c). Then for every $r > 0$ there exists $a(r) > 0$ such that, for each u_0 in H with $\|u_0\| < r$, there exists a solution u of the Cauchy problem*

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq a(t),$$

with

$$u(0) = u_0.$$

ON A THEOREM OF BROWDER

Proof. From (3a) it follows that for each $k, r > 0$ there exists $M(k, r) > 0$ such that $\|f(t, u)\| \leq M(k, r)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $u \in H$ with $t \leq k$, $\|u\| \leq r$. Moreover $M(k, r)$ may be chosen increasing in each variable.

We consider first the case of finite-dimensional space H , and recapitulate the proof of the Peano existence theorem (see also [3]).

We choose

$$a(r) = \min(1, r(M(1, 2r))^{-1})$$

and, for each $z > 0$ ($z < z_0$), we define $u_z(t)$ on the interval $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$ by

$$u_z(t) = \begin{cases} u_0, & 0 \leq t \leq z, \\ u_0 + \int_z^t f(s, u_z(s-z)) \, ds, & z \leq t \leq a(r). \end{cases}$$

This formula enables us to compute $u_z(t)$ on the interval $kz \leq t \leq (k+1)z$ knowing its value on $[(k-1)z, kz]$.

In the case of finite-dimensional space H the condition (3c) denotes the equicontinuity of all sections $u \mapsto f(t, u)$. So from [3, Theorem 1] it follows that the functions $t \mapsto f(t, u_z(t-z))$ are derivatives. Hence u_z is differentiable and satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du_z}{dt}(t) &= f(t, u_z(t-z)), \\ u_z(0) &= u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, on the interval $[kz, (k+1)z]$

$$\|u_z(t)\| \leq \|u_0\| + \int_z^t \|f(s, u_z(s-z))\| \, ds,$$

and if we have verified by induction that $\|u_z(t)\| \leq 2r$ for $t \leq kz$, then

$$\|u_z(t)\| \leq \|u_0\| + M(1, 2r)(t-z) \leq r + M(1, 2r)a(r) \leq 2r,$$

for $t \leq (k+1)z$. So $\|u_z(t)\| \leq 2r$ on $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$. Moreover,

$$\left\| \frac{du_z}{dt}(t) \right\| = \|f(t, u_z(t-z))\| \leq M(a(r), 2r) \leq M(1, 2r).$$

Hence (u_z) is a bounded equi-continuous set of functions on $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$. Choosing a uniformly convergent subsequence (for $z \rightarrow 0$), we see that its limit $t \mapsto u(t)$ must verify the equation

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) \, ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r),$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt}(t) &= f(t, u(t)), & 0 \leq t \leq a(r), \\ u(0) &= u_0. \end{aligned}$$

For this function u we have moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\| &\leq 2r, \\ \left\| \frac{du}{dt}(t) \right\| &\leq M(1, 2r), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r). \end{aligned}$$

We pass now to the case of a general Hilbert space H . Let A be the family of finite-dimensional subspaces of H , ordered by inclusion. For $F \in A$, let P be the orthogonal projection of H on F . We form the approximating equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du_F}{dt}(t) &= Pf(t, u_F(t)) = f_1(t, u_F(t)), \\ u_F(0) &= Pu_0, \end{aligned}$$

for a function $u_F: I \rightarrow F$ ($I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$). If we remark that

$$\|f_1(t, u)\| = \|Pf(t, u)\| \leq \|f(t, u)\| \leq M(k, r) \quad \text{for } t \leq k, \quad \|u\| \leq r,$$

it follows from the preceding discussion that we may find a solution u_F of the approximating equation on $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$ for $\|u_0\| \leq r$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_F(t)\| &= 2r, \\ \left\| \frac{du_F}{dt}(t) \right\| &\leq M(1, 2r), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the functions u_F as mappings of $[0, a(r)]$ into the closed set $\{u : \|u\| \leq 2r\}$ in H_w , it follows that the family (u_F) is equi-continuous, and that the union of their ranges is contained in a compact set. Hence there

ON A THEOREM OF BROWDER

exists a continuous function u from $[0, a(r)]$ to H such that, for each F_0 in A , $z > 0$, and each finite set (w_1, \dots, w_r) in H , there exists F in A with $F_0 \subset F$ such that

$$|(u_F(t) - u(t), w_j)| < z \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r$$

(see [1, pp. 519₆-520¹]).

Fix $v \in H$ and $z > 0$. There is F_0 in A such that, for all F in A and the corresponding projections P ,

$$\|Pv - v\| < z.$$

We know that, for $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$,

$$(u_F(t), v) = (u_0, v) + \int_0^t (f(s, u_F(s)), Pv) \, ds.$$

It follows from (3c) that there are $p > 0$ ($p < z$) and $u_1, \dots, u_m \in H$ such that for each $t \in [0, a(r)]$ and all $y_1, y_2 \in H$ with $\|y_1\|, \|y_2\| < 2r$ if

$$|(y_1 - y_2, u_i)| < p \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

then

$$|(f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2), v)| < z.$$

We may choose $F \supset F_0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_F(t) - u(t), u_i)| &< p < z, & i = 1, \dots, m, \\ |(u_F(t) - u(t), v)| &< p < z, & 0 \leq t \leq a(r). \end{aligned}$$

Evidently

$$|(f(t, u_F(t)) - f(t, u(t)), v)| < z \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r).$$

Since

$$|(f(t, u_F(t)), Pv) - (f(t, u_F(t)), v)| \leq M(1, 2r)\|v - Pv\| \leq zM(1, 2r),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (u(t), v) - (u_0, v) - \int_0^t (f(s, u(s)), v) \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| (u(t), v) - (u_F(t), v) + (u_F(t), v) - (u_0, v) - \int_0^t (f(s, u(s)), v) \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| (u(t) - u_F(t), v) + \int_0^t (f(s, u_F(s)), Pv) \, ds - \int_0^t (f(s, u(s)), v) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq z(1 + a(r)M(1, 2r)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $z > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$(u(t), v) = (u_0, v) + \int_0^t (f(s, u(s)), v) \, ds.$$

Since $v \in H$ is arbitrary,

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) \, ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a(r),$$

and the proof is finished.

Remark 2. It is known (see [3]) that if in Theorem 2 we assume that f satisfies a local Lipschitz condition in u , then the local solution $u: [0, a(r)] \rightarrow H$ with $u(0) = u_0$ is unique.

Moreover, we have

THEOREM 3. *If in Theorem 2, besides the conditions (3a)–(3c), we suppose that*

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(t, u) - f(t, v), u - v) \leq \|u - v\|^2/2t$$

for all u, v in H and $0 \leq t \leq a(r)$, then the solution u is unique.

The proof is a repetition of that of Medeiros's Theorem 3 in [4].

ON A THEOREM OF BROWDER

DEFINITION. ([4, Df. 1]). Let w be a positive real function defined on $[0, T]$. We say that w is a permissible function if it is strictly increasing on $[0, T]$, if $w(0) = 0$, and if

$$\frac{1}{w(z)} \int_s^a dz \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0, \quad s > 0, \quad 0 < a < T.$$

THEOREM 4. If in Theorem 2, besides (3a)–(3c), we suppose that

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(f(t, u) - f(t, v), u - v) \leq w(\|u - v\|^2), \quad 0 \leq t \leq a(r),$$

for some permissible function w , then solution u on $[0, a(r)]$ is unique.

The proof is a repetition of that of Medeiros's Theorem 3 in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] BROWDER, F. E.: *Non-linear equations of evolution*, Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964), 485–523.
- [2] DIEUDONNÉ, J.: *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1960.
- [3] GRANDE, Z.: *A theorem about Carathéodory's superposition*, Math. Slovaca **42** (1992), 443–449.
- [4] MEDEIROS, L. A.: *On nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert space*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 1024–1027.
- [5] ZAHORSKI, Z.: *Sur la première dérivée*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 1–54.

Received September 20, 1990

*Department of Mathematics
Pedagogical University
ul. Arciszewskiego 22 b
76-200 Ślupsk
Poland*