

Božena Černáková

Retracts of abelian multilattice groups

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 4, 353--358

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131887>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## RETRACTS OF ABELIAN MULTILATTICE GROUPS

BOŽENA ČERNÁKOVÁ

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. We study retracts of an abelian  $m$ -group which is an internal direct product of a finite number of its  $m$ -subgroups. The main result is formulated in 2.6.

Retracts of partially ordered sets were investigated in [3]–[6]. J. Jakubík [8] studied retracts of abelian lattice ordered groups.

Let  $G$  be an abelian directed multilattice group ( $m$ -group) which is an internal direct product of its  $m$ -subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  and let  $H$  be a retract of  $G$ . In this paper, it will be shown that there exist retracts  $R_1$  of  $A$ ,  $R_2$  of  $B$  such that  $H$  is isomorphic with the external direct product  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . On the other hand, it will be proved that, in general,  $H$  need not be an internal product of a retract of  $A$  and a retract of  $B$ .

This generalizes the results of J. Jakubík [8] concerning retracts of abelian lattice ordered groups.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a *partially ordered set* and let  $x, y \in P$ . The set of all lower (upper) bounds of the set  $\{x, y\}$  in  $P$  will be denoted by  $L(x, y)$  ( $U(x, y)$ ).

A subset  $S$  of  $P$  is called *directed* if  $L(x, y) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U(x, y) \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x, y \in S$ .

Let  $x, y \in P$ ,  $x \leq y$ . The *interval*  $[x, y]$  is the set  $\{z \in P : x \leq z \leq y\}$ . Let  $K$  be a subset of  $P$  such that  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ,  $k_1 \leq k_2$  implies  $[k_1, k_2] \subseteq K$ . Then  $K$  is said to be a *convex* subset of  $P$ .

The notion of multilattice has been introduced by M. Benađo [2] in the following way.

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06F15.

Key words: multilattice group, direct product, retract, retract mapping.

A partially ordered set  $(P, \leq)$  is called a *multilattice* if the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) if  $x, y \in P$ ,  $z \in L(x, y)$ , then there exists  $z' \in L(x, y)$  such that  $z \leq z'$ , and  $z'$  is a maximal element of  $L(x, y)$ ,
- (ii) the dual condition concerning  $U(x, y)$  holds.

If, moreover,  $(P, \leq)$  is a directed set, then  $(P, \leq)$  is said to be a *directed multilattice*.

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a multilattice. For  $x, y \in P$  we denote by  $x \wedge y$  and  $x \vee y$  the set of all maximal elements of  $L(x, y)$  or all minimal elements of  $U(x, y)$ , respectively. If  $P$  is a directed multilattice, then the sets  $x \wedge y$  and  $x \vee y$  are not empty. We shall write  $x$  instead of  $\{x\}$ .

A partially ordered group  $(G, +, \leq)$  will be called a *multilattice group* if the partially ordered set  $(G, \leq)$  is a multilattice. If, moreover,  $(G, \leq)$  is a directed multilattice, then a partially ordered group  $(G, +, \leq)$  is said to be a *directed multilattice group* (*m-group*). For the definitions and properties of partially ordered groups and multilattice groups, see [7] or [1] respectively.

Let  $(G, +, \leq)$  be an m-group. In the next, we shall write  $G$  instead of  $(G, +, \leq)$ . A subgroup  $G'$  of  $G$  is said to be an *m-subgroup* of  $G$  if, whenever  $x, y \in G'$ , then  $x \wedge y \subseteq G'$  and  $x \vee y \subseteq G'$ .

A mapping  $\varphi$  of  $G$  onto an m-group  $\overline{G}$  is called a *homomorphism* of  $G$  onto  $\overline{G}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of the group  $(G, +)$  onto the group  $(\overline{G}, +)$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(x \wedge y) = \varphi(x) \wedge \varphi(y)$ ,  $\varphi(x \vee y) = \varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y)$  for each  $x, y \in G$ .

An *isomorphism* of m-groups is defined in the obvious way. If  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic m-groups, we shall use the denotation  $G \simeq H$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be m-subgroups of  $G$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) for each  $g \in G$  there exist uniquely determined elements  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  such that  $g = a + b$ ;
- (ii) if  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $g = a + b$ ,  $g' = a' + b'$ ,  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $b, b' \in B$ , then  $gtg' = ata' + btb'$ , where  $t \in \{+, \wedge, \vee\}$ .

Under these assumptions,  $G$  is said to be an *internal direct product* of  $A$  and  $B$ . It will be expressed by writing  $G = (i) A \times B$ .

It is easily seen that  $A \cap B = \{0\}$  and that both  $A$  and  $B$  are convex subsets of  $G$ .

An *internal direct product* of m-subgroups  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of  $G$  is defined analogously, and we write  $G = (i) A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be m-groups. We form the (external) direct product  $G$  of groups  $X$  and  $Y$ . Define the operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  componentwise. Then  $G$  is an m-group and  $G$  is called the (*external*) *direct product* of  $X$  and  $Y$ . We shall use the notation  $G = A \times B$ .

Let  $H$  be an  $m$ -subgroup of an  $m$ -group  $G$ . We say that  $H$  is a *retract* of  $G$  if there is a homomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  onto  $H$  such that  $f(h) = h$  for each  $h \in H$ . The homomorphism  $f$  will be said to be a *retract mapping* of  $G$  onto  $H$ .

### 2. Direct products and retracts

Throughout this section, we suppose that  $G$  is an abelian  $m$ -group,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $m$ -subgroups of  $G$ , and that the relation

$$G = (i) A \times B \tag{1}$$

is valid.

Assume that  $A_1$  is a retract of  $A$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $\alpha$  and that  $B_1$  is a retract of  $B$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $\beta$ . For each  $g \in G$ ,  $g = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  we put  $f(g) = \alpha(a) + \beta(b)$  and denote  $f(G) = H$ .

**2.1. LEMMA.**  *$H$  is a retract of  $G$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $f$ , and the relation*

$$H = (i) A_1 \times B_1 \tag{2}$$

*is valid.*

*Proof.* Let  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $g = a + b$ ,  $g' = a' + b'$ ,  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $b, b' \in B$ . We have  $f(g \wedge g') = f((a + b) \wedge (a' + b')) = f(a \wedge a' + b \wedge b') = \alpha(a \wedge a') + \beta(b \wedge b') = \alpha(a) \wedge \alpha(a') + \beta(b) \wedge \beta(b') = (\alpha(a) + \beta(b)) \wedge (\alpha(a') + \beta(b')) = f(g) \wedge f(g')$ . In a similar manner, it can be verified that  $f(g \vee g') = f(g) \vee f(g')$  and  $f(g + g') = f(g) + f(g')$  hold.

Let  $h \in H$ . Then there is an element  $g \in G$ ,  $g = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  such that  $f(g) = h$ . Then  $f(h) = f(f(g)) = f(\alpha(a) + \beta(b)) = \alpha(\alpha(a)) + \beta(\beta(b)) = \alpha(a) + \beta(b) = f(g) = h$ .

We have shown that  $H$  is a retract of  $G$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $f$ .

Let  $h \in H$ ,  $h = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Then  $h = f(h) = \alpha(a) + \beta(b)$ ,  $\alpha(a) \in A_1$ ,  $\beta(b) \in B_1$ . Since  $A_1 \subseteq A$ ,  $B_1 \subseteq B$ , with respect to (1), elements  $\alpha(a)$  and  $\beta(b)$  are uniquely determined. Again, from (1), it follows that operations  $+$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  on  $H$  are performed componentwise. Hence (2) holds true. □

Now assume that  $H$  is a retract of  $G$ , and  $f$  is a retract mapping of  $G$  onto  $H$ . Denote  $f(A) = H_1$ ,  $f(B) = H_2$ .

*Remark.*  $f(A)$  need not be a retract of  $A$ . It can happen that  $f(A) \subseteq A$  fails to hold in general (see Example).

**2.2. LEMMA.**  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are  $m$ -subgroups of  $H$ , and  $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is an  $m$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $f$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $H$ ,  $H_1$  is an  $m$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Analogously, we obtain that  $H_2$  is an  $m$ -subgroup of  $H$ .

Denote  $H_i^+ = \{h \in H_i : h \geq 0\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). At first we prove that  $H_1^+ \cap H_2^+ = \{0\}$  is fulfilled.

Suppose that there exists an element  $h \in H_1^+ \cap H_2^+$ ,  $h > 0$ . Then there is  $a' \in A$  with  $h = f(a')$ , and we have  $h = h \vee 0 = f(a') \vee 0 = f(a') \vee f(0) = f(a' \vee 0) = f(a)$ , where  $a > 0$ ,  $a \in a' \vee 0$ . Similarly, we can find an element  $b \in B$ ,  $b > 0$  with  $h = f(b)$ . Hence  $h = f(a) = f(b) = f(a) \wedge f(b) = f(a \wedge b)$ . The relation  $A \cap B = \{0\}$  and convexity of  $A$  and  $B$  in  $G$  imply that there is no element  $g \in G$  such that  $0 < g < a, b$ . Therefore  $0 \in a \wedge b$ , and thus  $h = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Let  $H_1 \cap H_2 = K \neq \{0\}$ . Then there exists an element  $k \in K$ ,  $k \neq 0$ . Since  $K$  is an  $m$ -subgroup of  $H$ , the relation  $k \vee 0 \subseteq K$  holds. Hence there exists an element  $k' > 0$ ,  $k' \in k \vee 0$ ,  $k' \in H_1^+ \cap H_2^+$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.3. LEMMA.** Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be as above. Then

$$H = (i) H_1 \times H_2. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in H$ ,  $h = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Then  $h = f(h) = f(a) + f(b)$ ,  $f(a) \in H_1$ ,  $f(b) \in H_2$ . Now we intend to show that elements  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  are uniquely determined.

Let  $h = h_1 + h_2$ ,  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $h_2 \in H_2$ . There exist  $a' \in A$  and  $b' \in B$  such that  $h_1 = f(a')$ ,  $h_2 = f(b')$ , and we get  $h = f(a') + f(b')$ . Therefore  $f(a) + f(b) = f(a') + f(b')$  and  $f(a) - f(a') = f(b') - f(b)$ . Since  $f(a) - f(a') \in H_1$ ,  $f(b') - f(b) \in H_2$ , in view of 2.2, we obtain  $f(a) = f(a')$ ,  $f(b) = f(b')$ .

It remains to show that the operations  $+$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  are performed component-wise. We prove it for the operation  $\wedge$ . For the operations  $\vee$  and  $+$  the proofs are similar.

Let  $h' \in H$ ,  $h' = a' + b'$ ,  $a' \in A$ ,  $b' \in B$ . Hence  $h' = f(a') + f(b')$ . From (1), it follows that  $h \wedge h' = f(h \wedge h') = f(a \wedge a' + b \wedge b') = f(a \wedge a') + f(b \wedge b') = f(a) \wedge f(a') + f(b) \wedge f(b')$ . Therefore (3) is satisfied.  $\square$

Let  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $h_1 = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Define the mapping  $f_1: H_1 \rightarrow A$  by  $f_1(h_1) = a$ .

**2.4. LEMMA.** The mapping  $f_1$  is an isomorphism of  $H_1$  into  $A$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $f_1$  preserves the operations  $+$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ .

Let  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $h_1 = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Assume that  $f_1(h_1) = 0$ . Hence, from  $f_1(h_1) = a$ , it follows that  $a = 0$ , and so  $h_1 = b \in B$ . Since  $h_1 \in H$ , we

get  $h_1 = f(h_1) = f(b)$ . From this, we infer that  $h_1 \in H_2$ . According to 2.2, we obtain  $h_1 = 0$ . This yields that  $f_1$  is one-to-one. We conclude that  $f_1$  is an isomorphism of  $H_1$  into  $A$ .  $\square$

In an analogous way, we define the mapping  $f_2: H_2 \rightarrow B$ , and, similarly, we can verify that  $f_2$  is an isomorphism of  $H_2$  into  $B$ .

Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping defined by the rule  $\varphi(a) = f_1(f(a))$  for each  $a \in A$ .

**2.5. LEMMA.**  $f_1(H_1)$  is a retract of  $A$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* The mapping  $f$  reduced to  $A$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  onto  $H_1$ . In view of 2.4,  $f_1$  is an isomorphism of  $H_1$  into  $A$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  onto  $f_1(H_1)$ .

Let  $a_1 \in f_1(H_1)$ . There exist  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $h_1 = a_1 + b_1$ ,  $a_1 \in A$ ,  $b_1 \in B$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = h_1$ . Hence,  $f(a) = a_1 + b_1$ ,  $f(a) = f(a_1) + f(b_1)$ ,  $f(a - a_1) = f(b_1)$ . Using 2.2 we obtain  $f(b_1) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(a_1) = f(a)$ , and thus  $\varphi(a_1) = f_1(f(a_1)) = f_1(f(a)) = f_1(a_1 + b_1) = a_1$ .  $\square$

We have proved that  $R_1 = f_1(H_1)$  is a retract of  $A$ . In a similar manner, it can be shown that  $R_2 = f_2(H_2)$  is a retract of  $B$ .

Define the mapping  $\phi: H \rightarrow R_1 \times R_2$  as follows: for each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = h_1 + h_2$ ,  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $h_2 \in H_2$  we put  $\phi(h) = (f_1(h_1), f_2(h_2))$ .

It is easy to prove that the following assertion is valid:

**2.6. THEOREM.** The mapping  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $H$  onto  $R_1 \times R_2$ ,

$$H \simeq R_1 \times R_2.$$

By the induction we get

**2.7. THEOREM.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be  $m$ -subgroups of  $G$  such that

$$G = (i) A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n,$$

and let  $H$  be a retract of  $G$ . Then there exist retracts  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$  of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  such that

$$H \simeq R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n.$$

Again, assume that  $G = (i) A \times B$ . It can happen that there exists a retract  $H$  of  $G$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $f$  such that  $H$  cannot be expressed as an internal direct product of a retract of  $A$  and a retract of  $B$ , and that  $f(A) \subseteq A$  is not satisfied.

Example. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order,  $\mathcal{B} = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x - y \text{ is even}\}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linearly ordered group. Addition and a partial order on  $\mathcal{B}$  are defined componentwise. One verifies easily that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an m-group. There are elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ , e.g.,  $b_1 = (2, 4)$ ,  $b_2 = (1, 5)$  possessing no least upper bound in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let us consider the direct product  $G = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  of m-groups  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $G$  is an m-group. Introduce the notation  $A = \{(a, b) \in G : b = 0\}$ ,  $B = \{(a, b) \in G : a = 0\}$ ,  $H = \{(a, (x, y)) \in G : x = y = a\}$ . Obviously,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $H$  are m-subgroups of  $G$  and  $G = (i) A \times B$  is valid.

Define the mapping  $f: G \rightarrow H$  by  $f(g) = (a, (a, a))$  for each element  $g \in G$ ,  $g = (a, (x, y))$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $H$  is a retract of  $G$  with the corresponding retract mapping  $f$ . We verify only that  $f$  preserves the operation  $\wedge$ . Let  $g' \in G$ ,  $g' = (a', (x', y'))$ . Assume that  $a \leq a'$  (if  $a > a'$ , the result is analogous). Then  $f(g \wedge g') = f((a, (x, y)) \wedge (a', (x', y'))) = f((a, (x, y) \wedge (x', y'))) = (a, (a, a))$  and  $f(g) \wedge f(g') = (a, (a, a)) \wedge (a', (a', a')) = (a, (a, a))$ . Hence  $f(g \wedge g') = f(g) \wedge f(g')$ . Since  $f(A) = H$ , we have  $f(A) \not\subseteq A$ . From the fact that  $H$  is a diagonal of  $G$ , we conclude that  $H$  is the internal direct product of no m-subgroups of  $H$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] McALISTER, D. B.: *On multilattice groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **61** (1965), 621–638.
- [2] BENADO, M.: *Sur la théorie de la divisibilité*, Acad. P. P. Romine, Bul. Sti. Sect. Sti. Mat.-Fiz. **6** (1954), 263–270.
- [3] DUFFUS, D.—POGUNTKE, M.—RIVAL, I.: *Retracts and the fixed point problem for finite partially ordered sets*, Canad. Math. Bull. **23** (1980), 231–236.
- [4] DUFFUS, D.—RIVAL, I.: *Retracts of partially ordered sets*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. **27** (1979), 495–506.
- [5] DUFFUS, D.—RIVAL, I.—SIMONOVITS, M.: *Spanning retracts of a partially ordered set*, Discrete Math. **32** (1980), 1–7.
- [6] DUFFUS, D.—RIVAL, I.: *A structure theory for ordered sets*, Discrete Math. **35** (1981), 53–118.
- [7] FUCHS, L.: *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [8] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Retracts of abelian lattice ordered groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **39(114)** (1989), 477–485.

Received June 4, 1993

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Technical University  
Vysokoškolská 4  
SK-042 02 Košice  
SLOVAKIA*