

Ladislav Satko

On totally SLA-simple semigroups

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 4, 413--417

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131967>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON TOTALLY SLA-SIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

LADISLAV SATKO

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. For any subsemigroup \mathbf{A} of the semigroup \mathbf{S} a maximum subsemigroup $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$ of \mathbf{S} in the class of all subsemigroups \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{S} fulfilling the property “ \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{B} ” is defined and its properties are studied.

Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. In the present paper, the set of all subsemigroups of \mathbf{S} is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. A *semigroup left almost ideal (SLA-ideal)* of a semigroup \mathbf{S} is $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$ such that $s\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{A} \neq \emptyset$ for any $s \in \mathbf{S}$. This notion was defined, and its basic properties are studied in [1]. We consider a following problem. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and \mathbf{A} be its subsemigroup. Let \mathbf{B} be such subsemigroup of \mathbf{S} that \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{B} . Does there exist a maximum subsemigroup in the class of all subsemigroups \mathbf{B} with the foregoing property? First of all (Theorem 1), we show that such semigroup exists, and it is uniquely determined. It will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the properties of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$. Namely, we will answer the following questions:

- 1) Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, and $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$. Does $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$ hold true?
- 2) What semigroups have the property that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{S}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$?
- 3) What semigroups have the property that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$?

We start with Theorem 1, which provides a possibility to define $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$.

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. Then there exists a maximum subsemigroup in the class of all subsemigroups \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{S} with property “ \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{B} ”.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of all subsemigroups of \mathbf{S} such that \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{B}_i . Let us consider the set $(\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$, where \mathbf{X}^+ means the subsemigroup of \mathbf{S} generated by \mathbf{X} . Let $x \in (\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$. Then $x = b_1 b_2 \dots b_k$ with $b_i \in \mathbf{B}_{j_i}$. Since \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal in \mathbf{B}_{j_k} , to $b_k \in \mathbf{B}_{j_k}$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 20L99.

Key words: SLA-ideal, SLA-cover, totally SLA-simple semigroup, SLA-universal semigroup.

there exists $c_k \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $b_k c_k = a_k \in \mathbf{A}$. Therefore $x c_k = b_1 \dots b_{k-1} b_k c_k = b_1 \dots b_{k-1} a_k$. The element $b_{k-1} a_k$ is from $\mathbf{B}_{j_{k-1}}$. Thus, to $b_{k-1} a_k$ there exists $c_{k-1} \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $(b_{k-1} a_k) c_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \in \mathbf{A}$. We can consider the element $x c_k c_{k-1} = b_1 \dots b_{k-1} a_k c_{k-1} = b_1 \dots b_{k-2} a_{k-1}$ and repeat the foregoing process. At the end we have: To $x = b_1 \dots b_k$ there exist $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $x c_k c_{k-1} \dots c_1 = b_1 \dots b_k c_k \dots c_1 = a_1 \in \mathbf{A}$. Hence, to any $x \in (\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$ there exists $c = c_k \dots c_1 \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $xc \in \mathbf{A}$. As $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of $(\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$.

Obviously, $(\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$ is the maximum subsemigroup of \mathbf{S} in which \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal, and the proof is complete. \square

DEFINITION 1. The above subsemigroup $(\bigcup\{\mathbf{B}_i \mid i \in I\})^+$ is called an *SLA-cover* of a semigroup \mathbf{A} and is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$.

THEOREM 2. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}))$.

Proof. By Definition 1, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}))$. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}))$. Then there exists $b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $cb \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$. Since \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$, there exists $a \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $ba \in \mathbf{A}$ and $(cb)a \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$. To $cba \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$ there exists $a' \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $(cba)a' \in \mathbf{A}$. Thus, to any $c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}))$ there exists $(ba)a' \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $c(baa') \in \mathbf{A}$. Hence, \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}))$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$, which proves the theorem. \square

Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. In the following example, we show that the condition $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ does not necessarily imply $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$.

EXAMPLE. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{a, b\}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^+$. Let $\mathbf{A} = ((ab)^2 \cup (ab)^3)^+$ and $\mathbf{B} = ((ab)^2 \cup (ab)^3 \cup a)^+$. Then $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A} = \{(ab)^n \mid n \geq 2\}$. Clearly, a is a prefix of any element of \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{B} does not contain an element with $abaa$ as its prefix.

First we describe the structure of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$. For any $x \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$ there exist integers m, n such that $n > m \geq 2$ and $x(ab)^m = (ab)^n$. It holds if and only if $x = (ab)^{n-m}$ with $n - m \geq 1$. Since $\{(ab)^n \mid n \geq 1\} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \{(ab)^n \mid n \geq 1\}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$. Then $a, ab \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$ and consequently, $aba \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$. To $aba \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$ there exists $x \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $(aba)x \in \mathbf{B}$. But a is a prefix of any $x \in \mathbf{B}$. Then \mathbf{B} contains an element $abax$ with $abaa$ as a prefix. This contradicts our assumption. Thus $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$ is not a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B})$, and we have a negative answer to the first question.

Now we describe all semigroups \mathbf{S} with a property: For any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{S}$. It means that any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$ is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} .

DEFINITION 2. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{S}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. Then \mathbf{S} is called an *SLA-universal semigroup*.

The next theorem gives a characterization of SLA-universal semigroups. One of the crucial notions in that characterization is the notion of combinatorial semigroup introduced in [2]. (A semigroup \mathbf{S} is called *combinatorial* if for any $s \in \mathbf{S}$ there exists a positive integer n such that $s^n = s^{n+1}$.)

THEOREM 3. *A semigroup \mathbf{S} is SLA-universal if and only if it is combinatorial, and each idempotent of \mathbf{S} is a right zero of \mathbf{S} .*

Proof. Let \mathbf{S} be an SLA-universal semigroup, $a \in \mathbf{S}$ is an arbitrary element of \mathbf{S} , and $\langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic subsemigroup of \mathbf{S} generated by a . Then $\langle a \rangle$ is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} . Therefore, for any $s \in \mathbf{S}$, $s\langle a \rangle \cap \langle a \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Elements with this property are called *right quasi-zeros* of \mathbf{S} (see [2]). Hence, if \mathbf{S} is an SLA-universal semigroup, then \mathbf{S} consists entirely of right quasi-zeros.

Conversely, let any $a \in \mathbf{S}$ be a right quasi-zero of \mathbf{S} . Then for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, we can consider an arbitrary element $a \in \mathbf{A}$, and $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \mathbf{A}$. The cyclic subsemigroup $\langle a \rangle$ of \mathbf{A} is an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} . Then \mathbf{A} is also an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} . (Any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$ containing an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} is also an SLA-ideal of \mathbf{S} .) Therefore \mathbf{S} is an SLA-universal semigroup.

We proved: \mathbf{S} is an SLA-universal semigroup if and only if any $a \in \mathbf{S}$ is a right quasi-zero of \mathbf{S} . However, with respect to [2; Corollary 3.14], any $a \in \mathbf{S}$ is a right quasi-zero of \mathbf{S} if and only if \mathbf{S} is combinatorial, and each idempotent of \mathbf{S} is a right zero of \mathbf{S} . This completes the proof. \square

At the end of the paper, we consider semigroups fulfilling the property that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. A notion of an SLA-simple semigroup was introduced in [1]. A semigroup \mathbf{S} is *SLA-simple* if \mathbf{S} does not contain a proper SLA-ideal. Obviously, if $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$, then any $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$ is an SLA-simple semigroup.

DEFINITION 3. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. Then the semigroup \mathbf{S} is said to be a *totally SLA-simple semigroup*.

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathbf{S} be totally SLA-simple, and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S})$. Then \mathbf{A} is a left simple semigroup.*

Proof. If \mathbf{A} is not left simple, then there exists a proper left ideal L of \mathbf{A} . Since \mathbf{S} is totally SLA-simple, $\mathcal{C}(L) = L$. But L is also a proper SLA-ideal of \mathbf{A} . Thus $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(L) = L$, which is a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 2. *Let S be a totally SLA-simple semigroup, and $s \in S$. Then $\langle s \rangle$ is a finite group.*

P r o o f. The semigroup $\langle s \rangle$ is a left simple commutative semigroup. Therefore $\langle s \rangle$ is a group. As an infinite cyclic semigroup $\langle s \rangle$ is not left simple, $\langle s \rangle$ is a finite group. \square

It is known that a semigroup S is a left group if and only if S is left simple and contains an idempotent. In such a case, S can be written in the form $S = EG$, where G is a maximal group of S , and E is a left zero semigroup of all idempotents of S . A left group $S = EG$ is a union of disjoint groups eG for $e \in E$. Any $e \in E$ is a right identity element of S .

THEOREM 4. *A semigroup S is totally SLA-simple if and only if S is a left group EG such that G is a periodic group.*

P r o o f.

Necessity: Let S be totally SLA-simple. By Lemma 1 and 2, S is a left group EG , and G is a periodic group.

Sufficiency: Let $S = EG$ be a left group, and G be a periodic group. It is known (see [1; Corollary 1]) that any periodic group does not contain a proper SLA-ideal. Since any subsemigroup of a periodic group is a periodic group, $\mathcal{C}(A) = A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $\tilde{E} = \{e \in E \mid A \cap eG \neq \emptyset\}$. Then, for any $e \in \tilde{E}$, $A \cap eG$ is a subsemigroup of a periodic group eG . Hence it is a group, and e is its identity element. Therefore $\tilde{E} \subseteq A$. Now we consider $B \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ such that A is an SLA-ideal of B . Let $e \notin \tilde{E}$ and $B \cap eG \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $b \in B \cap eG$ and any $a \in A$, $ba \in eG$. But $eG \cap A = \emptyset$. For this reason, to $b \in B \cap eG$ does not exist $a \in A$ such that $ba \in A$. Consequently, $B \cap eG \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $e \in \tilde{E}$. Thus, $B \cap eG \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $A \cap eG \neq \emptyset$.

Let A be an SLA-ideal of B and $e \in \tilde{E}$. Then to any $b \in B \cap eG$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $ba \in A$. Since $b \in eG$, we have $ba \in A \cap eG$. On account of $\tilde{E} \subseteq A$, $ea \in A$ for any $a \in A$. Therefore, to any $b \in B \cap eG$ there exists $ea \in A \cap eG$ such that $ba = bea \in A \cap eG$. From the above, it follows that $A \cap eG$ is an SLA-ideal of $B \cap eG$ for any $e \in \tilde{E}$. But $A \cap eG$ and $B \cap eG$ are periodic groups. For this reason, $A \cap eG = B \cap eG$ for any $e \in \tilde{E}$. In this way, $A = B$ and $\mathcal{C}(A) = A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, and the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] SATKO, L., GROŠEK, O.: *On SLA-ideals*, Math. Slovaca **45** (1995), 45–52.

ON TOTALLY SLA-SIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

- [2] RANKIN, S. A.—REIS, C. M. : *Semigroups with quasi-zeros*, *Canad. J. Math.* **32** (1980), 511–530.

Received December 27, 1994

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
and Information Technology
Slovak Technical University
Ilkovičova 3
SK-812 19 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: satko@kmat.elf.stuba.sk*