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#### Abstract

In this paper we shall give some results on irreducible deductive systems in BCK-algebras and we shall prove that the set of all deductive systems of a BCK-algebra is a Heyting algebra. As a consequence of this result we shall show that the annihilator $F^{*}$ of a deductive system $F$ is the the pseudocomplement of $F$. These results are more general than that the similar results given by M. Kondo in [7].
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In [7] it was shown that the set of all ideals (or deductive systems, in our terminology) of a BCK-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is a pseudocomplement distributive lattice and that the annihilator $F^{*}$ of a deductive system $F$ of $\mathbf{A}$ is the pseudocomplement of $F$. Related results on annihilators in Hilbert algebras and Tarski algebras (or also called commutative Hilbert algebras [6] or Abbot's implication algebras) are given in [2] and [3]. On the other hand, it was shown in [9] that the set of deductive systems $D s(\mathbf{A})$ of a BCK-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is an infinitely distributive lattice, and thus it is a Heyting algebra. In this note we will give a description of this fact and we shall prove that the annihilator $F^{*}$ of the deductive system $F$ can be obtained as $F^{*}=F \Rightarrow\{1\}$, where $\Rightarrow$ is the Heyting implication defined in the lattice $\operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$.

In the remaining part of this section we shall review some results on BCKalgebras. In section 2 we shall study the notion of irreducible deductive system. In particular, we shall give a generalization of a result given in [8] for BCKalgebras with supremum. In Section 3 we shall prove that the lattice of deductive system of a BCK-algebra is a Heyting algebra.

Definition 1 An algebra $\mathbf{A}=\langle A, \rightarrow, 1\rangle$ of type $(2,0)$ is a $B C K$-algebra if for all $a, b, c \in A$ the following conditions hold:

1. $a \rightarrow a=1$,
2. $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow((b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow c))=1$,
3. $a \rightarrow(b \rightarrow c)=b \rightarrow(a \rightarrow c)$,
4. $a \rightarrow(b \rightarrow a)=1$
5. $a \rightarrow b=1$ and $b \rightarrow a=1$, implies $a=b$.

If $\mathbf{A}$ is a BCK-algebra and we define the binary relation $\leq$ on $\mathbf{A}$ by $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \rightarrow b=1$, then $\leq$ is a partial order in $\mathbf{A}$.

Let us recall that in all BCK-algebras A the following properties are satisfied:
P1 $1 \rightarrow a=a$,
P2 $a \rightarrow((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)=1$
P3 $a \rightarrow b \leq(c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow(c \rightarrow a)$,
P4 $a \rightarrow b=((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$,
P5 if $a \leq b$, then $c \rightarrow a \leq c \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow c \leq a \rightarrow c$.
A BCK-algebra with supremum, or $\mathrm{BCK}^{\vee}$-algebra is an algebra

$$
\mathbf{A}=\langle A, \rightarrow, \vee, 1\rangle
$$

where $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1\rangle$ is a BCK-algebra, $\langle A, \vee, 1\rangle$ is a join-semilattice, and $a \rightarrow b=1$ if and only if $a \vee b=b$. For $a, b \in A$ we define inductively $a \rightarrow_{n} b$ as $a \rightarrow_{0} b=b$ and $a \rightarrow_{n+1} b=a \rightarrow\left(\left(a \rightarrow_{n} b\right)\right)$.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BCK-algebra. A deductive system or filter of $\mathbf{A}$ is a nonempty subset $F$ of $A$ such that $1 \in F$, and for every $a, b \in A$, if $a, a \rightarrow b \in F$, then $b \in F$. It is clear that if $F$ is a deductive system, $a \leq b$ and $a \in F$, then $b \in F$. The set of all deductive system of a BCK-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$. The deductive system generated by a set $X \subseteq A$ is denoted by $\langle X\rangle$. Let us recall that

$$
\langle X\rangle=\left\{a \in A: x_{1} \rightarrow\left(\ldots\left(x_{n} \rightarrow a\right) \ldots\right)=1 \text { for some } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X\right\} .
$$

In particular, $\langle x\rangle=\left\{a \in A: x \rightarrow(\ldots(x \rightarrow a) \ldots)=x \rightarrow_{n} a=1\right\}$.
Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BCK-algebra. In [9] (see also [10]) it was proved that the structure $\langle D s(\mathbf{A}), \vee, \wedge,\{1\}, A\rangle$ is a bounded (infinitely) distributive lattice where the operations $\wedge$ and $\vee$ are defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1} \wedge F_{2}=F_{1} \cap F_{2} \\
& F_{1} \vee F_{2}=\left\{a \in A: \exists(x, y) \in F_{1} \times F_{2} ; x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow a)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
F \vee\langle a\rangle=\left\{c \in A: a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F \text { for some } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

for $F \in D s(\mathbf{A})$ and $a \in A$. Indeed, let $c \in F \vee\langle a\rangle$. Then there exist $x \in F$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow c)=1$ and $a \rightarrow_{n} y=1$. Since $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow c)=1 \in F$, $y \rightarrow c \in F$. So, $y \rightarrow c \leq\left(a \rightarrow_{n} y\right) \rightarrow\left(a \rightarrow_{n} c\right)=1 \rightarrow\left(a \rightarrow_{n} c\right)=a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$.

## 2 Irreducible deductive systems

In [8] the separation theorem for $\mathrm{BCK}^{\vee}$-algebras was proved. In this section following the paper [1], we prove a separation theorem for any BCK-algebra.

Let A be a BCK-algebra. A deductive system $F$ is irreducible if and only if for any $F_{1}, F_{2} \in D s(\mathbf{A})$ such that $F=F_{1} \cap F_{2}$, we have $F=F_{1}$ or $F=F_{2}$. We denote by $X(\mathbf{A})$ the set of all irreducible deductive systems of a BCK-algebra $\mathbf{A}$.

Lemma 2 Let A be a BCK-algebra. Let $F \in D s(\mathbf{A})$. Then $F$ is irreducible if and only if for every $a, b \notin F$ there exist $c \notin F$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $a \rightarrow_{n} c$, $b \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$.

Proof $\Rightarrow)$ Let $a, b \notin F$. Let us consider the deductive systems $F_{a}=\langle F \cup\{a\}\rangle=$ $F \vee\langle a\rangle$ and $F_{b}=\langle F \cup\{b\}\rangle=F \vee\langle b\rangle$. Since $F \neq F_{a}$ and $F \neq F_{b}$, then by irreducibility of $F$ we have $F \subset F_{a} \cap F_{b}$. It follows that there exists $c \in\left(F_{a} \cap F_{b}\right)-F$. Then $a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$ and $b \rightarrow_{m} c \in F$ for some $n, m \geq 0$. If we assume that $n \geq m$, then by property P 4 we have that $b \rightarrow_{m} c \leq b \rightarrow_{n} c$. So, $a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$ and $b \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$.
$\Leftarrow)$. Let $F_{1}, F_{2} \in D s(\mathbf{A})$ such that $F=F_{1} \cap F_{2}$. Suppose that $F \neq F_{1}$ and $F \neq F_{2}$. Then there exist $a \in F_{1}-F$ and $b \in F_{2}-F$. So, by the assumption, there exists $c \notin F$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$ and $b \rightarrow_{n} c \in F$. As, $a, a \rightarrow_{n} c \in F_{1}$ and $F_{1} \in \operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$, then $c \in F_{1}$. Similarly, $c \in F_{2}$. Thus, $c \in F_{1} \cap F_{2}=F$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BCK-algebra. A subset $I$ of $A$ is called an ideal of $\mathbf{A}$ if:

1. If $b \in I$ and $a \leq b$, then $a \in I$.
2. If $a, b \in I$ there exists $c \in I$ such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$.

The set of all ideals of $\mathbf{A}$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Id}(\mathbf{A})$.
Theorem 3 Let A be a BCK-algebra. Let $F \in \operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$ and $I \in I d(\mathbf{A})$ such that $F \cap I=\emptyset$. Then there exists $P \in X(\mathbf{A})$ such that $F \subseteq P$ and $P \cap I=\emptyset$.

Proof Let us consider the following subset of $\operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}=\{H \in D s(\mathbf{A}): F \subseteq H \text { and } H \cap I=\emptyset\}
$$

Since $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. It is clear that the union of a chain of elements of $\mathcal{F}$ is also in $\mathcal{F}$. So, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element $P$ of $\mathcal{F}$. We
prove that $P \in X(\mathbf{A})$. Let $a, b \notin P$ and let us consider the deductive systems $P_{a}=\langle P \cup\{a\}\rangle$ and $P_{b}=\langle P \cup\{b\}\rangle$. Clearly, $P \subset P_{a} \cap P_{b}$. Then, $P_{a}, P_{b} \notin \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $P_{a} \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{a} \cap I \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exist $x, y \in I$ such that $a \rightarrow_{n} x \in P$ and $b \rightarrow_{m} y \in P$ for some $n, m \geq 0$. Suppose that $m \leq n$. Then $b \rightarrow_{m} y \leq b \rightarrow_{n} y \in P$. Since $I$ is an ideal, there exists $c \in I$ such that $x \leq c$ and $y \leq c$. So, $a \rightarrow_{n} x \leq a \rightarrow_{n} c \in P$ and $b \rightarrow_{n} y \leq b \rightarrow_{n} c \in P$. Therefore, by Lemma 2, we conclude that $P \in X(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary 4 Let A be a BCK-algebra. Let $F \in \operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$.

1. For each $a \notin F$ there exists $P \in X(\mathbf{A})$ such that $a \notin P$ and $F \subseteq P$.
2. $F=\bigcap\{P \in X(\mathbf{A}): F \subseteq P\}$.

## 3 Annihilators

Let us recall that a Heyting algebra is an algebra $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow, 0,1\rangle$ of type $(2,2,2,0,0)$ such that $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, 0,1\rangle$ is a bounded distributive lattice and the operation $\Rightarrow$ satisfies the condition: $a \wedge b \leq c$ if and only if $a \leq b \Rightarrow c$, for all $a, b, c \in A$. The pseudocomplement of an element $x \in A$ is the element $x^{*}=x \Rightarrow 0$.

Let A be a BCK-algebra. Let $a \in A$. Define the set $[a)=\{x \in A: a \leq x\}$. We note that in general the set $[a) \notin D s(\mathbf{A})$.

For each pair $F, H \in D s(\mathbf{A})$ let us define the subset $F \Rightarrow H$ of $A$ as follows:

$$
F \Rightarrow H=\{a \in A:[a) \cap F \subseteq H\} .
$$

Theorem 5 Let A be a BCK-algebra. Let $F, H \in \operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$. Then

1. $F \Rightarrow H \in \operatorname{Ds}(\mathbf{A})$.
2. $F \Rightarrow H=\{x \in A:(x \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f \in H$ for each $f \in F\}$.
3. $\langle F i(A), \vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow,\{1\}, A\rangle$ is a Heyting algebra.

Proof 1. Since, $[1) \cap F=\{1\} \subseteq H$, then $1 \in F \Rightarrow H$.
Let $x, x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow H$. Then, $[x) \cap F \subseteq H$ and $[x \rightarrow y) \cap F \subseteq H$. Let $z \in[y) \cap F$. As, $y \leq z$, then by the property $\mathrm{P} 5, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$. By property P4., we have $x \rightarrow z \in F$. Thus,

$$
x \rightarrow z \in[x \rightarrow y) \cap F .
$$

On the other hand, as $x \leq(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z$ and $z \leq(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z$, we get $(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z \in[x) \cap F$. Therefore,

$$
x \rightarrow z, \quad(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z \in H
$$

and consequently $z \in H$. So, $F \Rightarrow H \in D s(\mathbf{A})$.
2. We prove that

$$
F \Rightarrow H \subseteq G=\{x \in A:(x \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f \in H \text { for each } f \in F\}
$$

Let $x \in A$ such that $[x) \cap F \subseteq H$. Let $f \in F$. Since, $x \leq(x \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f$ and $f \leq(x \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f$, then $(x \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f \in[x) \cap F \subseteq H$. Thus, $x \in G$.

Let $x \in G$. Let $y \in A$ such that $x \leq y$ and $y \in F$. Since $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \in H$ and $x \rightarrow y=1$, then $1 \rightarrow y=y \in H$. Thus, $x \in F \Rightarrow H$.
3. Let $F, H, K \in D s(\mathbf{A})$. Then it is easy to check that

$$
F \cap H \subseteq K \text { if and only if } F \subseteq H \Rightarrow K
$$

Thus, $\langle D s(\mathbf{A}), \vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow,\{1\}, A\rangle$ is a Heyting algebra.
As a corollary we have the following result, first given by M. Kondo in [7].
Corollary 6 Let A be a BCK-algebra. The annihilator of a deductive system $F$ is the deductive system

$$
F^{*}=F \Rightarrow\{1\}=\{x \in A:[x) \cap F=\{1\}\}
$$

Proof It is immediate by the above theorem.
For $\mathrm{BCK}^{\vee}$-algebras we can give the following result which generalize a similar result given by M. Kondo in [7] for commutative BCK-algebras.

Proposition 7 Let A be a $B C K^{\vee}$-algebra. Then for every $F \in D s(\mathbf{A})$

$$
F^{*}=\{x \in A: x \vee f=1 \text { for each } f \in F\} .
$$

Proof Let $x \in A$ such that $x \vee f=1$ for each $f \in F$. We prove that $[x) \cap F=\{1\}$. Let $a \in A$ such that $x \leq a$ and $a \in F$. Then $a=x \vee a=1$. Thus, $x \in F^{*}$.

Let $x \in F^{*}$. Then $[x) \cap F=\{1\}$. Since $x \leq x \vee f, f \leq x \vee f$, for each $f \in F$, and as $F$ is increasing, then $x \vee f \in[x) \cap F$. Thus, $x \vee f=1$, for each $f \in F$.

Now we prove that the annihilator of a subset $X$ is the annihilator of the deductive system generated by $X$. This result was proved for Tarski algebras in [2].

Theorem 8 Let A be a $B C K^{\vee}$-algebra. Then for every subset $X$ of $A$, we have $X^{*}=\langle X\rangle^{*}$.
Proof Since $X \subseteq\langle X\rangle$, then $\langle X\rangle^{*} \subseteq X^{*}$. Let $x \in X^{*}$. We prove that for every $a \in\langle X\rangle, x \vee a=1$. Suppose that there exists $a \in\langle X\rangle$ such that $a \vee x \neq 1$. Then there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$ such that

$$
x_{1} \rightarrow\left(x_{2} \rightarrow \ldots\left(x_{k} \rightarrow a\right) \ldots\right)=1
$$

As $x \in X^{*}, x \vee x_{i}=1$ for every $x_{i} \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. Since, $a \vee x \neq 1$, by Theorem 3 there exists an irreducible deductive system $P$ such that $x \notin P, a \notin P$ and taking into account that $x \vee x_{i}=1$, then $x_{i} \in P$ for every $x_{i} \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. But since, $x_{1} \rightarrow\left(x_{2} \rightarrow \ldots\left(x_{k} \rightarrow a\right) \ldots\right)=1 \in P$, then $a \in P$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $a \vee x=1$ for every $a \in\langle X\rangle$ and consequently $x \in\langle X\rangle^{*}$.
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