

Ivica Marinová

Integration with respect to a *oplus*-measure

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 1, 15--22

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133071>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

INTEGRATION WITH RESPECT TO A \oplus -MEASURE

IVICA MARINOVÁ

In paper [5] the extension of σ -additive and σ -maxitive measures is performed simultaneously by help of some \oplus -measure. In this paper we show that one can perform simultaneously the integration theory as well as the product of σ -additive and σ -maxitive measures. Both σ -additive and σ -maxitive measures are so-called strong submeasures. For submeasures some more integrals are defined in literature (see [1], [3], [4], [7]). But none of these integrals fulfils the very natural requirement of σ -maxitive measures, that is $\int \sup (f, g) = \sup \{ \int f, \int g \}$ for all non-negative functions f, g .

Preliminary definitions and results

Let \oplus be some binary operation on $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$ with the following properties:

1. $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ for all $a, b \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
2. $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ for all $a, b, c \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
3. $k(a \oplus b) = ka \oplus kb$ for all $k > 0, a, b \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
4. $a \oplus 0 = a, a \oplus \infty = \infty$ for each $a \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
5. $a \leq b \Rightarrow a \oplus c \leq b \oplus c$ for all $a, b, c \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
6. $(a + b) \oplus (c + d) \leq (a \oplus c) + (b \oplus d)$ for all $a, b, c, d \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$
7. $a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b \Rightarrow a_n \oplus b_n \rightarrow a \oplus b$
for all $a, b, a_n, b_n \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

We shall write briefly $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i$ instead of $a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ instead of $\sup_n \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i \right)$.

Clearly the usual addition as well as the maximum of two real numbers fulfil the properties 1.—7.

Definition 1. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space. A set function $m: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ will be called a \oplus -measure if $m(\emptyset) = 0$ and $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i)$ for each sequence $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of mutually disjoint sets from \mathcal{S} .

Clearly if $a \oplus b = a + b$ for all $a, b \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, the \oplus -measure becomes a σ -additive measure. If $a \oplus b = \max\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, the \oplus -measure becomes a σ -maxitive measure (i.e. such a function $m: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ that $m(\emptyset) = 0$ and $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sup_i m(E_i)$ for each sequence $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of mutually disjoint sets in \mathcal{S}).

It is easy to see that a \oplus -measure is \oplus -additive (i.e. $m(A \cup B) = m(A) \oplus m(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$), monotone, \oplus -subadditive (i.e. $m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) \oplus m(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$) and continuous from below.

Let m be a fixed \oplus -measure. First we define an integral with respect to m for a non-negative simple function. Briefly for a NSF.

Definition 2. Let (X, \mathcal{S}, m) be a \oplus -measure space and let f be a NSF, $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$ where $E_i \cap E_k = \emptyset$ for $i \neq k$, $0 < \alpha_i < \infty$. We define $\int f dm = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i m(E_i)$ and we say that f is integrable iff $\int f dm < \infty$.

Clearly a NSF f is integrable iff $m(N(f)) < \infty$ where $N(f) = \{x, f(x) \neq 0\}$.

We shall write $\int f$ in place of $\int f dm$ since m is fixed.

Remark. The definition 2 is correct by the distributivity of \oplus and the \oplus -additivity of m .

Proposition 1. Let f, g be NSF-s on (X, \mathcal{S}, m) such that $f \leq g$. Then $\int f \leq \int g$.

Proof. f, g are NSF-s, thus such mutually disjoint sets $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and numbers $0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \delta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) exist that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i \chi_{E_i}.$$

Then $\int f = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \gamma_i m(E_i) \leq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \delta_i m(E_i) = \int g$.

Proposition 2. Let f, g be NSF-s on (X, \mathcal{S}, m) . Then $\int f + g \leq \int f + \int g$.

Proof. Take mutually disjoint sets $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and numbers $\gamma_i, \delta_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \chi_{E_i}$, $g = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i \chi_{E_i}$. Then $\int f + g = \int \sum_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i + \delta_i) \chi_{E_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i + \delta_i) m(E_i) \leq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \gamma_i m(E_i) + \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \delta_i m(E_i) = \int f + \int g$.

Corollary. Let f, g be integrable NSF-s on (X, \mathcal{S}, m) . Then $|\int f - \int g| \leq \int |f - g|$.

Proposition 3. Let f, g be such NSF-s that $f \cdot g = 0$. Then $\int f + g = \int f \oplus \int g$.

Let f, g be non-negative real functions on X . Let us define a function $f \oplus g$ as follows: $(f \oplus g)(x) = f(x) \oplus g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 4. Let f, g be NSF-s. Then the function $f \oplus g$ is a NSF and $\int f \oplus g = \int f \oplus \int g$.

Proof. We can write $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \chi_{E_i}$, $g = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i \chi_{E_i}$ for suitable numbers $\gamma_i, \delta_i \geq 0$ and

mutually disjoint sets $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Then the function $f \oplus g = \sum_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i \oplus \delta_i) \chi_{E_i}$ is a NSF. $\int f \oplus g = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i \oplus \delta_i) m(E_i) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \gamma_i m(E_i) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \delta_i m(E_i) \right) = \int f \oplus \int g$.

Definition 3. Let (X, \mathcal{S}, m) be a \oplus -measure space.

A) If $f: X \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ is a measurable function, we put $\int f = \sup \{ \int g : g \leq f, g \text{ is a NSF} \}$ and we say that f is integrable iff $\int f < \infty$.

B) If $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is measurable and at least one of the functions $f^+ = \max(f, 0)$, $f^- = -\min(f, 0)$ is integrable, we put $\int f = \int f^+ - \int f^-$ and we say that f is integrable iff $-\infty < \int f < \infty$.

Remarks. 1) A measurable function $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is integrable iff both f^+ , f^- are integrable.

2) For a NSF the definitions 2 and 3 do not differ.

3) If m is a σ -additive measure, then integral from the definition 3 does not differ from the classical one (for definition see e.g. [2]).

4) For σ -maxitive measures N. Shilkret in [6] defined the integral of a non-negative measurable function as follows: $\int_{Sh} f dm = \sup_{a>0} am\{x, f(x) \geq a\}$. If a \oplus -measure m is a σ -maxitive measure, we assert that $\int f = \int_{Sh} f$ for each non-negative measurable function f . Proof: Clearly $\int g = \int_{Sh} g$ for each NSF g . Let $f \geq 0$ be measurable and denote $E_a = \{x, f(x) \geq a\}$. Then $\int f = \sup \{ \int g, g \leq f, g \text{ is a NSF} \} \geq \sup_{a>0} \{ \int a \chi_{E_a} \} = \int_{Sh} f$. On the other hand if $g \leq f$, g is a NSF, then

$$\int g = \int_{Sh} g \leq \int_{Sh} f, \text{ hence } \int f = \sup \{ \int g, g \leq f, g \text{ is a NSF} \} \leq \int_{Sh} f.$$

We leave the easy proof of the following theorem to the reader.

Theorem 1. Let f, g, h be measurable functions such that $\int f, \int g, \int h$ have a sense. Then

1. $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \int f \geq 0$
2. $f \leq g \Rightarrow \int f \leq \int g$
3. $f \leq h \leq g, f, g \text{ are integrable} \Rightarrow h \text{ is integrable}$
4. $f \text{ is integrable iff } |f| \text{ is integrable}$
5. Let $c \in (-\infty, \infty), c \neq 0$. Then f is integrable iff cf is integrable and $\int cf = c \int f$.

Theorem 2. Let f be a non-negative integrable function on (X, \mathcal{S}, m) . Let us define a set function $\nu_f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ as follows: $\nu_f(E) = \int_E f = \int f \chi_E$ for each $E \in \mathcal{S}$.

Then ν_f is a \oplus -measure on \mathcal{S} .

Proof. It suffices to show that ν_f is \oplus -additive and continuous from below. First we show the \oplus -additivity. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{S}, A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then the

NSF $g \leq f$ exists such that $v_f(A \cup B) - \varepsilon < v_g(A) \oplus v_g(B) \leq v_f(A) \oplus v_f(B)$. Since ε was arbitrary $v_f(A \cup B) \leq v_f(A) \oplus v_f(B)$. On the other hand, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the *NSF* $h \leq f$ exists such that $v_f(A) \oplus v_f(B) \leq \left(v_h(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \oplus \left(v_h(B) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \leq (v_h(A) \oplus v_h(B)) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \oplus \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \leq v_h(A \cup B) + \varepsilon \leq v_f(A \cup B) + \varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary the inequality $v_f(A) \oplus v_f(B) \leq v_f(A \cup B)$ holds.

The proof of the continuity from below is realized in three steps. Let $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) be mutually disjoint.

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \text{ First let } f &= \alpha \chi_A \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{S}. \quad v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \int \alpha \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A \cap E_i)} \\ &= \alpha m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A \cap E_i)\right) = \alpha \sup_n m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A \cap E_i)\right) = \sup_n \int \alpha \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A \cap E_i)} = \\ &\sup_n v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \text{ Let } f &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}, \text{ where } \alpha_i > 0, A_i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ are mutually disjoint } (i = 1, 2, \dots, k). \text{ Let} \\ \text{us denote } f_i &= \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, k). \text{ Then by the proposition 3 } v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^k v_{f_j}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sup_n \left\{ \bigoplus_{j=1}^k v_{f_j}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) \right\} = \sup_n \left\{ v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3. \text{ Let } f &\text{ be a non-negative integrable function and } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ be arbitrary. Then the} \\ \text{NSF } g \leq f &\text{ exists such that } v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) - \varepsilon < v_g\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sup_k v_g\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) \leq \\ \sup_k v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) &\leq v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right). \text{ Since } \varepsilon \text{ was arbitrary one has } v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sup_k v_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Integration with respect to a continuous \oplus -measure

In this section we consider a fixed continuous \oplus -measure m on a σ -ring \mathcal{S} of subsets of $X \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. if E_n is a decreasing sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} with empty intersection and $m(E_k) < \infty$ for some k , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n) = 0$).

Theorem 3. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of bounded measurable functions such that $f_n \downarrow 0$. Let such an index k exist that $m(N(f_k)) < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We put $E = N(f_k)$ and we assume $m(E) > 0$ (for $m(E) = 0$ the theorem is obvious). Let us denote $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{m(E)}$ and $E_n = \{x, f_n(x) \geq \varepsilon'\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). $f_n \downarrow 0$ implies $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ and by continuity of m one has

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n) = 0$. Let us denote $b = \max f_k$. Then for $n \geq k$, $0 \leq \int f_n \leq \int_{E_n} f_n + \int_{E-E_n} f_n \leq bm(E_n) + \varepsilon' m(E-E_n) \leq bm(E_n) + \varepsilon$. Hence $0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (bm(E_n) + \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. ε was arbitrary, thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = 0$.

Theorem 4. Let f_n, f ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be integrable NSF-s such that $f_n \uparrow f$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f$.

Proof. The functions $f - f_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are bounded and $f - f_n \downarrow 0$. Since $m(N(f)) < \infty$ one can apply the theorem 3. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f - f_n) = 0$ and since $0 \leq \int f - \int f_n \leq \int (f - f_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f$.

Theorem 5. Let f_n, f ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be NSF-s such that $f_n \uparrow f$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n < \infty$. Then f is integrable.

Proof. 1) First we assume $f = \chi_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{S}$. We can suppose $f_1 \neq 0$. Let us denote $\beta_n = \min f_n / N(f_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\int f_n \geq \beta_n m(N(f_n)) \geq \beta_1 m(N(f_n))$ and one has $m(N(f_n)) \leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \int f_n$. Hence $m(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(N(f_n)) \leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n < \infty$.

2) Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ for some $\alpha_i \in (0, \infty)$, $A_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Then $f_n \chi_{A_i} \uparrow \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ implies $0 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_i} f_n \chi_{A_i} \uparrow \chi_{A_i}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{1}{\alpha_i} f_n \chi_{A_i} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \int f_n < \infty$. Hence $m(A_i) < \infty$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and this implies $m(N(f)) < \infty$, i.e. f is an integrable function. Notice that we did not use the continuity of m .

Theorem 6. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions such that $f_n \uparrow f$. Then $\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$.

Proof. If the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \infty$, the assertion is clear. Let the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n < \infty$ and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ take a sequence $\{g_m^{(n)}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of NSF-s such that $g_m^{(n)} \uparrow f_n$. Denote $h_n = \max \{g_n^{(1)}, g_n^{(2)}, \dots, g_n^{(n)}\}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then h_n are NSF-s, $h_n \uparrow f$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n < \infty$. Let g be NSF, $g \leq f$. Denote $r_n = \min (h_n, g) \uparrow \min (f, g) = g$. Then $\int r_n \leq \int h_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int r_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n < \infty$. Hence g is integrable by the theorem 5. Suppose $\int f = \infty$. Then NSF-s p_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) exist such that $p_m \leq f$ and $\int p_m > m$. p_m is integrable for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and the $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int p_m = \infty$. Then

$s_n = \min(h_n, p_n) \uparrow \min(f, p_n) = p_n$ and by the theorem 4 $\int p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int s_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n$.

Then also the $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int p_m \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n < \infty$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\int f < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then the NSF $t \leq f$ exists such that $\int f - \varepsilon < \int t \leq \int f$. Denote $t_n = \min(h_n, t) \uparrow \min(f, t) = t$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int t_n = \int t$ by the theorem 4. Hence $\int f - \varepsilon <$

$\int t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int t_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \leq \int f$. Since ε was arbitrary $\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$.

Theorem 7. Let f, g be non-negative measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{S}, m) . Then $\int f \oplus g = \int f \oplus \int g$.

Proof. Take NSF-s f_n, g_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) such that $f_n \uparrow f, g_n \uparrow g$. Then $f_n \oplus g_n \uparrow f \oplus g$ and by the theorem 6 and the proposition 4 $\int f \oplus g = \sup_n \int f_n \oplus g_n$
 $= \sup_n \int f_n \oplus \int g_n = \sup_n \int f_n \oplus \sup_n \int g_n = \int f \oplus \int g$.

Product of \oplus -measures

Let $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu), (Y, \mathcal{T}, \nu)$ be measurable spaces with finite and continuous \oplus -measures μ , resp. ν . Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of all finite disjoint unions $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i)$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{S}, B_i \in \mathcal{T}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) and denote by $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ the σ -ring generated by \mathcal{R} . Let $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. For each $x \in X, y \in Y$ define sections $M_x = \{y \in Y, (x, y) \in M\}, M^y = \{x \in X, (x, y) \in M\}$. Then $M_x \in \mathcal{T}, M^y \in \mathcal{S}$. Further define functions $f_M: X \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle, g^M: Y \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ as follows: $f_M(x) = \nu(M_x), g^M(y) = \mu(M^y)$.

Lemma. Let $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. Then the functions f_M, g^M are non-negative measurable.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{R}, M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i)$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{S}, B_i \in \mathcal{T}$ and $A_i \times B_i$ are mutually disjoint ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). For all $x \in X$ $f_M(x) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i)_x\right)$
 $= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \nu(A_i \times B_i)_x$. Hence $f_M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \nu(B_i) \chi_{A_i}$. By the proposition 4 f_M is a NSF and hence is measurable. Similarly g^M is a NSF. Let \mathcal{M} be a class of all $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ such that both f_M, g^M are measurable. Then $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$. By continuity of μ and ν, \mathcal{M} is a monotone class and hence $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Remark. It is not difficult to see that for $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ the functions f_M, g^M are integrable.

Let us define real functions φ, ψ on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ as follows: $\varphi(M) = \int f_M d\mu, \psi(M) = \int g^M d\nu$ for all $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 8. *The functions φ, ψ are finite and continuous \oplus -measures.*

Proof. Clearly φ is finite and $\varphi(\emptyset)=0$. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}, M \cap N = \emptyset$. Then $\varphi(M \cup N) = \int f_{M \cup N} d\mu = \int (f_M \oplus f_N) d\mu = \int f_M d\mu \oplus \int f_N d\mu = \varphi(M) \oplus \varphi(N)$. Let $M_n \downarrow \emptyset, M_n \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} (n=1, 2, \dots)$. For all $x \in X (M_n)_x \downarrow \emptyset$ and by continuity of ν $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu((M_n)_x) = 0$. Hence $f_{M_n} \downarrow 0$ and by the theorem 3 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(M_n) = 0$. Thus φ is continuous. Let $E_n \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} (n=1, 2, \dots)$ are mutually disjoint. Put $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ and $F_n = E - \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i (n=1, 2, \dots)$. Then $F_n \downarrow \emptyset$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(F_n) = 0$. $\varphi(E) = \varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \oplus \varphi(F_n)$. Hence $\varphi(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E_n)$. Hence φ is a \oplus -measure. For ψ the proof is dual.

Theorem 9. *Let $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. Then $\varphi(M) = \psi(M)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{R}, M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \times B_i)$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{S}, B_i \in \mathcal{T}, A_i \times B_i$ are mutually disjoint ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Then $\int f_M d\mu = \int \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \nu(B_i) \chi_{A_i}\right) d\mu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \int \nu(B_i) \chi_{A_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \nu(B_i) = \int \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \chi_{B_i}\right) d\nu = \int g^M d\nu$. Thus $\varphi(M) = \psi(M)$ on \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{M} be a class of all sets $M \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ such that $\varphi(M) = \psi(M)$. Then \mathcal{M} is a monotone class by the continuity of φ, ψ , and $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Thus $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

We shall write $\mu \times \nu$ for a function φ and we shall call it a product of \oplus -measures μ, ν .

Let h be a real function on $X \times Y$. For all $x \in X, y \in Y$ let us define real functions h_x, h^y on $Y, \text{ resp. } X$, in the following way: $h_x(y) = h(x, y), h^y(x) = h(x, y)$.

Theorem 10. *Let $h: X \times Y \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ be an integrable function. Then the functions $f: X \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle, g: Y \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ defined as follows: $f(x) = \int h_x d\nu, g(y) = \int h^y d\mu$ are integrable and moreover $\int h d\mu \times \nu = \int f d\mu = \int g d\nu$.*

Proof. 1) First let $h = \chi_E, E \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. Then $h_x = \chi_{E_x}$ and $f(x) = \int h_x d\nu = \nu(E_x) = f_E(x)$. Thus $\int h d\mu \times \nu = \mu \times \nu(E) = \int f_E d\mu = \int f d\mu$.

2) Let h be a NSF on $X \times Y$. Then $\int h d\mu \times \nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu \times \nu(E_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int \chi_{E_i} d\mu \times \nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int (\int \chi_{(E_i)_x} d\nu) d\mu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \nu((E_i)_x) d\mu = \int \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \nu((E_i)_x)\right) d\mu = \int (\int h_x d\nu) d\mu = \int f d\mu$.

3) Let h be an arbitrary non-negative function on $X \times Y$. Take NSF-s $h_n (n=1, 2, \dots)$ such that $h_n \uparrow h$ and denote $f_n(x) = \int (h_n)_x d\nu$ for all $x \in X, (n=1, 2, \dots)$. The functions $f_n (n=1, 2, \dots)$ are μ -measurable, thus the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ is μ -measurable. By the theorem 6 $\int h d\mu \times \nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n d\mu \times \nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \, d\mu = \int \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (h_n)_x \, d\nu \right) d\mu = \int \left(\int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_n)_x \, d\nu \right) d\mu = \int (\int h_x \, d\nu) \, d\mu \\
&= \int f \, d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

The function f is integrable since h is integrable. By the same arguments one can prove that g is integrable and $\int g \, d\nu = \int h \, d\mu \times \nu$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ГОЛЬДБЕРГ, А. А.: Интеграл по полуаддитивной мере и его приложение к теории целых функций. Матем. сборник. Т.58 (100), 1962.
- [2] HALMOS, P.: Measure Theory. New York 1950.
- [3] KALAS, J.: Предельные теоремы касающиеся интеграла по полуаддитивной мере. AMUC 37, 1980.
- [4] RIEČAN, B.: An extension of the Daniell integration scheme. Mat. Čas. 25, 1975, 211—219
- [5] RIEČANOVÁ, Z.: About σ -additive and σ -maxitive measures. Math. Slovaca 32, 389—395, 1982.
- [6] SHILKRET, N.: Maxitive measure and integration. Indag. Math. 33, 109—116, 1971.
- [7] ŠIPOŠ, J.: Integral with respect to a pre-measure. Math. Slovaca 29, 141—155, 1979.

Received September 2, 1983

*Katedra matematiky
 Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT
 Gottwaldovo nám. 19
 812 19 Bratislava*

ИНТЕГРИРОВАНИЕ ПО \oplus -МЕРЕ

Ivica Marinová

Резюме

В статье показано, что как интегрирование σ -аддитивных мер и σ -макситивных мер, так и произведение этих мер можно рассматривать одновременно.