

Zbigniew Duszynski; Zbigniew Grande; Stanislav P. Ponomarev  
On the  $\omega$ -primitive

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 4, 469--476

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133211>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE $\omega$ -PRIMITIVE

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYNSKI\* — ZBIGNIEW GRANDE\*  
— STANISLAW P. PONOMAREV\*\*

(Communicated by *Eubica Holá*)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we continue some results of [KOSTYRKO, P.: *Some properties of oscillation*, Math. Slovaca **30** (1980), 157–162]. It is shown that given a nonnegative, upper semicontinuous (USC) function  $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  where  $X$  is a “massive” metric space, there is a function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (which we call an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f$ ) whose oscillation equals  $f$  everywhere on  $X$ . Moreover,  $F$  could always be found in at most Baire class two. In particular, the  $\omega$ -primitive could be written in a simple form whenever  $f$  is finite. Namely,  $F = f\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set or that of a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -set. Except “massiveness”, no other assumptions concerning metric spaces are made. Our main tool is Teichmüller-Tukey’s lemma.

### Some definitions and preliminaries

Let  $X = (X, \rho)$  be a metric space. Given a function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we let for each  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$M_\delta(F, x) = \sup\{F(z) : z \in B(x, \delta)\},$$
$$m_\delta(F, x) = \inf\{F(z) : z \in B(x, \delta)\},$$

where  $B(x, \delta) := \{z \in X : \rho(z, x) < \delta\}$ , and we let

$$M(F, x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} M_\delta(F, x),$$
$$m(F, x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} m_\delta(F, x).$$

The *oscillation* of  $F$  at the point  $x$  is defined as

$$\omega(F, x) = M(F, x) - m(F, x).$$

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A15, 54C30, 54C99.

Key words: metric space,  $\sigma$ -discrete set, Teichmüller-Tukey’s lemma, oscillation,  $\omega$ -primitive, Baire class.

It is well known from elementary courses in Real Analysis that the oscillation

$$\omega(F, \cdot): X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

is an upper semicontinuous (USC) and nonnegative function. In the present paper the following problem is studied.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be an USC-function. The question is whether there exists a function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(\forall x \in X) (\omega(F, x) = f(x)).$$

If such a function exists we call it an *oscillatory primitive* (or an  $\omega$ -primitive) for  $f$ . We also ask of which minimal Baire class an  $\omega$ -primitive could be. Trivial examples show that the  $\omega$ -primitive might not exist if  $X$  contains isolated points. For this reason we consider only spaces dense in themselves in all statements on  $\omega$ -primitives.

In particular, we shall make use of the following notions and notations. Let  $E$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . If  $E$  contains more than one point, we put

$$\Delta E := \inf \{ \rho(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in E, x_1 \neq x_2 \}; \tag{1}$$

and if  $E$  is a singleton, we put

$$\Delta E := +\infty. \tag{2}$$

By  $E^d$  we mean the *derived set*, i.e. the set of all accumulation points of  $E$ . By  $\overline{E}$  we denote the closure of  $E$ . To avoid ambiguities, we specify the definition of extreme limits:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow x} F(t) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup F|_{B(x, r) \setminus \{x\}}. \tag{3}$$

The lower limit is defined analogously. Our main tool in proofs will be the Teichmüller-Tukey's lemma. For convenience of the reader we remind its formulation.

Let  $P$  be a property related to subsets of a set  $S \neq \emptyset$ . We say that  $P$  is a property of finite character if the following holds:

$E$  has the property  $P \iff$  each finite set  $A \subset E$  has the property  $P$ .

**LEMMA 1.** (Teichmüller-Tukey [1], [3]) *Let  $P$  be a property of finite character related to subsets of  $S$ . Then each subset  $E \subset S$  with the property  $P$  is contained in a maximal (with respect to the inclusion relation) subset  $E_m$  of  $S$  which also has the property  $P$ .*

A maximal set  $E_m$  will be called a  $P$ -maximal set. We remind that a  $P$ -maximal set need not be unique.

In what follows, given any metric space  $X$ , we define for each real  $\alpha > 0$  the property  $P(\alpha)$  related to subsets  $E$  of  $X$  as follows:

$$E \text{ has the property } P(\alpha) \iff \Delta E > \alpha, \tag{4}$$

where  $\Delta E$  was defined in (1), (2). Clearly  $P(\alpha)$  is the property of finite character (cf. [1; Vol. 2]). We shall also abbreviate

$$P_n := P(1/n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5}$$

**DEFINITIONS.**

1) A metric space  $X$  is called  $\sigma$ -discrete at the point  $x \in X$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the ball  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, i.e

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where each  $A_n$  is a discrete subset of  $X$  (empty set is discrete by definition).

2) A metric space is said to be locally  $\sigma$ -discrete if it is  $\sigma$ -discrete at each of its points.

3) A metric space will be called massive if it is not  $\sigma$ -discrete at each of its points.

Our main result will be stated in Theorem 2, but first we shall show the existence of  $\omega$ -primitives of type  $f\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set (or that of a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -set) whenever  $f$  is finite.

The following auxiliary assertion is valid in any metric space.

**LEMMA 2.** *Each  $\sigma$ -discrete subset  $A$  of a metric space  $X$  can be represented in the form*

$$A = \bigcup_{i \in I \subset \mathbb{N}} C_i, \tag{6}$$

where  $C_i$  are disjoint and  $\Delta C_i > 0$  for each  $i \in I \subset \mathbb{N}$ . So in particular we have that  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that it suffices to consider the case  $A$  is discrete and  $\Delta A = 0$ . We may write

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where

$$A_n := \{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, A \setminus \{x\}) > 1/n\}.$$

Clearly  $\Delta A_n \geq 1/n$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ . Now it remains to write

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n,$$

where  $C_1 := A_1$ ,  $C_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  if  $n > 1$ , and we are done. □

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X = (X, \rho)$  be a massive metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a USC-function. Then there exists an  $\omega$ -primitive  $F: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for  $f$  which can be represented in the form  $F = f\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set.*

*Proof.* Let  $G(f)$  be the graph of  $f$ , which will be considered as a subspace of the metric space  $X \times \mathbb{R}$  equipped with the metric

$$d((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \rho(x, y) + |\xi - \eta|. \tag{7}$$

Denote by  $\pi: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  the natural projection. Now in the space  $G(f)$  we consider the property  $P(\alpha)$  (cf. (4)). We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\text{diam } X > 1$ , so that we have  $\text{diam } G(f) > 1$  too. Using Lemma 1 we conclude that there exists a  $P_1$ -maximal set  $Y_1$  in  $G(f)$  (cf. notation (5)). We claim that  $\pi(Y_1)$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ . Indeed, if we assume the contrary, there will exist  $x_0 \in (\pi(Y_1))^d \cap \pi(Y_1)$  and a sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n \in \pi(Y_1)$ , such that

$$x_n \neq x_m \text{ for } n \neq m, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_n, x_0) \rightarrow 0. \tag{8}$$

Then from the  $P_1$ -property of  $Y_1$  we obtain:

$$(\forall n)(\forall m)(n \neq m \implies \rho(x_n, x_m) + |f(x_n) - f(x_m)| > 1). \tag{9}$$

Since  $f$  is USC and  $f \geq 0$ , we have that  $f$  is locally bounded. Hence there exist a ball  $B(x_0, r)$ ,  $r < 1/5$ , and a natural  $N$  so that  $\sup f|_{B(x_0, r)} < \infty$  and  $x_n \in B(x_0, r)$ ,  $n > N$ . Therefore by (9) we get

$$(\forall n > N)(\forall m > N)(n \neq m \implies |f(x_n) - f(x_m)| > 1/2),$$

which contradicts the boundedness of  $f|_{B(x_0, r)}$ . Thus  $\pi(Y_1)$  is discrete whence  $X \setminus \pi(Y_1)$  is massive since such is  $X$ . This implies that  $\text{diam}(X \setminus \pi(Y_1)) = \text{diam } X > 1$  and therefore  $\text{diam}(G(f) \setminus Y_1) > 1$ . So we may again apply Lemma 1 to  $G(f) \setminus Y_1$  and find a  $P_2$ -maximal set  $Y_2 \subset G(f) \setminus Y_1$ . In the same way as for  $\pi(Y_1)$ , we prove that  $\pi(Y_2)$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ , hence  $\text{diam}(X \setminus (\pi(Y_1) \cup \pi(Y_2))) > 1$ .

On repeating inductively this procedure, we obtain a sequence  $(Y_n)$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $Y_1$  is a  $P_1$ -maximal subset of  $G(f)$ ;
- (ii)  $Y_n$  is a  $P_n$ -maximal subset of  $G(f) \setminus (Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1})$ ,  $n > 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\pi(Y_n)$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ .

We claim that the set

$$E := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \tag{10}$$

is dense in  $G(f)$ . Indeed, suppose that this is not the case. Then there is a ball  $B(a, r) \subset G(f)$ , disjoint from  $E$ . Then for each  $n > 2/r$  we have

$$\inf\{d(z, a) : z \in Y_n\} \geq r > 1/n.$$

But since  $\Delta Y_n > 1/n$  and  $a \in G(f) \setminus (Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1})$ , we obtain that for  $n > 2/r$  the set

$$Y_n \cup \{a\} \subset G(f) \setminus (Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1})$$

has the  $P_n$ -property, contrary to the fact that  $Y_n$  is already a  $P_n$ -maximal subset of  $G(f) \setminus (Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1})$ . We have thus proved that  $E$  is dense in  $G(f)$ . It follows from property (iii) of the sequence  $(Y_n)$  that

$$\pi(E) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi(Y_n)$$

is a  $\sigma$ -discrete and dense subset of  $X$ . The space  $X$  being massive, we conclude that  $X \setminus \pi(E)$  is also dense in  $X$ .

Now define the function

$$F = f\varphi, \tag{11}$$

where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of  $\pi(E)$ . Since  $\pi(E)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set (cf. Lemma 2) and  $f$  is USC, we conclude that  $F$  is at most in the Baire class two. It remains to check that  $F$  is an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f$ .

First we observe that since  $X \setminus \pi(E)$  is dense in  $X$ , we have

$$(\forall x \in X)(m(F, x) = 0). \tag{12}$$

(I) Let  $x_0 \in \pi(E)$ . Since  $f$  is USC, we get immediately from (11), (12) that

$$\omega(F, x_0) = M(F, x_0) = M(f, x_0) = f(x_0).$$

(II) Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus \pi(E)$ . Then we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0). \tag{13}$$

Indeed, if this were not the case we would get

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) < f(x_0).$$

Then it would follow that  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  is an isolated point of  $G(f)$ . But as  $E$  is dense in  $G(f)$ , we infer immediately that  $(x_0, f(x_0)) \in E$ , which yields  $x_0 \in \pi(E)$ , contrary to the assumption of p. (II). Thus (13) holds whence it follows that there is a sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n \in X$ ,  $x_n \neq x_0$ , such that

$$\lim f(x_n) = f(x_0). \tag{14}$$

Moreover, since  $E$  is dense in  $G(f)$ , there exists a sequence  $(z_n)$ ,  $z_n \in \pi(E)$ , so that

$$(\forall n)(\rho(x_n, z_n) < 1/n \wedge |f(x_n) - f(z_n)| < 1/n).$$

This implies by (11), (14) and by  $f$  is USC that

$$f(x_0) = \lim f(z_n) = \lim F(z_n) \leq M(F, x_0) \leq M(f, x_0) = f(x_0)$$

whence, in view of (12), we get  $\omega(F, x_0) = f(x_0)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark.** It is shown in [2; Corollary 1.2(c)] that each dense in itself metrizable Baire space is massive. On the other hand, there are massive spaces (metrizable or not) which are not Baire ([2; Examples 1.2, 1.3]). Thus our Theorem 1 extends the result of P. Kostyrko [4] obtained for metric Baire spaces.

Theorem 1 gives rise to our main result which follows. This time  $f$  will be allowed to take on the value  $+\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X = (X, \rho)$  be a massive metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  a USC-function. Then there exists an  $\omega$ -primitive  $F: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  for  $f$ , which is at most in the Baire class two.*

We precede the proof by a simple auxiliary proposition.

**LEMMA 3.** *Given any massive metric space  $Z$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(W_n)_{n=m}^\infty$  of mutually disjoint subsets of  $Z$  such that*

- (i)  $W_m$  is  $P_m$ -maximal in  $Z$ , and for  $n > m$  each  $W_n$  is  $P_n$ -maximal in  $Z \setminus (W_m \cup \dots \cup W_{n-1})$ ;
- (ii) the sets  $W := \bigcup_{n=m}^\infty W_n$  and  $Z \setminus W$  are both dense in  $Z$ .

**Proof.** The main tool in the proof (same as in that of Theorem 1) is Lemma 1. With no loss of generality we may assume that  $\text{diam } Z > 1$  (and therefore we shall have in that case  $m = 1$ ). By Lemma 1 we may find a  $P_1$ -maximal set  $W_1 \subset Z$ . This set being discrete, the set  $Z \setminus W_1$  is again massive. Therefore by Lemma 1 we can find a  $P_2$ -maximal set  $W_2$  in  $Z \setminus W_1$  and so on. On the  $n$ th step we find a  $P_n$ -maximal set  $W_n$  in

$$Z \setminus (W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_{n-1})$$

(to note that this difference remains massive for each  $n > 1$ ). Continuing this procedure, we obtain the required sequence  $(W_n)$ . Indeed, write

$$W := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty W_n.$$

Since  $\Delta W_n > 1/n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $W$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete. We claim that  $W$  is dense in  $Z$ . But this can be shown in the same way as we proceeded to prove, in Theorem 1, that the set  $E$  (10) is dense in  $G(f)$ . So we omit the repetition of the argument. Finally, since  $Z$  is massive whereas  $W$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, we conclude that  $Z \setminus W$  is also dense in  $Z$ . Lemma 3 is thus proved.  $\square$

As an immediate corollary, we easily obtain the following analog of Theorem 1 involving  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -sets.

**THEOREM 1'.** *Let  $X = (X, \rho)$  be a massive metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a USC-function. Then there exists an  $\omega$ -primitive  $F: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for  $f$  which can be represented in the form  $F = f\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -set.*

**Proof.** Let  $E \subset G(f)$  be the set already defined by (10). Since  $X \setminus \pi(E)$  is a massive subspace of  $X$  we may apply Lemma 3 according to which there exists a sequence  $(W_n)$ ,  $W_n \subset X \setminus \pi(E)$ , with properties (i), (ii). It follows that  $W$  and  $X \setminus W$  are both dense in  $X$ , the set  $W$  obviously being of type  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Now as the set  $E$  is dense in  $G(f)$ ,  $\pi(E) \cap W = \emptyset$  and  $f$  is USC, we easily conclude that  $F = f\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the characteristic function of the  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -set  $X \setminus W$ , is the  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f$ , and we are done.  $\square$

It remains to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We remind that by our definition an  $\omega$ -primitive takes on only finite values. Suppose that the set

$$E_\infty := \{x \in X : f(x) = +\infty\}$$

(evidently closed) is nonempty for otherwise there, of course, would be nothing to prove. With no loss of generality, we may also assume that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= X \setminus E_\infty \neq \emptyset, \\ Z &:= \text{Int } E_\infty \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus the disjoint union

$$X = Y \cup Z \cup (E_\infty \setminus Z). \tag{15}$$

The space  $Y$  and the function  $f|_Y$  obviously satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1, hence there is an  $\omega$ -primitive  $F_y: Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  for  $f|_Y$ , which is at most in the Baire class two. Next we are going to find an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f|_Z$ . Since the open set  $Z \subset X$  is a massive space, there exists a sequence  $(W_n)$  having properties (i), (ii) stated in Lemma 3. It is therefore clear that the function  $F_z: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$F_z(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } x \in W_n, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in Z \setminus W \end{cases}$$

is an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f|_Z$ .

Now let  $T(x) := (\text{dist}(x, E_\infty))^{-1}$ ,  $x \in Y$ . We claim that the function  $F: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} F_y(x) + T(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ F_z(x) & \text{if } x \in Z, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E_\infty \setminus Z \end{cases}$$

is an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f$ . Indeed, since  $T: Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous and the “partial”  $\omega$ -primitives  $F_y, F_z$  are already defined on disjoint open sets  $Y, Z \subset X$ , it is clear that the equality

$$\omega(F, x) = f(x)$$

needs to be checked only at points of  $E_\infty \setminus Z$ .

Let  $x_0 \in E_\infty \setminus Z$ . If  $x_0 \in \bar{Z}$ , then in view of the definition of  $F_z$  we have  $\omega(F, x_0) = +\infty$  since  $F(x_0) = 0$  and each neighborhood of  $x_0$  intersects  $W_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . On the other hand, if  $x_0 \notin \bar{Z}$  then each neighborhood of  $x_0$  intersects  $Y$ . Since  $F_y$  might be bounded, just the addition of the function  $Y \ni x \mapsto T(x)$  which goes to  $+\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , guarantees that  $\omega(F, x_0) = +\infty$ . We have thus shown that the function  $F$  defined above is an  $\omega$ -primitive for  $f$ . Finally, since  $F_y, F_z$  are at most in the Baire class two, we conclude that  $F$  is so too, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark.** Instead of Theorem 1, we could use, of course, Theorem 1' in the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ENGELKING, R.: *General Topology Vol. 1,2*, Warsaw, PWN, 1989. (Polish)
- [2] EWERT, J.—PONOMAREV, S. P.: *Oscillation and  $\omega$ -primitives*, Real Anal. Exchange **26** (2000/2001) (To appear).
- [3] KELLEY, J. L.: *General Topology*, D. van Nostrand Co., Inc., New York, 1955.
- [4] KOSTYRKO, P.: *Some properties of oscillation*, Math. Slovaca **30** (1980), 157–162.

Received December 21, 1999

Revised March 15, 2000

\* *Pedagogical University  
Institute of Mathematics  
Plac Weyssenhoffa 11  
PL-85-072, Bydgoszcz  
POLAND*

\*\* *Institute of Mathematics  
Pedagogical University  
Arciszewskiego 22b  
PL-76-200 Slupsk  
POLAND*