

Pham Van Chung

Multiplicative functions satisfying the equation  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 2-3, 165--171

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133256>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Professor Tibor Šalát  
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

## MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS SATISFYING THE EQUATION $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$

PHAM VAN CHUNG

(Communicated by Stanislav Jakubec)

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper, we characterize multiplicative and completely multiplicative functions  $f$  which satisfy the equation  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$  for all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ .

### 1. Results

A *multiplicative function* is a function  $f$  defined on the set of positive integers such that  $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$  whenever the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$  is 1.

The function is called *completely multiplicative* if the condition  $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$  holds for all  $m$  and  $n$ .

Claudia A. Spiro [2] proved that if a multiplicative function  $f$  satisfies the condition  $f(p + q) = f(p) + f(q)$  for all primes  $p, q$  and  $f(p_0) \neq 0$  for at least one prime  $p_0$ , then  $f(n) = n$  for each positive integer  $n$ .

Replacing the set of primes by the set of squares, we investigate the multiplicative functions satisfying the equation  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$  for all positive integers  $m, n$ . We prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f \neq 0$  be a multiplicative function. Then  $f$  fulfills the condition*

$$(E) \quad f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$$

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 11A25.

Key words: multiplicative function, characterization.

for all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  if and only if either

(E-1)  $f(2^k) = 2^k$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$ ,

(E-2)  $f(p^k) = p^k$  for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and all integers  $k \geq 1$ ,

(E-3)  $f(q^{2k}) = q^{2k}$  for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and all integers  $k \geq 1$ ,

or

(E'-1)  $f(2) = 2$  and  $f(2^k) = 0$  for all integers  $k \geq 2$ ,

(E'-2)  $f(p^k) = 1$  for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and all positive integers  $k$ ,

(E'-3)  $f(q^{2k}) = 1$  for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and all integers  $k \geq 1$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $f \neq 0$  be a completely multiplicative function. Then  $f$  satisfies the condition  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$  for all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  if and only if  $f(2) = 2$ ,  $f(p) = p$  for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $f(q) = q$  or  $f(q) = -q$  for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

*Proof.* By the theorem, if a function  $f$  is completely multiplicative and (E) holds, then we have  $f(2) = f(1) + f(1) = 2$ . So the complete multiplicativity of  $f$  gives  $f(2^k) = (f(2))^k = 2^k$  for all positive integers  $k$ . In this case, the completely multiplicative function  $f$  satisfies (E) if and only if the conditions (E-1), (E-2) and (E-3) hold. By (E-1) and (E-2), we have  $f(2) = 2$  and  $f(p) = p$  for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By (E-3) and the complete multiplicativity of  $f$ ,  $f(q^2) = (f(q))^2 = q^2$  follows for all primes  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . These prove the corollary.  $\square$

For the proof of the theorem we need some auxiliary results.

## 2. Lemmas

In the following,  $f$  denotes a multiplicative function for which there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  with  $f(m_0) \neq 0$  and

$$f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2) \tag{1}$$

holds for all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ .

**LEMMA 1.** If  $f$  satisfies (1), then  $f(2) = 2$ ,  $f(9) = 2f(4) + 1$  and  $f(25) = 6f(4) + 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \neq 0$  is multiplicative, we have  $f(1) = 1$ . Therefore, (1) implies that  $f(2) = f(1^2 + 1^2) = f(1) + f(1) = 1 + 1 = 2$ . Moreover, by (1) and  $f(2) = 2$  and the multiplicativity of  $f$ , we have  $f(9) = f(10) - f(1) = 2f(5) - 1 = 2(f(4) + f(1)) - 1 = 2f(4) + 1$ , which implies that  $f(25) = f(26) - f(1) = 2f(13) - 1 = 2(f(9) + f(4)) - 1 = 6f(4) + 1$ .

So the lemma is proved.  $\square$

MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS SATISFYING THE EQUATION  $f(m^2+n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $f$  satisfies (1), then*

$$f(q^{2k}) = f(q^{2k-2})f(q^2) \quad (2)$$

for all positive integers  $q$  and  $k$ .

*Proof.* By (1), we have

$$f(q^{2k}) + f(q^{2k-2}) = f(q^{2k} + q^{2k-2}) = f(q^{2k-2})f(q^2 + 1) = f(q^{2k-2})[f(q^2) + f(1)]$$

from which the lemma  $f(q^{2k}) = f(q^{2k-2})f(q^2)$  follows for all positive integers  $q$  and  $k$ . So, the proof of Lemma 2 is completed, and, moreover, by induction, it gives

$$f(q^{2k}) = (f(q^2))^k. \quad (3)$$

□

**LEMMA 3.**  *$f$  satisfies (1), then  $f(4) = 4$  or  $f(4) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By using (3), we have  $f(16) = (f(4))^2$ . On the other hand, by (1), we obtain  $f(16) = f(25) - f(9)$ . From Lemma 1, it follows that  $f(16) = 4f(4)$ . Thus we have  $(f(4))^2 = 4f(4)$ , from which  $f(4) = 4$  or  $f(4) = 0$ .

So Lemma 3 is proved. □

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $f$  satisfies (1), then*

$$f(2^k) = 2^{k-2}f(2^2) \quad (4)$$

for all integers  $k \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $k$ . When  $k = 2$  or  $3$ , equality (4) is obvious.

Assume that  $n$  is an integer with  $n \geq 3$ , and that  $f(2^k) = 2^{k-2}f(2^2)$  for all integers  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . We will show that  $f(2^{n+1}) = 2^{n-1}f(2^2)$ . If  $n + 1$  is even, then  $n + 1 = 2k$ , where  $2k - 2 < n$  and  $k \geq 2$ . By (2) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$f(2^{n+1}) = f(2^{2k-2})f(2^2) = 2^{2k-4}f(2^2)f(2^2) = 2^{2k-4}(f(2^2))^2.$$

Equality  $(f(2^2))^2 = 4f(2^2)$  implies that  $f(2^{n+1}) = 2^{2k-2}f(2^2) = 2^{n-1}f(2^2)$ . It remains to show that  $f(2^{n+1}) = 2^{n-1}f(2^2)$  when  $n + 1$  is odd. If  $n + 1$  is odd, then  $n + 1 = 2k + 1$ , and so  $2k = n$ . Thus (1) gives that

$$f(2^{n+1}) = f(2^{2k} + 2^{2k}) = f(2^{2k}) + f(2^{2k}) = 2f(2^{2k}) = 2 \cdot 2^{2k-2}f(2^2).$$

So  $f(2^{n+1}) = 2^{n-1}f(2^2)$ , which proves the lemma. □

**LEMMA 5.** *If  $f$  satisfies (1) and  $f(4) = 4$ , then*

$$f(m^2) = m^2 \tag{5}$$

*for all positive integers  $m$ .*

**P r o o f.** We shall prove the lemma by induction on  $m$ . The lemma is clear for the cases  $m = 1, 2, 3$ . Assume that  $M$  is an integer with  $M \geq 3$ , and that  $f(m^2) = m^2$  for all  $m \leq M$ . We will show  $f[(M + 1)^2] = (M + 1)^2$ . If  $M + 1$  is even, then  $M + 1 = 2^k m$ , where  $m < M$  and  $m$  is odd. By the multiplicativity of  $f$ , Lemma 4,  $f(4) = 4$ , and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$f[(M + 1)^2] = f(2^{2k} m^2) = f(2^{2k})f(m^2) = 2^{2k-2} f(2^2)m^2 = (M + 1)^2.$$

If  $M + 1 = q$  is odd, then we can write

$$q^2 + 1 = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{q+1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{q-1}{2} \right)^2 \right],$$

where  $\frac{q \pm 1}{2}$  are integers.

Since  $\frac{q \pm 1}{2} \leq M$  and  $\left( 2, \frac{q^2 + 1}{2} \right) = 1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f(q^2) + 1 &= f(2)f \left[ \left( \frac{q+1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{q-1}{2} \right)^2 \right] = 2 \left[ f \left( \left( \frac{q+1}{2} \right)^2 \right) + f \left( \left( \frac{q-1}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right] \\ &= 2 \left[ \left( \frac{q+1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{q-1}{2} \right)^2 \right] = q^2 + 1, \end{aligned}$$

from which  $f(q^2) = q^2$ , i.e.,  $f((M + 1)^2) = (M + 1)^2$  follows, which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**LEMMA 6.** *If  $f$  satisfies (1) and  $f(4) = 4$ , then*

$$f(p^k) = p^k \tag{6}$$

*for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and all positive integers  $k$ .*

**P r o o f.** Since  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , there exist positive integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that

$$p^k = x^2 + y^2$$

(see [1; p. 298]). So, from Lemma 5, we get

$$f(p^k) = f(x^2 + y^2) = f(x^2) + f(y^2) = x^2 + y^2 = p^k.$$

$\square$

MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS SATISFYING THE EQUATION  $f(m^2+n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$

**LEMMA 7.** *If (1) holds and  $f(4) = 0$ , then*

$$f(m^2) = 1 \tag{7}$$

for all odd positive integers  $m$ .

**Proof.** First we note that, using Lemma 4,  $f(4) = 0$  implies  $f(2^k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 2$ , which, with the multiplicativity of  $f$ , implies that  $f(x^2) = 0$  if  $x$  is even.

Equality (7) is true for  $m = 1$ . Let  $m$  be an odd integer  $m \geq 3$ . Assume that  $f(n^2) = 1$  for all odd integers  $n$ ,  $1 \leq n < m$ . We have

$$f(m^2) = 2 \left[ f \left( \left( \frac{m+1}{2} \right)^2 \right) + f \left( \left( \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right] - 1, \quad \text{where } \frac{m \pm 1}{2} < m,$$

and so

$$f(m^2) = \begin{cases} 2f \left( \left( \frac{m+1}{2} \right)^2 \right) - 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2f \left( \left( \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^2 \right) - 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, one easily completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

**LEMMA 8.** *If (1) holds and  $f(4) = 0$ , then*

$$f(p^k) = 1 \tag{8}$$

for all primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and for all positive integers  $k$ .

**Proof.** Since  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , there exist positive integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $p^k = x^2 + y^2$ , where  $x$  is even and  $y$  is odd, from which by (1)

$$f(p^k) = f(x^2) + f(y^2)$$

follows. By (7), we have  $f(y^2) = 1$ . On the other hand, we have shown in the proof of Lemma 7 that  $f(x^2) = 0$ .

So  $f(p^k) = 1$  and Lemma 8 is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the Theorem

First, we verify the necessity of the conditions.

If  $f$  fulfills the conditions of Theorem, then, by Lemma 3,  $f(4)$  may take only the values 4 or 0. If  $f(4) = 4$ , then, by Lemmas 4, 6 and 5, the conditions (E-1), (E-2) and (E-3) are satisfied. If  $f(4) = 0$ , then, in Lemmas 1, 4, 7 and 8, we have proved the conditions (E'-1), (E'-2) and (E'-3). So we have proved the necessity of the conditions.

Conversely, suppose that either the conditions (E-1), (E-2), (E-3) or (E'-1), (E'-2), (E'-3) are satisfied for a multiplicative function  $f$ .

It is well known that, if  $M = m^2 + n^2$ , then we can write

$$M = 2^k p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_l^{\alpha_l} q_1^{2\beta_1} \dots q_s^{2\beta_s}, \quad (9)$$

where  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  are primes,  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $q_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Suppose that (E-1), (E-2) and (E-3) are fulfilled. Then, by the multiplicativity of  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(m^2 + n^2) &= f(2^k) f(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \dots f(p_l^{\alpha_l}) f(q_1^{2\beta_1}) \dots f(q_s^{2\beta_s}) \\ &= 2^k p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_l^{\alpha_l} q_1^{2\beta_1} \dots q_s^{2\beta_s} \\ &= m^2 + n^2 = f(m^2) + f(n^2). \end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that  $f$  satisfies (E).

Finally, suppose that (E'-1), (E'-2) and (E'-3) hold for the multiplicative function  $f$ . Now we consider the values of  $f(m^2 + n^2)$ .

By (9), the multiplicativity of  $f$ , and (E'-2), (E'-3), we have

$$f(m^2 + n^2) = f(2^k).$$

If  $k = 0$ , then exactly one of the two integers  $m$  and  $n$  is odd. We may assume  $m$  is even and  $n$  is odd. So, as above  $f(m^2) = 0$ , and, by (E'-3), we get  $f(n^2) = 1$ .

Thus  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2) = 1$ .

If  $k = 1$ , then both  $m$  and  $n$  are odd. By (E'-3),  $f(m^2) = f(n^2) = 1$ , from which we obtain

$$f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2) = 2.$$

If  $k \geq 2$ , then both  $m$  and  $n$  are even. (E'-1) and the multiplicativity of  $f$  imply  $f(m^2) = f(n^2) = 0$ , which gives the equality

$$f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for many valuable remarks and comments.

MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS SATISFYING THE EQUATION  $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$

REFERENCES

- [1] HARDY, G. H.—WRIGHT, E. M. : *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1938.
- [2] SPIRO, CLAUDIA A. : *Additive uniqueness sets for arithmetic functions*, J. Number Theory **42** (1992), 232–246.

Received January 7, 1994

Revised December 14, 1994

*Teacher's Training College  
Department of Mathematics  
Leányka u. 4  
H-3301 Eger Pf.: 43  
HUNGARY*