

Bruce A. Barnes

Linear inessential operators and generalized inverses

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 50 (2009), No. 1, 75--82

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133415>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Linear inessential operators and generalized inverses

BRUCE A. BARNES

Abstract. The space of inessential bounded linear operators from one Banach space X into another Y is introduced. This space, $I(X, Y)$, is a subspace of $B(X, Y)$ which generalizes Kleinecke's ideal of inessential operators. For certain subspaces W of $I(X, Y)$, it is shown that when $T \in B(X, Y)$ has a generalized inverse modulo W , then there exists a projection $P \in B(X)$ such that $T(I - P)$ has a generalized inverse and $TP \in W$.

Keywords: inessential operator, Fredholm operator, generalized inverse

Classification: Primary 47A05, 47A55

1. Introduction

In 1963, in his classic paper [K], D. Kleinecke introduced the ideal of inessential bounded linear operators on a Banach space X , denoted $I(X)$. Let $B(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X , and let $K(X)$ be the ideal of all compact operators on X . Let $\pi : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)/K(X)$ be the usual embedding map: $\pi(T) = T + K(X)$, $T \in B(X)$. Kleinecke defined $I(X) = \{T \in B(X) : \pi(T) \in \text{rad}(B(X)/K(X))\}$ where $\text{rad}(B(X)/K(X))$ is the Jacobson radical of the Calkin algebra. It is proved in [K] that if $T \in I(X)$ and $S \in \Phi(X)$ (the Fredholm operators), then $S + T \in \Phi(X)$ and $\text{ind}(S + T) = \text{ind}(S)$ [K, Theorem 6]. Set $\text{Per}(\Phi(X)) = \{T \in B(X) : \text{for all } S \in \Phi(X), S + T \in \Phi(X)\}$. $\text{Per}(\Phi(X))$ is called the *perturbation ideal* of $\Phi(X)$; see Sections 5.5 and 5.6 of [CPY] for an introduction to perturbation ideals and their properties. Kleinecke's original results show that $I(X) \subseteq \text{Per}(\Phi(X))$. In fact, $\text{Per}(\Phi(X)) = I(X)$ [CPY, Theorem (5.5.9), p. 98].

In the first section of this paper we introduce $I(X, Y)$, the space of all inessential bounded linear operators defined on a Banach space X with values in a Banach space Y . We prove that when $\Phi(X, Y)$ is nonempty, then $I(X, Y) = \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$.

Throughout, X, Y , and Z are Banach spaces, and $B(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators defined on X with values in Y . For $T \in B(X, Y)$, the null space of T is denoted by $\mathbf{N}(T)$, and the range of T by $\mathbf{R}(T)$. If for an operator $T \in B(X, Y)$ there exists $G \in B(Y, X)$ such that $TGT - T = 0$, then G is called a *g-inverse* (generalized inverse) for T . An important fact when T has a g-inverse G as above, is that $\mathbf{R}(T)$ is closed and G acts as a bounded right

inverse for T on $\mathbf{R}(T)$, that is, $T(Gy) = y$ for all $y \in \mathbf{R}(T)$; see [LT, p. 251]. Also in [LT], Theorem 12.9 gives the basic characterization concerning the existence of g -inverses (called pseudoinverses in [LT]).

The definition of a g -inverse is algebraic, so it extends naturally to elements of an algebra: When A is an algebra and $t \in A$, then $g \in A$ is a g -inverse of t if $tgt - t = 0$. The monograph [C] by S. Caradus is an excellent source for information concerning all aspects of the theory and practice of g -inverses of linear operators and the general algebraic properties of g -inverses. The existence of g -inverses in certain algebras of bounded linear operators, is studied in the author's paper [B]. All bounded linear operators which are Fredholm have g -inverses. This fact carries over to Fredholm theory in algebras of operators; see K. Jörgens' book [J].

In his paper [R], V. Rakočević proves that when $T \in B(X)$ and T has a g -inverse modulo $K(X)$, that is, there exists $G \in B(X)$ such that $TGT - T \in K(X)$, then there exists $J \in K(X)$ such that $T + J$ has a g -inverse in $B(X)$. In the last section of this paper we extend this result to certain subspaces of $I(X, Y)$.

2. Inessential operators

Definition 1. A linear operator $T \in B(X, Y)$ is *inessential* if for every $S \in B(Y, X)$, $ST \in I(X)$ and $TS \in I(Y)$. We denote the set of all inessential operators in $B(X, Y)$ by $I(X, Y)$.

Since $I(X)$ is an ideal in $B(X)$, for every $T \in I(X)$ and every $S \in B(X)$, ST and TS are both in $I(X)$. But also, if $ST \in I(X)$ for all $S \in B(X)$, then taking S to be the identity operator, we have $T \in I(X)$. This verifies that $I(X, X) = I(X)$.

Proposition 2. *The following are equivalent for an operator $T \in B(X, Y)$:*

- (i) $T \in I(X, Y)$;
- (ii) for every $S \in B(Y, X)$, $ST \in I(X)$;
- (iii) for every $S \in B(Y, X)$, $TS \in I(Y)$.

PROOF: We verify that (ii) \implies (iii); a similar argument shows (iii) \implies (ii). Assume that (ii) holds. Then for every $S \in B(Y, X)$, $\sigma(ST)$ is either a finite set or a sequence converging to zero. As is well known, $\sigma(ST) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(TS) \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that every operator in the right ideal $T(B(Y, X))$ of $B(Y)$ has spectrum that is either a finite set or a sequence converging to zero. Then by [BMSW, Theorem R.2.6, p. 58], $T(B(Y, X)) \subseteq I(Y)$. This proves (iii). \square

Proposition 3. (i) $I(X, Y)$ is a closed subspace of $B(X, Y)$.

- (ii) If $T \in I(X, Y)$, $R \in B(Y, Z)$, then $RT \in I(X, Z)$.
- (iii) If $T \in I(X, Y)$, $R \in B(Z, X)$, then $TR \in I(Z, X)$.

PROOF: Statement (i) is easily verified (using the fact that $I(X)$ is closed). We prove (ii); the proof of (iii) is similar.

Assume that $T \in I(X, Y)$ and $R \in B(Y, Z)$. Let S be arbitrary in $B(Z, X)$. Since $SR \in B(Y, X)$, $S(RT) = (SR)T \in I(X)$ by Definition 1. Then Proposition 2 implies that $RT \in I(X, Z)$. \square

We use $\text{def}(T)$ to denote the defect of $T \in B(X, Y)$. As is well known, when $\text{def}(T) = \dim(Y/\mathbf{R}(T))$ is finite, then $\mathbf{R}(T)$ is closed [AA, Corollary 2.17].

Proposition 4. *If $T \in I(X, Y)$ and $S \in \Phi(X, Y)$, then $T + S \in \Phi(X, Y)$.*

PROOF: There exists an operator $R \in B(Y, X)$ such that $RS = I - E$ and $SR = I - F$ where both E and F have f.d. range [AA, Theorem 4.46, p. 161]. Then by that same theorem, $R \in \Phi(Y, X)$. Note that $RS \in \Phi(X)$ and $SR \in \Phi(Y)$. Since $RT \in I(X)$ and $TR \in I(Y)$, we have that $R(T + S) = RT + RS \in \Phi(X)$ and $(T + S)R = TR + SR \in \Phi(Y)$. Then $\mathbf{R}((T + S)R) \subseteq \mathbf{R}(T + S)$ and $\text{def}((T + S)R) < \infty$, it follows that $\text{def}(T + S) < \infty$. Also, $\mathbf{N}(T + S) \subseteq \mathbf{N}(R(T + S))$ which is f.d. This proves that $T + S \in \Phi(X, Y)$. \square

Notes. (1) If $V \in B(X)$ and $W \in \Phi(X, Y)$ with $WV \in \Phi(X, Y)$, then $V \in \Phi(X)$.

(For we can choose an operator $R \in \Phi(Y, X)$ such that $RW = I - E$ where E has f.d. range [AA, Theorem 4.46, p. 161]. Then $V - EV = RWV \in \Phi(X)$. It follows that $V \in \Phi(X)$.)

(2) Assume that $\Phi(X, Y)$ is nonempty. If $T \in \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$, $R \in B(X)$, and $S \in B(Y)$, then $STR \in \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$. (This follows from the proof of [CPY, Lemma (5.5.5), p. 96].)

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\Phi(X, Y)$ is nonempty. Then*

$$I(X, Y) = \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y)) \\ = \{T \in B(X, Y) : T + S \in \Phi(X, Y) \text{ for all } S \in \Phi(X, Y)\}.$$

PROOF: By Proposition 4, $I(X, Y) \subseteq \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$. Now we prove the reverse inclusion. Assume that $T \in \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$. Let $S \in B(Y, X)$ and $R \in \Phi(X)$. We show that $R + ST \in \Phi(X)$. Assume that $W \in \Phi(X, Y)$. By Note (2) above, $WST \in \text{Per}(\Phi(X, Y))$. Since $WR \in \Phi(X, Y)$, $W(R + ST) = WR + WST \in \Phi(X, Y)$. Then by the Note (1) above, $R + ST \in \Phi(X)$. This proves that $ST \in \text{Per}(\Phi(X)) = I(X)$. It follows from Proposition 2 that $T \in I(X, Y)$. \square

Let $F(X)$ denote the space of all operators in $B(X)$ with f.d. (finite dimensional) range.

Proposition 6. *Assume that $T \in I(X, Y)$ and $S \in \Phi(X, Y)$. Then $\text{ind}(T + S) = \text{ind}(S)$.*

PROOF: There exists an operator $R \in \Phi(Y, X)$ with $SR = I - E$ where $E \in F(Y)$. Note that $SR \in \Phi(Y)$ and $\text{ind}(SR) = 0$. Now by definition $TR \in I(Y)$, so $\text{ind}(TR + SR) = \text{ind}(SR) = 0$. Also, $\text{ind}(TR + SR) = \text{ind}(T + S) + \text{ind}(R) = \text{ind}(T + S) - \text{ind}(S)$. \square

3. G-inverses modulo an ideal

In order to prove our result on g-inverses modulo certain subspaces of $I(X, Y)$, we need some preliminary results; some of these are of interest in their own right. The first two results are presented in the setting of a unital Banach algebra A . For $u \in A$, $\sigma(u; A)$ denotes the usual spectrum of u relative to A . For operators $T \in B(X)$, we use the notation $\sigma(T)$ for the usual operator spectrum of T relative to $B(X)$.

For $u \in A$, $\{u\}''$ is the second commutant of u in A , $\{u\}'' = \{a \in A : \text{whenever } b \in A \text{ and } bu = ub, \text{ then } ab = ba\}$.

We use the holomorphic functional calculus in this setting. In this regard, a cycle γ is a formal sum of closed piecewise continuously differentiable paths in \mathbf{C} ; γ^* denotes the image of γ in \mathbf{C} . For $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \gamma^*$, $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is the index of z with respect to γ .

Results similar to Theorem 7 are known. This particular version contains useful details.

Theorem 7. *Assume that $u \in A$ with $u^2 - u = r$. Also assume that Δ is a compact and relatively open subset of $\sigma(u; A)$ with $0 \notin \Delta$ and $1 \in \Delta$. Then there exists $e = e^2 \in \{u\}''$ and $h \in \{u\}''$ such that $rh = hr$ and $e = u + hr$.*

PROOF: First we show that when $(\lambda - u)^{-1}$ exists, $\lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda \neq 1$, then

$$(1) \quad (\lambda - u)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)u + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - u) + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\right)(\lambda - u)^{-1}r.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - u) & \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)u + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - u) \right] \\ & = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)u - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)u^2 + (1 - u) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(u - u^2) \\ & = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)u - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)(u + r) + (1 - u) + \frac{1}{\lambda}r \\ & = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)u - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)u + (1 - u) + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}\right)\right)r \\ & = u + (1 - u) - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\right)r = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\right)r. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this equality through by $(\lambda - u)^{-1}$ verifies (1).

Now let V be an open set in \mathbf{C} with $V \cap \sigma(u; A) = \Delta$ and $0 \notin V$. Let γ be a cycle with $\gamma^* \subseteq V \setminus \Delta$ such that $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$ and $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 0$ for all $z \notin V$; note that in particular, $\text{Ind}_\gamma(0) = 0$.

Let e be the spectral idempotent, $e = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\lambda - u)^{-1} d\lambda$. Using (1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} d\lambda \right) u + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) (1 - u) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)} \right) (\lambda - u)^{-1} d\lambda \right) r \\ &= \text{Ind}_{\gamma}(1)u + \text{Ind}_{\gamma}(0)(1 - u) + hr = u + hr, \end{aligned}$$

where $h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)} \right) (\lambda - u)^{-1} d\lambda$. □

Let $\text{rad}(A)$ denote the Jacobson radical of the algebra A . We use the standard fact that for $u \in A$, $\sigma(u; A) = \sigma(u + \text{rad}(A); A/\text{rad}(A))$. Part (i) of Corollary 8 is a well known result from Banach algebra theory [P, Proposition 4.3.12]. Part (ii) shows that if $t + \text{rad}(A)$ has a g-inverse in the quotient algebra $A/\text{rad}(A)$, then for some $s \in \text{rad}(A)$, $t + s$ has a g-inverse in A .

Corollary 8. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra.*

- (i) *If $u \in A$, $u \notin \text{rad}(A)$, with $u^2 - u \in \text{rad}(A)$, then there exists $e = e^2 \in \{u\}''$ such that $e - u \in \text{rad}(A)$.*
- (ii) *If $t, g \in A$, $t \notin \text{rad}(A)$, with $tgt - t \in \text{rad}(A)$, then there exists $p = p^2 \in A$ such that $t(1 - p)$ has a g-inverse in A and $tp \in \text{rad}(A)$.*

PROOF OF (i): Let u be as in statement (i). Note that $1 - u$ is not invertible since $u(1 - u) \in \text{rad}(A)$, but $u \notin \text{rad}(A)$. Now $1 \in \sigma(u; A) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. In Theorem 7 take $\Delta = \{1\}$. By Theorem 7, there exists $e = e^2 \in \{u\}''$ such that $e - u \in \text{rad}(A)$. □

PROOF OF (ii): Assume that t and g are as in (ii), so $tgt - t = r \in \text{rad}(A)$. Then $tgtg - tg = rg \in \text{rad}(A)$. Note that $1 - tg$ is not invertible since $(tg - 1)t \in \text{rad}(A)$, but $t \notin \text{rad}(A)$. Thus, $1 \in \sigma(tg; A) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. In Theorem 7 take $\Delta = \{1\}$. Applying Theorem 7, with $u = tg$, there exist $h \in A$ and $e = e^2 \in A$ such that

$$e = tg + rgh = tg + (tgt - t)gh = t[g + (gt - 1)gh].$$

Set $v = g + (gt - 1)gh$ and $w = (gt - 1)gh$. Note that $tw \in \text{rad}(A)$. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad e = tv = t(g + w) \quad \text{with} \quad tw \in \text{rad}(A).$$

Now set $s = r + twt \in \text{rad}(A)$. Then $et = tgt + twt = t + r + twt = t + s$. By (2), $e = tv$, so $e = etv = tv + sv = e + sv$. It follows that $e(t + s) = t + s$ and $sv = 0$. Thus,

$$(3) \quad (t + s)v(t + s) = tv(t + s) = e(t + s) = t + s.$$

Now let $1 - p = v(t + s)$. Then $1 - p$ is a projection, and $t(1 - p) = tv(t + s) = (t + s)v(t + s) = t + s$ which has a g -inverse by (3), and $tp = -s \in \text{rad}(A)$. \square

As before, define $\pi : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)/K(X)$ by $\pi(T) = T + K(X)$. For $T \in B(X)$, the *Fredholm spectrum* of T , $\sigma_F(T)$, is defined as

$$\sigma_F(T) = \sigma(\pi(T); B(X)/K(X)).$$

We need a fairly deep property of the Fredholm spectrum:

Let Ω be the unbounded component of $\mathbf{C} \setminus \sigma_F(T)$. Then $\sigma(T) \cap \Omega$ is at most countable.

One reference for this is [BMSW, Theorem R.2.7].

From the definition of $I(X)$ and properties of the Jacobson radical, it follows that for $T \in B(X)$, $\sigma_F(T) = \sigma(T + I(X); B(X)/I(X))$.

Corollary 9. *Let M be a left or right ideal of $B(X)$ with $M \subseteq I(X)$. If $U \in B(X)$, $U \notin I(X)$, with $U^2 - U = R \in M$, then there exists $E = E^2 \in \{U\}''$ such that $E = U + HR$ and $HR = RH$. Thus, $E - U \in M$.*

PROOF: Assume that $U^2 - U = R \in M$. Since $U + I(X)$ is a nonzero idempotent in $B(X)/I(X)$, $1 \in \sigma_F(U) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. It follows from the discussion above that $\sigma(U)$ is at most countable. Therefore, there does exist a compact and relatively open subset Δ of $\sigma(U)$ with $0 \notin \Delta$ and $1 \in \Delta$. Applying Theorem 7, there exists a projection $E \in \{U\}''$ such that $E = U + HR$ where $HR = RH$. Clearly, $E - U \in M$, as claimed. \square

4. G -inverses and inessential perturbations

In this section we generalize Rakočević's result on generalized inverses in the Calkin algebra to generalized inverses modulo certain subspaces of $I(X, Y)$. In what follows, we assume that W is a linear subspace of $I(X, Y)$ with the bimodule property:

(bi) If $T \in W$, $R \in B(X)$, and $S \in B(Y)$, then $STR \in W$.

By Proposition 3, $I(X, Y)$ satisfies (bi). Also, let $F(X, Y)$ be the space of all operators with $E \in B(X, Y)$ such that $\mathbf{R}(E)$ is f.d. Then it is easy to see that $\overline{F(X, Y)}$ (here the closure is in the operator norm) is a subspace of $I(X, Y)$ which satisfies (bi).

Let $K(X, Y)$ denote the space of all compact operators from X into Y . Also, let $S(X, Y)$ denote the space of all strictly singular operators from X into Y . Section 4.5 of [AA] is a good source for information concerning strictly singular operators.

Proposition 10. *Both $K(X, Y)$ and $S(X, Y)$ are subspaces of $I(X, Y)$, and both satisfy (bi).*

PROOF: We give the proof for $S(X, Y)$ (the proof for $K(X, Y)$ is similar). First, $S(X, Y)$ is a closed subspace of $B(X, Y)$ that satisfies (bi) [AA, Corollary 4.6.2]. Assume that $T \in S(X, Y)$ and $S \in B(Y, X)$. Then $ST \in S(X)$ and $TS \in S(Y)$ by [AA, Corollary 4.62]. Now $S(X) \subseteq I(X)$ by [CPY, Theorem (5.6.2)]. Therefore by Definition 1, $S(X, Y) \subseteq I(X, Y)$. \square

Theorem 11. *Assume $T \in B(X, Y)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists $P = P^2 \in B(X)$ such that $TP \in W$ and $T(I - P)$ has a g-inverse;*
- (ii) *$T = J + S$ where $J \in W$ and $S \in B(X, Y)$ has a g-inverse;*
- (iii) *there exists $G \in B(Y, X)$ and $TGT - T = R \in W$.*

PROOF: (i) \implies (ii) is immediate.

Assume that (ii) holds, so $T = J + S$ where $J \in W$ and for some $G \in B(Y, X)$, $SGS = S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} TGT - T &= (J + S)G(J + S) - (J + S) = JG(J + S) + SGJ + SGS - J - S \\ &= JG(J + S) + SGJ - J \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (iii) is true.

Assume the hypotheses in (iii) and that $T \notin W$. These hypotheses imply that $TGTG - TG = RG \in I(Y)$. Now apply Corollary 3 (with $U = TG$ and RG in place of R). Therefore there exists $E = E^2 \in B(Y)$ and $H \in B(Y)$ such that $E = TG + RGH$. Since $R = TGT - T$, we have $E = TG + (TGT - T)GH = T[G + GTGH - GH]$. Setting $U = GTGH - GH$ and $V = G + U$, we have

$$(4) \quad E = TV = T(G + U) \quad \text{and} \quad TU \in W.$$

Then $ET = TVT = TGT + TUT = T + R + TUT$. Set $J = R + TUT \in W$. Thus,

$$(5) \quad ET = T + J \quad \text{with} \quad J \in W. \quad \text{Also,} \quad EJ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E(T + J) = T + J,$$

since $E = TV$, $E = ETV = TV + JV$. Therefore,

$$(6) \quad JV = 0.$$

Thus, $(T + J)V(T + J) = TV(T + J) = E(T + J) = T + J$ by (5). Therefore,

$$(7) \quad T + J \quad \text{has g-inverse} \quad V.$$

Set $I - P \equiv V(T + J)$. Then $I - P$ is a projection in $B(X)$. Note that $T(I - P) = TV(T + J) = (T + J)V(T + J)$ by (6). Therefore, $T(I - P) = T + J$ by (7). Thus again by (7), $T(I - P)$ has a g-inverse. Also, $TP = -J \in W$. This proves that (i) holds. \square

The following statement is another condition equivalent to those listed in Theorem 11: *There exists $P = P^2 \in B(Y)$ such that $PT \in W$ and $(I - P)T$ has a g -inverse.*

REFERENCES

- [AA] Abramovich Y., Aliprantis C., *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, Graduate Studies in Math. 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
- [B] Barnes B., *Generalized inverses of operators in some subalgebras of $B(X)$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **69** (2003), 349–357.
- [BMSW] Barnes B., Murphy G., Smyth R., and West T.T., *Riesz and Fredholm Theory in Banach Algebras*, Research Notes in Mathematics 67, Pitman, Boston, 1982.
- [C] Caradus S., *Generalized Inverses and Operator Theory*, Queen’s Papers in Pure and Applied Math. 50, Queen’s University, Kingston, Ont., 1978.
- [CPY] Caradus S., Pfaffenberger W., Yood B., *Calkin Algebras and Algebras of Operators on Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 9, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [J] Jörgens K., *Linear Integral Operators*, Pitman, Boston, 1982.
- [K] Kleinecke D., *Almost finite, compact, and inessential operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 863–868.
- [LT] Lay D., Taylor A., *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980.
- [P] Palmer T., *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of *-Algebras, Vol. 1*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [R] Rakočević V., *A note on regular elements in Calkin algebras*, Collect. Math. **43** (1992), 37–42.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA

E-mail: barnes@uoregon.edu

(Received June 25, 2008)