

M. Berkani; Dagmar Medková

A note on the index of B -Fredholm operators

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 129 (2004), No. 2, 177–180

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133905>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON THE INDEX OF B -FREDHOLM OPERATORS

M. BERKANI, Oujda, D. MEDKOVÁ, Praha

(Received August 25, 2003)

Abstract. From Corollary 3.5 in [Berkani, M; Sarih, M.; Studia Math. 148 (2001), 251–257] we know that if S, T are commuting B -Fredholm operators acting on a Banach space X , then ST is a B -Fredholm operator. In this note we show that in general we do not have $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$, contrarily to what has been announced in Theorem 3.2 in [Berkani, M; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 1717–1723]. However, if there exist $U, V \in L(X)$ such that S, T, U, V are commuting and $US + VT = I$, then $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$, where ind stands for the index of a B -Fredholm operator.

MSC 2000: 47A53, 47A55

Keywords: B -Fredholm operators, index

1. INDEX OF B -FREDHOLM OPERATORS

B -Fredholm operators were introduced in [1] as a natural generalization of Fredholm operators, and have been extensively studied in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5].

For a bounded linear operator T and a nonnegative integer n define $T_{[n]}$ to be the restriction of T to $R(T^n)$ viewed as a map from $R(T^n)$ into $R(T^n)$ (in particular, $T_{[0]} = T$). If for an integer n the range space $R(T^n)$ is closed and $T_{[n]}$ is a Fredholm operator, then T is called a B -Fredholm operator. The index $\text{ind}(T)$ of a B -Fredholm operator T is defined as the index of the Fredholm operator $T_{[n]}$. Thus $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T_{[n]}) - \beta(T_{[n]})$, where $\alpha(T_{[n]})$ is the dimension of the kernel $\text{Ker}(T_{[n]})$ of $T_{[n]}$, and $\beta(T_{[n]})$ is the codimension of the range $R(T_{[n]}) = R(T^{n+1})$ of $T_{[n]}$ into $R(T^n)$. By [1, Proposition 2.1] the definition of the index is independent of the integer n .

In [5] the following problem was formulated: If S, T are commuting B -Fredholm operators, then from [5, Corollary 3.5] we know that ST is a B -Fredholm operator. Is it true that $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$? This question was answered affirmatively in [3, Theorem 3.2]. However, the proof of [5, Theorem 3.2] is incorrect, as the following example shows:

Example 1. Let $X = l_2$, and let S, T be operators defined on X by:

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) &= (x_1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), \quad \forall x = (x_i)_i \in l_2, \\ T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) &= (x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots), \quad \forall x = (x_i)_i \in l_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then S is a B -Fredholm operator with index 0, T is a B -Fredholm operator with index 1, but $ST = TS = S$ is a B -Fredholm operator with index 0.

The mistake in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.2] originated in [1, Remark, i)] and was repeated in [3, Remark A, i)] where it is affirmed that if S, T are B -Fredholm operators, $ST = TS$ and $\|T - S\|$ is small, then $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(S)$. But this is not true as shown by the following example:

Example 2. Let $X = l_2$, $c > 0$, let S be the operator defined in Example 1 and let T be an operator defined on X by

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (x_1, c \cdot x_3, c \cdot x_4, c \cdot x_5, c \cdot x_6, \dots), \quad \forall x = (x_i)_i \in X.$$

Then S is a B -Fredholm operator with index 0, T is a B -Fredholm operator with index 1, $TS = ST = S$, $\|T - S\| = c$. We can choose c arbitrarily small, but the index of S is different from the index of T .

However, by [6, Theorem 4.7], if S, T are B -Fredholm operators, $ST = TS$ and $\|T - S\|$ is small and $S - T$ invertible, then $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(S)$.

Now we give the correct version of [3, Theorem 3.2]

Theorem 1.1. *If S, T, U, V are commuting operators such that $US + VT = I$ and if S, T are B -Fredholm operators, then ST is a B -Fredholm operator and $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$.*

Proof. Since S and T are commuting B -Fredholm operators, then by [5, Corollary 3.5], ST is also a B -Fredholm operator. Therefore there exists an integer n such that $R(S^n)$, $R(T^n)$ and $R((ST)^n)$ are closed and the operators $S_{[n]}$, $T_{[n]}$ and $(ST)_{[n]}$ are Fredholm operators. From [8, Lemma 2.6] we know that $R((ST)^n) = R(S^n) \cap R(T^n)$. Let \tilde{T} (\tilde{S}) be the restriction of S (T , respectively) to $R((ST)^n)$. Since $(ST)_{[n]} = \tilde{S}\tilde{T}$ is a Fredholm operator, hence \tilde{S} and \tilde{T} are Fredholm operators and $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}((ST)_{[n]}) = \text{ind}(\tilde{S}\tilde{T}) = \text{ind}(\tilde{S}) + \text{ind}(\tilde{T})$, where the last equality is a consequence of the properties of Fredholm operators. Let us show that $\text{ind}(S) = \text{ind}(\tilde{S})$. First we have $\text{Ker}(\tilde{S}) = \text{Ker}(S) \cap R((ST)^n) = \text{Ker}(S) \cap R(T^n) \cap R(S^n)$. Since $US + VT = I$, we have from [8, Lemma 2.6] that $\text{Ker}(S) \subset R(T^n)$. Hence $\text{Ker}(\tilde{S}) = \text{Ker}(S) \cap R(S^n)$. So $\alpha(\tilde{S}) = \alpha(S_{[n]})$.

Similarly we have $R(\tilde{S}) = R(S^{n+1}T^n)$. Moreover, as can be seen easily, T^n define a natural isomorphism from $R(S^n)/R(S^{n+1})$ onto $R(S^nT^n)/R(S^{n+1}T^n)$. Therefore we have $\beta(\tilde{S}) = \beta(S_{[n]})$. Consequently, we have $\text{ind}(\tilde{S}) = \text{ind}(S)$. By the same argument we have $\text{ind}(\tilde{T}) = \text{ind}(T)$. Since $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(\tilde{S}) + \text{ind}(\tilde{T})$, it follows that $\text{ind}(ST) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$. \square

Proposition 1.2. *If T is a B -Fredholm operator and if n is a strictly positive integer, then T^n is a B -Fredholm operator and $\text{ind}(T^n) = n \cdot \text{ind}(T)$.*

Proof. From [5, Corollary 3.5] it follows that T^n is a B -Fredholm operator. Let m be a positive integer such that $R(T^m)$ is closed and $T_{[m]}$ is a Fredholm operator. Then by [1, Proposition 2.1], $R(T^{nm})$ is closed, $T_{[nm]}$ is a Fredholm operator, and $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(T_{[m]}) = \text{ind}(T_{[nm]})$. We have $R((T^n)^m) = R(T^{nm})$ and $(T^n)_{[m]} = (T_{[nm]})^n$. As $(T^n)_{[m]}$ and $T_{[nm]}$ are Fredholm operators, it follows $\text{ind}(T^n) = \text{ind}((T^n)_{[m]}) = \text{ind}((T_{[nm]})^n) = n \cdot \text{ind}((T_{[nm]})) = n \cdot \text{ind}(T)$. So $\text{ind}(T^n) = n \cdot \text{ind}(T)$. \square

Corollary 1.3. *Let $P(X) = (X - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1} \dots (X - \lambda_n I)^{m_n}$ be a polynomial with complex coefficients. Assume that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $T - \lambda_i I$ is a B -Fredholm operator. Then $P(T) = (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1} \dots (T - \lambda_n I)^{m_n}$ is a B -Fredholm operator and $\text{ind}(P(T)) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot \text{ind}(T - \lambda_i I)$.*

Proof. From [5, Corollary 3.5] we know that $P(T)$ is a B -Fredholm operator. Let $P_1(X) = (X - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1}$ and $P_2(X) = (X - \lambda_2 I)^{m_2} \dots (X - \lambda_n I)^{m_n}$. It is clear that $P_1(X)$ and $P_2(X)$ are prime to each other. Therefore there exist two polynomials $U(X)$, $V(X)$ such that $U(X)P_1(X) + V(X)P_2(X) = 1$. Then we have $P(T) = P_1(T)P_2(T)$ and $U(T)P_1(T) + V(T)P_2(T) = I$. Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 show that $\text{ind}(P(T)) = m_1 \cdot \text{ind}(T - \lambda_1 I) + \text{ind}(P_2(T))$. By induction it follows that $\text{ind}(P(T)) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot \text{ind}(T - \lambda_i I)$. \square

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a Hilbert space, T a bounded linear B -Fredholm operator on X . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. T is Fredholm.
2. $\text{ind}(TS) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$ for each Fredholm operator S on X .

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ by [7, Theorem 23.1].

Now we will prove $2 \Rightarrow 1$. Suppose that T is not Fredholm. According to [1, Theorem 2.1] the space X is a direct sum of T -invariant closed subspaces Y , Z such that T/Y is Fredholm and T/Z is nilpotent. Evidently $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(T/Y)$. (Fix a positive integer n such that $T^n = 0$ on Z . Then $T^n(X) = T^n(Y)$ is a subset of Y . Since T/Y is Fredholm, the operator T^n/Y is Fredholm too by [7, Satz 23.2]. Therefore the codimension of $T^n(X) = T^n(Y)$ in Y is finite. Since T/Y is Fredholm and the codimension of $T^n(X)$ in Y is finite, the operator $T/T^n(X)$ is Fredholm and $\text{ind}(T/T^n(X)) = \text{ind}(T/Y)$ by [9, Proposition 3.7.1]. Hence $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(T/T^n(X)) = \text{ind}(T/Y)$.) Since T is not Fredholm the dimension of Z must be infinite. (In the opposite case the operator T should be Fredholm, because T/Y is Fredholm and the codimension of Y is finite (see [9, Proposition 3.7.1])). Since

$T^n = 0$ on Z and the dimension of Z is infinite, the dimension of $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ is infinite, too. On the Banach space $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ there is a Fredholm operator A with index 1. (Since the dimension of $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ is infinite there is an orthonormal sequence $\{x_k\}$ in $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$. Denote by C the closure of the linear span of $\{x_k\}$ and by D the orthogonal complement of C in $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$. If x is an element of $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ then there is $y \in D$ and a sequence $\{c_k\} \in l_2$ such that $x = y + \sum_k c_k x_k$. Define $Ax = y + \sum_k c_{k+1} x_k$. Then A is a Fredholm operator on $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ with index 1.) Denote by W the orthogonal complement of $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ in Z . Since X is the direct sum of Y and Z , the space X is the direct sum of $Y + W$ and $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$. Denote by P the projection of X to $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ along $Y + W$. Denote $Sx = APx + (I - P)x$. Then $S(Z \cap \text{Ker } T) \subset A(Z \cap \text{Ker } T) \subset Z \cap \text{Ker } T$, $S = A$ on $Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ and $S = I$ on $Y + W$. Hence S is a Fredholm operator of index 1. If $x \in Y + W$ then $TSx = TIx = Tx$. If $x \in Z \cap \text{Ker } T$ then $TSx = TA x = 0 = Tx$, because $Ax \in Z \cap \text{Ker } T$. We thus get $TS = T$ and $\text{ind}(TS) = \text{ind}(T)$ but $\text{ind}(T) + \text{ind}(S) = \text{ind}(T) + 1$. \square

References

- [1] *Berkani, M.*: On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* 34 (1999), 244–249.
- [2] *Berkani, M.*: Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers. *Stud. Math.* 140 (2000), 163–175.
- [3] *Berkani, M.*: Index of B -Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl Theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), 1717–1723.
- [4] *Berkani, M.; Sarih, M.*: On semi B -Fredholm operators. *Glasg. Math. J.* 43 (2001), 457–465.
- [5] *Berkani, M. ; Sarih, M.*: An Atkinson-type theorem for B -Fredholm operators. *Stud. Math.* 148 (2001), 251–257.
- [6] *Grabiner, S.*: Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 34 (1982), 317–337.
- [7] *Heuser, H.*: *Funktionalanalysis*. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1975.
- [8] *Kordula, V.; Müller, V.*: On the axiomatic theory of the spectrum. *Stud. Math.* 119 (1996), 109–128.
- [9] *Laursen, K. B.; Neumann, M. M.*: *An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [10] *Mbekhta, M.; Müller, V.*: On the axiomatic theory of the spectrum, II. *Stud. Math.* 119 (1996), 129–147.

Authors' addresses: *M. Berkani*, Groupe d'Analyse et Théorie des Opérateurs (G.A.T.O), Université Mohammed I, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Mathématiques, Oujda, Maroc, e-mail: berkani@sciences.univ-oujda.ac.ma; *D. Medková*, Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1; Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Technical Mathematics, Karlovo nám. 13, Praha 2, Czech Republic, e-mail: medkova@math.cas.cz.