# Jaroslav Ježek; Tomáš Kepka; Petr Němec Commutative semigroups that are nil of index 2 and have no irreducible elements

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 133 (2008), No. 1, 1-7

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133941

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS THAT ARE NIL OF INDEX 2 AND HAVE NO IRREDUCIBLE ELEMENTS

JAROSLAV JEŽEK, TOMÁŠ KEPKA, PETR NĚMEC, Praha

(Received March 16, 2006)

*Abstract.* Every commutative nil-semigroup of index 2 can be imbedded into such a semigroup without irreducible elements.

Keywords: nil-semigroup, commutative nil-semigroup MSC 2000: 20M14

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

(Congruence-)simple semimodules over semigroups (and/or semirings) are easily divided into four pair-wise disjoint classes. That is, if M is a simple semimodule then the additive semigroup M(+) is either

- (1) cancellative, or
- (2) idempotent, or
- (3) constant (i.e. |M + M| = 1), or
- (4) nil of index 2 and without irreducible elements (i.e., 2x + y = 2x for all  $x, y \in M$  and M + M = M).

Now, the last class is the most enigmatic one and was scarcely studied so far (cf. [1]). In fact, structural properties of commutative 2-nil semigroups without irreducible elements (zs-semigroups in the sequel) are not yet well understood and examples of these semigroups are rarely seen (see e.g. [2]). The aim of the present short note is to show that every commutative 2-nil semigroups can be imbedded into a commutative zs-semigroup. Consequently, there should exist many commutative zs-semigroups and then many simple semimodules of type (4) as well.

Supported by the institutional grant MSM 0021620839 and by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic, grant #GAČR-201/05/0002.

Throughout this note, the word *semigroup* will always mean a commutative semigroup, the binary operation of which will be denoted additively.

**1.1** An element w of a semigroup S is called *absorbing* if S + w = w. There exists at most one absorbing element in S and it will be denoted by the symbol  $o (= o_S)$  in the sequel. The fact that S possesses the absorbing element will be denoted by  $o \in S$ .

**1.2** A non-empty subset I of S is an *ideal* if  $S + I \subseteq I$ .

### 1.3 Lemma.

- (i) A one-element subset  $\{w\}$  is an ideal iff  $w = o_S$ .
- (ii) If I is an ideal then the relation  $r = (I \times I) \cup id_S$  is a congruence of S and  $I = o_T$ , where T = S/r.
- (iii) If  $o \in S$  and and s is a congruence of S then the set  $\{a \in S; (a, o) \in s\}$  is an ideal.

**1.4** Put  $(Q_S(a) =) Q(a) = S + a$  and  $(P_S(a) =) P(a) = Q(a) \cup \{a\}$  for every  $a \in S$ .

## 1.5 Lemma.

- (i)  $Q(a) \subseteq P(a)$  and both these sets are ideals of S.
- (ii) P(a) is just the (principal) ideal generated by the one-element set  $\{a\}$ .

**1.6** Assume that  $o \in S$ . An element  $a \in S$  is said to be *nilpotent (of index at most*  $m \ge 1$ ) if ma = o. We denote by N(S)  $(N_m(S))$  the set of nilpotent (of index at most m) elements of S.

The semigroup S is said to be nil (of index at most m) if N(S) = S ( $N_m(S) = S$ ) and reduced if  $o_S$  is the only nilpotent element of S.

#### 1.7 Lemma.

- (i)  $o = N_1(S) \subseteq N_2(S) \subseteq N_3(S) \subseteq \ldots$  and all these sets are ideals.
- (ii)  $N(S) = \bigcup N_m(S)$  is an ideal.

(iii) The factor-semigroup T = S/N(S) is reduced.

**1.8 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $o \in S$  and 2x = o for every  $x \in S$ .
- (ii) S is nil of index at most 2.
- (iii) 2x + y = 2z for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .
- (iv) 2x + y = 2x for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**1.9** A semigroup satisfying the equivalent conditions of 1.8 will be called *zeropotent* (or, in a colourless manner, a *zp-semigroup*) in the sequel.

A zp-semigroup without irreducible elements (i.e., when S + S = S) will be called a *zs-semigroup*.

**1.10** Define a relation  $|_S$  on S by  $a |_S b$  iff b = a + u for some  $u \in S^0$ , where  $S^0$  is the least monoid containing S and 0 denotes the neutral element of  $S^0$ .

**1.11 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $a \mid_S b$ . (ii)  $b \in P(a)$ .
- (iii)  $P(b) \subseteq P(a)$ .

Moreover, if  $a \neq b$  then these conditions are equivalent to:

(iv)  $b \in Q(a)$ . (v)  $P(b) \subseteq Q(a)$ .

**1.12 Lemma.** The relation  $|_S$  is a fully invariant compatible quasiordering of the semigroup S and the equivalence  $||_S = \ker(|_S)$  is a fully invariant congruence of the semigroup S.

**1.13 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $a \parallel \mid_S b$ .
- (ii) P(a) = P(b).

Moreover, if  $a \neq b$  then these conditions are equivalent to:

(iii) 
$$Q(a) = Q(b) = P(a) = P(b).$$

**1.14 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) S is a group.
- (ii)  $|_S = S \times S$ .
- (iii)  $||_S = S \times S$ .
- (iv) P(a) = P(b) for all  $a, b \in S$ .
- (v) P(a) = S for every  $a \in S$ .
- (vi) Q(a) = S for every  $a \in S$ .

**1.15 Lemma.** The relation  $|_S$  is a (fully invariant compatible) ordering (or, equivalently,  $||_S = id_S$ ), provided that at least one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

- (1) S is not a group and  $id_S$ ,  $S \times S$  are the only fully invariant congruences of S;
- (2) S is cancellative and  $0 \notin S$ ;
- (3) S is nil;
- (4) S is idempotent.

Proof. (1) Combine 1.13 and 1.14.

(2) If  $a \neq b$ , b = a + u and a = b + v,  $a, b, u, v \in S$ , then a = a + w, where w = u + v, and hence w = 0, a contradiction.

(3) If a = a + w,  $a, w \in S$ , then a = a + mv for every  $m \ge 1$ , and hence a = o.

(4) If b = a + u,  $a, b, u \in S$ , then a + b = a + a + u = a + u = b.

**1.16** Define a relation  $/_S$  on S by  $a/_S b$  iff  $Q(b) \subseteq Q(a)$ .

**1.17 Lemma.** The relation  $/_S$  is an invariant compatible quasiordering of the semigroup S and the equivalence  $//_S = \ker(/_S)$  is an invariant congruence of the semigroup S.

**1.18 Lemma.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $/_S = S \times S$ .
- (ii)  $/\!/_S = S \times S$ .
- (iii) S + a = S + b for all  $a, b \in S$ .
- (iv) S + S = I is the smallest ideal of S and I is a subgroup of S.

2. The distractibility ordering of zp-semigroups

**2.1** In this section, let S be a zp-semigroup. Put  $Ann(S) = \{a \in S; S + a = o\}$ .

#### 2.2 Lemma.

- (i) The relation  $|_S$  is a fully invariant compatible ordering of the semigroup S.
- (ii) *o* is the greatest element.
- (iii)  $\operatorname{Ann}(S) \setminus \{o\}$  is the set of maximal elements of  $T = S \setminus \{o\}$ .
- (iv) If  $|S| \ge 2$  then  $S \setminus (S+S)$  is the set of minimal elements of S.
- (v) If  $|S| \ge 3$  then S has no smallest element.

**2.3 Lemma.** If S is a non-trivial zs-semigroup then S has no minimal elements, S is infinite and not finitely generated.

Proof. Being nil, S is finitely generated iff it is finite. The rest is clear from 2.2(iv).  $\hfill \Box$ 

### **2.4 Lemma.** If $0 \in S$ then S is trivial.

#### 3. Every ZP-semigroup is a subsemigroup of a ZS-semigroup

Now, we are in position to show the main result of this note.

#### **3.1 Proposition.** Every *zp*-semigroup is a subsemigroup of a *zs*-semigroup.

Proof. Let S be a non-trivial zp-semigroup and  $Q = S \setminus (S + S)$ . For every  $a \in Q$ , put  $R_a = S \setminus P(a)$ ; then  $o \notin R_a$  and  $R_a \neq \emptyset$ , provided that  $|S| \ge 3$ . Further,  $0 \notin S$  by 2.4 and we put  $R_{a,0} = R_a \cup \{0_a\}$ , where the elements  $0_a$ ,  $a \in Q$ , are all distinct,  $V_{a,1} = R_{a,0} \times \{1\}$  and  $V_{a,2} = R_{a,0} \times \{2\}$ . Now, consider the disjoint union

$$T = S \cup \bigcup_{a \in Q} V_{a,1} \cup \bigcup_{a \in Q} V_{a,2}$$

and define an addition on T in the following way:

(1) x + y coincides in S(+) and T(+) for all  $x, y \in S$ ;

(2) x + (y,i) = (x + y,i) = (y,i) + x for all  $x \in S$ ,  $(y,i) \in V_{a,i}$ ,  $a \in Q$ , i = 1, 2,  $x + y \in R_a$  (i.e.,  $x + y \notin P(a)$ );

(3) (x,i) + (y,j) = x + y + a for all  $x, y \in R_{a,0}, a \in Q, i \neq j$ ;

(4)  $\alpha + \beta = o$  if  $\alpha, \beta \in T$  and the sum  $\alpha + \beta$  is not defined by (1), (2) or (3).

Clearly,  $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \alpha = o$ ,  $\alpha + o = o$  and  $o + \alpha = o$  for every  $\alpha \in T$ . Next, we check that  $\alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in T$ .

Put  $\delta = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma)$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$  and consider the following cases:

(a)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S$ . Then  $\delta = \varepsilon$  by (1).

(b)  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  and  $\gamma = (x, i) \in V_{a,i}$ . Assume first that  $\alpha + \beta + x \in R_a$ . Then  $\varepsilon = (\alpha + \beta + x, i)$  by (2). Moreover,  $\beta + x \in R_a$ , and hence  $\beta + \gamma = (\beta + x, i)$  and  $\delta = \alpha + (\beta + x, i) = (\alpha + \beta + x, i) = \varepsilon$ .

Assume next that  $\alpha + \beta + x \notin R_a$ . Then  $\varepsilon = o$  by (4). Moreover, either  $\beta + x \notin R_a$ ,  $\beta + \gamma = o$  and  $\delta = \alpha + o = o = \varepsilon$ , or  $\beta + x \in R_a$ ,  $\beta + \gamma = (\beta + x, i)$  and  $\delta = \alpha + (\beta + x, i) = o = \varepsilon$ .

(c)  $\alpha, \gamma \in S, \beta \in V_{a,i}$  (or  $\beta, \gamma \in S, \alpha \in V_{a,i}$ ). These cases are similar and/or dual to (b).

(d)  $\alpha = (x, i) \in V_{a,i}, \beta = (y, i) \in V_{a,i}$  and  $\gamma \in S$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = o$  by (4), and so  $\varepsilon = o + \gamma = o$ . Assume first that  $y + \gamma \in R_a$ . Then  $\beta + \gamma = (y + \gamma, i)$  by (2) and  $\delta = (x, i) + (y + \gamma, i) = o$  by (4). Thus  $\varepsilon = \delta$ .

Assume next that  $y + \gamma \notin R_a$ . Then  $\beta + \gamma = o$  by (4) and  $\delta = (x, i) + o = o = \varepsilon$ . (e)  $\alpha, \gamma \in V_{a,i}, \beta \in S$  (or  $\beta, \gamma \in V_{a,i}, \alpha \in S$ ). These cases are similar to (d).

(f)  $\alpha = (x, i) \in V_{a,i}, \beta = (y, j) \in V_{a,j}, i \neq j, \gamma \in S$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = x + y + a$  by (3), and hence  $\varepsilon = x + y + a + \gamma$  by (1). Assume first that  $y + \gamma \in R_a$ . Then  $\beta + \gamma = (y + \gamma, j)$  by (2) and  $\delta = (x, i) + (y + \gamma, j) = x + y + \gamma + a = \varepsilon$ .

Assume next that  $y + \gamma \notin R_a$ . Then  $\beta + \gamma = o$  by (4), and hence  $\delta = (x, i) + o = o$ . However,  $y + \gamma \notin R_a$  means  $y + \gamma \in P(a)$  and then  $a + y + \gamma = o$ , since S is nil of index at most 2. Thus  $\varepsilon = x + a + y + \gamma = x + o = o = \delta$ .

(g)  $\alpha \in V_{a,i}, \gamma \in V_{a,j}, \beta \in S$  (or  $\beta \in V_{a,i}, \gamma \in V_{a,j}, \alpha \in S$ ). These cases are similar to (f).

(h)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in V_{a,i}$ . Then  $\beta + \gamma = o = \alpha + \beta$ , and hence  $\delta = a + o = o = o + \gamma = \varepsilon$ . (i)  $\alpha = (x, i) \in V_{a,i}, \beta = (y, i) \in V_{a,i}$  and  $\gamma = (z, j) \in V_{a,j}, i \neq j$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = o$ by (4), and hence  $\varepsilon = o + (z, j) = o$ . Further,  $\beta + \gamma = y + z + a$  by (3). Now,  $x + y + z + a \in P(a)$  and  $\delta = (x, i) + y + z + a = o$  by (4). Thus  $\delta = \varepsilon$ .

(j)  $\alpha, \gamma \in V_{a,i}, \beta \in V_{a,j}$  (or  $\beta, \gamma \in V_{a,i}, \alpha \in V_{a,j}$ ). These cases are similar to (i).

(k) In all the remaining cases we get  $\delta = o = \varepsilon$  due to (4).

We have shown that T = T(+) is a zp-semigroup and S is a subsemigroup of T. Clearly,

$$T + T = S \cup \bigcup_{a \in Q} (R_a \times \{1\}) \cup \bigcup_{a \in Q} (R_a \times \{2\}).$$

Thus  $S \subseteq T + T$  and

$$T \setminus (T+T) = \bigcup_{a \in Q} \{ (0_a, 1), (0_a, 2) \}.$$

Finally, put  $T_0 = S$ ,  $T_1 = T$  and consider a sequence

$$T_0 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq \ldots$$

of zp-semigroups such that  $T_i$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_{i+1}$  and  $T_i \subseteq T_{i+1} + T_{i+1}$ . Then  $\bigcup T_i$  is a zs-semigroup.

### References

- R. El Bashir, T. Kepka, M. Kechlibar: Commutative semigroups with few fully invariant congruences I. Acta Univ. Carolinae Math. Phys. 46/1 (2005), 49–64.
- [2] V. Flaška, T. Kepka: Commutative zeropotent semigroups. Acta Univ. Carolinae Math. Phys. 47/1 (2006), 3–14.

Authors' addresses: Jaroslav Ježek, Tomáš Kepka, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Algebra, Sokolovská 83, 18675 Praha 8, Czech Republic, e-mails: jezek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz; Petr Němec, Czech University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Mathematics, Kamýcká 129, 16621 Praha 6-Suchdol, Czech Republic, e-mail: nemec@tf.czu.cz.