Mathematica Bohemica

Ivan Chajda

Distributivity of lattices of binary relations

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 127 (2002), No. 3, 449–452

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134068`

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

DISTRIBUTIVITY OF LATTICES OF BINARY RELATIONS

I. Chajda, Olomouc

(Received October 17, 2000)

Abstract. We present a formal scheme which whenever satisfied by relations of a given relational lattice L containing only reflexive and transitive relations ensures distributivity of L.

Keywords: binary relation, relational lattice, distributivity

MSC 2000: 08A02, 08B10

Distributivity of lattices of binary relations was treated by several authors, see e.g. [1] for lattices of tolerances and [2], [3] for lattices of congruences. H.-P. Gumm developed in [4] two schemes (the so called Shifting Lemma and Shifting Principle) to characterize modularity of congruence lattices in algebras and varieties. A certain scheme characterizing distributivity of congruence lattices can be found in [2]. The aim of this short note is to present a suitable scheme for characterizing distributivity in a more general case.

Let α be a binary relation on a set A. The fact that $\langle x, y\rangle \in \alpha$ will be visualized by an arrow going from x to y (where x, y are depicted by points in a plane) which is valuated by α, see Fig. 1.



Fig. 1.

Definition. Let L be a lattice of binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. We say that L satisfies the *Corner Scheme* if for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$ the following condition is satisfied:

$$\text{if } \alpha \cap \beta \subseteq \gamma \text{ and } \langle z, y\rangle \in \beta,\ \langle a, x\rangle \in \alpha \text{ and } \langle x, y\rangle \in \alpha \vee \gamma,\ \text{then } \langle z, y\rangle \in \gamma.$$

Remark. In our graphical convention, the Corner Scheme can be visualized as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2.

Lemma 1. *Let L be a lattice of transitive binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. If L is distributive than it satisfies the Corner Scheme.*

P r o o f. Let L be distributive, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$ and $\alpha \cap \beta \subseteq \gamma$. Suppose $\langle z, y\rangle \in \beta$, $\langle z, x\rangle \in \alpha$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in \alpha \vee \gamma$. Due to transitivity, we have $\langle z, y\rangle \in \alpha \cdot (\alpha \vee \gamma) \subseteq (\alpha \vee \gamma) \cdot (\alpha \vee \gamma) \subseteq \alpha \vee \gamma$, thus also

$$\langle a, y\rangle \in \beta \cap (\alpha \vee \gamma) = (\beta \cap \alpha) \vee (\beta \cap \gamma) \subseteq \gamma \vee (\beta \cap \gamma) = \gamma,$$

so L satisfies the Corner Scheme. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let L be a lattice of reflexive binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. If L satisfies the Corner Scheme then it is distributive.*

P r o o f. Let L satisfy the Corner Scheme and suppose that it is not distributive. Then L contains a sublattice isomorphic to M_3 or N_5 as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3.

Of, course, we have $\alpha \cap \beta \subseteq \gamma$ in the both cases. Suppose $\langle z, y\rangle \in \beta$. Then $\langle z, y\rangle \in \alpha \vee \gamma$ and, due to reflexivity and the property $\alpha \subseteq \alpha \vee \gamma$, also $\langle z, y\rangle \in \alpha \cdot (\alpha \vee \gamma)$. Thus there is $x \in A$ with $\langle z, x\rangle \in \alpha$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in \alpha \vee \gamma$. By the Corner Scheme we conclude $\langle z, y\rangle \in \gamma$. We have shown $\beta \subseteq \gamma$ which contradicts $\beta \| \gamma$ in M_3 or $\gamma \subset \beta$ in N_5. $\square$

Theorem. *Let L be a lattice of reflexive and transitive binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. Then L is distributive if and only if L satisfies the Corner Scheme.*

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Since $\beta \cap \gamma \subseteq \beta \cap (\alpha \vee \gamma)$ for any α, β, γ of any lattice L, we can state the following conclusion of the Corner Scheme.

Corollary 1. *Any lattice of reflexive and transitive relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$ is distributive if and only if it satisfies the quasiidentity:*

$$\alpha \cap \beta \subseteq \gamma \Rightarrow \beta \cap \gamma = \beta \cap (\alpha \vee \gamma).$$

Remark. It is well-known and easy to check that in any lattice L of reflexive and transitive relations we have

$$\alpha \vee \gamma = \bigcup \{\alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot \alpha \cdot \ldots (n \text{ factors});\ n \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Denote by $\Lambda_n = \gamma \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot \ldots (n \text{ factors})$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}_0$ (if $n = 0$ then Λ_0 is the identity relation on A). Then our Corner Scheme can be reformulated as follows:

Corollary 2. *Let L be any lattice of reflexive and transitive binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. Then L is distributive if and only if it satisfies the following scheme for all $n \in \mathcal{N}_0$.*



Let us note that the last scheme was first used for characterizing distributivity of congruence lattices in [2] under the name of Triangular Scheme.

We say that the lattice L of binary relations on a set A is *permutable* if

$$\alpha \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot \alpha$$

for every $\alpha, \gamma \in L$.

Of course, if L is a permutable lattice of reflexive and transitive relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$ then $\alpha \vee \gamma = \alpha \cdot \gamma$.

Hence, we can take $n = 1$ in Corollary 2 to prove the last result:

Corollary 3. *Let L be a permutable lattice of reflexive and transitive binary relations on a set $A \neq \emptyset$. Then L is distributive if and only if it satisfies the following scheme:*



References

[1] *Chajda I.*: Distributivity and modularity of tolerance relations. Algebra Universalis *12* (1981), 247–255.

[2] *Chajda I.*: A note on the triangular scheme. East-West J. Math. *3* (2001), 79–80.

[3] *Jónsson B.*: Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive. Math. Scand. *21* (1967), 110–121.

[4] *Gumm H.-P.*: Geometrical methods in congruence modular algebras. Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. *286* (1983).

Author's address: *I. Chajda*, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Palacký University Olomouc, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: `chajda@risc.upol.cz`.